

### 2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

# South Daytona Elementary School 600 ELIZABETH PL South Daytona, FL 32119 386-756-7180 http://myvolusiaschools.org/school/southdaytona/pages/default.aspx

### **School Demographics**

School TypeTitle IFree and Reduced Lunch RateElementary SchoolYes78%

Alternative/ESE Center Charter School Minority Rate
No No 43%

### **School Grades History**

 2013-14
 2012-13
 2011-12
 2010-11

 B
 D
 C
 B

### **SIP Authority and Template**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds, as marked by citations to the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridacims.org. Sections marked "N/A" by the user and any performance data representing fewer than 10 students or educators have been excluded from this document.

## **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
Differentiated Accountability	5
Part I: Current School Status	6
Part II: Expected Improvements	16
Goals Summary	20
Goals Detail	20
Action Plan for Improvement	23
Part III: Coordination and Integration	30
Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals	32
Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals	34

### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### Part I: Current School Status

Part I summarizes school leadership, staff qualifications and strategies for recruiting, mentoring and retaining strong teachers. The school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is described in detail to show how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs. The school also summarizes its efforts in a few specific areas, such as its use of increased learning time and strategies to support literacy, preschool transition and college and career readiness.

### **Part II: Expected Improvements**

Part II outlines school performance data in the prior year and sets numeric targets for the coming year in ten areas:

- 1. Reading
- 2. Writing
- 3. Mathematics
- 4. Science
- 5. Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)
- 6. Career and Technical Education (CTE)
- 7. Social Studies
- 8. Early Warning Systems (EWS)
- 9. Parental Involvement
- 10. Other areas of concern to the school

With this overview of the current state of the school in mind and the outcomes they hope to achieve, the planning team engages in an 8-Step Planning and Problem-Solving Process, through which they define and refine their goals (Step 1), identify and prioritize problems (barriers) keeping them from reaching those goals (Steps 2-3), design a plan to help them implement strategies to resolve those barriers (Steps 4-7), and determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8).

### Part III: Coordination and Integration

Part III is required for Title I schools and describes how federal, state and local funds are coordinated and integrated to ensure student needs are met.

### **Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals**

Appendix 1 is the professional development plan, which outlines any training or support needed for stakeholders to meet the goals.

### **Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals**

Appendix 2 is the budget needed to implement the strategies identified in the plan.

### **Differentiated Accountability**

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine school improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

### **DA Regions**

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

### **DA Categories**

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA currently A or B with no F in prior two years; all charter schools; all ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only currently A or B with at least one F in the prior two years
- Prevent currently C
- Focus currently D
  - Year 1 declined to D, or first-time graded schools receiving a D
  - Year 2 second consecutive D, or F followed by a D
  - Year 3 or more third or more consecutive D, or F followed by second consecutive D
- Priority currently F
  - Year 1 declined to F, or first-time graded schools receiving an F
  - Year 2 or more second or more consecutive F

### **DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses**

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F currently A-D with at least one F in the prior two years. SIP is monitored by FDOE.
- Post-Priority Planning currently A-D with an F in the prior year. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Planning Focus Year 2 and Priority Year 1. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Implementing Focus Year 3 or more and Priority Year 2 or more. District is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP).

### 2013-14 DA Category and Statuses

DA Category	Region	RED
Not in DA	N/A	N/A

Former F	Post-Priority Planning	Planning	Implementing TOP
No	No	No	No

### **Current School Status**

### **School Information**

#### School-Level Information

### **School**

South Daytona Elementary School

### **Principal**

Hollie Newnam

### **School Advisory Council chair**

Leslie Wiggins

### Names and position titles of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)

Name	Title
Greg Schwartz	Assistant Principal
Dr. Hollie Newnam	Principal
Leslie Wiggins	SAC Chair
Lianne Fernandez	Math Coach
Diane Casella	Reading Coach
Terri Lubas	Intermediate Teacher
Heather Conyers	Primary Teacher

### **District-Level Information**

### **District**

Volusia

### Superintendent

Dr. Margaret A Smith

### Date of school board approval of SIP

12/10/2013

### School Advisory Council (SAC)

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### Membership of the SAC

Susan Boulton - Teacher

Sherry Clifton - Parent

Sandi Kalwite - Parent

Charlene Kayea - Teacher

Tasha Merrell - \*\*Support Staff SAC Secretary

Amber Pesarchick - Parent

Negar Pflueger - Parent

Guy Remsburg - Parent

Trisha Scheuerman - Parent SAC Secretary

Erin Strauss - Parent

Karen Beard - Community Member Meredith Gasowski - Parent Dr. Hollie Newnam - Principal Patricia Edwards - Community Member Anthony LaBombard - Community Member Raymond Sanders - Parent Gregory Schwartz - Assistant Principal Leslie Wiggins - \*SAC Chair

### Involvement of the SAC in the development of the SIP

The SAC committee reviews the data from the previous school year and helps to determine what barriers exist to prevent us from reaching targets. Strategies to implement that can help the school make progress. Public input is collected and shared before the School Improvement Plan is finalized

### Activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year

SAC conducts meetings monthly. At our meetings, the committee will identify strengths and weaknesses of our school, discuss the Title I budget, facilities, safety, and minutes from the DAC meeting. SAC will have a district representative visit to discuss district initiatives. In the Spring, SAC will review the climate surveys to brainstorm needs of the school. In April/May, SAC will hold elections for the upcoming committee.

Projected use of school improvement funds, including the amount allocated to each project

Deescalating Potentially Violent Situations Guidance Conference - \$155

Compliance with section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the SAC In Compliance

If not in compliance, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements

### **Highly Qualified Staff**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### **Administrators**

### # of administrators

2

### # receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

#### Administrator Information:

Hollie Newnam		
Principal	Years as Administrator: 9	Years at Current School: 1
Credentials	ate University, 2001 al Leadership, 1993 cation, 1982 Levels	
Performance Record	2012 A School, AYP (79%R 77% 2011 A School, AYP 95% (89% F 50%M) 2010 A School, AYP 100% (88% 65%M) 2009 A School, AYP 97% (92%F 65%M) 2008 A School, AYP 97% (91%F 62%M) 2007 A School, AYP 100% (90% 71%M) 2006 A School, AYP 95% (87%F 2005 A School AYP 100% (89%)	R 85%M: 72%R 53%M: 60%R R 94%M: 70%R 74%M: 68%R R 95% M: 76%R 71%M: 64%R R 93%M: 70%R 78%M: 57%R R 88% M: 81%R 69%M: 80%R R 85%M: 69%R 70%M: 69%R)

Greg Schwartz		
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 2	Years at Current School: 2
Credentials	BA - Havover College MS - Nova Southern University	

### **Performance Record**

### **Instructional Coaches**

### # of instructional coaches

3

### # receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

### **Instructional Coach Information:**

Lianne Fernandez			
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 14	Years at Current School: 8	
Areas	Mathematics		
Credentials	Elementary Education 1-6 Bachelor's of Science Reading Endorsement K-12		
Performance Record	2011-2012 C School, (52%R,439R, 60%M) (LQ-58%R,60%-M) (AMO:2011-2012-56%R, ) (AMO:60%R) 2010-2011 B School, AYP-79%(M, 60%R, 66%M, 56%R, 69%MI 2009-2010 A School, AYP-82% (73%M, 63%R, 65%M, 54%R, 66%M, 70%R, 61%M,53%R, 59%M) 2007-2008 B School, AYP-97% (77%M, 69%R, 64%M, 63%R, 52%)	9: 20122013- 70%R, 72% K) (76%R, 5%M) 79%R, 75%	

Part-time / District-based	Years as Coach:	Years at Current School:
Areas	Reading/Literacy, Other	
Credentials		
Performance Record		

Anita Watson		
Part-time / District-based	Years as Coach:	Years at Current School: 1
Areas	Reading/Literacy	
Credentials	Elementary Education 1-6 Reading Endorsement K-12	

### **Performance Record**

Diane Casella		
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 1	Years at Current School: 1
Areas	[none selected]	
Credentials		
Performance Record		

### **Classroom Teachers**

### # of classroom teachers

58

### # receiving effective rating or higher

0, 0%

### # Highly Qualified Teachers

100%

### # certified in-field

0,0%

#### # ESOL endorsed

30, 52%

### # reading endorsed

11, 19%

### # with advanced degrees

16, 28%

#### # National Board Certified

3,5%

### # first-year teachers

3, 5%

### # with 1-5 years of experience

8, 14%

### # with 6-14 years of experience

16, 28%

### # with 15 or more years of experience

31, 53%

### **Education Paraprofessionals**

### # of paraprofessionals

4

### # Highly Qualified

4, 100%

#### **Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies**

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

# Strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible

New Teacher Mentoring - Administration Leadership Opportunities - Administration Professional Development - Administration & Coaches PLC Activities - Grade level mentor & peers Peer Observation - ISTOAs, Coaches

#### **Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

# Teacher mentoring program/plan, including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities

New teachers are assigned a mentor for their first year. The mentor teacher, usually the department/ grade level chair and hall neighbor is there to assist the new teacher and help them familiarize themselves with the school procedures and curriculum. A full time reading coach provides additional support, models lessons in the classroom, provides professional development activities and uses the coaching cycle to help improves the instructional practices of the teachers in the school. A full time math coach provides additional support, models lessons in the classroom, provides professional development activities and uses the coaching cycle to help improve the instructional practices of the teachers in the school. An IS-TOA, or instructional support teacher on assignment visits the school several days a week and assists classroom teachers in delivering quality instruction.

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl)

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making by promoting the Volusia Instructional Management System. Ensures that educators are implementing the district's Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) accessible through the K-12 curriculum link of the webpage and the VCS Problem Solving /Rti model(i.e., Problem Identification, Analysis of problem, Intervention Implementation and Response to Intervention)for those students who do not respond effectively to core instruction. For those students who do not respond positively to interventions beyond core, ensure that the school's Problem Solving Team (PST) is accessed as needed. Ensure adequate professional development is scheduled for faculty.

School Psychologists will provide/facilitate training on skill building and understanding of the components of PS/Rtl. Support the schools's team in the completion of resource mapping (academic and behavioral) with focus on standard protocol interventions in order to enhance implementation of PS/Rtl. Communicates with parents through school newsletters, relevant meetings, and the sharing of the parent link of the VCS Problem Solving/Rtl website (under Psychological Services) in order to address the purpose of PS/Rtl in meeting student needs and to address frequently asked parental questions. In addition, parents are provided information about PS/Rtl at PST meetings.

# Function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to MTSS and the SIP

School Psychologist: Assists schools in interpreting individual, class-wide, grade-level and school-wide data in order to develop appropriate targeted interventions linked to the academic or emotional/behavioral problem. Ensure that on-going progress monitoring is in place in the area of intervention to most appropriately determine the student's response to intervention. Provides professional development to staff on PS/Rtl.

General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate): Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier2/3 activities. Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction and collaborates with general education teacher. Encompasses Problem Solving/Rtl practices when addressing the needs of ESE students with a focus on potential reintegration into General Education based on data.

Intervention Teacher: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening

programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Academic Coaches: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and

delivery of professional development, and provides support for assessment and implementation and monitoring.

In-school Teachers on Assignment: In-school teachers on assignement provide classroom lesson support in intermediate and primary grades

# Systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP

School-based support for MTSS will be provided by the District MTSS Leadership Team. In turn, the school-based MTSS Leadership team will disseminate relevant MTSS information to teachers and parents. Data-based meetings throughout the school year will identify those students in need of academic and/or behavioral supports

# Data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement

VIMS provides evidence of performance in core instruction across content areas. In addition, information gleaned from FAIR assessments, DRAs, OPM probes, interim assessments and FCAT provide valuable information regarding reading performance for both individuals and groups of students. Interim assessments and FCAT also provide critical information regarding students performance in the areas of mathematics, science, and writing. rReports provide further information regarding performance by both individual and groups of students (disaggregated by specific groups) in order to inform instruction and intervention. Behavioral expectations are communicated by the school to all students and parents. Those students who do not obtain proficiency in behavioral expectations are provided supports and interventions matched to student need. Office discipline data are maintained and monitored by the school site. Tier 2 and Tier 3 supports/interventions and the response to these interventions are entered into the electronic PST system. Summary reports within the system are available to MTSS school-based leadership (i.e. the Principal, PST Chair, and school psychologist.)

# Plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents

Professional development will be provided to staff through faculty meetings, grade level meetings, and individual teacher and parent consultations in order to scale up understanding of PS/Rtl. School-wide training is provided by members of the School Psychological Services department. Training modules for each step of the Problem Solving/Rtl as well as an overview of PST/Rtl is accessible through the PS/Rtl link on the Psychological Services link of the district website. Specific training is provided on intervention design, data collection, and development of hypotheses and goal statements. School staff has access to web-based state training on PS/Rtl. Job-embedded learning through academic and behavioral data analysis and progress monitoring will enhance the acquisition and application of PS/Rtl. School-based support for MTSS will be provided by the District MTSS Leadership Team. In turn, the school-

#### based MTSS

Leadership team will disseminate relevant MTSS information to teachers and parents. Data-based meetings throughout the school year will identify those students in need of academic and/or behavioral supports. Furthermore, based on this databased decision making, supports will be implemented and monitored. School-specific reports, such as those available in VIMS, will facilitate the development of a data-based MTSS framework. This data, in conjunction with identified school-based tiered resources, will ensure that a Multi-Tiered System of Supports is an overeaching framework that guides the school.

### **Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II)-(III), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:

**Strategy:** Before or After School Program

Minutes added to school year: 1,800

Utilizing the research based I-diagnose software, targeted students will receive tutoring through the STAR program. Students are selected based on need and receive direct support from a tutor (certified teacher) in a 2 to 1 ratio. Students spend 30 minutes on the computer program, completing prescribed activities and they also spend 30 minutes in an individualized tutoring session with the teacher tutor.

#### Strategy Purpose(s)

· Instruction in core academic subjects

### How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Data is collected through the computer program that students use during the tutoring session. They are able to use the program 30 minutes per tutoring session. The prescribed program on the computer allows students to work on individualized areas needing support and receive direct instruction from the tutor for 30 minutes during each session. Classroom data can also be analyzed for growth as well as district assessments on achievement series

### Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Mrs. Susan Boulton, 4th Grade teacher is the chair of the STAR tutoring program

**Strategy:** Before or After School Program **Minutes added to school year:** 1,200

Students will be targeted for academic tutoring in reading and math.

Students are selected based on need and receive direct support from a tutor (certified teacher) in a small group setting. Students spend the time in small groups working on specific skills in areas where they show a deficit.

### Strategy Purpose(s)

· Instruction in core academic subjects

### How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Teacher tutors will collect data on student progress. Classroom assessment data will be reviewed through grade level PLCs to determine if the tutoring is effective or if tutoring sessions need to be adjusted

### Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Mrs. Merrell, a 5th grade teacher is the chair of this tutoring program. Teacher/tutors will also be responsible

### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

### Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

Name	Title
Heather Conyers	Teacher
Beverly Drexler	Teacher
Jody Leone	Teacher
Amy Wadsworth	Teacher
Teresa Lubas	Teacher
Sunnie Merrell	Teacher
Lianne Fernandez	Math Coach
Leslie Wiggins	Math Intervention Teacher
Hollie Newnam	Principal
Jan Pivec	Teacher

### How the school-based LLT functions

The LLT meets monthly to discuss and communicate strategies and information necessary to promote student learning at higher levels. This team functions as a liaison between the administration and grade level teams. Leaders will disseminate information from administration to their various teams at weekly meetings.

### Major initiatives of the LLT

To focus grade level teams on the school's SIP goal of: All teachers will implement effective teaching instruction aligned to standards through the Gradual Release Model including strategies and accommodations for Economically Disadvantaged Students.

#### **Preschool Transition**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(G) and 1115(c)(1)(D), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

# Strategies for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs

The District, in conjunction with the local Head Start agency, Early Learning Colition, VPK Sites and other local pre-school facilities, coordinates efforts to promote continuity of services and effective transitions for children and their families. These include:

- \* Providing the opportunity for ongoing communication between agencies to facilitate coordination of programs and shared expectations for children's learning and development as the children transition to elementary school.
- \* Collaborating and participating in joint professional development, including transition-related training for school staff and pre-school staff when feasible.
- \* Providing to the pre-school agencies kindergarten registration, kindergarten orientation and other relevant information to ease the transition of children and families.

### **Expected Improvements**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### Area 1: Reading

# Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	60%	53%	No	64%
American Indian				
Asian				
Black/African American	44%	39%	No	50%
Hispanic	64%	52%	No	68%
White	65%	57%	No	69%
English language learners				
Students with disabilities	36%	18%	No	42%
Economically disadvantaged	53%	50%	No	58%

### Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	96	31%	36%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	66	21%	33%

### Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		15%
Students scoring at or above Level 7	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		85%

### **Learning Gains**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA)	112	56%	61%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0)	30	59%	62%

### **Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	13	48%	53%
Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		24%
Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		23%

### Area 2: Writing

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	<b>2014 Target</b> %
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5	30	25%	30%
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at or above Level 4	[data excluded fo	r privacy reasons]	100%

### Area 3: Mathematics

### **Elementary and Middle School Mathematics**

### Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	95	30%	35%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	50	16%	21%

### **Learning Gains**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Learning Gains	125	63%	68%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and EOC)	29	55%	60%

### Area 4: Science

### **Elementary School Science**

### Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	31	33%	36%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	25	27%	30%

### Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		0%
Students scoring at or above Level 7	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		0%

### Area 5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM)

#### **All Levels**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target
# of STEM-related experiences provided for students (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs)	2		2
Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students	16	100%	100%

### Area 8: Early Warning Systems

### **Elementary School Indicators**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time			
Students retained, pursuant to s. 1008.25, F.S.	35	6%	4%
Students who are not proficient in reading by third grade	60	55%	50%
Students who receive two or more behavior referrals	76	8%	6%
Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that lead to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S.	57	6%	5%

### **Area 9: Parent Involvement**

Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### Parental involvement targets for the school

The School Advisory Council is comprised of parents, faculty, administration and members of the community. The members are appointed or approved by the committee. This group meets monthly to help plan, review, and improve the Title 1 program and budget, the Parent Involvement Plan and the School Improvement Plan. Minutes of each meeting are kept by the SAC Chairman. The Title 1 program overview is presented in conjunction with the general PTA meeting on the night of Open House. Parent input and feedback is encouraged. Sign in sheets are used to document attendance at all events Refer to Parent Involvement Plan

### **Specific Parental Involvement Targets**

Target	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Refer to PIP			

### **Goals Summary**

Teachers will implement effective teaching instruction aligned to standards through the Gradual Release of Responsibility Model.

### **Goals Detail**

# **G1.** Teachers will implement effective teaching instruction aligned to standards through the Gradual Release of Responsibility Model.

### **Targets Supported**

- All Areas
- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- Math (Elementary and Middle School, Elementary and Middle AMO's, Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0, Elementary and Middle FAA, Elementary and Middle Learning Gains, Middle School Acceleration, High School, High School AMO's, High School FAA, High School FAA, High School Postsecondary Readiness)
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- · Social Studies
- U.S. History EOC
- Civics EOC
- Science
- Science Elementary School
- Science Middle School
- Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- STEM All Levels
- STEM High School
- CTE
- · Parental Involvement
- EWS
- EWS Elementary School
- · EWS Middle School
- EWS High School
- · EWS Graduation
- · Additional Targets

### Resources Available to Support the Goal

Coaches – Reading, Math • ISTOA – All Academic areas • District Writing Coach • Math intervention/built in intervention time • Title I in school math tutoring • After school tutoring • Parent liaison • Parent Involvement Education • Title I funds • STAR tutoring funds • Thinking Maps school wide • Write from the Beginning school wide • Professional Development – Gradual

Release of Responsibility Model • Vertical Teaming • Data Chats • PLC • 2 Thinking Math Trainers on site & 36 Teachers Thinking Math Trained • Robotics Club

### **Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- · Fifty percent of the faculty is either new to grade level or new to the faculty.
- · Academic learning time
- Classroom management

### Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Individual quarterly data chats, grade level/team data chats monthly

### Person or Persons Responsible

Administration, Coaches, Psychologist, Teachers, PST Chair, Math Intervention Teachers

### **Target Dates or Schedule:**

October 2, 2013; November 6, 2013; December 4, 2013; January 8, 2014; February 8, 2014; March 5, 2014

### **Evidence of Completion:**

Individual teacher data, PST notebooks, data collection flow chart, Administration and PST calendars

### **Action Plan for Improvement**

#### **Problem Solving Key**

**G** = Goal

**B** = Barrier

**S** = Strategy

**G1.** Teachers will implement effective teaching instruction aligned to standards through the Gradual Release of Responsibility Model.

**G1.B1** Fifty percent of the faculty is either new to grade level or new to the faculty.

**G1.B1.S1** Through Early Release Professional Development, provide directions, examples and instruction in the components of the Gradual Release of Responsibility Model.

### **Action Step 1**

**Professional Development** 

### Person or Persons Responsible

Administration, Coaches

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

October 2, 2013; November 6, 2013; December 4, 2013; January 8, 2014; February 8, 2014; March 5, 2014

### **Evidence of Completion**

Artifacts, agenda for PD, sign in sheets, classroom visits

#### Facilitator:

School Based Leadership Team

### **Participants:**

All Faculty

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1

Design and deliver Professional Development in quality instruction, quarterly data chats and Gradual Release model.

### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Onging

### **Evidence of Completion**

PLC and grade level agendas and minutes, walk-throughs, VSET conferences, posted objectives

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B1.S1

Design and deliver Professional Development in quality instruction, quarterly data chats and Gradual Release model.

### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration, coaches, ISTOAs

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Ongoing

### **Evidence of Completion**

Exit slips, students artifacts, thinking maps, Interactive Student Notebook,

**G1.B1.S2** Provide collaborative learning time for teachers within their grade levels to create a lesson plan with the components of the Gradual Release of Responsibility along with sharing of best practices in the four components of the model.

### **Action Step 1**

Coaching support through modeling and classroom visits

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Reading, Math Coaches, ISTOA, Administration

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Ongoing

### **Evidence of Completion**

Teacher reflections, Coaches log, Outlook Calendar, Teacher lesson plans, script takes,

**Facilitator:** 

Coaches

#### **Participants:**

All Faculty

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S2

Coaching support through modeling and classroom visits

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration, Reading, Math Coaches, ISTOA

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Ongoing

### **Evidence of Completion**

Walk throughs, observations, lesson plans, PLC/grade level agenda/minutes, fidelity tool checkoff

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B1.S2

Coaching support through modeling and classroom visits

### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Quarterly

### **Evidence of Completion**

Walk through, PLC/grade level agenda and minutes, artifacts

### **G1.B2** Academic learning time

**G1.B2.S1** Communication during PLC, faculty meeting, professional development time, weekly Dragon Notes, weekly grade level meeting, monitor curriculum map & progress monitoring calendar

### **Action Step 1**

Communicate during PLC, faculty meeting, professional development time, weekly Dragon Notes, weekly grade level meeting, monitor curriculum map and progress monitoring calendar to remind teachers to adhere to the academic learning time.

### Person or Persons Responsible

Leadership Team, Administration, Coaches, District Staff

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Ongoing weekly

### **Evidence of Completion**

Agendas, sign in sheets, minutes, observations, walk-throughs, lesson plans, daily objectives posted

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B2.S1

Communicate during PLC, faculty meeting, professional development time, weekly Dragon Notes, weekly grade level meeting, monitor curriculum map and progress monitoring calendar to remind teachers to adhere to the academic learning time.

### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administrators, District Staff

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Weekly

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Weekly Dragon notes, PLC/grade level agendas and minutes, lesson plans

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B2.S1

Communicate during PLC, faculty meeting, professional development time, weekly Dragon Notes, weekly grade level meeting, monitor curriculum map and progress monitoring calendar to remind teachers to adhere to the academic learning time.

### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration, District Staff

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Weekly

### **Evidence of Completion**

Debriefing/reflections from district staff, PLC minutes, Walk-throughs, lesson plans

### **G1.B3** Classroom management

**G1.B3.S1** Positive Behavior Strategy team (PBS) that identifies school needs in order to develop an effective school plan.

### **Action Step 1**

Establish a Positive Behavior Strategy team (PBS) that will identify needs, develop a school wide plan and train all stakeholders.

### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Primary teacher, intermediate teacher, Administration, ESE teacher, Guidance Counselor, Psychologist, Special Area teacher, and Behavior Specialist

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Begin 10/21/13, ongoing

### **Evidence of Completion**

Agendas, minutes

#### **Facilitator:**

School Psychologist

### **Participants:**

**PBS Team** 

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B3.S1

Establish a Positive Behavior Strategy team (PBS) that will identify needs, develop a school wide plan and train all stakeholders.

### **Person or Persons Responsible**

**PBS** Team members

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

### **Evidence of Completion**

Written communication, grade level minutes, calendar of meeting times

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B3.S1

A list of school needs, action steps for implementation.

### **Person or Persons Responsible**

**PBS Team** 

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

ongoing

### **Evidence of Completion**

Meeting agendas, list of needs, action steps

### **Coordination and Integration**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

# How federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs are coordinated and integrated at the school

- \*MathIntervention Teacher to provide interventions for students in need via a push-in model
- \*Math Coach for the purpose of comprehensive staff development
- \*Reading Coach for the purpose of comprehensive staff development
- \*Supplemental Tutoring after school
- \*Supplemental Materials and supplies needed to close the achievement gap
- \*Supplemental funds for on-going staff development as determined by the results of FCAT data
- \*Supplemental Funds for comprehensive staff development
- \*No Migrant Students
- \*Title 1, Part D The district receives funds to support the N & D programs to accelerate the rate of student achievement and close the achievement gaps for students in these programs. Services are coordinated with district DJJ and Neglected programs. Students are transitioned from DJJ centers back into the district schools with a transition plan to ensure academic and social success.
- \*Title II The district receives federal funds to provide access to Professional Development
- \*Title III The District ESOL Coordinator and staff provide ongoing support and Professional Development to teachers to ensure instructional best practices are utilized. Teachers consistently progress monitor the ELL students to identify specific needs, target interventions/enrichments to ensure the appropriate pathway toward graduation.
- \*Title X Homeless The school works closely with Pam Woods, Title X Coordinator, to ensure that homeless students have the materials and resources they need to be successful.
- \*Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) The district provides remedial and supplemental instructional resources to students who fail to meet performance levels. South Daytona Elementary utilizes these resources through the following:
- -After School Tutoring in Math
- -After School Tutoring in Reading
- \*Violence Prevention Programs
- -Student Mentoring Program
- -Peer Mediation Program
- -Crisis Training Program
- -Suicide Prevention Program
- -Bully Prevention Program
- -SHINES
- \*Nutrition Programs
- -Free and Reduced Meal Plan
- -Wellness Policy School Plan
- -Nutritional Choice Plan
- -Health Classes through physical education
- -Girls on the Run
- \*Housing Programs N/A
- \*Head Start The District, in conjunction with the Head Start agency serving the community, coordinates efforts to promote continuity of services and effective transitions for children and their families. These include:
- -Providing the opportunity for ongoing channels of communication with Head Start to facilitate coordination of programs and for shared expectations for children's learning and development as the children transition to elementary school.
- -Assisting in the development of a systematic procedure for transferring, with parental consent, Head Start program records, for each participating child to the school in which such child will enroll.

- -Collaborating and participating in joint Professional Development, including transition-related training for school staff and Head Start staff when feasible.
- -Coordinating the services being provided by Head Start with services in elementary schools.
- -Providing to the Head Start agency local public school policies, kindergarten registration and other relevant information to ease the transition of children and families from Head Start.
- \*Adult Education N/A
- \*Career and Technical Education Annual Junior Achievement Program.
- \*Job Training Student career awareness opportunities through Jr. Achievement programs, guest speakers from business and industry, and field trips to business and industry locations.

### **Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals**

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

**G1.** Teachers will implement effective teaching instruction aligned to standards through the Gradual Release of Responsibility Model.

**G1.B1** Fifty percent of the faculty is either new to grade level or new to the faculty.

**G1.B1.S1** Through Early Release Professional Development, provide directions, examples and instruction in the components of the Gradual Release of Responsibility Model.

### PD Opportunity 1

**Professional Development** 

#### **Facilitator**

School Based Leadership Team

### **Participants**

All Faculty

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

October 2, 2013; November 6, 2013; December 4, 2013; January 8, 2014; February 8, 2014; March 5, 2014

### **Evidence of Completion**

Artifacts, agenda for PD, sign in sheets, classroom visits

Page 32 of 35

**G1.B1.S2** Provide collaborative learning time for teachers within their grade levels to create a lesson plan with the components of the Gradual Release of Responsibility along with sharing of best practices in the four components of the model.

### PD Opportunity 1

Coaching support through modeling and classroom visits

**Facilitator** 

Coaches

**Participants** 

All Faculty

**Target Dates or Schedule** 

Ongoing

**Evidence of Completion** 

Teacher reflections, Coaches log, Outlook Calendar, Teacher lesson plans, script takes,

### **G1.B3** Classroom management

**G1.B3.S1** Positive Behavior Strategy team (PBS) that identifies school needs in order to develop an effective school plan.

### PD Opportunity 1

Establish a Positive Behavior Strategy team (PBS) that will identify needs, develop a school wide plan and train all stakeholders.

**Facilitator** 

School Psychologist

**Participants** 

PBS Team

Target Dates or Schedule

Begin 10/21/13, ongoing

**Evidence of Completion** 

Agendas, minutes

### **Appendix 2: Budget to Support School Improvement Goals**

### **Budget Summary by Goal**

Goal	Description	Total
	Total	\$0

### **Budget Summary by Funding Source and Resource Type**

Funding Source	Evidence-Based Program	Total	
		\$0	\$0
Total		\$0	\$0

### **Budget Details**

Budget items identified in the SIP as necessary to achieve the school's goals.

**G1.** Teachers will implement effective teaching instruction aligned to standards through the Gradual Release of Responsibility Model.

**G1.B1** Fifty percent of the faculty is either new to grade level or new to the faculty.

**G1.B1.S1** Through Early Release Professional Development, provide directions, examples and instruction in the components of the Gradual Release of Responsibility Model.

### **Action Step 1**

Professional Development

**Resource Type** 

**Evidence-Based Program** 

Resource

**Funding Source** 

**Amount Needed** 

**G1.B1.S2** Provide collaborative learning time for teachers within their grade levels to create a lesson plan with the components of the Gradual Release of Responsibility along with sharing of best practices in the four components of the model.

### **Action Step 1**

Coaching support through modeling and classroom visits

### **Resource Type**

Evidence-Based Program

Resource

**Funding Source** 

**Amount Needed** 

### **G1.B3** Classroom management

**G1.B3.S1** Positive Behavior Strategy team (PBS) that identifies school needs in order to develop an effective school plan.

### **Action Step 1**

Establish a Positive Behavior Strategy team (PBS) that will identify needs, develop a school wide plan and train all stakeholders.

Resource Type

Evidence-Based Program

Resource

**Funding Source** 

**Amount Needed**