

2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Positive Culture & Environment	18
Budget to Support Goals	19

Lockhart Elementary Magnet School

3719 N 17TH ST, Tampa, FL 33610

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Corey Jackson

Start Date for this Principal: 6/22/2020

	I
2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students White Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: D (36%) 2017-18: C (45%) 2016-17: C (53%) 2015-16: D (36%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	formation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	For more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	19

Lockhart Elementary Magnet School

3719 N 17TH ST, Tampa, FL 33610

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Gr (per MSID F		2019-20 Title I Schoo	Disadvant	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	chool	Yes		96%
Primary Servic (per MSID F	-ile)	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		94%
School Grades Histo	ry			
Year Grade	2019-20 D	2018-19 D	2017-18 C	2016-17 C
School Board Appro	val			

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Provide Rigorous Instruction for Disciplined Excellence

Provide the school's vision statement.

To prepare students to be critical thinkers, problem solvers, and responsible members of society

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name Title Job Duties and Responsibilities

Garcia, Gilda Principal Steer the school towards its goals of increasing student achievement.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Monday 6/22/2020, Corey Jackson

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

3

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

5

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

20

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes

2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with a asterisk)	An Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students' Hispanic Students White Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
	2018-19: D (36%)
	2017-18: C (45%)
School Grades History	2016-17: C (53%)
	2015-16: D (36%)
2019-20 School Improvement (S	SI) Information*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					Gr	ade	Le	ve	I					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	33	57	57	49	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
Attendance below 90 percent	9	9	13	13	11	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68
One or more suspensions	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	2	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	2	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	I				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 10/29/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indiaator					Gı	ade	Le	vel						Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	76	58	76	67	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	342
Attendance below 90 percent	0	12	10	10	12	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
One or more suspensions	10	1	4	6	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	6	37	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gra	ade	Le	vel		Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total										
Students with two or more indicators	1	1	3	0	12	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26										

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator			Grade Level													
indicator	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	76	58	76	67	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	342
Attendance below 90 percent	0	12	10	10	12	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
One or more suspensions	10	1	4	6	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	6	37	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gra	ade	Le	vel					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	1	1	3	0	12	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantan						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	29%	52%	57%	40%	52%	55%		
ELA Learning Gains	33%	55%	58%	51%	55%	57%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	47%	50%	53%	60%	51%	52%		
Math Achievement	30%	54%	63%	48%	53%	61%		
Math Learning Gains	40%	57%	62%	69%	54%	61%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	42%	46%	51%	68%	46%	51%		
Science Achievement	28%	50%	53%	38%	48%	51%		

	EWS Indi	cators as	Input Ea	rlier in th	e Survey		
Indicator		Grade	Level (prid	or year rej	oorted)		Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	Totai
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	23%	52%	-29%	58%	-35%
	2018	33%	53%	-20%	57%	-24%
Same Grade C	omparison	-10%			· · ·	
Cohort Corr	nparison					
04	2019	24%	55%	-31%	58%	-34%
	2018	51%	55%	-4%	56%	-5%
Same Grade C	omparison	-27%				
Cohort Corr	parison	-9%				
05	2019	38%	54%	-16%	56%	-18%
	2018	35%	51%	-16%	55%	-20%
Same Grade C	omparison	3%			•	
Cohort Corr	parison	-13%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	25%	54%	-29%	62%	-37%
	2018	45%	55%	-10%	62%	-17%
Same Grade C	omparison	-20%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	33%	57%	-24%	64%	-31%
	2018	48%	57%	-9%	62%	-14%
Same Grade C	omparison	-15%				
Cohort Com	parison	-12%				
05	2019	31%	54%	-23%	60%	-29%
	2018	30%	54%	-24%	61%	-31%
Same Grade C	omparison	1%				
Cohort Com	parison	-17%				

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019	26%	51%	-25%	53%	-27%
	2018	30%	52%	-22%	55%	-25%
Same Grade C	omparison	-4%				
Cohort Com	parison					

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	12	37	50	12	28	36	11				
ELL	47			41							
BLK	23	30	44	26	39	43	27				
HSP	42	41		46	53		45				
FRL	26	31	47	27	37	38	25				
		2018	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	17	34	40	23	49	47	17				
ELL	73			73							
BLK	35	50	52	35	43	46	17				
HSP	70	69		74	50						
FRL	38	52	52	40	45	50	26				
		2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	25	40	47	25	47	61	10				
ELL	57	63		62	88		30				
BLK	28	43	59	40	64	71	26				
HSP	62	78		65	78						
WHT	77			85							
FRL	36	51	60	44	68	68	36				

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	38
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	57
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	306
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	100%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	27

Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	1
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	48
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	33
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	-
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	45
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	37
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

ELA Achievement dropped from 41% to 29% Math Achievement dropped from 42% to 30%

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

ELA and Math Achievement dropped had a 12% drop. Insufficient # of resource teachers.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Greatest overall differences were in ELA, specifically 3rd grade ELA 35% difference 4th Grade ELA 34% 3rd grade experienced the greatest teacher turnover within school year.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

5th grade ELA had a 3% increase. Teacher change was made.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Attendance and Suspensions

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Instructional Infastructure
- 2. Climate and Culture

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction					
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Our ELA scores decreased by 12% in proficiency Our Math scores decreased by 12% in proficiency Our Science scores decreased by 3% Our African American, Economically Disadvantaged and SWD subgroups scored below 41% Teachers are not planning rigorous and culturally responsive lessons that are grade appropriate and aligned to standards with clearly stated learning progressions and clearly defined proficiency.				
Measurable Outcome:	Our goal is to reach 54% proficiency and growth in Reading, Math and Science for the 20-21 school year. The Learning Growth of students in the African American, Economically Disadvantaged and SWD subgroups will increase to 42%. These subgroups will be the focus of conversation during professional learning communities. Using deep dives of data, differentiated instruction will be provided to meet the needs of all students. By Oct 2020 50% Teachers of returning teachers will effectively plan rigorous lessons that are grade appropriate and aligned to standards as evidenced by learning walk data capturing. By Nov 2020. the remaining 50% (or 1st year teachers) will will effectively plan rigorous lessons that are grade appropriate and aligned to standards as evidenced by learning walk data capturing. as evidenced by students are more interactive in the lesson, students are leading the discussion and are eager to continue their learning. By Dec 2020 75 % Teachers all teachers will be effectively plan rigorous lessons that are grade appropriate and are eager to continue their learning. By Jan. 2021 100% Teachers all teachers will be effectively plan rigorous lessons that are grade appropriate and aligned to standards as evidenced by students being more interactive in the lesson, students are leading the lesson, students are leading the discussion and are eager to continue their learning. By Jan. 2021 100% Teachers all teachers will be effectively plan rigorous lessons that are grade appropriate and aligned to standards as evidenced by students being more interactive in the lesson, students are leading the discussion and are eager to continue their learning.				
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Gilda Garcia (gilda.garcia@hcps.net)				
Evidence- based Strategy:	During planning time or PLC time, which is built into the teacher's instructional day, Resource Teachers will provide coaching that enhance the teachers ability to design both face to face and virtual instruction using culturally responsive strategies that are engaging and rigorously aligned to the standards. This will be accomplished through identifying and providing professional development based on teacher needs with a variety the following: Standards Based Instruction Teacher Cultural Responsiveness Hattie's Visible Learning-PD Motivating Instructional Technology subscriptions such as iReady, IXL,Really Great Reading and Flocabulary and PLTW Lab Enhanced Math Professional Development Discovery Education STEM Connect and STEM Connect PD Strong alignment between MTSS and student needs through Early Warning indicators and behavior tracker School wide Social Emotional Culture Plan based on Foundations including Restorative and Trauma Sensitive practices. Restorative Practice embedded in School Culture Plan				

Rationale	In order to provide students opportunities to engage in grade appropriate standards-based tasks (whether in a brick and mortar setting or virtual setting), teachers will be supported through a structure for professional learning communities focused on effective teaching methods for learning.
for Evidence- based	John Hattie's Effect Size on Professional Development: 0.62
Strategy:	A rigorous curriculum is standards based and text first and includes units designed for students to achieve master of essential grade level skills and knowledge, and clearly state scopes and sequences. The curriculum is customized to create a rich learning experience to deeply engage and challenge students while meeting the needs of diverse learners

Action Steps to Implement

Teachers need exposure to instructional delivery methods in a technology rich environment that is exciting and fun for students in both brick and mortar or virtual learning .To do so the follow actions must take place: Establish PLC Structures and Norms

1.Design the infrastructure of the school to ensure common planning times for collaboration with Resource Teachers. Clearly communicate the PLC participant expectations. Complete steps to ensure SIP waiver to help facilitate implementation through teacher buy in.

2. Leadership Team will create a protocol to follow the ensures that

3. Will provide coaching in all content areas that enhances the teachers ability to design instruction using effective strategies, and grade appropriate content during planning or PLC time which is built into the teacher's instructional day.

4. The Leadership Team will design a protocol to follow during coaching cycle that includes effective feecback and monitoring that teacher learning is actioned and evident in their classrooms. They will also create a Classroom walkthrough that captures the level of Strong Instruction, Deep Engagement, High Expectations and Grade Level appropriate assignments.

Monitored by: Admin review all protocols used and attendance at PLCs Person responsible: Principal

Person

Gilda Garcia (gilda.garcia@hcps.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

1. MTSS Resource and School Psychologist will monitor Academic Progress, Attendance and Behavior. School leaders monitor student achievement data (e.g. diagnostic, benchmark and summative) and analyze them against end--of--year goals. Student specific supports and interventions are monitored for effectiveness.

2 .AIS uses data to identify effective instructional strategies and helps teachers make adjustments to their classroom practice.

3. Student Success Coach (Para) will monitor track minor incidences and track all Early Warning Indicators. He will meet with teachers at PLCs and MTSS mtgs to design individual behavioral and academic support plans.

4. Substitutes for teachers to attend Math and Science Learning Academies

5. Student Success Coach (Para) will monitor track minor incidences and track all Early Warning Indicators

6. Lead teacher and Writing Resource teach will support team planning in Science and Writing.
Reading Coach will support teacher planning, provide coaching cycles, and help with data analysis and MTSS group formation. Additional duties may be added to ensure program fideltiy.
6. School team will attend the Ron Clark Academy in Atlanta to facilitate back at school, focusing on climate and culture of learning and high expectations.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

To ensure efficient/systematic allocation and use of resources, this school uses input from stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies. This includes input from stakeholder groups such as early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners. At the school level, the PSLT/ILT utilizes an Rtl/MTSS framework to improve learning for all. Resources allocated support a continuum of academic and behavioral supports, ensuring all students have fluid access to instruction (varying intensity levels matched to most appropriate available resources).

An annual inventory of resource materials, staff, and funds allocated determines necessary resource materials and personnel available to meet student needs through a resource map.

To ensure support systems, small group, and individual needs are met, the PSLT: Reviews school-wide data on an ongoing basis, identifying instructional needs across the school; Supports the implementation of high quality instructional practices during core and intervention blocks; Reviews progress monitoring data of core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains; Communicates school-wide data to PLCs, facilitating problem solving within the content/grade level teams.

The PSLT meets regularly (bi-weekly/monthly). The PSLT meeting calendar is structured around the district's assessment calendar, ensuring opportunities to review assessments, outcome data, and engage in the problem solving process for appropriate data-driven decisions. Team members include administrator(s), guidance counselor(s), school psychologist, ESE specialist, content area coaches/specialists, PLC teacher liaisons, others as needed

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	\$151,349.01			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	6400	130-Other Certified Instructional Personnel	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$54,200.00
			Notes: Salary Reading Coach To continue to model best practices with staff and plan rigorous engaging lessons. To pull intervention groups based on need for approximately 20 students per grade level K-5 To provide job embedded PD throughout the year for 45 teachers ing grades Pre-5 at each grade level K-5) and write a strong instructional program for the summer instructional program for K-4.			
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$51,000.05
	Notes: Salary Writing Resource Teacher To continue to model best practices with staff and plan rigorous engaging lessons with strong focus on problem solving. To pull intervention groups based on need for approximately20 students per grade level k-5 To provide job embedded PD throughout the year and write a strong instructional program for the summe K-4					o pull intervention To provide job
	5100	510-Supplies	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG		\$1,094.92
			Notes: Purchase consumable supplies to be used during planning to support academic and cultural goals. Supplies to be used with 45 teachers K-5 and ESE, along with Resource Staff for activities that improve student academic progress			
			0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	Title, I Part A	1.0	\$0.00
			Notes: Secure AIS(Academic Interven Tier 3 interventions. Progress monitor analyze data with teachers and leader Readers in Grades K, 1 and 2. These to build capacity in students that reduc process and implementation including	the RTI data provided is to create and execut interventions are focus ce 3rd grade failures. T	including a e interventi ed on Four o check fid	ttendance. To ons for Tier 3 ndational Strategies elity of the RTI
			0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
		Notes: Improve Administrative Coaching To improve Administrative Coaching Administration attends Student Engagement Conference 1 participants to the ASCD Empower 21 Confernece in Washington DC March 26-29 Conference				
	6300	120-Classroom Teachers	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG		\$7,000.00

		Notes: PD and Planning for teachers opportunities beyond the contracted of planning that results in increases stud engage in additional collaborative plan sessions for 2 hours per week for 36 v	lay for 45 k-5 and ESE lent engagement in rea nning sessions and Pro	teachers in effe	ctive lesson Teachers will
		0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School			\$0.00
		Notes: Upgrading Technology to supp engagement in content areas by prov screens in their room, and in the Lab and access digital learning and up to	iding all 450 students i and Media Center whe	n grade K-5 with	large Interactive
		0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School			\$0.00
		Notes: Extended Learning for student science, and writing for 80 students, g support based on formative results. 20	grades 2-5, for 50 hours	s. Students will l	be indentified for
		0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School			\$0.00
		Notes: Providing 1:1 Devices to support computers to further our 1:1 device go and charging carts. Laptops will be us	oals including headpho	nes and classro	
		0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School			\$0.00
		Notes: Parent & Family Engagement , activities will be conducted to provide and build the capacity of all families a and family involvement activities to im performance. Please refer to the Pare	the communication an nd staff in planning and prove student academ	d support neces d implementing o iic achievement	sary to assist effective parent and school
		0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School			\$0.00
		Notes: Enhancing Home and School of based Instructional program subscript Grades 2-5, Flocabulary for all grades classroom assigned lessons in readin resources within their classrooms and	tions (IXL for all grades s) that parents can use g, math, and science.	s, Really Great F to work with the Students will als	Reading for ir students on
		0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School			\$0.00
		Notes: Enhancing Home and School of school and 2 district resource teacher weeks on the use of Web based Instri- last 1.5 hours each for 6 hours total. A invited to partipate in each session.	rs will provide 4 Parent uctional Programs for h	Workshops duri nome access. Ea	ing the first 9 ach workshop will
		0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School			\$0.00
		Notes: Building Capacity within the sc engagement Provide 32 planning hou content areas, to plan and implement and Student Engagement. Teachers v PBIS Foundations, for example creati reflecting school-wide expectations, e	rs each to 10 teachers Capacity Building activ will ensure that teacher ing incentive programs,	, representative vities in the area rs can understar	of all grades and School Culture ad and implement
6400	210-Retirement	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$4,590.76
		Notes: Retirement 8.47% Reading Co plan rigorous engaging lessons. To pu 20 students per grade level K-5 To pr teachers ing grades Pre-5 at each gra for the summer instructional program	ull intervention groups a ovide job embedded P ade level K-5) and write	based on need t D throughout the	for approximately e year for 45

6400	220-Social Security	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$3,360.41
		Notes: FICA 6.2% Reading Coach To rigorous engaging lessons. To pull into students per grade level K-5 To provio teachers ing grades Pre-5 at each gra for the summer instructional program	ervention groups based le job embedded PD th de level K-5) and write	d on need for a proughout the y	pproximately 20 rear for 45
6400	220-Social Security	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$785.90
		Notes: Medicare 1.45% Reading Coad plan rigorous engaging lessons. To pu 20 students per grade level K-5 To pro teachers ing grades Pre-5 at each gra for the summer instructional program	Ill intervention groups l ovide job embedded Pl de level K-5) and write	based on need D throughout th	for approximately ne year for 45
6400	240-Workers Compensation	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$276.42
		Notes: Workers Comp 1.45% Reading and plan rigorous engaging lessons. T approximately 20 students per grade l year for 45 teachers ing grades Pre-5 instructional program for the summer	o pull intervention gro evel K-5 To provide jol at each grade level K-	ups based on r b embedded Pl 5) and write a s	need for D throughout the
6400	231-Health and Hospitalization	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$10,298.04
		Notes: Health Ins 19% Reading Coach plan rigorous engaging lessons. To pu 20 students per grade level K-5 To pro teachers ing grades Pre-5 at each gra for the summer instructional program	Ill intervention groups l ovide job embedded Pl de level K-5) and write	based on need D throughout th	for approximately ne year for 45
5100	210-Retirement	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$4,319.70
		Notes: Retirement 8.47% Writing Rest staff and plan rigorous engaging less intervention groups based on need for provide job embedded PD throughout the summer K-4	ons with strong focus of approximately20 stud	n problem solv ents per grade	ing. To pull level k-5 To
5100	220-Social Security	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$3,162.00
		Notes: FICA 6.2% Writing Resource T and plan rigorous engaging lessons w groups based on need for approximate embedded PD throughout the year an K-4	ith strong focus on pro ely20 students per grad	blem solving. T de level k-5 To	To pull intervention provide job
5100	220-Social Security	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$739.50
		Notes: Medicare 1.45% Writing Resol staff and plan rigorous engaging less intervention groups based on need for provide job embedded PD throughout the summer K-4	ons with strong focus of approximately20 stud	n problem solv ents per grade	ing. To pull level k-5 To
5100	240-Workers Compensation	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$260.10
		Notes: Workers Comp .51% Writing R with staff and plan rigorous engaging intervention groups based on need for provide job embedded PD throughout the summer K-4	lessons with strong foc approximately20 stud	us on problem ents per grade	solving. To pull level k-5 To

		Notes: PD and Planning Workers Com planning opportunities beyond the com lesson planning that results in increas will engage in additional collaborative Community sessions for 2 hours per w	tracted day for 45 k-5 es student engagemer planning sessions and	and ESE tea nt in reading a	chers in effective and math. Teachers
6300	240-Workers Compensation	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG		\$35.7
		Notes: PD and Planning Medicare 1.4 planning opportunities beyond the com lesson planning that results in increase will engage in additional collaborative Community sessions for 2 hours per w	ntracted day for 45 k-5 es student engagemer planning sessions and	and ESE tea nt in reading a	chers in effective and math. Teacher
6300	220-Social Security	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG		\$101.5
		Notes: PD and Planning FICA 6.2% for opportunities beyond the contracted d planning that results in increases stud engage in additional collaborative plar sessions for 2 hours per week for 36 v	ay for 45 k-5 and ESE lent engagement in rea nning sessions and Pro	teachers in e ading and ma	effective lesson th. Teachers will
6300	220-Social Security	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG		\$434.0
		Notes: Health Ins 19% Writing Resour staff and plan rigorous engaging less intervention groups based on need for provide job embedded PD throughout the summer K-4	ons with strong focus of approximately20 stud	n problem so lents per grad	olving. To pull de level k-5 To
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	0962 - Lockhart Elementary Magnet School	UniSIG	1.0	\$9,690.0