St. Johns County School District

Ponte Vedra Palm Valley Rawlings Elementary School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Down and Ontiles of the OID	4
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	16
Positive Culture & Environment	19
Budget to Support Goals	0

Ponte Vedra Palm Valley Rawlings Elementary School

610 US HIGHWAY A1A N, Ponte Vedra Beach, FL 32082

http://www-pvmkr.stjohns.k12.fl.us/

Demographics

Principal: Jesse Gates

Start Date for this Principal: 8/28/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	12%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (82%) 2017-18: A (78%) 2016-17: A (78%) 2015-16: A (77%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	rmation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	<u>Cassandra Brusca</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the St. Johns County School Board on 2/16/2021.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
	_
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Ponte Vedra Palm Valley Rawlings Elementary School

610 US HIGHWAY A1A N, Ponte Vedra Beach, FL 32082

http://www-pvmkr.stjohns.k12.fl.us/

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID		2019-20 Title I Schoo	l Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	School	No		9%
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		17%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17
Grade	Α	Α	Α	Α

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the St. Johns County School Board on 2/16/2021.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The faculty and staff of PVPV/Rawlings Elementary support the St. Johns County School District's mission to inspire good character and a passion for lifelong learning in all students, creating educated and caring contributors to the world. We will "Work Hard, Be Kind, and Be Awesome!"

Provide the school's vision statement.

The faculty and staff of PVPV/Rawlings Elementary support the St. Johns County School District's vision statement that all students will abide by the six Pillars of Character: Citizenship, Responsibility, Trustworthiness, Fairness, Caring and Respect.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Nunes, Jennifer	Instructional Coach	The instructional literacy coach identifies and analyzes existing scientifically based curriculum and behavior assessment and intervention approaches. She identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole-school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, anddata analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; andprovides support for assessment and implementation monitoring. The ILC manages current MTSS student data and fidelity checks, and serves as key communicator of the MTSS process between teachers, parents, and students.
Whaley, Rebecca	Assistant Principal	The assistant principals evaluate and provide feedback to faculty about their instructional practices. Both assistant principals work closely with the principal and guidance counselors to evaluate and support all students identified by the Early Warning System, plus lower quartile achievers in reading and math, and develop academic and social/emotional support plans for struggling students to ensure nobody slips through the gaps. APs also are responsible for providing curriculum resources for all teachers, and for ensuring alignment between state standards and instructional practices. The Assistant principal also serves as LEA.
Hillier, Jill	Assistant Principal	The assistant principals evaluate and provide feedback to faculty about their instructional practices. Both assistant principals work closely with the principal and guidance counselors to evaluate and support all students identified by the Early Warning System, plus lower quartile achievers in reading and math, and develop academic and social/emotional support plans for struggling students to ensure nobody slips through the gaps. APs also are responsible for providing curriculum resources for all teachers, and for ensuring alignment between state standards and instructional practices. The assistant principal also serves as LEA.
Gates, Jesse	Principal	The school principal guides the vision for the use of data-based decision-making, provides continual oversight and support for the effective implementation of the MTSS process, and creates the framework for PLC team analysis of student achievement and instructional strategies for remediation and intervention. The principal also works closely with the school's Safety Committee to ensure the safety of all our school's 1,025 students, so that meaningful instruction can take place. The principal ensures that all staff comply with the district-wide school site standards.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Friday 8/28/2020, Jesse Gates

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

7

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

10

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

76

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	12%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (82%) 2017-18: A (78%) 2016-17: A (78%) 2015-16: A (77%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Infe	ormation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	

Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code	e. For more information, click here.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	142	156	170	167	204	185	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1024
Attendance below 90 percent	5	2	0	2	3	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
One or more suspensions	4	0	0	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Sunday 8/30/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	144	158	155	188	176	180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1001		
Attendance below 90 percent	2	5	6	6	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24		
One or more suspensions	2	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	1	8	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

The number of students identified as retainees:

In diagram	Grade Level												Tatal	
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	1	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	144	158	155	188	176	180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1001
Attendance below 90 percent	2	5	6	6	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
One or more suspensions	2	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	1	8	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	1	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	86%	75%	57%	85%	74%	55%		
ELA Learning Gains	80%	67%	58%	73%	64%	57%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	74%	59%	53%	61%	52%	52%		
Math Achievement	91%	77%	63%	89%	75%	61%		
Math Learning Gains	83%	69%	62%	84%	69%	61%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	75%	59%	51%	73%	60%	51%		
Science Achievement	86%	72%	53%	82%	69%	51%		

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey											
Indicator		Grade	Level (pri	or year re	ported)		Total				
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	Total				
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)				

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	88%	78%	10%	58%	30%
	2018	83%	78%	5%	57%	26%
Same Grade C	omparison	5%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	85%	77%	8%	58%	27%
	2018	82%	74%	8%	56%	26%
Same Grade C	omparison	3%				
Cohort Com	parison	2%				
05	2019	83%	76%	7%	56%	27%
	2018	82%	73%	9%	55%	27%
Same Grade C	omparison	1%				
Cohort Com	parison	1%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	88%	82%	6%	62%	26%

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018	83%	80%	3%	62%	21%
Same Grade C	omparison	5%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	95%	82%	13%	64%	31%
	2018	92%	83%	9%	62%	30%
Same Grade C	omparison	3%				
Cohort Com	parison	12%				
05	2019	89%	80%	9%	60%	29%
	2018	90%	79%	11%	61%	29%
Same Grade C	omparison	-1%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	-3%				

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019	85%	73%	12%	53%	32%
	2018	87%	73%	14%	55%	32%
Same Grade C	omparison	-2%				
Cohort Com	parison					

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	63	67	69	73	71	66	50				
ASN	89	85		94	92						
HSP	85	75		82	81	90					
MUL	86	71		91	86						
WHT	86	80	74	91	82	72	86				
FRL	79	78	75	82	73	67	68				
		2018	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	58	58	48	73	69	66	63				
ASN	86	73		95	87						
HSP	70	63	40	77	70	71	77				
MUL	88			88							
WHT	84	70	58	89	85	81	90				
FRL	79	67	58	83	74	76	89				

		2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	67	60	52	73	76	62	65				
ASN	82	79		91	92						
HSP	80	75	70	82	75	73	73				
MUL	94	50		88	100						
WHT	85	73	58	89	84	71	84				
FRL	75	61	56	84	73	65	57				

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	82
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	575
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	100%

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	66
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0

Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

A cian Cándanta	
Asian Students	0.0
Federal Index - Asian Students	90
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	83
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	84
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	82
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	75
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The math learning gains of the lowest quartile dropped 2% from 77 to 75 and our Science achievement dropped from 88 to 86. Major resources were committed to ELA lowest quartile students which may have contributed to the slight drop in Math learning gains of the lowest quartile.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The Math learning gains of the lowest quartile and the science achievement both dropped by 2 points.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Science scores were 33% higher than the state average and Math gains were 31% higher. A clear curriculum sequence in Science along with 4th and 5th grade subject rotations are potential factors in the Science gap. Math gains are also attributable to rotations and focus on PLC.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The ELA learning gains of the lowest quartile grew from 55 to 74. Major resources were committed to the Ramp Up for Reading camp for extra instruction for students in the lowest quartile.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

The increase in level 1 FSA scores from 3rd grade (.53%) to 4th grade (4.55%) and 5th grade (5%) is a potential area of concern.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Math Learning Gains of lowest 25%
- 2. ELA Learning Gains of lowest 25%
- 3. PBIS

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus

Description and Our math lowest quartile dropped slightly from 77 to 75.

Rationale:

Measurable
Outcome:

We want to increase lowest quartile learning gains from 75 to 77.

Person

responsible for monitoring outcome:

Jesse Gates (jesse.gates@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based

Strategy:

Our WIN time targets math skills for our lower quartile students. Our two SAI tutors will

also be scheduled to support our students with remediation and reteaching.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: We have implemented a one-hour PLC Wednesday program to allow for teachers to work with our ILC to identify students in lowest quartile, identify specific weaknesses,

and implement interventions in the classroom.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Identify students in lowest quartile

- 2. Identify weaknesses and implement interventions
- 3. SAI tutors provide interventions
- 4. Ramp up Camp
- 5. Monitor plan with iReady and grade level formative data

Person Responsible

Jennifer Nunes (jennifer.nunes@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of

Focus Description

The lowest quartile made significant improvement during 18-19 school year. To continue this momentum, we will continue focus on lowest quartile in reading.

and

Rationale: Measurable

Increase lowest quartile gains from 74 to 76.

Outcome: Person

responsible

for Jesse Gates (jesse.gates@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy: Our WIN times along with SAI tutors will be used to provide interventions for students in lowest quartile. Weekly PLC meetings taking place on Wednesdays will be devoted to identifying students in lowest quartile, identifying specific weaknesses, and planning interventions.

Rationale for

Evidencebased

Strategy:

The WIN time will focus on student intervention for lowest quartile. SAI tutors will provide

SIPPs interventions to students needing phonics instruction.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Identify lowest quartile
- 2. Identify weaknesses and implement interventions
- 3. Arrange ramp-up camp
- 4. Monitor progress with iReady

Person

Responsible L

[no one identified]

#3. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports

Area of

and

Focus
Description

The school's motto this year is "Work Hard, Be Kind, Be Awesome!" The rationale is to build a culture at school where students are rewarded for hard work, kindness, and excellence.

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome: The "Work Hard, Be Kind, Be Awesome!" and the Kindness crew will reduce the number of office referrals by 20%. We will have 7 Character Counts Banquets with parent invitation.

Person responsible

for Jesse Gates (jesse.gates@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Evidence- Kindness crew will be used to provide incentives for proper behavior. We will have 7 Character Counts award banquets throughout the year with parent invitations. Guidance **Strategy:** counselors will implement weekly Guidance lessons to grade levels.

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:

The Kindness Crew rewards students for positive behavior and provides incentives for students. Inviting parents to character counts banquets will make this award more meaningful. Explicit instruction from Guidance counselors will help teach students how to

behave and handle conflicts.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Now You Know Meetings held with grade levels to discuss school-wide expectations.
- 2. Tier 1 classroom lessons provided by school counselors
- 3. Kindness Crew implemented with fidelity by teachers and administrators
- 4. Plan 7 Character Counts Banquets for classroom representatives throughout the year

Person

Responsible [110]

[no one identified]

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Continue to monitor level 1 performance to ensure an appropriate academic achievement.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

The school's motto is "Work Hard, Be Kind, Be Awesome!" The rationale is to build a culture at school where students are rewarded for hard work, kindness, and excellence. Kindness crew will be used to provide incentives for proper behavior. We will have 7 Character Counts award banquets throughout the year with parent invitations. Guidance counselors will implement weekly Guidance lessons to grade levels.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.