**Miami-Dade County Public Schools** 

# Secondary Student Success Center 801



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	16
Positive Culture & Environment	17
Budget to Support Goals	0

# **Secondary Student Success Center 801**

2349 NW 175TH ST, Miami, FL 33160

outreach.dadeschools.net

# **Demographics**

Principal: Alberto Iber

Start Date for this Principal: 8/26/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School 6-11
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information	*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more in	nformation, <u>click here</u> .

# **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

# Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
School information	0
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Last Modified: 5/6/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 18

# **Secondary Student Success Center 801**

2349 NW 175TH ST, Miami, FL 33160

outreach.dadeschools.net

### **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades (per MSID File)	Served 2019-20 Ti	tle I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)					
Combination School 6-11	l Y	es	%					
Primary Service Typer MSID File)	oe Chartei	r School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)					
Alternative Educatio	n N	10	%					
School Grades History								
Year Grade	<b>2014-15</b> F*	<b>2013-14</b> F	2012-13					

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

# **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Part I: School Information**

### **School Mission and Vision**

### Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission is to foster relationships with community partners in order to provide wrap-around services to successfullymeet the diverse needs of EAOP students.

### Provide the school's vision statement.

We strive to foster relationships with community partners through innovation, opportunity and access to assist with eradicating the school to jail house pipeline, which is so prevalent in our communities.

# School Leadership Team

# Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Clark, Theron	Administrative Support	Provide instructional leadership to faculty and staff to promote academic excellence, foster collaboration to support a positive school climate, and coordinate all school resources to ensure all stakeholders are equipped with the means to deliver quality educational programs to our students.
Young, Tabitha	Assistant Principal	Provides assistance with the coordination and implementation of research-based instructional practices via the coaching model (planning, demonstrating, providing feedback) based on student need as determined by student assessment data.
Baptiste, Belinda	Instructional Coach	Assist with the coordination and implementation of the Comprehensive Mathematics Plan, District Mathematics Curriculum, and Florida's Mathematics Standards.
Cancio, Leonardo	SAC Member	Provides specialized knowledge and skills related to student- centered learning processes, techniques of assessment of learning and social adjustment, research design, and modification of behaviors to improve social and academic outcomes for students.
Gonzalez, Alejandro	Instructional Technology	Provides input in the development of a system-wide and building level programs that enables students to use technology as learning tools. Investigates and disseminates information on best practices for technology integration, sources of information on trends, research and applications related to technology use in the school program.
Lopez- Perez, Vivienne	Other	Serves as the Local Education Agency (LEA) representative for the Assistant Superintendent, Office of Special Education and Psychological Services.
Campbell- McLemore, Mesha	Instructional Coach	Assist with the coordination and implementation of the K-12 Comprehensive Researched-based Reading Plan.
Perez de Ayllon, Nidia	Administrative Support	Serves as the Local Education Agency (LEA) representative Administrative for the Assistant Superintendent, Office of Special Education Support and Psychological Services.
Alonso, Nadeshka	Other	Lead, guide and monitor the analysis, design, implementation and execution of the test procedures and test protocols as mandated by district.
Tomasso, Margarita	Psychologist	Assessment and Diagnosis: Completes a thorough psychological evaluation of each child referred to him/her.

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Posey, Renee	School Counselor	Counsel students to fully develop each student's academic, career, personal, and social abilities; consult with a student's parent or guardian and make referrals as appropriate in consultation with the student's parent or guardian; coordinate people and resources in the school, home, and community; with the assistance of school staff interpret standardized test results.

# **Demographic Information**

# Principal start date

Wednesday 8/26/2020, Alberto Iber

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

# **Demographic Data**

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School 6-11
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information	n*

SI Region	Southeast							
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield							
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A							
Year								
Support Tier								
ESSA Status	CS&I							
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For mo	ore information, click here.							

# **Early Warning Systems**

### **Current Year**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 8/26/2020

# Prior Year - As Reported

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

	Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
	Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students identified as retainees:

In dia stan	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# **Prior Year - Updated**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

# **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Campanant		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	0%	63%	61%	0%	59%	57%		
ELA Learning Gains	0%	61%	59%	0%	59%	57%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	57%	54%	0%	55%	51%		
Math Achievement	0%	67%	62%	0%	62%	58%		
Math Learning Gains	0%	63%	59%	0%	60%	56%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	56%	52%	0%	52%	50%		
Science Achievement	0%	56%	56%	0%	53%	53%		
Social Studies Achievement	0%	80%	78%	0%	75%	75%		

	EWS Indie	cators as	Input Ea	rlier in the	e Survey		
Indicator		Grade	Level (pri	or year re	ported)		Total
indicator	6	7	8	9	10	11	iotai
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

# **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	0%	58%	-58%	54%	-54%
	2018	0%	53%	-53%	52%	-52%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	5%	56%	-51%	52%	-47%
	2018	0%	54%	-54%	51%	-51%
Same Grade C	omparison	5%				
Cohort Com	parison	5%				
08	2019	7%	60%	-53%	56%	-49%
	2018	18%	59%	-41%	58%	-40%
Same Grade C	omparison	-11%				
Cohort Com	parison	7%				
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison	-18%			•	
10	2019					
	2018					

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

	MATH												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison							
06	2019	0%	58%	-58%	55%	-55%							
	2018	0%	56%	-56%	52%	-52%							
Same Grade C	omparison	0%											
Cohort Com	parison												
07	2019	6%	53%	-47%	54%	-48%							
	2018	0%	52%	-52%	54%	-54%							
Same Grade C	omparison	6%											
Cohort Com	parison	6%											
08	2019	0%	40%	-40%	46%	-46%							
	2018	8%	38%	-30%	45%	-37%							
Same Grade C	omparison	-8%											
Cohort Com	parison	0%											

SCIENCE											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					
08	2019	0%	43%	-43%	48%	-48%					
	2018	8%	44%	-36%	50%	-42%					
Same Grade C	omparison	-8%									
Cohort Com	parison										

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	73%	-73%	71%	-71%
2018	0%	72%	-72%	71%	-71%
C	ompare	0%			
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					_

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2018					
_		ALGEI	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

# **Subgroup Data**

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
BLK					10						
FRL					9						
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

# ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	2
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	9
Total Components for the Federal Index	5

ESSA Federal Index	
Percent Tested	86%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	3
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	1
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	2
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	2

# **Analysis**

### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The Reading data showed the lowest performance. Percentage of students making learning gains in Reading dropped from 33% to 4%. Percentage of students making learning gains in Mathematics dropped from 39% to 15%. Low student attendance and limited small group instruction has had an effect on student performance. The lack of implementation of best practices of data driven and differentiated instruction was also a contribution to the decrease of the learning gains.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Reading data showed the greatest decline from the prior year, dropping from 39% to 4%. Data driven and differentiated instruction were not implemented. Students' poor attendance also contributed to the decrease.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The percentage of students making learning gains in the State for ELA is 59% compared to EAOP/S3C students' percentage making learning gains for ELA is 4%. There is a gap of 55%.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Mathematics showed the most improvement from 39% to 50%. Small group and individual student instruction was conducted with fidelity.

# Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

- 1. 8th grade students attendance-Attendance below 90%- 20% of 8th grade students demonstrated attendance below 90%
- 2. 8th grade students scoring Level 1 on state assessments- 48% of 8th grade students scored level 1 on state assessments.

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Percentage of students making learning gains in Reading and Mathematics
- 2. Daily Attendance
- 3. Percentage of students tested-90% or higher
- 4. Bi-weekly Progress monitoring
- 5. Increase social-emotional counseling with school psychologists

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

### Areas of Focus:

# #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Increase the number of students making learning gains in Reading. In order to achieve an overall Commendable rating in Reading the percentage of students making learning gains needs to be increased.

**Measurable** To improve the percentage of students making learning gains from 4% to 26% in **Outcome:** Reading.

Outcome: Reading

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Mesha Campbell-McLemore (mecamp2129@yahoo.com)

**Evidence-based** Utilize instructional coaches to share best practices strategies with Reading teachers to implement with students to increase student achievement.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: To provide support to teachers infusing standards-based instruction and resources by instructional coaches. Best practices will be implemented and monitored with in order to increase student achievement.

# **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Execute small-group instruction
- 2. Model instruction
- 3. Utilize co-teaching model
- 4. Conduct Data Chats with teachers and students
- 5. Assist teachers in lesson planning and provide feedback

Person
Responsible
Belinda Baptiste (baptiste\_b@dadeschools.net)

### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Increase the Percentage of students making learning gains in Mathematics. In order to achieve an overall Commendable rating in Mathematics, percentage of students making learning gains need to be increased.

Measurable Outcome:

Utilize instructional coaches to share best practices strategies with Mathematics teachers to implement with students to increase student achievement from 15% to 26%.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Belinda Baptiste (baptiste b@dadeschools.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

To provide support to teachers infusing standards-based instruction and resources by instructional coaches. Best practices will be implemented and monitored with in order to increase student achievement.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

In order to achieve an overall Commendable rating in both in Mathematics, percentage of students making learning gains need to be increased.

### **Action Steps to Implement**

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

# Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Improve percentage of students' daily attendance rate to 75%. School-wide incentive plan will be implemented funded by EESAC. Implement quarterly award assemblies highlighting academic, I-Ready achievements as well as attendance goals.

# Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Conduct parent/student interviews at the home school prior to application submission Facilitate Title I Annual Meeting/Open House

Collaborate the development of School Compacts and PFEP plans with parents' input Facilitate EESAC meetings

Provide Parent Resource Center Communicate with parents regularly Provide student monthly progress report

Provide parents with option of receiving weekly progress report by email Invite parents/stakeholders to Parenty Academy workshops

Invite parents to trainings at Title I Neighborhood Resource Center

# Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.