

2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	13
Positive Culture & Environment	13
Budget to Support Goals	13

Collier - 9013 - Collier Juvenile Detention Ctr - 2020-21 SIP

# **Collier Juvenile Detention Center**

3315 TAMIAMI TRL E, Naples, FL 34112

[ no web address on file ]

Demographics

# **Principal: Brent Klein**

Start Date for this Principal: 7/12/2012

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
<b>2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more info	rmation, <u>click here</u> .

# School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Collier County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	13
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	13

Collier - 9013 - Collier Juvenile Detention Ctr - 2020-21 SIP

3315	TAMIAMI TRL E, Naples, FL 34	112
	[ no web address on file ]	
School Demographics		
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 6-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	<b>2018-19 Minority Rate</b> (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	No	%
School Grades History		
	Year	
	Grade	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Collier County School Board.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The goal of Alternative School Programs, DJJ included is to provide an alternative route for students that have not been successful in the traditional school setting. Students are encouraged to reclaim responsibility and become an active participant in their educational experience.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

All students will complete school prepared for ongoing learning, as well as community and global responsibilities.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Janssen, Cynthia	Principal	Dr. Janssen monitors the Assistant Principals and handles all staff hiring for the DJJ site. She delegates the leadership teams duties and manages the finances. She reports to the district and ensures that DJJ is in compliance with all state and district requirements. She does an evaluation on each staff member. Dr. Janssen ensures that her three assistant principals are up to date with program and district information by holding weekly debriefs, along with leadership team meetings biweekly will all of the lead teachers and key people in leadership roles.
Costin, Kelley	Assistant Principal	Mrs. Costin serves as the Assistant Principal for Alternative Schools. She monitors the data for this program. She is responsible for the textbook orders, instructional supplies and laptops. She compiles the school improvement plan, and gathers information from the other sites. She is the testing coordinator for Alternative Schools in Naples.

#### **Demographic Information**

#### **Principal start date**

Thursday 7/12/2012, Brent Klein

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

# **Demographic Data**

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more i	nformation, <u>click here</u> .

# Early Warning Systems

# **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator						Gra	ade	e Le	eve	I				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	8	2	0	20
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated Monday 8/17/2020

# **Prior Year - As Reported**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator				Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total				
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	6				
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	4				
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3				
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1				

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# **Prior Year - Updated**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indiantar						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	6
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	I				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar	Grade Level										Total			
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Seheel Crade Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	0%	59%	56%	0%	58%	53%
ELA Learning Gains	0%	52%	51%	0%	51%	49%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	41%	42%	0%	43%	41%
Math Achievement	0%	58%	51%	0%	59%	49%
Math Learning Gains	0%	44%	48%	0%	47%	44%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	46%	45%	0%	43%	39%
Science Achievement	0%	72%	68%	0%	69%	65%
Social Studies Achievement	0%	76%	73%	0%	71%	70%

	EWS In	dicators	as Inpu	ıt Earlier	in the S	Survey		
Indicator		Gra	ade Leve	l (prior ye	ear repor	ted)		Total
indicator	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

# Grade Level Data

Г

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison					
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			•	
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			•	
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			•	

			MATH	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison					
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	parison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

	SCIENCE												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison							
08	2019												

			SCIENC	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018					
Cohort Corr	nparison					

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	SEOC	•	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEB	RA EOC	•	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

# Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18		
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17		

	2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16		

### ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index		
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)		
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students		
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	N/A	
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target		
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency		
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index		
Total Components for the Federal Index		
Percent Tested		
Subarous Data		

# Subgroup Data

## Analysis

### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

No data available

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

No data available

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

No data available

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

No data available

### Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

This program has a daily change in enrollment, so this data is incomplete and innacurate.

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Preparing students for the state assessments

2. Preparing students to avoid returning to this facility with counseling and anger management discussions.

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

## Areas of Focus:

No activities were entered for this section.

### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Students are exposed to community organizations that focus on the positive behavior aspects of their citizenship. Additionally, students are monitored by their ALT schools site while they are in the DJJ facility to assist with their transition back to ALT sites.

# Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Students are able to continue their education while in the Collier Juvenile Detention Center. Additionally, the instructional staff keep students connected with their home schools to ease the transition back into regular schools. Students are taught lessons on positive citizenship and mentorship programs are dedicated to helping students avoid returning to the Collier Juvenile Detention Center.

### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

# Part V: Budget

# The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

Total:	\$0.00
--------	--------