**Hillsborough County Public Schools** 

# **Greco Middle Magnet School**



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	13
Planning for Improvement	19
Positive Culture & Environment	25
Budget to Support Goals	0

# **Greco Middle Magnet School**

6925 E FOWLER AVE, Temple Terrace, FL 33617

[ no web address on file ]

# **Demographics**

Principal: Wendy Rauld

Start Date for this Principal: 11/24/2018

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Asian Students* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: C (42%) 2017-18: D (39%) 2016-17: D (38%) 2015-16: C (42%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Infe	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	<u>Lucinda Thompson</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	N/A
Support Tier	N/A
ESSA Status	TS&I

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

# **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

eds Assessment	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	13
Planning for Improvement	19
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

# **Greco Middle Magnet School**

6925 E FOWLER AVE, Temple Terrace, FL 33617

[ no web address on file ]

## **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Middle School 6-8	Yes	91%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	85%
School Grades History		

## .

Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17
Grade	С	С	D	D

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

To provide a rigorous and relevant education and the supports which enable each student to excel as a successful and responsible citizen.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

We support the District's vision of Preparing Students for Life, and are working to ensure that our students leave our school equipped with the tools they need to graduate on time. Our District's graduation rate goal is 90% by 2020. With that in mind, we have developed the following Vision for our school:

Preparing students for lifelong success

# School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Olson, Andrew	Principal	Leadership team meetings can include the following: Principal Assistant Principal / ELP Coordinator Guidance Counselor SAC Chairs School Psychologist/ Behavior team Representative School Social Worker/ Attendance Committee Representative Academic Coaches (Reading, Math, etc. and other specialists on an ad hoc basis) ESE teachers PLC Liaisons for each grade level and/or content area District support (including Area Superintendents, Support Specialist, District Coaches) The Leadership team meets regularly (e.g., bi-weekly/monthly). The purpose of the core Leadership Team is to: 1. Collaborate and problem solve to ensure the implementation of high quality instructional practices utilizing the Rtl/MTSS process: at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels. 2. Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels. 3. Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains. 4. Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams. A collaborative culture of shared responsibility is established through Leadership Team Meetings and PLCs. Research consistently bears out that the school leader is the most important element in teachers choosing to go to, and then remain at, a school site. To that end, HCPS works to ensure that principals are selected and placed with great care. HCPS works to develop strong leaders through the Hillsborough Principal Pipeline. As stated above, The Hillsborough Principal Pipeline offers unique and valuable opportunities for teachers to experience and prepare for a school leadership position by helping them gain the skills, experience and confidence that are crucial to becoming a high- performing leader. Pursuing school leadership provides the opportunity to make a direct impact on school

#### Name Title Job Duties and Responsibilities

culture and positively influence instructional quality, which will result in improved

outcomes and higher long-term success rates for students in Hillsborough County.

HCPS' vision for instructional improvement is to have a highly effective teacher in every

classroom and a highly effective principal in every school. This vision is founded in the

research-based tenet that teacher quality has a larger impact on student achievement

than any other schooling factor. Further research demonstrates the impact of a

principal's leadership on outcomes for students and teachers. Over the past decade,

HCPS has developed a Human Capital Management System (HCMS) to further the

district's vision of instructional improvement.

Several Teacher Interview Days and Recruitment Fairs occur throughout the summer

months, under the oversight of Human Resources. All applicants must be pre-approved

by the District to attend these events. Certified teachers with an Effective or Highly

Effective performance evaluation, teaching in field, at our highest needs schools are

eligible for salary differential. This program was established with the purpose of helping

to create stability and equity in harder to staff schools, recruiting and retaining highly

qualified instructional staff, increasing student achievement, and promoting a culture of

ongoing professional development.

Compensation is grounded in a performance-based salary structure that explicitly ties

salary increases to sustained high-level performance, while career ladder positions, such

as Instructional Mentors, are available to effective educators. The base teacher salary

schedule is designed to provide substantial increases in compensation to teachers who

have demonstrated positive student impact.

Once hired, teacher induction and teacher retention are supported through fully-released

instructional mentors assigned to every new educator for up to two years to increase

effectiveness and decrease recidivism. Educator effectiveness ratings that differentiate

educator quality are used to assist principals in determining teachers' transfer options

and promotion into leadership positions. HCPS has linked PD

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		opportunities to HR functions so that school-level and district-level trainings are developed and deployed in response to areas of need identified by educator evaluations. Training course completions can also be tracked by HR Partners to inform human capital decisions.
Lewis, Mikel	Teacher, Career/ Technical	
Lewis, Dornnette	Teacher, K-12	
Maurice, Blaine	Teacher, K-12	
Chisholm, Robert	Assistant Principal	
Cadet, Edwin	Teacher, K-12	
Russ, Ciciler	Other	
Johnson, Lillie	Assistant Principal	
Butler, Jennifer	Instructional Coach	
mographic In	formation	

# **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Saturday 11/24/2018, Wendy Rauld

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

3

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

22

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

50

# **Demographic Data**

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
-----------------------------------	--------

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Asian Students* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
	2018-19: C (42%)
	2017-18: D (39%)
School Grades History	2016-17: D (38%)
	2015-16: C (42%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	<u>Lucinda Thompson</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	N/A
Support Tier	N/A
ESSA Status	TS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code	e. For more information, click here.

# **Early Warning Systems**

# **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator				Grade Level												
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	322	312	252	0	0	0	0	886		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	104	78	0	0	0	0	282		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	135	126	105	0	0	0	0	366		

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 7/2/2020

# **Prior Year - As Reported**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	eve	l				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# **Prior Year - Updated**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator							Grad	de Lev	el					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	329	237	299	0	0	0	0	865
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	27	0	0	0	0	0	55
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	35	0	0	0	0	0	68
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	56
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	235	130	182	0	0	0	0	547

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	63	0	0	0	0	0	128

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						G	rade	e Lev	/el	Grade Level										
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total						
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	38	0	0	0	0	0	72						

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Grada Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	32%	51%	54%	26%	50%	52%
ELA Learning Gains	43%	52%	54%	35%	53%	54%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	47%	47%	47%	28%	45%	44%
Math Achievement	32%	55%	58%	30%	54%	56%
Math Learning Gains	46%	57%	57%	42%	59%	57%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	44%	52%	51%	38%	51%	50%
Science Achievement	28%	47%	51%	24%	47%	50%
Social Studies Achievement	33%	67%	72%	50%	66%	70%

EW	S Indicators as Ir	put Earlier in th	e Survey	
Indicator	Grade L	evel (prior year r	eported)	Total
indicator	6	7	8	Total
	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

# **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	34%	53%	-19%	54%	-20%
	2018	29%	52%	-23%	52%	-23%
Same Grade C	omparison	5%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	29%	54%	-25%	52%	-23%
	2018	25%	52%	-27%	51%	-26%
Same Grade C	omparison	4%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2019	27%	53%	-26%	56%	-29%
	2018	29%	54%	-25%	58%	-29%
Same Grade C	omparison	-2%				
Cohort Com	parison	2%				_

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	25%	49%	-24%	55%	-30%
	2018	19%	48%	-29%	52%	-33%
Same Grade C	omparison	6%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	32%	62%	-30%	54%	-22%
	2018	27%	61%	-34%	54%	-27%
Same Grade C	omparison	5%				
Cohort Com	parison	13%				
08	2019	16%	31%	-15%	46%	-30%
	2018	6%	29%	-23%	45%	-39%
Same Grade C	omparison	10%				
Cohort Com	parison	-11%				

	SCIENCE												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison							
08	2019	25%	47%	-22%	48%	-23%							

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018	24%	48%	-24%	50%	-26%
Same Grade C	omparison	1%				
Cohort Com	parison					

		BIOLO	GY EOC			
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State	
2019						
2018						
		CIVIC	S EOC	•		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State	
2019	32%	67%	-35%	71%	-39%	
2018	34%	65%	-31%	71%	-37%	
Co	ompare	-2%				
		HISTO	RY EOC			
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State	
2019						
2018						
		ALGEB	RA EOC			
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State	
2019	82%	63%	19%	61%	21%	
2018	61%	63%	-2%	62%	-1%	
Co	ompare	21%				
		GEOME	TRY EOC			
Year	School	District	School Minus State District		School Minus State	
2019						
2018	0%	56%	-56%	56%	-56%	

# Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	18	36	37	14	46	53	14	21			
ELL	9	43	57	11	50	58	3	11			
BLK	26	41	51	26	40	35	20	31	62		

		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
HSP	31	48	37	33	53	54	31	35	91		
MUL	43	32		33	32						
WHT	44	41	43	47	54	67	45	45	70		
FRL	28	42	47	29	44	43	23	30	64		
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	13	30	35	11	20	20	16	16			
ELL	11	42	49	11	41	38	25	8			
BLK	27	43	47	21	30	32	19	38	50		
HSP	28	43	50	32	43	33	38	30	63		
MUL	39	43		36	36						
WHT	49	49	45	44	48	37	50	48	79		
FRL	29	44	48	26	35	33	26	37	57		
		2017	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	3	19	22	4	30	27	3	17			
ELL	8	38	37	18	42	41		40			
ASN		30									
BLK	21	30	25	25	41	33	16	44	69		
HSP	36	44	31	33	38	43	35	60	61		
MUL	29	48		46	40		40	30			
WHT	32	45	42	42	58	54	35	70			
FRL	23	34	28	27	40	38	21	47	68		

# **ESSA** Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	TS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	43
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	52
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	426
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	95%

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	30
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	2
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	33
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	37
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	YES 0
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Hispanic Students	0
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Hispanic Students  Federal Index - Hispanic Students	46
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Hispanic Students  Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	0 46 NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Hispanic Students  Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0 46 NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Hispanic Students  Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students	0 46 NO 0
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Hispanic Students  Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students	0 46 NO 0
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Hispanic Students  Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students  Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	0 46 NO 0 35 YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Hispanic Students  Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students  Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0 46 NO 0 35 YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Hispanic Students  Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students  Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%  Pacific Islander Students	0 46 NO 0 35 YES

White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	51
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	40
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

## **Analysis**

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The data component showing the lowest performance is math and language arts proficiency for ESE students. 18% of ESE students were proficient in reading and 14% were proficient in math for the 2019 school year.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The science data shows the greatest decline from the prior year. ELL students level of proficiency decreased by 22 percent. During the 2018/19 school year students were misplaced in honors classes and were not provided with reading support.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The biggest gap for the state average is the Civics EOC. There was a vacancy for two thirds of the school year.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The data component showing the most improvement was overall learning gains and learning gains for the lowest 25 of students in math. Overall learning gains in math increased by 26 percent and 33 percent for the lowest 25 percent.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Potential areas of concern are SWD subgroup at 30% and Black students at 37%.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Black Students ELA
- 2. Black Students Math
- 3. ESE Students ELA
- 4. ESE Students Math
- 5.8th Grade Science

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Areas of Focus:

## #1. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to African-American

Area of The need to focus on equity and diversity is evident because Black students at Greco are

56% of the population but represent 78.9% of our school suspensions. Focus

Black students are 2.87 times more likely to recieve OSS than any other subgroup. **Description** 

These students expereince time out of class when they are opting out of learning and are and

Rationale: missing essential instruction,

Measurable

Greco will decrease students suspension rates by 50% Outcome:

Person responsible

for Andrew Olson (atolson@volusia.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Restorative Practice strategies will be utilized to build and strengthen postive relationships

with staff and students. The goal is to reduce, prevent and improve adverse behaviors,

Evidencebased Strategy:

repair and restore relationships and resolve conflict while holding individuals and groups accountable. We will work to build healthy realtionships and a sense of community to prevent and address conflict. Through social emotional learning, students will better recognize and manage emotions, establisj positive relationships and make responsible

decisions and handle challenging situations responsibly.

Rationale

for Evidence-

based Strategy: This strategy will give teachers tools to deescalate situations and guiding through examining how behavior impacts others and a positive frame for conflict resolution while guiding students through self-regulating emotions.. Restorative practice will help keep the

flow of instruction while tending to the emotional needs of students.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Present data to faculty (problematic behaviors)
- 2. Faculty PD on restorative practices
- 3. Team meetings follow up from faculty PD
- 4. Plan of implementation in classroom
- 5. Relationship building to support restorative conversations

Person

Andrew Olson (atolson@volusia.k12.fl.us) Responsible

#### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Instructional Coaching

Area of

Focus Description

Description and

Instructional coaching is needed so teachers can participate in coaching cycles to improve instructional practice which will transalte to higher student achievement. This was identified as a need through classroom observation data.

Rationale:

We will increase the percent proficient in the following subject areas by the designtated

percent

Measurable Outcome:

Langauge Arts 5%

Math 5% Science 10%

Social Studies 24%

We will increase learning gains in all areas by 10 %

Person responsible

for

Andrew Olson (atolson@volusia.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Redline coaching includes the following continuum of coaching stances: Cognitive-the

coach provides no scaffolding beyond the open-ended question(s) posed. Collaborative-The coach works alongside the coachee to encourage a collaborative discussion.

Evidencebased Strategy:

Consultant -allows for coaches to tap into their knowledge, expertise, and experiences to

support and deepen the coaching conversation. Calibrate- the coach uses data to help

identify and clarify the issue being discussed

Rationale

for

Evidencebased Redline Coaching provides enough coaching support to move teacher's practice forward, but not so much that they are overwhelmed and shut down. Effective coaching is all about finding the right level of coaching to maximize the coaching impact. Redline coaching will

Strategy: meet te varied needs of teachers.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Train coaches on Redline Coaching
- 2. Conduct classroom observations
- 3. Work with teachers to develop instructional goals
- 4. Teachers will particiapte in coaching cycles
- 5. Class data analysis to determine next steps

Person

Responsible

Jennifer Butler (jennifer.butler@hcps.net)

#### #3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Collaborative Planning

Area of

and

Focus
Description

The instructional focus of collaborative planning is a critical need so teachers and students can see the connections between subject areas and real world applications using the IB Unit Planner.

Rationale:

We will increase the percent proficient in the following subject areas by the designtated

percent

Measurable Outcome:

Langauge Arts 5%

Math 5% Science 10%

Social Studies 24%

We will increase learning gains in all areas by 10 %

Person responsible

for

[no one identified]

monitoring outcome:

IB Unit planning to create units of inquiry with one being created each semester by subject

Evidencebased Strategy: area. They will be created in collaboration with subject area teachers and the Middle Years Program (MYP) coordinator. The units include the central idea, formative and summative assessment, teacher questions/provocations, resources needed, learning activities, standards covered and teacher reflection. Year 1 will have an emphasis on formative

assessments.

Rationale

for

Evidencebased Strategy: The IB Unit Planning and statements of inquiry hold students and teachers accountable for using best practices. Students can see connections between subject areas along with real world connections. Students will use the statement of inquiry to focus their learning while solving problems creatively and collaboratively.

# **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Train staff on IB Unit Planning
- 2. Work with the MYP coordinator and subject area leaders to identify one unit to focus on each semester in each subject area
- 3. Create the IB Unit Plan
- 4. Teach the Unit in the classroom
- 5. Use data to relfect on the outcome
- 6. Revise unit if needed

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

#### #4. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Multi-Racial

Area of

Focus
Description

Our multi racial students expereince time out of class when they are opting out of learning and are missing essential instruction due to incidents resulting in ISS or OSS

and Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

Greco will decrease students suspension rates by 50%

Person

responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Andrew Olson (atolson@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Evidencebased Strategy: Restorative Practice strategies will be utilized to build and strengthen postive relationships with staff and students. The goal is to reduce, prevent and improve adverse behaviors, repair and restore relationships and resolve conflict while holding individuals and groups accountable. We will work to build healthy realtionships and a sense of community to prevent and address conflict. Through social emotional learning, students will better recognize and manage emotions, establisj positive relationships and make responsible

decisions and handle challenging situations responsibly.

Rationale for

Evidencebased Strategy: This strategy will give teachers tools to deescalate situations and guiding through examining how behavior impacts others and a positive frame for conflict resolution while guiding students through self- regulating emotions.. Restorative practice will help keep the flow of instruction while tending to the emotional needs of students.

# **Action Steps to Implement**

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

## #5. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Economically Disadvantaged

Area of

and

Focus Description

Our Economically Disadvantaged students expereince time out of class when they are opting out of learning and are missing essential instruction resulting in ISS or OSS

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

Greco will decrease students suspension rates by 50%

Person

responsible

for Andrew Olson (atolson@volusia.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

Rationale

for Evidencebased Strategy: Restorative Practice strategies will be utilized to build and strengthen postive relationships with staff and students. The goal is to reduce, prevent and improve adverse behaviors, repair and restore relationships and resolve conflict while holding individuals and groups accountable. We will work to build healthy realtionships and a sense of community to prevent and address conflict. Through social emotional learning, students will better recognize and manage emotions, establisj positive relationships and make responsible decisions and handle challenging situations responsibly.

A ( ) O( ) ( ) I ( )

# **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Present data to faculty (problematic behaviors)
- 2. Faculty PD on restorative practices
- 3. Team meetings follow up from faculty PD
- 4. Plan of implementation in classroom
- 5. Relationship building to support restorative conversations

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

#### #6. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

Area of

Focus
Description

Our SWD students expereince time out of class when they are opting out of learning and are missing essential instruction when behaviors contribute to suspensions

and Rationale:

Measurable

Greco will decrease students suspension rates by 50%

Person responsible

Outcome:

responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Andrew Olson (atolson@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Restorative Practice strategies will be utlized to build and strengthen postive relationships with staff and students. The goal is to reduce, prevent and improve adverse behaviors, repair and restore relationships and resolve conflict while holding individuals and groups

Evidencebased Strategy: repair and restore relationships and resolve conflict while holding individuals and groups accountable. We will work to build healthy realtionships and a sense of community to prevent and address conflict. Through social emotional learning, students will better recognize and manage emotions, establisj positive relationships and make responsible decisions and handle challenging situations responsibly.

Rationale

for Evidencebased Strategy: This strategy will give teachers tools to deescalate situations and guiding through examining how behavior impacts others and a positive frame for conflict resolution while guiding students through self- regulating emotions.. Restorative practice will help keep the flow of instruction while tending to the emotional needs of students.

# **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Present data to faculty (problematic behaviors)
- 2. Faculty PD on restorative practices
- 3. Team meetings follow up from faculty PD
- 4. Plan of implementation in classroom
- Relationship building to support restorative conversations

Person Responsible

[no one identified]

#### **#7. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to English Language Learners**

Area of

**Focus** Our ELL students expereince time out of class when they are opting out of learning and are

**Description** missing essential instruction, sometimes exhibiting behaviors leading to loss of

and instructional time (ISS/OSS)

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

Greco will decrease students suspension rates by 50%

Person responsible

for Andrew Olson (atolson@volusia.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Restorative Practice strategies will be utlized to build and strengthen postive relationships

with staff and students. The goal is to reduce, prevent and improve adverse behaviors,

Evidencebased Strategy: repair and restore relationships and resolve conflict while holding individuals and groups accountable. We will work to build healthy realtionships and a sense of community to prevent and address conflict. Through social emotional learning, students will better recognize and manage emotions, establisj positive relationships and make responsible

decisions and handle challenging situations responsibly.

Rationale

for Evidencebased This strategy will give teachers tools to deescalate situations and guiding through examining how behavior impacts others and a positive frame for conflict resolution while guiding students through self- regulating emotions.. Restorative practice will help keep the flow of instruction while tending to the emotional needs of students.

Strategy:

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Present data to faculty (problematic behaviors)
- 2. Faculty PD on restorative practices
- 3. Team meetings follow up from faculty PD
- 4. Plan of implementation in classroom
- 5. Relationship building to support restorative conversations

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

#### **Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities**

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

n/a

# Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Greco builds a positive school culture ensuring all stakeholders are involved through Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) is an evidence-based three-tiered framework to improve and integrate all of the data, systems, and practices affecting student outcomes every day. Through the three tiered levels of support, the staff works to establish a foundation of regular, proactive support while preventing unwanted behaviors, supports students who are at risk from developing more serious problem behaviors before those behaviors start. Students in need receive more intensive, individualized support to improve their behavioral and academic outcomes. Greco also uses a token economy system where students can earn Cub Bucks when they exhibit positive behaviors. These bucks can be redeemed for items from the school store, food items or access to special student events and celebrations. Each grade level team has created a calendar of special events for students through out the school year to celebrate their success.

Greco engages with the community through partnerships with local churches and businesses in Temple Terrace to provide resources for teachers and students. Greco has a community garden and compost area. This year Greco is partnering with the University of South Florida to install rain gardens on the campus. Greco's PTA and SAC committee work in conjunction to give input into school plans and structures, plan and volunteer for events for students and staff and provide grant opportunities for staff members to purchase supplies for the classroom and fund projects.

Parent Teacher Home Visits (PTHV) are conducted to make a meaningful connection with Greco families, starting with a voluntary home visit. PTHV engages students, families and educators, builds their leadership, networking and collaboration skills, and links those skills to student learning and outcomes. Students will also be recognized and celebrated for positive behaviors and actions associated with the IB learner profile. IB learners are

Inquirers

Knowledgeable

**Thinkers** 

Communicators

Principled

Open-minded

Caring

Courageous

Balanced

Reflective

Students will be celebrated in classrooms and school wide when the put these traits into action.

# Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.