

Hillsborough County Public Schools

Folsom Elementary School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	17
Positive Culture & Environment	18
Budget to Support Goals	19

Folsom Elementary School

9855 HARNEY RD, Thonotosassa, FL 33592

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Jennifer Penney

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: D (40%) 2017-18: D (39%) 2016-17: D (40%) 2015-16: D (38%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here .	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	17
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	19

Folsom Elementary School

9855 HARNEY RD, Thonotosassa, FL 33592

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Elementary School PK-5	Yes	93%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	83%

School Grades History

Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17
Grade	D	D	D	D

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

We support the Hillsborough County School District's vision of 'Preparing Students for Life' we Folsom Elementary are working to ensure that our students leave our school equipped with the tools they need to graduate on time. Our District's graduation rate goal is 90% by 2020. With that in mind, we have developed the following Vision for our school:

Students will demonstrate academic proficiency and responsible citizenship.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Every student, every day, college bound.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Babanats, Melissa	Principal	<p>Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making</p> <p>Leadership team meetings can include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Principal Assistant Principal / ELP Coordinator Guidance Counselor SAC Chairs School Psychologist/ Behavior team Representative School Social Worker/ Attendance Committee Representative Academic Coaches (Reading, Math, etc. and other specialists on an ad hoc basis) ESE teachers PLC Liaisons for each grade level and/or content area District support (including Area Superintendents, Support Specialist, District Coaches) <p>The Leadership team meets regularly (e.g., bi-weekly/monthly). The purpose of the core Leadership Team is to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Collaborate and problem solve to ensure the implementation of high quality instructional practices utilizing the RtI/MTSS process: at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels. 2. Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels. 3. Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains. 4. Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams. <p>A collaborative culture of shared responsibility is established through Leadership Team Meetings and PLCs.</p> <p>Research consistently bears out that the school leader is the most important element in teachers choosing to go to, and then remain at, a school site. To that end, HCPS works to ensure that principals are selected and placed with great care. HCPS works to develop strong leaders through the Hillsborough Principal Pipeline. As stated above, The Hillsborough Principal Pipeline offers unique and valuable opportunities for teachers to experience and prepare for a school leadership position by helping them gain the skills, experience and confidence that are crucial to becoming a high-performing leader. Pursuing school leadership provides the opportunity to make a direct impact on school culture and positively influence instructional quality, which will result in improved outcomes and higher long-term success rates for students in Hillsborough County.</p> <p>HCPS' vision for instructional improvement is to have a highly effective teacher in every classroom and a highly effective principal in every school. This vision is founded in the research-based tenet that teacher quality has a larger impact on student achievement than any other schooling factor. Further research demonstrates the impact of a principal's leadership on outcomes for students and teachers. Over the past decade, HCPS has developed a Human Capital</p>

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		<p>Management System (HCMS) to further the district's vision of instructional improvement.</p> <p>Several Teacher Interview Days and Recruitment Fairs occur throughout the summer months, under the oversight of Human Resources. All applicants must be pre-approved by the District to attend these events. Certified teachers with an Effective or Highly Effective performance evaluation, teaching in field, at our highest needs schools are eligible for salary differential. This program was established with the purpose of helping to create stability and equity in harder to staff schools, recruiting and retaining highly qualified instructional staff, increasing student achievement, and promoting a culture of ongoing professional development.</p> <p>Compensation is grounded in a performance-based salary structure that explicitly ties salary increases to sustained high-level performance, while career ladder positions, such as Instructional Mentors, are available to effective educators. The base teacher salary schedule is designed to provide substantial increases in compensation to teachers who have demonstrated positive student impact.</p> <p>Once hired, teacher induction and teacher retention are supported through fully-released instructional mentors assigned to every new educator for up to two years to increase effectiveness and decrease recidivism. Educator effectiveness ratings that differentiate educator quality are used to assist principals in determining teachers' transfer options and promotion into leadership positions. HCPS has linked PD opportunities to HR functions so that school-level and district-level training's are developed and deployed in response to areas of need identified by educator evaluations. Training course completions can also be tracked by HR Partners to inform human capital decisions.</p>

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 7/1/2020, Jennifer Penney

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

1

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

6

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: D (40%) 2017-18: D (39%) 2016-17: D (40%) 2015-16: D (38%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here .	

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	67	68	83	64	54	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	398
Attendance below 90 percent	17	16	14	11	10	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	86
One or more suspensions	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	21	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	3	3	7	11	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 10/29/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	91	69	65	88	81	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	477
Attendance below 90 percent	31	17	17	26	17	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127
One or more suspensions	0	2	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	38	42	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	38	42	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	1	1	0	15	11	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	91	69	65	88	81	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	477
Attendance below 90 percent	31	17	17	26	17	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127
One or more suspensions	0	2	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	38	42	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	38	42	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	1	1	0	15	11	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	36%	52%	57%	38%	52%	55%
ELA Learning Gains	56%	55%	58%	44%	55%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	62%	50%	53%	40%	51%	52%
Math Achievement	39%	54%	63%	29%	53%	61%
Math Learning Gains	39%	57%	62%	48%	54%	61%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	24%	46%	51%	45%	46%	51%
Science Achievement	25%	50%	53%	39%	48%	51%

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey							
Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)						Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2019	33%	52%	-19%	58%	-25%
	2018	33%	53%	-20%	57%	-24%
Same Grade Comparison		0%				
Cohort Comparison						
04	2019	40%	55%	-15%	58%	-18%
	2018	23%	55%	-32%	56%	-33%
Same Grade Comparison		17%				
Cohort Comparison		7%				
05	2019	27%	54%	-27%	56%	-29%
	2018	34%	51%	-17%	55%	-21%
Same Grade Comparison		-7%				
Cohort Comparison		4%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2019	46%	54%	-8%	62%	-16%
	2018	48%	55%	-7%	62%	-14%
Same Grade Comparison		-2%				
Cohort Comparison						
04	2019	33%	57%	-24%	64%	-31%
	2018	24%	57%	-33%	62%	-38%
Same Grade Comparison		9%				
Cohort Comparison		-15%				
05	2019	30%	54%	-24%	60%	-30%
	2018	52%	54%	-2%	61%	-9%
Same Grade Comparison		-22%				
Cohort Comparison		6%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2019	23%	51%	-28%	53%	-30%

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
	2018	30%	52%	-22%	55%	-25%
Same Grade Comparison		-7%				
Cohort Comparison						

Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	16	50	56	26	35	30	11				
ELL	26	37		36	32	18	17				
BLK	27	53	61	32	40	32	19				
HSP	39	46		39	31		23				
MUL	29	80		29	50						
WHT	46	67		51	37		47				
FRL	36	56	64	39	36	24	24				
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	13	13	13	15	43	31					
ELL	24	32		48	42						
BLK	20	27	23	26	42	36	19				
HSP	43	51	55	53	61	45	44				
MUL	40			50							
WHT	40	33		58	70						
FRL	31	33	30	41	54	36	31				
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	9	27	20	9	27		27				
ELL	23	39	38	18	48						
BLK	31	37	35	19	34	27	27				
HSP	38	40	46	30	52	70	20				
MUL	23			38							
WHT	55	62		42	69		71				
FRL	37	43	35	27	46	45	40				

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I

ESSA Federal Index	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	43
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	59
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	340
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	99%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	35
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	32
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	38
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	41
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO

Hispanic Students	
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	47
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	50
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	42
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The data component that showed the lowest performance was Math bottom quartile at 24% proficiency

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The data component that had the greatest decline from the prior year was Math learning gains declining by 16%.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Science reflects the greatest gap compared to the state average. The contributing factors include the absence of a certified teacher the most of the year as well as students' inability to read on-level non-fiction text.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The data component that showed the most improvement was ELA lowest 25th percentile. The actions taken to address this area were instructional planning that positively affected standard based instruction; also the integration of weekly student data analysis.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

The number of students scoring a level 1 on statewide assessment is a n area of concern.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. ELA CORE
2. MATH CORE
3. BOTTOM QUARTILE
4. SCIENCE CORE
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Teachers are struggling with understanding standards based instruction developing and implementation. Many of our students come to us with deficiencies due to trauma. Teachers struggle to motivate and engage students because of this factor.

Measurable Outcome: Our goal is to achieve 54% or higher in proficiency for ELA and Math statewide assessment.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome: Deena Ham (deena.ham@sdhc.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based Strategy: We will use instructional coaching and professional learning communities as the strategies to monitor our area of focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: This strategy was chosen to reflect the needs of students and demonstrate the use of instructional systems that drive student progression.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Standard based instruction, supported by expertise of content coaches. Coaches will also focus on providing and modeling additional strategies to assist teachers with Black, SWD, and ELL students.
 2. Authentic student engagement. Culturally and relevant text will also be used for instruction with Black, SWD, and ELL students.
 3. Professional Development. Addition professional development will be offered and provided that will target specific strategies and instructional practices to increase students achievement in Black, SWD, and ELL sub groups.
 4. Differentiated structures , including assistance from aides to work with small groups/individuals targeted for additional support. Students in the Black, SWD, and ELL subgroups will be provided assistance from aides and resource teachers to increase student achievement.
 5. Assessment alignment.
- * All action steps will be based on data collected and heavy emphasis on the data collected for our Black, SWD, and ELL students.

Person Responsible [no one identified]

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

We will address the remaining school-wide improvement priorities through our systems of interventions and daily one hour collaborative planning sessions.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Folsom Elementary School, staff, students, parents, and the community will work together to develop skills and habits for personal and academic success. Folsom promotes positive school engagement through its positioning of guidance counseling and mentoring by members of the school community with advanced knowledge of how to support students' academic performance and emotional well-being. This effort is designed to increase school connectivity or a sense of belonging among our students. Student and parent surveys are disseminated for further needs assessment.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA				\$194,655.15
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$65,000.00
			<i>Notes: Salary-RTI Teacher will be responsible for Identify students who are in need of differentiated support and put a plan in place for each student going through the RTI process. They will also meet to reassess student data monthly to identify if interventions from the toolkit are working and develop next steps.</i>			
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$58,200.00
			<i>Notes: Salary-Reading Resource will complete grade level planning sessions to attend on a biweekly basis. Weekly Meetings with Academic Coaches and Administration to ensure integrity of the planning process and to support weekly implementation of instruction and assessment. Resource will also review weekly student achievement data to adjust instruction and support.</i>			
	5100	210-Retirement	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$4,059.21
			<i>Notes: RTI Teacher Retirement 8.47%</i>			
	5100	220-Social Security	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$2,971.32

			<i>Notes: RTI Teacher FICA 6.2%</i>			
5100	220-Social Security	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$694.91	
			<i>Notes: RTI Teacher Medicare 1.45%</i>			
5100	240-Workers Compensation	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$244.41	
			<i>Notes: RTI Teacher Workers Comp .51%</i>			
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$9,105.66	
			<i>Notes: RTI Teacher Health Ins 19%</i>			
5100	210-Retirement	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$3,634.56	
			<i>Notes: Reading Resource Retirement 8.47%</i>			
5100	220-Social Security	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$622.21	
			<i>Notes: Reading Resource Medicare 1.45%</i>			
5100	220-Social Security	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$2,660.48	
			<i>Notes: Reading Resource FICA 6.2%</i>			
5100	240-Workers Compensation	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$218.55	
			<i>Notes: Reading Resource Workers Comp .51%</i>			
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$8,153.08	
			<i>Notes: Reading Resource Health Ins 19%</i>			
6400	390-Other Purchased Services	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG		\$4,684.78	
			<i>Notes: Substitutes for planning sessions/professional development. 30 teachers will participate in planning session on identified scheduled days. Their will be 1 day per semester (November and February).</i>			
6300	120-Classroom Teachers	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG		\$8,428.07	
			<i>Notes: A team consisting of reading coach, reading resource, 2 teachers to plan for utilizing Florida ELA standards to access content in Florida Science and 2 teachers to plan for Florida Social Studies standards. This team will study standards, gather resources, and develop a scope and sequence for grades 2-5 for ELA/science/social studies. The team will determine appropriate topics, standards, community based problem, and field work for grades 2-5.</i>			
5100	510-Supplies	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG		\$10,040.31	
			<i>Notes: Teachers will need access to a variety of materials in order to develop high quality lessons and students to complete high quality work. These materials will include binders, binder clips, paper clips, copy paper, chart paper, pencils, pens, crayons, expo markers, chart paper markers, post it notes, index cards, folders, highlighters, page protectors, tab dividers, notebook paper, rulers, colored pencils, permanent markers, vinyl, easers, replacement blades and mates for dia-cut machine, card stock, construction papers, lamination rolls/sheets, toner, ink, rolls of poster paper, rolls of butcher paper, spiral notebooks, tape. The estimated cost is \$10,000.00, these supplies will impact 60 instructional units.</i>			

	5100	519-Technology-Related Supplies	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG		\$13,000.00
			<i>Notes: Purchase materials/digital programs to support instructional gaps. Scholastic News K-5Scholastics News (K-5) Engaging scholars in high level robust text across content disciplines. Scholars will have access to digital magazine as well hard copies that can be used for reading during home use. This magazine subscription is available in multiple level text and will encourage scholars to make real world connections across the discipline.</i>			
	6400	120-Classroom Teachers	1471 - Folsom Elementary School	UniSIG		\$2,937.60
			<i>Notes: The book studies will take place during faculty meetings with follow up activities. The administrators and resource teachers will lead the PD trainings. Data Driven Book 30 at 20.00 = 600, Game Changer 30 at \$16.86=505.20, Creating a Culture of Reflective Practice 30 at \$22.75 = 682.50, and Reading Nonfiction Notice and Note Stances, Signoposts, and Strategies 30 at 38.33=1149.90 = 2937.60</i>			
					Total:	\$200,806.25