**Miami-Dade County Public Schools** 

# Alternative Outreach Program



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	17
Positive Culture & Environment	19
Budget to Support Goals	0

# **Alternative Outreach Program**

6300 NW 27TH AVENUE, Miami, FL 33147

http://outreach.dadeschools.net/

# **Demographics**

**Principal: Theron Clark** 

Start Date for this Principal: 8/17/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: I (%)
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information	*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more in	nformation, <u>click here</u> .

# **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	17
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

# **Alternative Outreach Program**

6300 NW 27TH AVENUE, Miami, FL 33147

http://outreach.dadeschools.net/

### **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Combination School KG-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	No	%
School Grades History		
Year		2017-18
Grade		I

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **Part I: School Information**

### **School Mission and Vision**

### Provide the school's mission statement.

Foster relationships with community partners in order to provide wrap-around services to successfully meet the diverse needs of EAOP students.

### Provide the school's vision statement.

We strive to find this common ground and assist with eradicating the school house to jail house pipeline, which is so prevalent in our communities through innovation, opportunity and access.

### School Leadership Team

### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Clark, Theron	Principal	Provide instructional leadership to faculty and staff to promote academic excellence, foster collaboration to support a positive school climate, and coordinate all school resources to ensure all stakeholders are equipped with the means to deliver quality educational programs to our students.
Young, Tabitha	Assistant Principal	Provides assistance with the coordination and implementation of research-based instructional practices via the coaching model (planning, demonstrating, providing feedback) based on student need as determined by student assessment data.
Baptiste, Belinda	Instructional Coach	Assist with the coordination and implementation of the Comprehensive Mathematics Plan, District Mathematics Curriculum, and Florida's Mathematics Standards.
Lopez- Perez, Vivienne	Other	Provides specialized knowledge and skills related to student-centered learning processes, techniques of assessment of learning and social adjustment, research design, and modification of behaviors to improve social and academic outcomes for students.
Garrote, Rolando	School Counselor	Counsel students to fully develop each student's academic, career, personal, and social abilities; consult with a student's parent or guardian and make referrals as appropriate in consultation with the student's parent or guardian; coordinate people and resources in the school, home, and community; with the assistance of school staff, interpret standardized test results
Perez de Ayllon, Nidia	Administrative Support	Serves as the Local Education Agency (LEA) representative for the Assistant Superintendent, Office of Special Education and Psychological Services.
Campbell- McLemore, Mesha	Instructional Coach	Assist with the coordination and implementation of the K-12 Comprehensive Researched-based Reading Plan
Waters, Sabrina	Teacher, K-12	Ensures union members have and are entitled to all collective bargaining as agreed upon between the union and MDCPS. Implement many duties and responsibilities as an effective teacher within the State of Florida utilizing state's standards.
Gonzalez, Alejandro	Instructional Technology	Provides input in the development of a system-wide and building level programs that enables students to use technology as learning tools. Investigates and disseminates information on best practices for technology integration, sources of information on trends, research and applications related to technology use in the school program.

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Alonso, Nadeshka	Other	Lead, guide and monitor the analysis, design, implementation and execution of the test procedures and test protocols as mandated by district.
Nortelus, Joella	Instructional Coach	Provide assistance with the coordination and implementation of research-based instructional practices via the coaching model (planning, demonstrating, providing feedback) based on student need as determined by student assessment data.
Iber, Alberto	Principal	Provide instructional leadership to faculty and staff to promote academic excellence, foster collaboration to support a positive school climate, and coordinate all school resources to ensure all stakeholders are equipped with the means to deliver quality educational programs to our students.
Cabell, Ben	Attendance/ Social Work	School-based social worker counsels students by meeting with them to discuss the issues they face and their root causes. In addition, help students in EAOP with learning problems such as dyslexia and attention deficit disorder, or social problems, including poverty and being a victim of domestic or sexual abuse. They assist students who face social and learning challenges, and help students develop and reinforce correct behavior. Social workers keep notes to document their sessions with students and meet multiple times with students to monitor their progress.
Cancio, Leonardo	Administrative Support	Provides specialized knowledge and skills related to student-centered learning processes, techniques of assessment of learning and social adjustment, research design, and modification of behaviors to improve social and academic outcomes for students.
Tomasso, Margarita	Psychologist	Assessment and Diagnosis: Completes a thorough psychological evaluation of each child referred to him/her.
Antonini, Enrique	Instructional Coach	Assist with the coordination and implementation of the Comprehensive Mathematics Plan, District Mathematics Curriculum, and Florida's Mathematics Standards.
Wynne, Dan	Teacher, K-12	Provides specialized knowledge and skills related to student-centered learning processes, techniques of assessment of learning and social adjustment, research design, and modification of behaviors to improve social and academic outcomes for students.
Lafaille, Eddy	Assistant Principal	Provides assistance with the coordination and implementation of research-based instructional practices via the coaching model (planning, demonstrating, providing feedback) based on student need as determined by student assessment data.

### **Demographic Information**

### Principal start date

Monday 8/17/2020, Theron Clark

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

### Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

44

### **Demographic Data**

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active				
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-12				
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education				
2019-20 Title I School	No				
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%				
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)					
	2018-19: No Grade				
	2017-18: I (%)				
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade				
	2015-16: No Grade				
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information	n*				
SI Region	Southeast				
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield				
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A				
Year					

Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For mo	ore information, click here.

# **Early Warning Systems**

### **Current Year**

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
illuicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 8/17/2020

### Prior Year - As Reported

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total				
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students identified as retainees:

lu dia sta u						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# **Prior Year - Updated**

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Leve							evel					Total	
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sobool Grade Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	0%	63%	61%	0%	59%	57%
ELA Learning Gains	0%	61%	59%	0%	59%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	57%	54%	0%	55%	51%
Math Achievement	0%	67%	62%	0%	62%	58%
Math Learning Gains	0%	63%	59%	0%	60%	56%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	56%	52%	0%	52%	50%
Science Achievement	0%	56%	56%	0%	53%	53%
Social Studies Achievement	0%	80%	78%	0%	75%	75%

		EW	'S Ind	licato	rs as	Inpu	t Earl	ier in	the S	Surve	y			
Indicator				Gr	ade L	evel (	prior y	year r	eport	ed)				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iolai
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

### **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison					
04	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			<u>'</u>	
08	2019					
	2018					

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				
09	2019	0%	55%	-55%	55%	-55%
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison					
04	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%			•	
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%			<u>'</u>	

			SCIENC	E		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison					
08	2019	0%	43%	-43%	48%	-48%
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%			•	

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEE	RA EOC	<u>'</u>	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018	14%	59%	-45%	62%	-48%
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

# Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY S	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD											
ELL											
BLK											
HSP											
FRL											
		2018	SCHOO	L GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
		2017	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

# **ESSA** Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.						
ESSA Federal Index						
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I					
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	0					
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students						
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5					
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency						
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	0					
Total Components for the Federal Index						
Percent Tested						
Subgroup Data						
Students With Disabilities						
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	0					
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES					
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	1					
English Language Learners						
Federal Index - English Language Learners	0					
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES					
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	1					
Native American Students						
Federal Index - Native American Students						
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0					
Asian Students						
Federal Index - Asian Students						
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0					
Black/African American Students						
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	0					
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%						

Hispanic Students						
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	0					
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%						
Multiracial Students						
Federal Index - Multiracial Students						
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A					
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0					
Pacific Islander Students						
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students						
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%						
White Students						
Federal Index - White Students						
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0					
Economically Disadvantaged Students						
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	0					
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	2					

### **Analysis**

### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The Reading data showed the lowest performance. Percentage of students making learning gains in Reading dropped from 33% to 4%. Percentage of students making learning gains in Mathematics dropped from 39% to 15%. Low student attendance and limited small group instruction has had an effect on student performance. The lack of implementation of best practices of data driven and differentiated instruction was also a contribution to the decrease of the learning gains.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Reading data showed the greatest decline from the prior year, dropping from 39% to 4%. Data driven and differentiated instruction were not implemented. Students' poor attendance also contributed to the decrease.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The percentage of students making learning gains in the State for ELA is 59% compared to EAOP/S3C students' percentage making learning gains for ELA is 4%. There is a gap of 55%.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Mathematics showed the most improvement from 39% to 50%. Small group and individual student instruction was conducted with fidelity.

### Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

- 1. 8th grade students attendance-Attendance below 90%-20%
- 2. 8th grade students scoring Level 1 on state assessments-48%

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. 1. Percentage of students making learning gains in Reading and Mathematics
- 2. Daily Attendance
- 3. Percentage of students tested-90% or higher
- 4. Bi-weekly Progress monitoring
- 5. Increase social-emotional counseling with school psychologists

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

### Areas of Focus:

### #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Increase the percentage of students making learning gains in ELA. In order to achieve an overall Commendable rating in ELA, the percentage of students making learning gains need to be increased.

Measurable Outcome:

To improve the percentage of students making learning gains from 4% to 26% in ELA.

Person

responsible for monitoring outcome:

Tabitha Young (tyoung@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based** 

Strategy:

Utilize literacy coaches to share best practices strategies with ELA teachers to

implement with students to increase student achievement.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: To provide support to teachers infusing standards-based instruction and resources by literacy coaches. Best practices will be implemented and monitored with fidelity in order to increase student achievement.

### **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Execute small group instruction
- 2. Model instruction
- 3. Utilize co-teaching model
- 4. Conduct Data chats with students and teachers
- 5. Assist teachers in planning and provide feedback

Person

Responsible

Joella Nortelus (nortelus@dadeschools.net)

### **#2.** Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Increase the Percentage of students making learning gains in Mathematics. In order to achieve an overall Commendable rating in Mathematics, the percentage of students making learning gains need to be increased.

Measurable Outcome:

To improve the percentage of students making learning gains from 15% to 26% in Mathematics.

Person

responsible for monitoring outcome:

Tabitha Young (tyoung@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based** 

Strategy:

Utilize Mathematics coaches to share best practices strategies with Mathematics teachers to implement with students to increase student achievement.

Rationale for Evidence-based

To provide support to teachers infusing standards-based instruction and resources by Mathematics coaches. Best practices will be implemented and monitored with fidelity

**Strategy:** in order to increase student achievement.

### **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Execute small group instruction
- 2. Model instruction
- 3. Utilize co-teaching model
- 4. Conduct Data chats with students and teachers
- 5. Assist teachers in planning and provide feedback

Person Responsible

Enrique Antonini (eantonini@dadeschools.net)

### **Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities**

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Improve percentage of students' daily attendance rate to 75%. School-wide incentive plan will be implemented funded by EESAC and by grants. Implement quarterly award assemblies highlighting academic, I-Ready achievements as well as attendance goals.

### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Conduct parent/student interviews at the home school prior to application submission Facilitate Title I Annual Meeting/Open House

Collaborate the development of School Compacts and PFEP plans with parents' input

Facilitate EESAC meetings

Provide Parent Resource Center

Communicate with parents regularly

Provide student monthly progress report

Provide parents with option of receiving weekly progress report by email

Invite parents/stakeholders to Parenty Academy workshops

Invite parents to trainings at Title I Neighborhood Resource Center

### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.