



Pam Stewart, Commissioner

## 2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

---

---

### Felix A Williams Elementary School

401 NW BAKER RD

Stuart, FL 34994

772-219-1640

[fawe.sbmc.org](http://fawe.sbmc.org)

---

## School Demographics

---

<b>School Type</b> Elementary School	<b>Title I</b> No	<b>Free and Reduced Lunch Rate</b> 36%
<b>Alternative/ESE Center</b> No	<b>Charter School</b> No	<b>Minority Rate</b> 25%

---

## School Grades History

---

<b>2013-14</b> C	<b>2012-13</b> B	<b>2011-12</b> B	<b>2010-11</b> A
---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------

---

## SIP Authority and Template

---

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds, as marked by citations to the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridacims.org>. Sections marked "N/A" by the user and any performance data representing fewer than 10 students or educators have been excluded from this document.

---

**Table of Contents**

---

<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Differentiated Accountability</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Part I: Current School Status</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Part II: Expected Improvements</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Goals Summary</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Goals Detail</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Action Plan for Improvement</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Part III: Coordination and Integration</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>34</b>

---

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

---

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

### Part I: Current School Status

---

Part I summarizes school leadership, staff qualifications and strategies for recruiting, mentoring and retaining strong teachers. The school’s Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is described in detail to show how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs. The school also summarizes its efforts in a few specific areas, such as its use of increased learning time and strategies to support literacy, preschool transition and college and career readiness.

### Part II: Expected Improvements

---

Part II outlines school performance data in the prior year and sets numeric targets for the coming year in ten areas:

1. Reading
2. Writing
3. Mathematics
4. Science
5. Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)
6. Career and Technical Education (CTE)
7. Social Studies
8. Early Warning Systems (EWS)
9. Parental Involvement
10. Other areas of concern to the school

With this overview of the current state of the school in mind and the outcomes they hope to achieve, the planning team engages in an 8-Step Planning and Problem-Solving Process, through which they define and refine their goals (Step 1), identify and prioritize problems (barriers) keeping them from reaching those goals (Steps 2-3), design a plan to help them implement strategies to resolve those barriers (Steps 4-7), and determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8).

### Part III: Coordination and Integration

---

Part III is required for Title I schools and describes how federal, state and local funds are coordinated and integrated to ensure student needs are met.

### Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals

---

Appendix 1 is the professional development plan, which outlines any training or support needed for stakeholders to meet the goals.

### Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals

---

Appendix 2 is the budget needed to implement the strategies identified in the plan.

## Differentiated Accountability

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine school improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

### DA Regions

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

### DA Categories

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA – currently A or B with no F in prior two years; all charter schools; all ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only – currently A or B with at least one F in the prior two years
- Prevent – currently C
- Focus – currently D
  - Year 1 – declined to D, or first-time graded schools receiving a D
  - Year 2 – second consecutive D, or F followed by a D
  - Year 3 or more – third or more consecutive D, or F followed by second consecutive D
- Priority – currently F
  - Year 1 – declined to F, or first-time graded schools receiving an F
  - Year 2 or more – second or more consecutive F

### DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F – currently A-D with at least one F in the prior two years. SIP is monitored by FDOE.
- Post-Priority Planning – currently A-D with an F in the prior year. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Planning – Focus Year 2 and Priority Year 1. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Implementing – Focus Year 3 or more and Priority Year 2 or more. District is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP).

### 2013-14 DA Category and Statuses

DA Category	Region	RED
Not in DA	N/A	N/A

  

Former F	Post-Priority Planning	Planning	Implementing TOP
No	No	No	No

## Current School Status

### School Information

#### School-Level Information

##### School

Felix A Williams Elem School

##### Principal

Howard Marder

##### School Advisory Council chair

Karla Preissman

#### Names and position titles of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)

Name	Title
Deborah Riley	Assistant Principal
Marissa Recchiuti	Kindergarten Team Leader
Donna Robinson	First Grade Team Leader
Deborah Hammock	Second Grade Team Leader
Lisa Careccia	Third Grade Team Leader
Eileen Torounian	Fourth Grade Team Leader
Kerry Soviero	Fifth Grade Team Leader
Jennifer Chevalier	ESE Team Leader
Kim Higgins	Related Arts Team Leader

#### District-Level Information

##### District

Martin

##### Superintendent

Mrs. Laurie Gaylord

##### Date of school board approval of SIP

Pending

### School Advisory Council (SAC)

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### Membership of the SAC

Howard Marder, Principal  
 Deb Riley, Assistant Principal  
 Karla Preissman, SAC Chair  
 Michelle McSweeney, SAC Secretary  
 Lisa Careccia, DAC Representative and Teacher  
 Leslie Crouch, PTA President  
 Donna Decina, Parent

Casey Vasko, Parent  
Bethany Rees, Teacher  
Diane Gumbinner, Parent  
Melissa Garcia, Parent  
Katie Thomas, Parent and Substitute Teacher  
Thuy Tsang, Parent  
Scott Armstrong, Parent  
Erinn Gordon, Parent  
Colleen Dougherty, Teacher  
George Rivera, Parent  
Leigh Anne Proctor, Teacher  
Bridget Freeman, Teacher  
Daryn Schwartz, Parent  
Mary Rose Bressman, Business Partner and Parent  
Susan Reynolds, Teacher  
Alanna Horn, Parent  
Kaija Mayfield, Parent  
Kelly Ellis, Parent  
Angela Hayes, Business Partner and Parent

### **Involvement of the SAC in the development of the SIP**

SAC will review the plan and offer feedback before submission as well as provide financial support to help implement the strategies and interventions. In addition, our SAC Chairperson completed the SAC portion of the plan.

### **Activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year**

Our SAC will hold monthly meetings that shall include:

- Staff updates on the implementation of our School Improvement Plan
- Reports from the District Advisory Council representative
- A Principal's Report on safety, student achievement, and school news
- Updates on the implementation of Common Core Standards and progress of the PARCC assessment
- Discussions on topics relevant to school issues that arise
- Funding of requests that are presented, discussed, and voted on
- Presentations from guest speakers on areas of interest to the SAC

### **Projected use of school improvement funds, including the amount allocated to each project**

As of this time, SAC has only allocated \$2000 of the budget, which will cover the costs of substitutes for K-2 teachers attending professional development in the area of Guided Reading.

### **Compliance with section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the SAC**

In Compliance

### **If not in compliance, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements**

## **Highly Qualified Staff**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### **Administrators**

**# of administrators**

2

**# receiving effective rating or higher**

(not entered because basis is < 10)

**Administrator Information:**

**Howard Marder**

Principal

Years as Administrator: 23

Years at Current School: 8

**Credentials**

Degrees:  
 EdS Educational Leadership  
 MS Reading  
 BS Elementary Education  
 Certifications:  
 School Principal  
 Educational Leadership  
 Gifted Education  
 Elementary Education  
 Endorsements:  
 Reading Endorsement K-12  
 ESOL Endorsement K-12

**Performance Record**

2013- Grade B  
 Mastery: Reading 70 %; Math 74%;  
 Science 66%; Writing 60%  
 Lowest Quartile Gains: Math 64%;  
 Reading 48%  
 Gains: Math 60%; Reading 63%  
 2012- Grade A  
 Mastery: Reading 79%; Math 79%;  
 Science 79%; Writing 89%  
 2011- Grade A  
 Mastery: Reading 88 %; Math 90%;  
 Science 66%; Writing 88%  
 Did not meet AYP (92% of criteria met)  
 2010- Grade A  
 Mastery: Reading 88%; Math 83%;  
 Science 62%; Writing 87%  
 Did not meet AYP (90% of criteria met)  
 2009- Grade A  
 Mastery: Reading 91%; Math 87%;  
 Science 62%; Writing 93%



<b>Deborah Riley</b>		
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 1	Years at Current School: 1
<b>Credentials</b>	Degrees: B/S Elementary Education Gr.1-6 B/S Exceptional Student Education K-12 MA Educational Leadership Certifications: Educational Leadership Elementary Education Gr.1-6 Endorsements: Reading Endorsement K-12 ESOL Endorsement K-12	
<b>Performance Record</b>	NA	

**Instructional Coaches**

<b># of instructional coaches</b>	2
<b># receiving effective rating or higher</b> (not entered because basis is < 10)	
<b>Instructional Coach Information:</b>	

<b>Lauren Gifford</b>		
Full-time / District-based	Years as Coach: 5	Years at Current School: 5
<b>Areas</b>	Reading/Literacy	
<b>Credentials</b>	Elementary Education Family & Consumer Science Reading Endorsement K-12 ESOL Endorsement K-12	
<b>Performance Record</b>	NA	

<b>Christine Cline</b>		
Full-time / District-based	Years as Coach: 4	Years at Current School: 1
<b>Areas</b>	Rtl/MTSS	
<b>Credentials</b>	Elementary Education Exceptional Student Education	
<b>Performance Record</b>	NA	

**Classroom Teachers**

**# of classroom teachers**

44

**# receiving effective rating or higher**

44, 100%

**# Highly Qualified Teachers**

100%

**# certified in-field**

42, 95%

**# ESOL endorsed**

33, 75%

**# reading endorsed**

7, 16%

**# with advanced degrees**

16, 36%

**# National Board Certified**

6, 14%

**# first-year teachers**

0, 0%

**# with 1-5 years of experience**

15, 34%

**# with 6-14 years of experience**

17, 39%

**# with 15 or more years of experience**

8, 18%

**Education Paraprofessionals**

**# of paraprofessionals**

7

**# Highly Qualified**

7, 100%

**Other Instructional Personnel**

**# of instructional personnel not captured in the sections above**

0

**# receiving effective rating or higher**

(not entered because basis is < 10)

**Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies**

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

**Strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible**

Conduct targeted selection of new teachers, including the use of interview teams comprised of stakeholders.

### **Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### **Teacher mentoring program/plan, including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities**

Seasoned teachers are partnered with new hires to train, support and help them acclimate to the school environment.

Joni Boggs will be mentored by Jennifer Chevalier  
Jennifer Rowars will be mentored by Eileen Torounian  
Anne Figari will be mentored by Linda Boogaart  
Colleen Dougherty will be mentored by Kim Higgins  
Amy Tinsman will be mentored by Marissa Recchiuti  
Loryn Black will be mentored by Donna Robinson

### **Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (RtI)**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### **Data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs**

MTSS Leadership Team will meet at least monthly to stay abreast of school wide intervention and support implementation effectiveness.

MTSS Grade Level Teams will meet every 4-6 weeks to progress monitor and evaluate intervention design effectiveness, student growth academic and behavior and need to tweak design change or support core

instruction effectiveness with teachers.

MTSS Team meetings will be held to additionally problem solve around individual students who are not showing adequate growth towards set intervention and grade level goals.

#### **Function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to MTSS and the SIP**

Intervention Problem Solving Coach-Facilitate and monitor effectiveness of school based Multi-Tiered System of Supports to include guiding the problem solving process analyzing school wide data, small group data and individual student data, conduct evaluations of intervention design validity and integrity. Literacy Coach-Aid in monitoring effectiveness of Literacy based intervention; aid in the problem solving process around school wide, grade level and individual student data, conduct modeling/evaluations of literacy intervention design to ensure validity/integrity.

School Psychologist-aid in problem solving around individual student lack of growth, gather additional information/data to continue problem solving process and to evaluate students if suspected of a disability.

#### **Systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP**

The MTSS Team Leadership committee will gather regularly to monitor the fidelity of the Multi-Tiered Systems of Supports in place school wide through developing plans to assist classrooms in need of core

academic/behavior support;analyzing grade level intervention data to ensure student growth; analyzing district/classroom based assessment outcomes to review student growth

**Data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement**

Data sources will include but are not limited to:

ELA-Reading Record Data including writing sample, Words Their Way Inventories (Primary/Intermediate) Reading Benchmark and iReady Data

Math-Math Diagnostic & Placement Assessments, Math Benchmark Data, iReady Data

Science-Science Benchmark Data

Behavior:Rti:B Data, PBIS self assessments

Attendance-attendance reports generated via TERMS

**Plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents**

Regularly scheduled Grade Level Problem Solving Team meetings to review MTSS guidelines and framework

Exposure to FLMTSS website <http://www.florida-rti.org/floridamtss/index.htm>

Print publications from FLMTSS website ie: parent brochures <http://www.florida-rti.org/parentResources/floridaTools.htm>

Teacher resources <http://www.florida-rti.org/educatorResources/myths/index.htm>

**Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II)-(III), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

**Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:**

**Strategy:** Summer Program

**Minutes added to school year:** 1,800

Camp Invention - Science Inquiry-based national program that services elementary school students.

**Strategy Purpose(s)**

- Enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education

**How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?**

Student Evaluation Sheets

**Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?**

Media Specialist

**Strategy:** Before or After School Program

**Minutes added to school year:** 1,080

Book Bowl - Students read passages and compete in teams regarding comprehension.

**Strategy Purpose(s)**

- Instruction in core academic subjects

**How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?**

FCAT Results

**Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?**

Media Specialist and Fourth Grade Teacher

**Strategy:** Summer Program

**Minutes added to school year:** 720

Teacher training for Problem-Based Learning methodology.

**Strategy Purpose(s)**

- Teacher collaboration, planning and professional development

**How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?**

FCAT Results

**Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?**

Principal

**Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)**

**Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>
Christa Fotia	Teacher
Joni Boggs	Gifted Intermediate Teacher
Jodi Cooper	ESE Teacher
Carolyn Livings	Speech Pathologist
Angela Krogen	ELL Teacher
Valerie Baldwin	Media Specialist
Lauren Gifford	Literacy Coach
Debbie Hammock	Teacher
Christine Cline	Intervention Problem-Solving Coach

Name	Title
Kristine Aboujja	Teacher
Anne Figari	Kindergarten Teacher
Leigh Anne Proctor	Teacher
Howard Marder	Principal
Deborah Riley	Assistant Principal

### How the school-based LLT functions

LLT team will meet monthly to review school wide data in the area of Literacy. Trends across the school, grade levels, and individual classrooms will be analyzed to look for patterns, growth, areas of need. Each team member will play a vital role. The first LLT meeting roles and responsibilities will be discussed as well as next steps.

### Major initiatives of the LLT

Support the movement toward a balanced literacy approach with an emphasis on WTW, guided reading, Schoolwide writing (writer's workshop)  
 Continue to develop teacher's understanding and importance of the Fountas and Pinnell Reading Records to determine instructional practices  
 Monitor student achievement through data analysis  
 Monitor the AYP subgroups for academic growth  
 Determine research based, literacy interventions for students in the MTSS process, and progress monitor regularly.

## Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Instruction

### How the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student

Initial identification is determined by Fountas and Pinnell Reading record data. (Upper grades can also correlate previous grade level FCAT scores.) Grade level MTSS meetings will occur throughout the year every 5 weeks to discuss children and look at data by grade level and class to determine needs. Special attention to differentiated instruction will be emphasized. Ongoing professional development and classroom modeling will be employed throughout the school year in order to maximize learning to accelerate achievement in literacy for all students K-5.

## Preschool Transition

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(G) and 1115(c)(1)(D), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### Strategies for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs

Pre-Kindergarten tours of facility and curriculum for students and parents.

Kindergarten Orientation and Curriculum Night

## College and Career Readiness

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### How the school incorporates applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future

**How the school promotes academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful**

**Strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level**

## Expected Improvements

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### Area 1: Reading

#### Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	78%		No	80%
American Indian				
Asian				
Black/African American		33%		
Hispanic	67%	59%	No	70%
White	79%	76%	No	81%
English language learners		47%		
Students with disabilities	47%	40%	No	52%
Economically disadvantaged	62%	51%	No	66%

#### Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	89	26%	29%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	157	45%	48%

#### Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

#### Learning Gains

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA)	121	60%	62%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0)	22	48%	50%



**Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	24	67%	70%
Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	11	31%	33%
Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	13	36%	38%

**Postsecondary Readiness**

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.			

**Area 2: Writing**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5	69	61%	64%
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at or above Level 4			

**Area 3: Mathematics**

**Elementary and Middle School Mathematics**

**Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assessments, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA**

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	78%		No	80%
American Indian				
Asian				
Black/African American		33%		
Hispanic	70%	68%	No	73%
White	80%	78%	No	82%
English language learners		58%		
Students with disabilities	53%	50%	No	57%
Economically disadvantaged	64%	57%	No	68%

**Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	109	31%	34%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	111	32%	35%

**Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

**Learning Gains**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Learning Gains	114	57%	59%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and EOC)	41	64%	66%

**High School Mathematics**

**Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on EOC assessments, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA**

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	78%		No	80%
American Indian				
Asian				
Black/African American				
Hispanic	70%		No	73%
White	80%		No	82%
English language learners				
Students with disabilities	53%		No	57%
Economically disadvantaged	64%		No	68%

**Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

**Learning Gains**

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (EOC and FAA)			
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (EOC)			

**Postsecondary Readiness**

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.			

**Algebra I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3			
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4			

**Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3			
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4			

**Area 4: Science**

**Elementary School Science**

**Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	28	24%	26%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	47	41%	43%

**Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

**Middle School Science**

**Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3			
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4			

**Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

**Area 5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM)**

**All Levels**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target
# of STEM-related experiences provided for students (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs)	2		3
Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students	575	100%	100%

**Area 8: Early Warning Systems**

**Elementary School Indicators**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time	6	1%	0%
Students retained, pursuant to s. 1008.25, F.S.	17	2%	1%
Students who are not proficient in reading by third grade	26	29%	25%
Students who receive two or more behavior referrals	31	4%	3%
Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that lead to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S.	16	2%	1%

**Area 9: Parent Involvement**

Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

**Parental involvement targets for the school**

Increase parent awareness of new curriculum initiatives.

**Specific Parental Involvement Targets**

Target	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Common Core State Standards	300	50%	60%
Problem-Based Learning	0	0%	50%

## Goals Summary

- G1.** Increase students' comprehension of rigorous text through the use of reading for meaning strategies.
- G2.** Increase student proficiency in fractions, including part-to-whole, equivalent fractions and computations involving fractions.
- G3.** Increase student mastery of the writing process.
- G4.** Increase students' proficiency in the strand of the Nature of Science.

## Goals Detail

### G1. Increase students' comprehension of rigorous text through the use of reading for meaning strategies.

#### Targets Supported

- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- Science - Elementary School
- EWS - Elementary School

#### Resources Available to Support the Goal

- Heinemann Guided Reading Training
- School-Wide Writing

#### Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

- Scheduling for Professional Development

### Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Teacher observations District benchmark tests

#### Person or Persons Responsible

Administrators

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

Upon receipt of results of district benchmark tests and teacher evaluations.

#### Evidence of Completion:

District benchmark data Teacher evaluation results Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meeting Notes

**G2. Increase student proficiency in fractions, including part-to-whole, equivalent fractions and computations involving fractions.**

**Targets Supported**

**Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- Problem-Based Learning Units

**Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- Lack of teacher implementation of Problem-Based Learning.

**Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal**

Data analysis

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration

**Target Dates or Schedule:**

Upon receipt of testing data

**Evidence of Completion:**

Data analysis reports

**G3. Increase student mastery of the writing process.**

**Targets Supported**

- Writing

**Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- School-Wide Writing Program

**Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- Insufficient opportunities for professional development

**Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal**

Determine the level of proficiency and schedule additional teacher support.

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration and Literacy Coach

**Target Dates or Schedule:**

Throughout the year

**Evidence of Completion:**

Writing samples Lesson plans

#### **G4. Increase students' proficiency in the strand of the Nature of Science.**

##### **Targets Supported**

- Science - Elementary School
- STEM - All Levels

##### **Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- District science curriculum specialists

##### **Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- Student understanding of the steps of the scientific process.

#### **Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal**

Evaluating student proficiency of the steps of the scientific process and re-teaching areas of weakness.

##### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration and classroom teachers

##### **Target Dates or Schedule:**

November 2013

##### **Evidence of Completion:**

Assessments

## Action Plan for Improvement

### Problem Solving Key

**G** = Goal

**B** = Barrier

**S** = Strategy

**G1.** Increase students' comprehension of rigorous text through the use of reading for meaning strategies.

#### **G1.B1** Scheduling for Professional Development

**G1.B1.S1** Define a specific time in the course of the instructional day for Professional Development, utilizing full-time Reading Coach for delivery of Professional Development, modeling of comprehension strategies and reading behaviors in the classroom.

#### **Action Step 1**

Comprehensive training in guided reading instruction and Writer's Workshop

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Classroom teachers, Literacy Coach

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

Early Release Days Bi-Weekly Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meetings

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Student writing samples Progress monitoring through district benchmark tests

#### **Facilitator:**

Lauren Gifford

#### **Participants:**

Classroom teachers, Literacy Coach

#### **Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1**

Teacher evaluations District benchmark data analysis

#### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Administrators and Literacy Coach

#### **Target Dates or Schedule**

According to required evaluation timeline Upon receipt of the benchmark data

#### **Evidence of Completion**

District benchmark data Teacher evaluation results Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meeting Notes



## Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B1.S1

District benchmark assessments Teacher observations

### Person or Persons Responsible

Administrators and Literacy Coach

### Target Dates or Schedule

According to required evaluation timeline Upon receipt of the benchmark data

### Evidence of Completion

District benchmark data Teacher evaluation results Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meeting Notes

**G2.** Increase student proficiency in fractions, including part-to-whole, equivalent fractions and computations involving fractions.

**G2.B1** Lack of teacher implementation of Problem-Based Learning.

**G2.B1.S1** Provide continuous professional development during Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meetings to increase teacher's delivery of Problem-Based Learning.

### Action Step 1

Provide training through Collaborative Learning Teams in the delivery of Problem-Based Learning.

### Person or Persons Responsible

District

### Target Dates or Schedule

Early Release Day Bi-Weekly Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meetings

### Evidence of Completion

Training attendance lists and evaluations

### Facilitator:

District Personnel

### Participants:

District

**Action Step 2**

During formal and informal evaluations

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration

**Target Dates or Schedule**

District scheduled observation windows

**Evidence of Completion**

Evaluation outcomes

**Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B1.S1**

Lesson plan review Observations

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration

**Target Dates or Schedule**

Scheduled throughout the year

**Evidence of Completion**

Completed Professional Development sessions Teacher Observations Collaborative Learning Team Meeting Notes

**Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G2.B1.S1**

High level of student engagement in classrooms

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration

**Target Dates or Schedule**

District-established testing windows

**Evidence of Completion**

District developed progress monitoring assessments

**G3. Increase student mastery of the writing process.**

**G3.B1 Insufficient opportunities for professional development**

**G3.B1.S1 School-wide strategy to familiarize and implement the Writer's Workshop model.**

**Action Step 1**

Professional development will be provided during Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meetings to support teachers in the implementation of the Writer's Workshop model.

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Literacy Coach

**Target Dates or Schedule**

Established, scheduled professional development meetings and Bi-Weekly Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meetings.

**Evidence of Completion**

Online in-service registration

**Facilitator:**

Literacy Coach

**Participants:**

Literacy Coach

**Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B1.S1**

Formal and informal observations

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration and Literacy Coach

**Target Dates or Schedule**

Scheduled throughout the year

**Evidence of Completion**

Completed Professional Development sessions Teacher Observations Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meeting Notes

### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G3.B1.S1

Monitoring the implementation of Writer's Workshop in classrooms.

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Administration and Literacy Coach

**Target Dates or Schedule**

Scheduled classroom observations

**Evidence of Completion**

Writing samples

### G4. Increase students' proficiency in the strand of the Nature of Science.

#### G4.B1 Student understanding of the steps of the scientific process.

##### G4.B1.S1 Participation in classroom science projects

**Action Step 1**

Science project overview and practicing the process.

**Person or Persons Responsible**

All classroom teachers

**Target Dates or Schedule**

During scheduled science instruction

**Evidence of Completion**

Science projects

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G4.B1.S1

Evaluate class science projects

**Person or Persons Responsible**

Science Lab Teacher

**Target Dates or Schedule**

November 2013

**Evidence of Completion**

Science project rubric scores

## Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G4.B1.S1

Evaluate student understanding of the nature of science through the use of the rubric.

### **Person or Persons Responsible**

Classroom teachers

### **Target Dates or Schedule**

November 2013

### **Evidence of Completion**

Percentage of projects completed

## Coordination and Integration

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### How federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs are coordinated and integrated at the school

The following programs and/or services will be utilized in helping build character and increase student achievement:

- DARE (provided by Martin County Sheriff's Department)
- Education Foundation of Martin County grant (funded)

## Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

*Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.*

### G1. Increase students' comprehension of rigorous text through the use of reading for meaning strategies.

#### G1.B1 Scheduling for Professional Development

**G1.B1.S1** Define a specific time in the course of the instructional day for Professional Development, utilizing full-time Reading Coach for delivery of Professional Development, modeling of comprehension strategies and reading behaviors in the classroom.

#### PD Opportunity 1

Comprehensive training in guided reading instruction and Writer's Workshop

##### Facilitator

Lauren Gifford

##### Participants

Classroom teachers, Literacy Coach

##### Target Dates or Schedule

Early Release Days Bi-Weekly Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meetings

##### Evidence of Completion

Student writing samples Progress monitoring through district benchmark tests

**G2.** Increase student proficiency in fractions, including part-to-whole, equivalent fractions and computations involving fractions.

**G2.B1** Lack of teacher implementation of Problem-Based Learning.

**G2.B1.S1** Provide continuous professional development during Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meetings to increase teacher's delivery of Problem-Based Learning.

**PD Opportunity 1**

Provide training through Collaborative Learning Teams in the delivery of Problem-Based Learning.

**Facilitator**

District Personnel

**Participants**

District

**Target Dates or Schedule**

Early Release Day Bi-Weekly Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meetings

**Evidence of Completion**

Training attendance lists and evaluations



**G3.** Increase student mastery of the writing process.

**G3.B1** Insufficient opportunities for professional development

**G3.B1.S1** School-wide strategy to familiarize and implement the Writer's Workshop model.

**PD Opportunity 1**

Professional development will be provided during Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meetings to support teachers in the implementation of the Writer's Workshop model.

**Facilitator**

Literacy Coach

**Participants**

Literacy Coach

**Target Dates or Schedule**

Established, scheduled professional development meetings and Bi-Weekly Grade Level Collaborative Learning Team Meetings.

**Evidence of Completion**

Online in-service registration

## Appendix 2: Budget to Support School Improvement Goals

### Budget Summary by Goal

Goal	Description	Total
G1.	Increase students' comprehension of rigorous text through the use of reading for meaning strategies.	\$7,000
Total		\$7,000

### Budget Summary by Funding Source and Resource Type

Funding Source	Professional Development	Total
Martin County Education Foundation	\$7,000	\$7,000
Total	\$7,000	\$7,000

### Budget Details

*Budget items identified in the SIP as necessary to achieve the school's goals.*

#### G1. Increase students' comprehension of rigorous text through the use of reading for meaning strategies.

##### G1.B1 Scheduling for Professional Development

**G1.B1.S1** Define a specific time in the course of the instructional day for Professional Development, utilizing full-time Reading Coach for delivery of Professional Development, modeling of comprehension strategies and reading behaviors in the classroom.

#### Action Step 1

Comprehensive training in guided reading instruction and Writer's Workshop

#### Resource Type

Professional Development

#### Resource

Provide K-2 teachers with professional development in balanced literacy

#### Funding Source

Martin County Education Foundation

#### Amount Needed

\$7,000