The School District of Lee County

Island Coast High School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 16 |
| Planning for Improvement | 21 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 24 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 25 |

Island Coast High School

2125 DE NAVARRA PKWY, Cape Coral, FL 33909

http://ich.leeschools.net/

Demographics

Principal: Michelle Cort Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2018

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | High School 9-12 | | |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education | | |
| 2019-20 Title I School | Yes | | |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% | | |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students | | |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: C (42%) 2017-18: C (50%) 2016-17: C (43%) 2015-16: C (41%) | | |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* | | | |
| SI Region | Southwest | | |
| Regional Executive Director | | | |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A | | |
| Year | | | |
| Support Tier | | | |
| ESSA Status | TS&I | | |
| | | | |

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Lee County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 16 |
| Planning for Improvement | 21 |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 25 |

Island Coast High School

2125 DE NAVARRA PKWY, Cape Coral, FL 33909

http://ich.leeschools.net/

School Demographics

| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | 2019-20 Title I School | 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) |
|---|------------------------|---|
| High School 9-12 | Yes | 78% |

| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | Charter School | 2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2) |
|---|----------------|---|
| K-12 General Education | No | 54% |

School Grades History

| Year | 2019-20 | 2018-19 | 2017-18 | 2016-17 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Grade | С | С | С | С |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Lee County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Island Coast High School is to prepare all students for post secondary success through attendance, behavior and classroom instruction.

Provide the school's vision statement.

To be a school where all students are held to high standards of excellence and graduate prepared to excel in the 21st century.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|---------------------|-----------|---|
| Name | | Achieve results on the school's goals and demonstrate that student learning is the top priority through leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success. |
| | | Work collaboratively to develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs, and assessments. |
| | | Engage in data analysis for instructional planning and improvement and communicate the relationship among academic standards, effective instruction, and student performance. |
| Cort-Mora, Michelle | Principal | Implement the District's adopted curricula and state's adopted academic standards in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students and school and ensure the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments aligned with the adopted standards and curricula. |
| | | Recruit, retain, and develop an effective and diverse faculty and staff. Generate a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly linked to the system-wide strategic objectives and the school improvement plan. |
| | | Structure and monitor a school learning environment that improves learning for a diverse student population and maintains a safe, respectful, and inclusive student-centered learning environment that is focused on equitable opportunities for learning and building a foundation for a fulfilling life in a democratic society and global economy. |
| | | Initiate and support continuous improvement processes focused on the students' opportunities for success and well-being. |
| | | Manage schedules, delegate, and allocate resources to |

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| | | promote collegial efforts in school improvement and faculty development and demonstrate fiscal responsibility to maximize the impact of fiscal resources on instructional priorities. |
| | | Maintain high visibility at the school and in the community, regularly engage stakeholders in the work of the school, and communicate opportunities within the school to engage students, faculty, parents, and community stakeholders in constructive conversation about important school issues. |
| | | Demonstrate a commitment to the success of all students, identifying barriers and their impact on the well-being of the school, families, and the local community. |
| Cain, Leigh Ann | Assistant Principal | Assist in achieving results on the school's goals and demonstrate that student learning is the top priority through leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success. Enable faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning and engage faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school. Engage in data analysis for instructional planning and improvement and communicate the relationships among academic standards, effective instruction, and student performance. Assist in the implementation of the District's adopted curricula and State's adopted academic standards in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students and school and ensure the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments. Generate a focus on student and professional learning in the |

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|---------------|------------------------|---|
| | | school that is clearly lined to the system-wide strategic objectives and the School Improvement Plan. |
| | | Assist with supporting continuous improvement processes focused on the students' opportunities for success and well-being. |
| | | Assist with providing timely information about the effectiveness of instruction, student learning requirements, academic standards, and all other |
| | | local, state, and federal administrative requirements and decisions. |
| | | Engage in professional learning that improves professional practice in alignment with the needs of the school system. |
| Woods Winston | | Assist in achieving results on the school's goals and demonstrate that student learning is the top priority through leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success. |
| | | Enable faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning and engage faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school. |
| | Assistant Principal | Engage in data analysis for instructional planning and improvement and communicate the relationships among academic standards, effective instruction, and student performance. |
| | | Assist in the implementation of the District's adopted curricula and State's adopted academic standards in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students and school and ensure the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments. |
| | | Generate a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly lined to the system-wide strategic objectives and the |

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | School Improvement Plan. |
| | | Assist with supporting continuous improvement processes focused on the students' opportunities for success and well-being. |
| | | Assist with providing timely information about the effectiveness of instruction, student learning requirements, academic standards, and all other local, state, and federal administrative requirements and decisions. |
| | | Engage in professional learning that improves professional practice in alignment with the needs of the school system. |
| Wallace, Suzanne | Other | Plan and organize the school administration of all District-wide assessments. Provide training to all teachers regarding appropriate test administration procedures. Promote the District's interest in increasing student achievement by working with the educational interests of students in mind at all times. Maintain positive communication with colleagues, community members, parents, and students to promote an increase in community engagement in education. Promote a culture of high performance and continuous improvement by valuing learning and making a commitment to quality. |
| dearinger, jeremy | Assistant Principal | Assist in achieving results on the school's goals and demonstrate that student learning is the top priority through leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success. Enable faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning and engage faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school. Engage in data analysis for instructional planning and |

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|----------------|------------------------|---|
| | | improvement and communicate the relationships among academic standards, effective instruction, and student performance. |
| | | Assist in the implementation of the District's adopted curricula and State's adopted academic standards in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students and school and ensure the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments. |
| | | Generate a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly lined to the system-wide strategic objectives and the School Improvement Plan. |
| | | Assist with supporting continuous improvement processes focused on the students' opportunities for success and well-being. |
| | | Assist with providing timely information about the effectiveness of instruction, student learning requirements, academic standards, and all other local, state, and federal administrative requirements and decisions. |
| | | Engage in professional learning that improves professional practice in alignment with the needs of the school system. |
| | | Assist in achieving results on the school's goals and demonstrate that student learning is the top priority through leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success. |
| Padilla, Kathy | Assistant Principal | Enable faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning and engage faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school. |
| | | Engage in data analysis for instructional planning and improvement and communicate the relationships among academic standards, |

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | effective instruction, and student performance. |
| | | Assist in the implementation of the District's adopted curricula and State's adopted academic standards in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students and school and ensure the |
| | | appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments. |
| | | Generate a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is |
| | | clearly lined to the system-wide strategic objectives and the School Improvement Plan. |
| | | Assist with supporting continuous improvement processes focused on the |
| | | students' opportunities for success and well-being. |
| | | Assist with providing timely information about the effectiveness of |
| | | instruction, student learning requirements, academic standards, and all other |
| | | local, state, and federal administrative requirements and decisions. |
| | | Engage in professional learning that improves professional practice in |
| | | alignment with the needs of the school system. |
| Anderson Thomas, Chevoneese | Assistant Principal | |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Sunday 7/1/2018, Michelle Cort

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

14

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school 84

Demographic Data

| 2020-21 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|---|--|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | High School 9-12 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2019-20 Title I School | Yes |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: C (42%) 2017-18: C (50%) 2016-17: C (43%) 2015-16: C (41%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf | ormation* |
| SI Region | Southwest |
| Regional Executive Director | |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | TS&I |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code | e. For more information, click here. |
| | |

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | | | | | | | Gr | ad | e L | evel | | | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 413 | 351 | 311 | 1408 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 49 | 54 | 53 | 194 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 21 | 20 | 15 | 95 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 75 | 33 | 15 | 128 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 18 | 30 | 68 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 90 | 86 | 99 | 358 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 70 | 57 | 105 | 297 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | G | rad | e L | eve | el | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 91 | 65 | 96 | 318 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 13 |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 10/20/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | | | | | | | Gr | ad | e Le | evel | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAT |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 385 | 375 | 334 | 1514 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 285 | 279 | 218 | 1098 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 24 | 18 | 12 | 81 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 95 | 71 | 5 | 264 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 162 | 211 | 209 | 726 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | | Gra | ade | Le | vel | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 169 | 101 | 100 | 455 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | | G | rad | e L | eve | el | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 36 | 26 | 34 | 128 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 9 | 58 |

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | | | | | | | Gr | ado | e Le | evel | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 385 | 375 | 334 | 1514 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 285 | 279 | 218 | 1098 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 24 | 18 | 12 | 81 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 95 | 71 | 5 | 264 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 162 | 211 | 209 | 726 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | | Gra | ade | Le | vel | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 169 | 101 | 100 | 455 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | | G | rad | e L | eve | el | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 36 | 26 | 34 | 128 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 9 | 58 |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Crada Campanant | | 2019 | | | 2018 | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement | 39% | 55% | 56% | 31% | 53% | 53% |
| ELA Learning Gains | 37% | 49% | 51% | 31% | 45% | 49% |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 25% | 37% | 42% | 29% | 37% | 41% |
| Math Achievement | 31% | 50% | 51% | 23% | 41% | 49% |
| Math Learning Gains | 28% | 45% | 48% | 27% | 34% | 44% |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 30% | 43% | 45% | 41% | 33% | 39% |
| Science Achievement | 47% | 62% | 68% | 50% | 62% | 65% |
| Social Studies Achievement | 53% | 67% | 73% | 55% | 63% | 70% |

| E | WS Indicators | as Input Ear | lier in the Su | ırvey | |
|-----------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| Indicator | Gr | ade Level (pri | or year report | ed) | Total |
| indicator | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 (0) |

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 09 | 2019 | 39% | 51% | -12% | 55% | -16% |
| | 2018 | 31% | 51% | -20% | 53% | -22% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 8% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | | |
| 10 | 2019 | 33% | 48% | -15% | 53% | -20% |
| | 2018 | 37% | 50% | -13% | 53% | -16% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -4% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | Cohort Comparison | | | _ | | |

| | | | | MATH | | |
|-------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |

| SCIENCE | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | |

| | | BIOLO | GY EOC | | |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 45% | 56% | -11% | 67% | -22% |
| 2018 | 79% | 61% | 18% | 65% | 14% |
| Co | ompare | -34% | | • | |
| | | CIVIC | S EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | |

| | | HISTO | RY EOC | | |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 51% | 64% | -13% | 70% | -19% |
| 2018 | 52% | 62% | -10% | 68% | -16% |
| C | ompare | -1% | | | |
| | | ALGEE | RA EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 32% | 59% | -27% | 61% | -29% |
| 2018 | 32% | 60% | -28% | 62% | -30% |
| C | ompare | 0% | | | |
| | | GEOME | TRY EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 27% | 50% | -23% | 57% | -30% |
| 2018 | 46% | 53% | -7% | 56% | -10% |
| C | ompare | -19% | | • | |

Subgroup Data

| | | 2019 | SCHOO | DL GRAD | E COMF | PONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 11 | 22 | 19 | 15 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 33 | | 91 | 18 |
| ELL | 12 | 25 | 16 | 32 | 44 | 45 | 37 | 37 | | 88 | 42 |
| BLK | 23 | 26 | 11 | 19 | 16 | 29 | 36 | 38 | | 91 | 20 |
| HSP | 38 | 39 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 43 | 48 | 50 | | 93 | 39 |
| MUL | 50 | 29 | | 32 | 13 | | 63 | | | | |
| WHT | 43 | 39 | 30 | 35 | 29 | 22 | 47 | 58 | | 95 | 34 |
| FRL | 34 | 33 | 25 | 28 | 23 | 26 | 44 | 46 | | 94 | 34 |
| | | 2018 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| SWD | 13 | 31 | 23 | 16 | | | | 40 | | 64 | 22 |
| ELL | 16 | 41 | 35 | 26 | 50 | | | 29 | | 80 | 63 |
| BLK | 37 | 41 | 38 | 37 | 28 | | | 65 | | 87 | 37 |
| HSP | 29 | 34 | 27 | 37 | 40 | 47 | 87 | 46 | | 94 | 56 |
| MUL | 44 | 33 | | | | | | 65 | | | |
| WHT | 38 | 39 | 26 | 44 | 38 | 50 | 89 | 57 | | 86 | 47 |
| FRL | 33 | 34 | 28 | 40 | 36 | 49 | 88 | 54 | | 89 | 51 |
| | | 2017 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2015-16 | C & C Accel 2015-16 |
| SWD | 13 | 22 | 21 | 10 | 33 | 41 | 18 | 39 | | 70 | 44 |

| | | 2017 | SCHOO | DL GRAD | E COMP | ONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2015-16 | C & C Accel 2015-16 |
| ELL | 5 | 22 | 23 | 7 | 24 | 27 | 20 | 13 | | 70 | 63 |
| BLK | 28 | 23 | | 13 | 27 | 42 | 33 | 59 | | 90 | 50 |
| HSP | 31 | 30 | 26 | 23 | 25 | 31 | 40 | 44 | | 86 | 54 |
| MUL | 36 | 48 | | 10 | 35 | | | | | | |
| WHT | 32 | 32 | 35 | 27 | 27 | 49 | 58 | 63 | | 89 | 62 |
| FRL | 28 | 29 | 30 | 19 | 24 | 39 | 44 | 50 | | 87 | 55 |

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---|------|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | TS&I |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 42 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 5 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 43 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 462 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 11 |
| Percent Tested | 98% |

Subgroup Data

| Students With Disabilities | |
|---|-----|
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 28 |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | 2 |

| English Language Learners | |
|--|-----|
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 38 |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |

| Native American Students | |
|---|-----|
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |

| Asian Students | |
|--|----------|
| | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Black/African American Students | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 31 |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 1 |
| Hispanic Students | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 44 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Multiracial Students | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | 37 |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| White Students | |
| | |
| Federal Index - White Students | 43 |
| Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | 43 NO |
| | |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | NO |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students | NO 0 |

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Student learning gains in ELA for students in the lowest 25% had the greatest gap when compared to the state average. Factors contributing to this gap include an increase in the enrollment of English Language Learners and a spike in the percentage of students with chronic absence from school from the previous year; up 5% from 13% in 2018-2019 to 18% in 2019-2020 school year.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Overall achievement in ELA for 9th grade showed the greatest decline from the prior year. In 2018-2019, 43% or students in grade 9 demonstrated proficiency on FSA ELA, compared to 33% of students scoring at an achievement level of 3 or higher on district progress monitoring assessment: STAR Reading. Factors that contributed to this decline are high teacher turnover in the ELA and Reading department.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Student learning gains in ELA for students in the lowest 25% had the greatest gap when compared to the state average. Factors contributing to this gap include an increase in the enrollment of English Language Learners and a spike in the percentage of students with chronic absence from school from the previous year; up 5% from 13% in 2018-2019 to 18% in 2019-2020 school year.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Overall achievement in Biology showed the most improvement. Maintaining consistency in teaching faculty for the 2019-2020 school year contributed to this increase. In addition, the utilization of student data on quarterly district progress monitoring assessments to make instructional decisions impacted student achievement.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

The overall areas of concern is Algebra, we are placing a higher focus on remediation for our students who are not performing in this subgroup.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. We are focusing our efforts on supporting Algebra and Geometry with an emphasis remediation and intervention.
- 2. ELA Learning Gains
- 3. ELA Achievement for the Lowest 25%
- 4. Math Learning Gains
- 5. Student Attendance

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

The attendance rate is important because students are more likely to

succeed in academics when they attend school consistently.

Measurable Outcome:

Island Coast High School will reduce the number of students with

attendance below 90% by 3% for 2020-2021.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Michelle Cort-Mora (michelleco@leeschools.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Administration and faculty will make parent contact after five unexcused

absences.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Research shows that parent communication can result in increased student

attendance.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Generate weekly attendance report

2. Meet with Intervention Specialist weekly

3. Make staff aware of attendance rates biweekly

4. Document parent communication in Castle

5. Parent conferences

Person Responsible Michelle Cort-Mora (michelleco@leeschools.net)

#2. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Discipline

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Students who are suspended from school are more likely to drop out of

school.

Measurable Outcome:

Island Coast High School will decrease the total number of students who

receive OSS by 10% for 2020-2021.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Winston Woods (winstonw@leeschools.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Administration, faculty, and staff will incorporate restorative practices

designed to improve the culture at Island Coast High School.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Administration will meet bimonthly with the intervention specialist and school

social worker to monitor discipline data in CASTLE.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Implement Safety and Supervision plan

2. Utilization of discipline card for minor offenses

3. Peer mediation

4. Quarterly preventive education outreach

Person Responsible Winston Woods (winstonw@leeschools.net)

#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Staff has identified ELA as a focus and stretches across all disciplines in our

building.

Measurable Outcome:

The percentage of students making learning gains in ELA will increase from to 37%

in 2018-2019 to 39% in 2020-2021.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Leigh Ann Cain (leighac@leeschools.net)

Evidence-based

Faculty members will use data from formative assessments to design tageted

Strategy: instruction.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Data driven instruction communicates clear, specific learning goals that focuses on goals that represent valuable educational outcomes with applicability beyond the

learning content.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Leadership Teams meet bimonthly to disaggregate progress monitoring data

2. Display progress monitoring data for staff and students

3. Student data chats

Person

Responsible

Leigh Ann Cain (leighac@leeschools.net)

#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Students' mathematical achievements in secondary school have an

influential effect on

their performance in college and their future careers.

Measurable Outcome:

The percentage of students making learning gains in Mathematics will

increase from to 28% in 2018-2019 to 30% in 2020-2021.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Leigh Ann Cain (leighac@leeschools.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Faculty members will use data from formative assessments to design

tageted instruction.

Rationale for Evidence-

based Strategy:

Using data driven instruction is a research-based strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Leadership Teams meet bimonthly to disaggregate progress monitoring data

2. Display progress monitoring data for staff and students

3. Student Data Chats

Person Responsible Leigh Ann Cain (leighac@leeschools.net)

#5. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups

Area of

Focus Students with Disabilities, English Language Learners, Black/African American, Multiracial,

Description

and Economically Disadvantaged students will be areas of focus in order to increase

student achievement based on data from FY19.

Rationale:

and

Measurable Outcome:

All ESSA subgroup performance data will increase to 42% in FY21.

Person responsible

for Michelle Cort-Mora (michelleco@leeschools.net)

monitoring outcome:

nonitorina

Evidencebased Strategy: Progress monitoring data in all areas will be used to drive instructional decisions during PLCs to increase supports for SWD, ELL, Black/African American, Multiracial, and Economically Disadvantaged students at Island Coast School. Social Emotional learning

opportunities will be utilized to increase social emotional wellness among our student body.

Rationale

Data driven decision making has been proven to be an effective strategy for increasing

student achievement.

for Evidencebased Strategy:

PLCs teams can make stronger connections with students to increase attendance and decrease discipline, which will improve student achievement. It is also important to focus on social and emotional wellness for our student body to increase their ability to focus on

learning.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Data driven PLCs to drive instruction
- 2. Analysis of discipline and attendance data during PLCs to increase supports
- 3. Provide social and emotional wellness learning opportunities to increase ability to focus on learning

Person Responsible

Michelle Cort-Mora (michelleco@leeschools.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

NA

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

We conduct SAC meetings via Zoom to give all stakeholders the opportunity to participate We share video link with stakeholders so that they are aware of what is happening within the school

The School District of Lee County is working toward certification of Marzano's High Reliability levels which is intended to produce a system that has high reliability and becomes transformational in its approach to educating its students. When a school has met the criterion indicators for a specific level in the model, it consistently monitors those indicators and makes immediate corrections when school performance falls below acceptable levels. The first level of school effectiveness is a Safe and Orderly Environment that Supports Cooperation and Collaboration. Our school is currently working through PLCs in leadership to bring forward the knowledge at the school level to begin our study of the leading indicators: (1) The faculty and staff perceive the school environment as safe and orderly. (2) Students, parents, and the community perceive the school environment as safe and orderly. (3) Teachers have formal roles in the decision-making process regarding school initiatives. (4) Teacher teams and collaborative groups regularly interact to address common issues regarding curriculum, assessment, instruction, and the achievement of all students (5) Teachers and staff have formal ways to provide input regarding the optimal functioning of the school. (6) Students, parents, and community have formal ways to provide input regarding the optimal functioning of the school. (7) The success of the whole school, as well as individuals within the school, is appropriately acknowledged (8) The fiscal, operational, and technological resources of the school are managed in a way that directly supports teachers. As this knowledge is put into action, our school will work with teachers, students, parents, and community members to engage in and study the indicators to ensure that the school culture is inclusive and positive.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Student Attendance | \$0.00 |
|---|--------|--|--------|
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Discipline | \$0.00 |
| 3 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA | \$0.00 |
| 4 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math | \$0.00 |
| 5 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups | \$0.00 |

Total:

\$0.00