Hillsborough County Public Schools

Sulphur Springs K 8 School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
ruipose and Oddine of the Sir	*
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	16
Positive Culture & Environment	23
Budget to Support Goals	24

Sulphur Springs K 8 School

8412 N 13TH ST, Tampa, FL 33604

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Marc Gaillard

Start Date for this Principal: 5/26/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: D (32%) 2017-18: F (30%) 2016-17: D (32%) 2015-16: D (35%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Infe	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	<u>Lucinda Thompson</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
•	
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	24

Sulphur Springs K 8 School

8412 N 13TH ST, Tampa, FL 33604

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Gr (per MSID F		2019-20 Title I School	Disadvan	D Economically taged (FRL) Rate rted on Survey 3)			
Combination S KG-8	School		98%				
Primary Servio (per MSID F	• •	Charter School	(Report	9 Minority Rate ed as Non-white I Survey 2)			
K-12 General E	ducation	No		95%			
School Grades Histo	ry						
Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17			
Grade	D	D	F	D			

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Vision Statement

Building a Community for Success

Mission Statement

Sulphur Springs K-8 Community School will provide a Nurturing Learning Environment for Academic Excellence.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Sulphur Springs K-8 Community School is committed to the success of every child, every day.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Gaillard, Marc	Principal	Responsible for the overall administration of Instructional programs and campus operations. Additional Team Members Include: Amy Metzler, APEI Brian Williams, APC Ayana Etienne, Daphne Wong Reading Coaches Lisette Perdomo, Writing Resource Jenny Hunkins, Psychologist Ermide Wood, Shakis Farmer, Kelly Hagan, Guidance Kim Hunter, Math Resource Michael Wiggs, Math Resource
Ruiz, Sabrina	Assistant Principal	Responsible for Assisting the Principal in overall administration of Instructional programs and campus operations.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 5/26/2020, Marc Gaillard

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active							
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-8							
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education							
2019-20 Title I School	Yes							
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%							
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*							
School Grades History	2018-19: D (32%) 2017-18: F (30%) 2016-17: D (32%) 2015-16: D (35%)							
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	formation*							
SI Region	Central							
Regional Executive Director	<u>Lucinda Thompson</u>							
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A							
Year								
Support Tier								
ESSA Status	CS&I							
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Cod	le. For more information, click here.							

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					(3rad	le Le	evel						Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	74	69	63	74	88	70	85	54	71	0	0	0	0	648
Attendance below 90 percent	32	27	23	26	26	35	53	30	48	0	0	0	0	300
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	2	0	10	3	5	0	0	0	0	20
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	23	34	37	25	40	0	0	0	0	159
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	23	31	42	30	46	0	0	0	0	172

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	3	3	0	0	0	0	14

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 10/29/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

ludianta.	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	17%	57%	61%	23%	60%	57%		
ELA Learning Gains	38%	56%	59%	43%	60%	57%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	48%	52%	54%	54%	53%	51%		

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
Math Achievement	17%	55%	62%	17%	60%	58%		
Math Learning Gains	38%	57%	59%	26%	60%	56%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	37%	49%	52%	41%	54%	50%		
Science Achievement	22%	50%	56%	20%	54%	53%		
Social Studies Achievement	48%	77%	78%	0%	78%	75%		

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey											
Indicator		Tatal									
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total	
(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)											

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	14%	52%	-38%	58%	-44%
	2018	16%	53%	-37%	57%	-41%
Same Grade C	omparison	-2%	-2%			
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	18%	55%	-37%	58%	-40%
	2018	26%	55%	-29%	56%	-30%
Same Grade C	omparison	-8%				
Cohort Com	parison	2%				
05	2019	26%	54%	-28%	56%	-30%
	2018	14%	51%	-37%	55%	-41%
Same Grade C	omparison	12%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
06	2019	7%	53%	-46%	54%	-47%
	2018	13%	52%	-39%	52%	-39%
Same Grade C	omparison	-6%				
Cohort Com	parison	-7%				
07	2019	14%	54%	-40%	52%	-38%
	2018	20%	52%	-32%	51%	-31%
Same Grade C	omparison	-6%				
Cohort Com	parison	1%				
08	2019	15%	53%	-38%	56%	-41%
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison	-5%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	17%	54%	-37%	62%	-45%
	2018	15%	55%	-40%	62%	-47%
Same Grade C	omparison	2%			•	
Cohort Com	nparison					
04	2019	24%	57%	-33%	64%	-40%
	2018	24%	57%	-33%	62%	-38%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%			'	
Cohort Com	nparison	9%				
05	2019	19%	54%	-35%	60%	-41%
	2018	16%	54%	-38%	61%	-45%
Same Grade C	omparison	3%			'	
Cohort Com	•	-5%				
06	2019	13%	49%	-36%	55%	-42%
	2018	6%	48%	-42%	52%	-46%
Same Grade C	omparison	7%			'	
Cohort Com	•	-3%				
07	2019	18%	62%	-44%	54%	-36%
	2018	18%	61%	-43%	54%	-36%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com		12%				
08	2019	2%	31%	-29%	46%	-44%
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	-16%				

	SCIENCE												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison							
05	2019	25%	51%	-26%	53%	-28%							
	2018	7%	52%	-45%	55%	-48%							
Same Grade C	omparison	18%											
Cohort Com	parison												
80	2019	13%	47%	-34%	48%	-35%							
	2018												
Cohort Comparison		6%											

	BIOLOGY EOC												
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State								
2019													
2018													

		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	47%	67%	-20%	71%	-24%
2018	53%	65%	-12%	71%	-18%
Co	ompare	-6%			
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
·		ALGEE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	23%	63%	-40%	61%	-38%
2018					
		GEOME	TRY EOC	·	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	8	33	40	3	20	16	5				
ELL	9	32	30	6	34	45	16				
BLK	14	39	54	14	33	31	21	43			
HSP	23	37	43	21	44	55	24	60			
MUL	23			23							
WHT	15	19		26	47						
FRL	17	38	49	17	38	37	21	48	23		
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	3	22	32	3	9	8					
ELL	12	31		12	26	9	18				
BLK	16	38	43	16	30	30	2	50			
HSP	23	36		17	25	6	11	56			
MUL	22	47		33	33						
WHT	23	43		24	38						
FRL	19	38	45	17	29	24	8	57			

	2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	5	36	50	2	23	31					
ELL	16	43		16	37						
BLK	20	42	49	14	22	38	16				
HSP	21	32		19	30		17				
MUL	38			44							
WHT	47	69		29	33						
FRL	23	43	53	17	25	40	21				

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	32
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	7
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	27
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	315
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	98%

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	16
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	2

English Language Learners				
Federal Index - English Language Learners	25			
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	2			

Native American Students				
Federal Index - Native American Students				
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			

Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
	U
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	32
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	37
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	23
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	1
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	27
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	1
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	32
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

All seven subgroups performed at less than 41%.

ELA and math achievement were both the lowest at 17%.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The greatest decline in achievement was social studies, with a decrease of 9% from 2018 to 2019.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The greatest gap when compared to the state was overall math achievement. The gap was 45%: SSK8 was 17% and the state was 62%.

The ELA achievement gap was very similar to the math gap. The gap was 44%: SSK8 was 17% and the state was 61%.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The biggest increase was in science achievement. There was a 14% increase from 8% in 2018 to 22% in 2019.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

N/A

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. ELA Achievement
- 2. Math Achievement
- 3. Student Attendance
- 4. Student Behavior

5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Tasks used in the classrooms will be full- aligned to the state standards for that particular content area.

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

For the past 2 testing years, math proficiency has not been above 17%, ELA proficiency has not been above 19%, science proficiency has not been above 22%, and Civics proficiency has not been above 57% and from 2018 to 2019 decreased 9% to 48%.

OVERALL average Federal Index of ESSA categories for white/black/hispanic/multiracial/SWD/ELL/ Economically disadvantage was 32% (under 41%).

These low proficiency scores point to instruction that has not been fully-aligned to the state standards.

By October 2020, as measured by focused walkthroughs, 65% of teachers will be using standards-aligned tasks in the classroom.

By November 2020, as measured by common assessments given in math, at least 30% of students will be considered proficient or above.

By November 2020, as measured by common assessments given in Algebra, at least 45% of students will be considered proficient or above.

Measurable Outcome:

By November 2020, as measured by common assessments given in ELA, at least 25% of students will be considered proficient or above.

By November 2020, as measured by common assessments given in science, at least 30% of students will be considered proficient or above.

By November 2020, as measured by common assessments given in civics, at least 25% of students will be considered proficient or above.

By January 2020, as measured by common assessments at least 41% of white/black/ hispanic/multiracial/SWD/ELL/ Economically disadvantage students will score in proficient ranges.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net)

Evidencebased Strategy:

Instructional Teams develop and refine instructional units that are standards-aligned. Our standards-aligned units of instruction include objectives and criteria for mastery. Instructional plans will include formal and informal assessments to gauge student mastery specifically focused on white/black/hispanic/multiracial/SWD/ELL/ Economically disadvantage subgroups, and the results will be used to adjust instruction as necessary. Instruction will include a variety of differentiated learning activities and materials that are well planned/developed, well-organized, and readily shared among teachers during PLCs, common planning, data dives, PD sessions, and walkthrough feedback.

Rationale for Evidence-

Authentic instruction and assessment should be aligned with the standards. Deciding the important outcomes is a critical first step and must be done in collaboration among teachers, coaches, resources and administrators. When instruction and assessment is aligned, all stakeholders benefit. Students are more likely to learn when instruction is

based Strategy: focused and they are assessed on what they have actually been taught. Teachers are also able to focus, making the best use of their time and collaborate with colleagues. This approach allows teachers to integrate assessment into daily instruction and classroom activities.

Action Steps to Implement

Teachers will have a common collaborative planning time.

- a. Master schedule will provide common planning time for teachers of the same content area.
- b. Teachers will use resources such as the Common Core Companion and ELA Blueprints to gain insight into and have discussions about what students need to know and be able to do to show mastery of a particular standard.
- c. Teachers in grades 3-8 will be introduced to how to use the item specs and ALD's when planning
- d. Content coaches in the areas of reading, writing, and math will support teachers with their understanding of the standards to be taught.
- e. Tasks and questions will be shared during these sessions. The alignment of the tasks to the standards being taught will be discussed.
- f. Informal assessments will be created and discussed.

Monitoring: Walkthroughs to look for implementation of standards-aligned tasks; common assessment data.

Person Responsible

Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net)

Math will be taught conceptually using the CRA continuum with connections made to procedural fluency and application.

- a. Ensure that all teachers K-8 have the appropriate tools to implement teaching conceptually using the CRA continuum
- b. Admin and Coaches will Design professional learning sessions to increase teacher understanding of the concepts and the CRA continuum for a particular set of standards
- c. Strategically placed within instructional calendar (about 2-3 weeks before a new set of standards will be taught) Admin and Coaches will lead PLCs to increase teacher understanding of standards and the CRA continuum for the specific set of standards.
- d. Knowledge from these sessions will be used during common planning sessions to create, analyze tasks and questions for standards alignment.
- e. Job-embedded professional learning (coaching, co-teaching, modeling, visits to other classrooms, etc) to support teachers as needed

Monitoring: Walkthroughs to target implementation of standards-aligned tasks; common assessment data

Person Responsible

Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net)

Standard based ELA/Science/Civics lessons will be taught:

- a. Ensure that all teachers K-8 have the appropriate tools to implement standards based instruction: Achievement Level Descriptors, Test Item Specs, Common Core Companion, Diana Carry Standards Planning
- b. Admin and Coaches to Design PLCs to increase teacher understanding of the heavily weighted and most frequently tested standards
- c. Strategically placed within instructional calendar (2-3 weeks before a new set of standards will be taught) Admin and Coaches lead PLCs to increase teacher understanding of standards, prerequisites needed to master the standards, and common misconceptions that of those specific set of standards.
- d. Knowledge from these sessions will be used during common planning sessions to create, analyze tasks and questions for standards alignment.
- e. Implement Academic Moves, Flocabulary, & iReady to understand and apply vocabulary instruction &

academic language across content areas

Monitoring: Walkthroughs to target implementation of standards-aligned tasks; common assessment data

Person Responsible Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net)

Use Common assessments to address needs of white/black/hispanic/multiracial/SWD/ELL/ Economically disadvantage

- a. Standards-aligned assessments will be designed and implemented in math in grades k-8. In 3-8 weekly and monthly assessments will be given. In grades
- b. K-2 monthly assessments will be given focused on Reading Skills (phonemic awareness, phonics, spelling, site word, phrase, passage fluency, and comprehension)

Standards-aligned assessments will be implemented in grades 5 and 8.

Standards-aligned assessments will be implemented in civics.

- b.. Writing PLCs will address
- Common Writing Elements: language and expectations in Writer's Workshop in grades K 8
- Primary Writing Outline: Develop writing skills plan for primary grades based on vertical alignment
- Patterns of Power Professional Development Training and books for ELA teachers in grades K 5

Monitoring: Admin attend sessions; plans to respond to data due upon completion of data dive sessions

Person Responsible Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net)

Strategic differentiation for high needs subgroups (white/black/hispanic/multiracial/SWD/ELL/ Economically disadvantage) across content areas will include:

- a. Implement Small group instruction to address specific needs based on triangulation of data
- b. Identify specific groups of students for targeted instruction (i.e. bottom quartile, approaching proficiency, and proficient)
- c. Utilize data walls to track and monitor bottom quartile, approaching proficiency, and proficient
- d. Teachers will Update data walls using most recent common assesments
- e. Implement Job embedded PD on how to effectively use data walls in classrooms by September 2020
- f. Individualized Student data chats and goal setting will be implemented in grades K-8
- g. Nearpod lessons will be used to increase student engagement, integrate technology, and capture data and provide opportunities for focused instruction.
- h. Mini PDs lead by Admin and Coaches to differentiate based on student data; offered as needed

Monitor: Admin monitor growth of targeted groups during Data Dives

Person Responsible Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net)

Data Dive PLCs

- a. Track white/black/hispanic/multiracial/SWD/ELL/ Economically disadvantage subgroups on a spreadsheet in Office 365.
- b. Provide full day training and support 5x per year focusing on data analysis of all content areas Formative and Common Assessments; small group interventions to teachers in grades 3-8 13 teachers; half-day data analysis of ELA and math Formative and Common Assessments, as well as small group interventions to teachers in grades K-2.
- c. create a strategic plan to respond to the data; adjust scope and sequence based on data
- d. design of responsive instructional materials based on common assessment data
- e. substitutes to cover teachers during data dives
- f. group students for strategic instruction within classrooms and for ELP.
- g. ELP programs to address targeted content standard mastery. Live/virtual ELP beginning 9/2020 (K-2; 3-5; 6-8)

Monitoring: Admin attend sessions; plans to respond to data due upon completion of data dive sessions; ELP attendance

Person

Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net) Responsible

#2. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports

Area of Focus Description and

Rationale:

Culture & Environment as it relates to behavior has direct impacts on student learning. Correcting inappropriate behavior requires large amounts of the teacher's time and attention. Students are forced to wait while the behavior is addressed and this in turn can interfere with focus of all students. If the behavior is severe it can also result in students time away from class which also adversely affects learning and an overall feeling of safety on campus.

This area was identified due to our most recent ASQi Survey data which reported under "Managing Student Conduct" that 21% of those surveyed thought students at our school follow the rules of conduct which was 42% lower than the district percent in this area. Other items that fell below the district average in this area included "The faculty work in a school environment that is safe" & "Students as our school understand expectations of their conduct".

By September, 100% of teachers will be using the PBIS app to acknowledge student behavior.

By September, 100% of teachers K-8 will implement Second Step SEL lessons.

Measurable Outcome:

By the end of the first semester (mid-year) our monthly attendance average will increase to 96%.

By the end of the first semester (mid-year) our monthly average for OSS/ISS will decrease by 20%.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidencebased Strategy: The implementation of a structured MTSS process and a school-wide PBIS (House System) using the PBIS App. Implementing MTSS combined with components such as PBIS can help establish a strong school culture and systematic use of data that supports all students and will improve engagement and attendance of students.

MTSS is a framework used to provide targeted support to struggling students. It screens all students in order to address behavioral concerns as well as academic issues in subgroups performing under 41% (white/black/hispanic/multiracial/SWD/ELL/ Economically disadvantage). The goal of MTSS is to intervene early so students can catch up with their peers performing within national norms.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy:

Our PBIS/House System is a proactive approach used to promote positive behavior and create a safe learning and work environment. The focus of PBIS is prevention, not punishment. PBIS/House System recognizes that students can only meet behavioral expectations if they know what the expectations are. Everyone learns what's considered to be appropriate behavior and uses a common language to talk about it K-8. Throughout the school day and in all settings students understand what is expected of them.

Action Steps to Implement

Implementation of common School-wide Expectations

- a. Committee of staff meet to determine common school-wide expectations.
- b. Common expectations shared with staff during pre-planning (August)
- c. Share expectations of student culture and climate with parents and students during the opening of

school

year.

- d. Purchase the PBIS APP to support attendance improvement, student culture/climate, and communication with stakeholders.
- e. Teachers share the protocols used for earning points through the PBIS app with students and parents.
- f. School staff use the PBIS app to give students points based on their meeting or exceeding the school-wide expectations.
- g. Students will have the opportunity to use PBIS points to "purchase" incentives. In August and September

it will be every other week, after that it will be once a month.

Monitoring: Walkthroughs to look for evidence of school-wide expectations being implemented and followed; admin will monitor the implementation of PBIS to acknowledge student behavior.

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

Implementation of Common Responses to Student Behavior

- a. Master schedule will include time for explicit SEL instruction.
- b. All teachers will implement Second Step SEL lessons with fidelity.
- c. PD for staff specifically for MTSS/RTI, PSLT, CST referral process relating to tier 1 behavior strategies, PBIS
- d. Select staff will mentor students that need extra support.
- e. Bi-monthly scheduled progress monitoring of tier 2 and tier 3 behavior students with a focus on white/black/hispanic/multiracial/SWD/ELL/ Economically disadvantage subgroups.
- f. Monthly committee meetings to discuss behavior within the building-What is working? What's not working? Student needs?
- g. Monitor and complete RTI Packets for tier 2 and 3 behavior students
- h. Restorative Practices room will be used to teach students better ways to respond in given situations.

Monitoring: PSLT/Admin will Monitor through monthly EDconnect discipline data

Person

Responsible

Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net)

Implementation of Student Attendance Protocols

- a. After 2 consecutive days absent, teacher calls home-notifies social worker.
- b. Parent meetings and home visits will be conducted as necessary to improve student attendance.
- c. DP to run the following targeted attendance reports:
- ~Percent of homeroom attendance-Daily
- ~Excessive and consecutive absent (excused and unexcused)-Weekly
- d. Social Worker will pull average attendance of white/black/hispanic/multiracial/SWD/ELL/ Economically disadvantage subgroups and reward students with 96% or above;
- e. Provide attendance awards and incentives quarterly for improved attendance as well as for perfect attendance.
- f. Provide after-school programs (community library/YMCA) that motivate students to attend school in order to participate. These after-school programs can also be educational, covering topics on improving student self-esteem and building social skills because, as we said above, absentee students more often suffer from these deficits.

Monitoring: Admin will monitor targeted reports daily; follow up on next steps with Social Worker as needed.

Person

Responsible

Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net)

House systems will be implemented

- a. all staff and students belong to a house (Staff house leader for each house)
- b. weekly house meetings
- c. monthly pep rally (Live or Virtual)
- d. PBIS app used to give house points and determine house of the month and year
- e. house of the month and year celebrations
- f. mandatory school uniform policy will be supported by on-site uniform closet in community school resource center
- g.Contract with Drama Kids to host 6 week public speaking program for approximately 15 students (grades 5-8) who have been elected as House Leaders to increase confidence, critical thinking and problem solving skills and improve overall leadership skills

Monitoring: PBIS App (point, usage, goal, behavior correlation) reports analyzed bi-weekly by ILT; plan for next steps to support positive student behavior.

Person Responsible

Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net)

Hire 0.5 MTSS/RTI Resource Teacher

The MTSS Resource teacher will work with K-6 classroom teachers to assist in analyzing Academic, Behavior, & Attendance Data Weekly for the following subgroups (white/black/hispanic/multiracial/SWD/ELL/ Economically disadvantage) and reporting it to the Problem Solving Leadership Team. This teacher will work collaboratively with classroom teachers to plan and implement MTSS protocols as well as provide PD around root cause analysis and best practice interventions for academics and behavior. Included in this role is real-time coaching with K-6 teachers. The RTI/MTSS teacher will deliver academic interventions for 45 students per semester in grades 3-6 (Tier 2 & 3)

Monitoring: Observation and Evaluations; Quarterly progress monitoring; data spreadsheets, fidelity walkthrough bi weekly, formative standard review; student work samples for targeted students on caseload.

Person

Responsible

Marc Gaillard (marc.gaillard@hcps.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

NA

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Attached is an outline of our parent and family (and community) engagement funds as a part of their Title I, Part A allocation. The PFEP outlines the resources the school will provide for parents, guardians and community partners, and how they will work together to strengthen the academic success of all students.

More information in the area of culture and climate improvement is included as one of our major plans for improvement in Section III of our SIP plan.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	l Practice: Standards-aligned	Instruction		\$491,704.80	
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21	
			4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$32,000.00	
	Notes: Additional Planning ELA, Math, Science, Social Studies K-8 T-payroll (47 teacher admin x 4 sessions x 5 hours/session x \$32/hour) Quarterly Standards based Trajectory Planning - Out of School Time (evening/Saturday) sessions for 50 teachers. Each session will last 5 hours.						
			4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$9,350.00	
			Notes: Intermediate Data Dive PD Ses year focusing on data analysis of ELA small group interventions to 17 teache \$110/day)	Formative and Comm	on Assessr	nents, as well as	
			4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$9,350.00	
	Notes: Intermediate Data Dive PD Sessions-Provide full day training and support 5 times p year focusing on data analysis of math Formative and Common Assessments, as well as small group interventions to 17 teachers in grades 3-5. Substitutes (17 substitutes x 5 days \$110/day)						
			4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$7,150.00	

		Notes: Middle School Data Dive PD S per year focusing on data analysis of I Assessments, as well as small group i (13 substitutes x 5 days x \$110/day)	ELA/Math/Civics/Scien	ce Formativ	e and Common
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$1,170.00
		Notes: Data Dive Supplies: Consumal binders, notepads, pens, chart marker rubber bands, file folders, colored prin Elementary Intermediate Math-\$625 M Primary Elementary	rs, pencils, tape, card s ter paper assorted) Ele	tock, 3x5 a ementary In	nd 5x8 index cards, termediate ELA-\$625
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$3,520.00
		Notes: Primary Data Dive PD Session year focusing on data analysis of ELA well as small group interventions to 12	and math Formative a	nd Commo	
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$1,134.00
		Notes: Purchase and implement USA accelerate standard & content master, \$3.60 per book Science 215 Books @	y for 215 [°] 6-8th grade s	tudents. "C	ivics 80 Books @
5100	500-Materials and Supplies	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG		\$3,403.41
		Notes: Scholastic News for K-5 studer 520 students in grades K-5 to increase Will be used for small group Enrichme teacher copy Price per copy Total Let' 1 75 \$5.95 \$446.25 Scholastic News E \$5.95 \$684.25 Scholastic News Ed. 4 \$535.50	e exposure to non-fiction ent 3x per week "Produ 's Find Out KG 80 \$5.9 Ed. 2 80 \$5.95 \$476.00	on text and ct Name To 5 \$476.00 \$) Scholastic	increase proficiency; tal per grade level w/ Scholastic News Ed. : News Ed. 3 115
5100	500-Materials and Supplies	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG		\$9,591.89
		Notes: Scholastic Short Reads for K-5 Short Reads Fiction and Non-Fiction S exposure to Informational and Literary for small group Intervention 3x per we K - 6 Set (Levels A - z) \$4,399.95 Guid (A - Z) \$4,399.95 Shipping \$791.99	Subscriptions for 520 s text to increase reading ek. "Guided Reading S	tudents in g ng compreh Short Reads	rades K-5 to increase ension; Will be used Nonfiction Complete
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$9,999.50
	•	Notes: iPad Charging Carts-Bretford C 200 ipads in order to support the curre returning to school for the 2020-21 sch Bays to ensure student engagement is during the school day.	ent eLearning needs of hool year our classroor	our studen ns will need	ts.However, upon If the iPad Charging
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$15,000.00
		Notes: ELP programs to support targe Sept. 2020) K-2 ELA/Math \$5,000 3-5 Civics/Math \$5,000 (budget to include	ELA/Math/Science \$5	,000 6-8 EL	.A/Reading/Science/
6400	510-Supplies	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG		\$161.75
		Notes: Math Book to support PD & col Companion Grades 6-8 5 books x \$32			
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$1,714.81

		Notes: Middle School Math 6-8 Classi Teacher Guide 1x \$16.33 Hands on E Answer Boards 2x \$134.99 Polygons-	quation Class sets 2x	\$122.50 Mag	
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$11,000.00
		Notes: Nearpod K-8 w/Flocabulary-Pu Flocabulary for 800 students in grades ELA lessons within the classroom. We grades K-2. This will allow teachers to both whole group and to differentiate s Subscription for 800 students in K-8 s	s K-8. These interactive e are 1:1 in grades 3-8 use these interactive le student learning based	e tools for im and moving essons withir	mersive math and towards 2:1 in n their classrooms
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$3,000.00
		Notes: NearPod PD-Contract with verdays for 52 teachers, grades Head Start of program.			
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$3,012.57
•		Notes: Ready LAFS books for student Books X \$25.50	ts grades 6-8 234 book	s X \$11.05 6	6 Teacher Resource
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$11,362.00
		Notes: Classroom supplies grades K-lined and unlined, data binders and diletters upper and lowercase			
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$1,601.45
		Notes: Thinking Core-College & Care copies x 14.95 2nd-3 copies x 14.95 3 14.95 6th-8 copies x 14.95 7th-8 copie Standards Based Graphic Organizer B	Brd-5 copies x 14.95 4tl es x 14.95 8th-8 copies	h-6 copies x x 14.95 Thi	14.95 5th-5 copies x nking Core
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$727.50
		Notes: Math Book to support PD & co. Productive Mathematics Discussions & Stein \$29.10 x 25 copies 22 teachers	Edition 2 by Margaret	(Peg) S. Sm	-
		4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$66,601.00
		Notes: Purchase Expeditionary Learns 520 students Kg-5th grade; EL is a co students through compelling, real wor social studies topics. EL Education K-differentiation materials. EL Curriculur Grade \$41,945.00 EL Trade Books for	re language arts progra ld content; cross curric 5 contains embedded a m Teacher Guides and	am that enga ular content assessments Student Mat	ages teachers and includes science & s as well as rich
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$55,000.12
	1	Notes: Writing Resource Teacher Les	s Indirect Costs		
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$66,201.22
		Notes: Math Resource Teacher Less	Indirect Costs	•	
5100	210-Retirement	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$3,434.12
	1	Notes: Writing Resource Teacher Ret	irement 8.47% Less Inc	direct Costs	

5100	210-Retirement	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$4,134.22
		Notes: Math Resource Retirement 8.4	7% Less Indirect Cost	s	
5100	220-Social Security	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$2,514.20
	•	Notes: Writing Resource Teacher FIC.	A 6.2% Less Indirect C	Costs	
5100	220-Social Security	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$3,026.23
		Notes: Math Resource Teacher FICA	6.2% Less Indirect Co	sts	
5100	220-Social Security	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$588.00
·		Notes: Writing Resource Teacher Med	dicare 1.45% Less Indi	rect Costs	
5100	220-Social Security	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$707.75
		Notes: Math Resource Teacher Medic	are 1.45% Less Indire	ct Costs	
5100	240-Workers Compensation	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$206.81
	•	Notes: Writing Resource Teacher Wor	rkers Comp .51% Less	Indirect Costs	;
5100	240-Workers Compensation	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$248.93
		Notes: Math Resource Teacher Works	ers Comp .51% Less Ir	ndirect Costs	
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$7,704.80
		Notes: Writing Resource Teacher Hea	alth Ins 19% Less Indire	ect Costs	
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$9,273.93
		Notes: Math Resource Teacher Health	n Ins 19% Less Indirec	t Costs	
6400	130-Other Certified Instructional Personnel	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$47,000.12
		Notes: Reading Coach Less Indirect C	Costs		
6400	210-Retirement	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$2,935.13
		Notes: Reading Coach Retirement 8.4	17% Less Indirect Cost	s	
6400	220-Social Security	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$2,148.50
		Notes: Reading Coach FICA 6.2% Les	ss Indirect Costs		
6400	220-Social Security	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$502.47
		Notes: Reading Coach Medicare 1.45	% Less Indirect Costs		
6400	240-Workers Compensation	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$176.73
		Notes: Reading Coach Workers Comp	.51% Less Indirect Co	osts	

6400	240-Workers Compensation	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$6,584.11
		Notes: Reading Coach Health Ins 19%	Less Indirect Costs		
6400	120-Classroom Teachers	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$34,027.01
•	•	Notes: Science Resource Less Indirec	t Costs		
6400	210-Retirement	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$2,976.13
		Notes: Science Resource Retirement	8.47% Less Indirect C	osts	
6400	220-Social Security	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$2,178.52
	•	Notes: Science Resource FICA 6.2% I	Less Indirect Costs		
6400	220-Social Security	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$509.49
		Notes: Science Resource Medicare 1.	45% Less Indirect Cos	sts	
6400	240-Workers Compensation	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$179.20
		Notes: Science Resource Workers Co	mp .51% Less Indirect	t Costs	
6400	231-Health and Hospitalization	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$6,219.31
		Notes: Science Resource Health Ins 1	9% Less Indirect Cost	s	
5100	150-Aides	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$24,643.20
		Notes: Aide Less Indirect Costs			
5100	210-Retirement	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$1,538.95
		Notes: Aide Retirement 8.47% Less In	direct Costs		
5100	220-Social Security	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$1,126.50
		Notes: Aide FICA 6.2% Less Indirect (Costs		
5100	220-Social Security	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$263.46
		Notes: Aide Medicare 1.45% Less Indi	irect Costs		
5100	240-Workers Compensation	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$92.66
		Notes: Aide Workers Comp .51% Less	Indirect Costs		
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG	1.0	\$3,452.19
		Notes: Aide Health Ins 19% Less Indir	ect Costs	- '	
5100	510-Supplies	4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School	UniSIG		\$1,260.91
		Notes: Purchase classroom supplies to used to enhance their learning and sup			

			will include pens, pencils, highlighters paper, chart paper and crayons for stu		spiral note	books, notebook	
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & E Supports	nvironment: Positive Behavio	or Intervention and	d	\$145,417.39	
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21	
			4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$74,985.00	
			Notes: Contract with Northside Menta FTE Mental Health Supervisor In Collictions will be part of the school ba consultation and feedback. Therapeut case management, individual, family, behavioral planning, prevention educan active caseload of 25 students per school year grades K-8.	aboration with Northsid sed multidisciplinary pr tic services will include and group counseling, ation and screening/ass	e Mental He rofessional t crisis suppo interperson sessment. C	ealth Center (NMHC) team; provide ort and intervention, al skill building, Clinician will maintain	
			4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$1,854.00	
	Notes: PBIS App-Purchase and use PBIS App for 800 students HeadStart-8th grade to monitor and reinforce positive behavioral expectations as part of daily Culture & Climate student behavior SIP goal.						
			4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$4,824.00	
			Notes: Student Uniforms-Purchase Somonitor and reinforce positive behavior families are unable to afford mandator Uniforms Shirts for each student 134 seach	oral expectations as pair ry uniforms and are una	rt of Tier 1 բ able to com	orogram. Many ply otherwise.	
			4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$17,014.39	
			Notes: Supplies to Create safe places classrooms (grades Head Start -8th) t themselves thereby reducing behavio supplies such as folders, markers, col therapeutic items (squish stress balls, stretch expanding balls	o aid students in regula ral incidents. Will purch ored pencils, chart pap	ating emotic lase and de ler, construc	ns/calming ploy consumable ctions paper,	
			4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$1,740.00	
			Notes: Develop Student Leaders for F Kids to host 6 week public speaking p have been elected as House Leaders	rogram for approximate	ely 15 stude	ents (grades 5-8) who	
			4201 - Sulphur Springs K 8 School			\$45,000.00	
			Notes: 0.5 MTSS/RTI Resource Teach classroom teachers to assist in analyze and reporting it to the Problem Solving collaboratively with classroom teacher provide PD around root cause analyst behavior. Included in this role is real-teacher will deliver academic intervent.	ting Academic, Behavic g Leadership Team. Th rs to plan and impleme is and best practice inte ime coaching with K-6 t	or, & Attend is teacher v nt MTSS pr erventions fo teachers. Ti	ance Data Weekly vill work otocols as well as or academics and he RTI/MTSS	
			[· · · /		Total:	\$646,728.97	