School District of Osceola County, FL

Lakeview Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| | |
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 11 |
| Planning for Improvement | 19 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 32 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 33 |

Lakeview Elementary School

2900 5TH ST, Saint Cloud, FL 34769

www.osceolaschools.net

Demographics

Principal: Jose Vazquez

Start Date for this Principal: 6/1/2020

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|---|--|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School PK-5 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2020-21 Title I School | Yes |
| 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: B (60%) 2017-18: C (51%) 2016-17: A (65%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info | ormation* |
| SI Region | Central |
| Regional Executive Director | Lucinda Thompson |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo | or more information, click here. |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Osceola County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 11 |
| Planning for Improvement | 19 |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 33 |

Lakeview Elementary School

2900 5TH ST, Saint Cloud, FL 34769

www.osceolaschools.net

School Demographics

| School Type and Gi (per MSID | | 2020-21 Title I Schoo | 2020-21 Economical chool Disadvantaged (FRL) F (as reported on Survey | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Elementary S PK-5 | School | Yes | | 94% | | | | | | | |
| Primary Servio (per MSID I | • • | Charter School | (Reporte | Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2) | | | | | | | |
| K-12 General E | ducation | No | | 65% | | | | | | | |
| School Grades Histo | ory | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | 2020-21 | 2019-20 | 2018-19 | 2017-18 | | | | | | | |
| Grade | | В | В | С | | | | | | | |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Osceola County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Lakeview Elementary will improve student academic performance through a culture of care and collaboration among faculty, staff, parents, and community members that inspires students to be respectful, responsible, problem solvers.

Provide the school's vision statement.

To develop well rounded, confident and responsible individuals who aspire to achieve their full potential. We will do this by providing a welcoming, safe, and supportive learning environment that promotes equity and celebrates diversity

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---|
| Cleveland, Melanie | Principal | Responsibilities include monitoring for instructional effectiveness, evaluation of the staff, implementing a schoolwide MTSS model that looks at all subgroup data, facilitate the ESS/ELL task force, conduct periodic Stock Take meetings to monitor students' achievement and the instructional model, ensure that the budget supports the needs of students to increase achievement. |
| Vazquez, Jose | Assistant Principal | Responsibilities include monitoring for instructional effectiveness, evaluation of the staff, implementing a schoolwide MTSS model that looks at all subgroup data, facilitate the ESS/ELL task force, conduct periodic Stock Take meetings to monitor students' achievement and the instructional model, ensure that the budget supports the needs of students to increase achievement. |
| Smith, Amanda | Math Coach | Monitor student math/science achievement, work with the coaching impact cycle to improve math/science instructional practice, model lessons, work with intervention to insure progress towards increased proficiency, assist with MTSS, professional development, stock take meetings, and vertical articulation to identify and plan for essential standards in math/science. |
| Todd, Amber | Instructional Coach | As a member of the leadership team responsibilities include intervention PD, work with Paraprofessionals (ELL, ESE, and General Education) to ensure high quality intervention practices, assist with standards based planning to the level of the standard, serve as a model for implementing intervention in the area of ELA and writing. Monitors the implementation of the school-wide PBiS plan and provides training and support. Facilitates the MTSS process and monthly meetings. Participates in Stocktake with a focus on ESSA sub groups. |
| Walcott, Stephanie | Reading Coach | Monitor student reading achievement, work with the coaching impact cycle to improve ELA instructional practice, model lessons, work with intervention to ensure progress towards increased proficiency, assist with MTSS, professional development, stock take meetings, and vertical articulation to identify and plan for essential standards in ELA. |
| Macky, Joyce | Instructional Media | Responsibilities include providing training and support on teacher's available resources, assists with implementation of instructional technology programs, provides training on supplemental resources, acts at the test coordinator, participates in the MTSS process, monitors literacy achievement as a member of the leadership team. Participate in Stocktake with a focus on college and career. |
| Fiola, Annamaria | School Counselor | Participates in the MTSS process, monitors the implementation of the school-wide PBiS plan and provides training and support, acts as the 504 |

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|---------------|------------------------|---|
| | | designee, MTSS Coach, and Gifted point of contact. She monitors attendance and works with families in Transition. |
| Howes, Kim | Staffing Specialist | ESE Compliance, student placement, teacher professional development, and small group instruction. |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Monday 6/1/2020, Jose Vazquez

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

2

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

15

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

39

Total number of students enrolled at the school

708

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | | | | Total | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|----|-------|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Number of students enrolled | 100 | 109 | 97 | 108 | 113 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 648 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 7 | 10 | 17 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAT | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 8/12/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | | | | | Grad | e Le | eve | I | | | | | | Total |
|---|-----|----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 100 | 94 | 103 | 111 | 120 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 619 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|-------------|----|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| lu dinata u | | | | | Grad | e Le | eve | I | | | | | | Total |
|---|-----|----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 100 | 94 | 103 | 111 | 120 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 619 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | Total | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | Total | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|-------|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Grada Component | | 2021 | | | 2019 | | 2018 | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State | |
| ELA Achievement | | | | 59% | 53% | 57% | 57% | 51% | 56% | |
| ELA Learning Gains | | | | 64% | 56% | 58% | 46% | 54% | 55% | |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | | | | 57% | 51% | 53% | 30% | 46% | 48% | |
| Math Achievement | | | | 68% | 55% | 63% | 65% | 54% | 62% | |
| Math Learning Gains | | | | 67% | 59% | 62% | 61% | 56% | 59% | |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | | | | 49% | 45% | 51% | 43% | 42% | 47% | |
| Science Achievement | | | | 59% | 49% | 53% | 56% | 51% | 55% | |

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 03 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 50% | 51% | -1% | 58% | -8% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | | | | | |
| 04 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 57% | 51% | 6% | 58% | -1% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -50% | | | | |
| 05 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 63% | 48% | 15% | 56% | 7% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -57% | | | | |

| | | | MATI | 4 | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 03 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 64% | 54% | 10% | 62% | 2% |
| Cohort Cor | mparison | | | | | |
| 04 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 63% | 53% | 10% | 64% | -1% |
| Cohort Cor | mparison | -64% | | | | |
| 05 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 67% | 48% | 19% | 60% | 7% |
| Cohort Cor | mparison | -63% | | | • | |

| | | | SCIEN | CE | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 05 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 57% | 45% | 12% | 53% | 4% |
| Cohort Com | nparison | | | | | |

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

NWEA RIT Score Averages

| | | Grade 1 | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 41/46% | 42/46% | 50/53% |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 19/43% | 23/46% | 26/51% |
| , | Students With Disabilities | 6/43% | 3/20% | 5/33% |
| | English Language Learners | 5/36% | 4/29% | 3/21% |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 46/52% | 36/40% | 45/48% |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 22/50% | 19/38% | 24/47% |
| | Students With Disabilities | 7/50% | 5/33% | 2/13% |
| | English Language Learners | 8/57% | 3/21% | 5/36% |

| | | Grade 2 | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 54/54% | 55/53% | 56/53% |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 26/46% | 27/46% | 26/43% |
| | Students With Disabilities | 1/8% | 2/14% | 1/7% |
| | English Language Learners | 8/42% | 8/40% | 9/38% |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 50/50% | 33/31% | 46/43% |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 25/45% | 18/30% | 21/34% |
| | Students With Disabilities | 3/23% | 2/13% | 2/13% |
| | English Language Learners | 8/42% | 4/19% | 6/25% |
| | | | | |
| | | Grade 3 | | |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Grade 3 Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | Proficiency All Students | | Winter 63/58% | Spring 73/67% |
| English Language Arts | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged | Fall | | . • |
| | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities | Fall 69/65% | 63/58% | 73/67% |
| | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners | Fall 69/65% 35/61% | 63/58% 31/49% | 73/67% 41/65% |
| | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency | Fall 69/65% 35/61% 8/33% 13/52% Fall | 63/58% 31/49% 7/28% 12/44% Winter | 73/67% 41/65% 12/46% 15/56% Spring |
| | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students | Fall 69/65% 35/61% 8/33% 13/52% | 63/58% 31/49% 7/28% 12/44% | 73/67% 41/65% 12/46% 15/56% |
| | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged | Fall 69/65% 35/61% 8/33% 13/52% Fall | 63/58% 31/49% 7/28% 12/44% Winter | 73/67% 41/65% 12/46% 15/56% Spring |
| Arts | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically | Fall 69/65% 35/61% 8/33% 13/52% Fall 55/50% | 63/58% 31/49% 7/28% 12/44% Winter 51/47% | 73/67% 41/65% 12/46% 15/56% Spring 69/61% |

| | | Grade 4 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 64/57% | 76/66% | 74/62% |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 29/50% | 39/64% | 38/58% |
| , | Students With Disabilities | 6/29% | 11/46% | 11/44% |
| | English Language Learners | 5/26% | 10/50% | 8/35% |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 60/52% | 55/47% | 69/58% |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 28/47% | 24/39% | 29/45% |
| | Students With Disabilities | 7/33% | 8/33% | 12/48% |
| | English Language Learners | 9/45% | 5/25% | 9/39% |
| | | Grade 5 | | |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 52/62% | 54/60% | 51/54% |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 16/47% | 20/48% | 14/30% |
| | Students With Disabilities | 4/29% | 4/22% | 1/5% |
| | English Language Learners | 8/38% | 6/24% | 6/24% |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 48/55% | 43/47% | 54/57% |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 15/41% | 12/28% | 18/39% |
| | Students With Disabilities | 1/7% | 3/17% | 3/16% |
| | English Language Learners | 5/22% | 5/20% | 6/24% |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 52/69% | 57/64% | 67/71% |
| Science | Economically Disadvantaged | 18/64% | 20/49% | 27/59% |
| 5 [| Students With Disabilities | 4/33% | 4/24% | 6/32% |
| | English Language Learners | 7/41% | 10/40% | 11/44% |

Subgroup Data Review

| | | 2021 | SCHOO | OL GRAD | E COMP | PONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| SWD | 21 | 29 | | 23 | 29 | 9 | 13 | | | | |
| ELL | 32 | 35 | 30 | 34 | 38 | | 24 | | | | |
| BLK | 53 | | | 65 | | | | | | | |
| HSP | 46 | 49 | 53 | 50 | 51 | 21 | 42 | | | | |
| MUL | 50 | | | 57 | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 64 | 68 | | 62 | 76 | | 73 | | | | |
| FRL | 44 | 55 | 58 | 44 | 50 | 23 | 35 | | | | |
| | | 2019 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | PONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 19 | 50 | 50 | 31 | 59 | 52 | 42 | | | | |
| ELL | 35 | 60 | 80 | 52 | 64 | 47 | 46 | | | | |
| BLK | 47 | 29 | | 53 | 79 | | | | | | |
| HSP | 52 | 66 | 64 | 66 | 69 | 48 | 57 | | | | |
| MUL | 75 | | | 67 | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 65 | 68 | 62 | 71 | 64 | 42 | 64 | | | | |
| FRL | 51 | 62 | 51 | 61 | 61 | 54 | 54 | | | | |
| | | 2018 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMP | PONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| SWD | 15 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 53 | 39 | 16 | | | | |
| ELL | 31 | 38 | 19 | 51 | 64 | 43 | | | | | |
| BLK | 48 | 29 | | 48 | 43 | | | | | | |
| HSP | 56 | 51 | 23 | 63 | 58 | 42 | 50 | | | | |
| MUL | 33 | | | 50 | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 62 | 45 | 43 | 69 | 67 | 41 | 65 | | | | |
| FRL | 50 | 44 | 27 | 56 | 50 | 35 | 55 | | | | |

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---|-----|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 51 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 2 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 51 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 411 |

| FOOA Forders Harden | |
|--|------|
| ESSA Federal Index | 0 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index Percent Tested | 99% |
| | 9970 |
| Subgroup Data | |
| Students With Disabilities | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 24 |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | |
| English Language Learners | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 35 |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Native American Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Asian Students | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Black/African American Students | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 59 |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Hispanic Students | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 45 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Multiracial Students | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | 54 |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |

| Multiracial Students | | |
|--|-----|--|
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | | |
| Pacific Islander Students | | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | | |
| White Students | | |
| Federal Index - White Students | 69 | |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | | |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 44 | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | | |

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Trends over time have shown that some staff members do not take ownership for the learning of ALL students. With the recent changes in the school's demographics, this has become a school wide area of focus and driver for additional supports and professional development to change teachers' perception of SWD and ELLS and increase ownership of student achievement. Ensure all students are receiving the appropriate resources, modifications, and accommodations.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Students with Disabilities (SWD) showed the lowest performance with an ESSA index of 45%. This is evident in our Lowest Quartile Math Achievement Level of 49%. An increase in the SWD population of students and a decrease in staff to serve them based on individual student needs has led to lower performance for this subgroup.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Trends over time have shown that some staff members do not take ownership for the learning of ALL students. With the recent changes in the school's demographics, this has become a school wide area of focus and driver for additional supports and professional development to change teachers'

perception of SWD and ELLS and increase ownership of student achievement. Ensure all students are receiving the appropriate resources, modifications, and accommodations.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

The data components that showed the most improvement were 5th Grade ELA and Math Achievement.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Teacher placement, the "right people in the right seats on the bus", plays a critical role in student success. In addition, instructional coaches and our interventionist modeled instructional practices for teachers, and the teachers embraced and implemented their learning. Students in the lowest quartile were scheduled into Dolphin

University, an additional 50 minute block of time 4 days a week focused on ELA improvement using Corrective Reading Curriculum.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

SEL, AVID, Gifted, ESOL, and PBIS

Supporting and training staff to recognize inequities in school: School Culture, Classroom Culture, Curriculum, Labels, Measuring Success and Grading.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Training and supports in PBIS, Coaching for equity, and AVID.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Implementation of the new reading curriculum and BEST standards. Implementation of new interventions and Scholastic leveled libraries.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Ensure high levels of mathematics achievement for all students. It is important to have a clear direction for the results of collaborative practice. By identifying the most essential standards in Math we can ensure that ALL student sub-groups including ELL and ESE are receiving instruction to master of the content necessary to be successful with the grade level content. In addition, the uses of high-quality, complex texts and tasks to determine performance is critical in the development of lessons aligned to the standard. The consistent use of common assessments will lead to increased understanding, and provide teachers a way to analyze student performance and inform their instructional next steps in teaching to mastery. Learning gains are a high priority area of need that hold a direct connection to student achievement and the overall school academic grade. With a developed and specific focus on learning gains, students will receive core instruction and targeted interventions that will meet their individual needs.

Focusing on mastery of grade level standards, and a cohesive instructional plan that addresses the desired student performance will lead to increased student achievement for all subgroups. The intended outcome of this focus area is to improve focus on task and standard alignment and thereby improving student acheivement. Using NWEA data the following baseline and goal scores have been set:

Grade LVES FALL Avg. RIT

Kinder 143.7 First 159.4 Second 171

Measurable Outcome:

Third 183.5 Fourth 199.3 Fifth 207.9

Grade LVES Spring Avg. RIT Goal

Kinder 157 First 176 Second 189 Third 201 Fourth 211 Fifth 219

Identify Grade Level Expectations and coach teachers toward a better understanding of implementation and monitoring of students' acquisition of grade level standards. The leadership team will conduct walkthroughs and observe PLC teams to ensure correct processes are being used in the analyzing and planning for student achievement. School Stocktake will take place monthly to report progress to the Principal. Principal will share and update the Chief of Staff and Assistant Superintendents during their halfway point through the Stocktake Model. To meet the needs of the diverse learners, staff will implement AVID and High Yield Teaching Strategies that align to the work of Robert Marzano and Visible Learning, the work of John Hattie. Ongoing progress monitoring will be continued using data to drive instruction and identify supports. Strategies for social and emotional learning will be embedded. Identified resources and support are provided for SWD and ELLs to close the achievements gaps.

Monitoring:

Person responsible for

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

In order to meet the needs of the diverse learners, administration, teachers and staff will implement AVID and High Yield Teaching Strategies that align to the work of Robert Marzano and Visible Learning, the work of John Hattie. On going progress monitoring will be continued using data to drive instruction and identify supports. Additionally, strategies for social and emotional learning will be embedded at every grade level. Identified resources and support are provided for SWD and ELLs to close the achievements gaps. Rigorous math tasks will be used during the 60-minute block math to increase student engagement and learning within the math classroom.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: AVID and High Yield Teaching Strategies are research based and proven through student outcome data nation wide. They include the research and work of Robert Marzano, John Hattie, Douglas Fisher & Nancy Frey with a proven positive effect on teaching and learning, including practices for engagement and impact in diverse settings. SWD have a history of academic struggle and low attendance. Resources and support designed for SWD will bolster the learning environment. Ongoing progress monitoring will identify areas of concern and needs for math interventions. Schoolwide support from instructional coaches, interventionist, and teacher mentors will be implemented, monitored and tailored to the needs of the teachers and students. The most effective way to teach concepts and procedures is to implement evidence-based practices (EBPs)—practices and strategies that have been shown to be effective through rigorous research. When teachers implement EBPs along with a standards-based curriculum, they are providing high-quality mathematics instruction.

Action Steps to Implement

The math and science coach will share the WHY behind teaching to essential math standards with complex, rigorous tasks to all learners.

Person Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

The math and science coach will work with grade level teams to implement the ESSENTIAL standards using the Curriculum Unit Plans, High Yield Strategies, Hands-on Rigorous Tasks, and incorporation of math vocabulary instruction.

Person Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

The math and science coach will work with grade level PLCs to create common assessments aligned to essential standards.

Person Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

The math and science coach will provide on-going math support through PLCs.

Person Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

The math and science coach will work with teams to disseminate math data during grade level PS/MTSS meetings with grade levels.

Person

Responsible Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

The math and science coach will provide ongoing professional development focused around hands-on learning, rigorous tasks, interventions, and monitoring of data and students.

Person Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Ensure high levels of science achievement for all students. Rationale It is important to have a clear direction for the results of collaborative practice. By identifying the most essential standards in Science we can ensure that ALL student sub-groups including ELL and ESE are receiving instruction to mastery of the content necessary to be successful with the grade level content. In addition, the uses of high-quality, complex tasks to determine performance is critical in the development of lessons aligned to the standard. These common assessments will lead to increased understanding, and provide teachers a way to analyze student performance and inform their instructional next steps in teaching to mastery. Over a 3 year period we have lost 15 points in Science Achievement. We gained 3 points over last year. There is a need to strengthen Science instruction in all grade levels and to incorporate Science content during the ELA block.

Focusing on mastery of grade level standards, and a cohesive instructional plan that addresses the desired student performance will lead to increased student achievement for all subgroups. The intended outcome of this focus area is to improve focus on task and standard alignment and thereby improving student acheivement. Using NWEA data the following baseline and goal scores have been set:

Grade LVES FALL Avg. RIT

Measurable Outcome:

Third 189.6 Fourth 198.8 Fifth 204.3

Grade LVES Spring Avg. RIT Goal

Third 196 Fourth 201 Fifth 206

Monitoring: NWEA for 3-5 and Progress Monitoring for K-2

Person responsible

for

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

monitoring outcome:

In order to meet the needs of the diverse learners, administration, teachers and staff will implement Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) and High Yield Teaching Strategies that align to the work of Robert Marzano and Visible Learning, the work of John Hattie. On going progress monitoring will be continued using data to drive instruction and identify supports. Additionally, strategies for social and emotional learning will be embedded at every grade level. Identified resources and support are provided for SWD and ELLs to close the achievements gaps.

Evidencebased Strategy:

AVID and High Yield Teaching Strategies are reserach based and proven through student outcome data nation wide. They include the research and work of Robert Marzano, John Hattie, Douglas Fisher and Nancy Frey with a proven positive effect on teaching and learning, including practices for engagement and impact in diverse settings, including distance learning. SWD have a history of academic struggle and low attendance.

for Evidence-based

Strategy:

Rationale

Resources and support designed for SWD will bolster the learning environment. On going progress monitoring will identify areas of concern and needs for interventions. School wide

support from instructional coaches, interventionist, and teacher mentors will be implemented, monitored and tailored to the needs of the teachers and students.

Action Steps to Implement

The math and science coach will share the WHY behind teaching to essential standards with complex science texts and hands-on tasks to all learners.

Person

Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

The math and science coach will work with each team to implement the ESSENTIAL standards using the Curriculum Unit Plans, High Yield Strategies, and Hands-on Learning to monitor student progress.

Person Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

The math and science coach will work with grade level PLCs to create common assessments aligned to the essential standards.

Person

Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

The math and science coach will provide on-going support of hands-on science through PLCs.

Person

Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

The math and science coach will work with PLCs to analyze science data during grade level PS/RtI meetings with grade levels.

Person

Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

The math and science coach will provide ongoing professional development on: using data to drive instruction, hands-on science, monitoring student progress, and aligning standards to tasks.

Person

Responsible

Amanda Smith (amanda.smith@osceolaschools.net)

#3. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: In December 2015, the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) was signed into law. ESSA replaces the former federal education law, commonly referenced as No Child Left Behind, and reauthorizes the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA). Through ESSA states, districts, and schools are held accountable for growth in specified subgroups. Our school will exemplify excellence and equity such that all students are equipped with the knowledge and skills to be successful in the next stage of their chosen college or career path. This can be achieved by focusing our work around rigorous standards, aligned assessments, consistent accountability and by striving to meet the goals of our other focus areas, ELA, Math, Science, Post-Secondary culture, and Social and Emotional Learning and providing individualized support and opportunities for all students especially those who are furthest behind

Measurable Outcome:

Improved student achievement in the areas of ELA and Math. Our primary goal is to decrease the achievement gap between Students with Disabilities and their peers. Additional student subgroup data will be monitored for American Indian/Alaskan Native, Asian, Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, and White. According to the LVES FALL Avg RIT scores, the following subgroups are below their LVES grade level average: MATH: Black/African American and Hispanic/Latino all grade levels except 3rd and 4th; ELA: all grade levels except 2nd grade Black/African American; Science: Black/African American in 3rd and 5th, and Hispanic/Latino in 3rd and 4th. While we will monitor all students toward the same Spring NWEA RIT goals, these subgroups will receive focused and intentional interventions to close the gaps we see. Spring NWEA RIT goals are written

Monitoring:

Monitoring data will take place using NWEA, NSGRA, Common Assessments and Successmaker to collect data. During PLC, Team Lead Meetings, MTSS meetings, and Stocktake, ESSA Sub Group data will be analyzed and discussed.

Person responsible for

monitoring outcome:

Amber Todd (amber.todd@osceolaschools.net)

into each of the Areas of Focus.

Evidencebased Strategy: In order to meet the needs of the diverse learners, administration, teachers and staff will implement High Yield Teaching Strategies that align to the work of Robert Marzano and Visible Learning, the work of John Hattie. On going progress monitoring will be continued using data to drive instruction and identify supports. Additionally, strategies for social and emotional learning will be embedded at every grade level. Identified resources and support are provided for SWD and ELLs to close the achievements gaps.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: High Yield Teaching Strategies encompasses the research and work of John Hattie, Douglas Fisher and Nancy Frey with a proven positive effect on teaching and learning, including practices for engagement and impact in any setting, including distance learning. SWD have a history of academic struggle and low attendance. Resources and support designed for SWD will bolster the learning environment. On going progress monitoring will identify areas of concern and needs for interventions.

Action Steps to Implement

The Leadership Team will 1) Guide teachers at PLC and MTSS meetings to discuss their student sub groups. Using the provided PLC placemats, teachers will watch the video, Making Sure Each Student Is Known. Teachers will complete the Know Your Sub-Groups. Discuss the question, How are we building culture in our classroom to support relationships?

Person ResponsibleAmber Todd (amber.todd@osceolaschools.net)

2) Instructional Coaches will work with each team to identify sub-groups and discuss how to meet each student's specific need.

Person
Responsible Amber Todd (amber.todd@osceolaschools.net)

 Instructional Coaches will support teachers during PLC. Using data from NWEA and common assessments, teachers will complete data dive questions. MTSS tiered review and ESAA sub group data chats.

Person
Responsible Amber Todd (amber.todd@osceolaschools.net)

4) The Leadership Team will provide on-going support of these tasks through PLCs. The Principal and Assistant Principal will monitor for accountability. Teachers will come prepared to both PLC and MTSS meetings with data organized by sub groups. NWEA will only pull reports for ethnicity, however SchoolCity will organize data by all sub groups.

Person
Responsible Amber Todd (amber.todd@osceolaschools.net)

5) The Leadership Team will meet with teachers to discuss external barriers for our students in subgroups. Teachers will chart progress and academic coaches will guide problem solving around live data during grade level PS and MTSS meetings.

Person
Responsible Amber Todd (amber.todd@osceolaschools.net)

6) Ongoing Professional Development will be offered: LY pre-teaching lessons for teachers and paras. Support provided to teachers of ELL students for proper use of dictionaries and glossaries. Grade Level Standards and Expectations, Aligning Standards and Task, Monitoring Student Progress, and High Yield Instructional Strategies for all with specific focus on ELL and ESE.

Person
Responsible Amber Todd (amber.todd@osceolaschools.net)

#4. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Social Emotional Learning

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: When all children are educated in places of equity, safety, and learning, and when they receive the integrated academic, social, and emotional supports that meet their individual needs, then they can achieve their greatest potential in K-12 education, as well as in college, career, and life (Center to Improve Social and Emotional Learning and School Safety). Students will gain an understanding of how to use social emotional learning skills to improve their problem solving, self regulation, and internalizing skills such as common language for staff, enhance PLC and faculty meeting work/ conversations, connect student social emotional learning to academic work, and establish positive learning habits where students interact more positively with each other. In all, these factors will improve student motivation to come to school and improve attendance for all students. Improved social and emotional well-being will lead to raised student academic achievement/ growth. Spring 2021 Panorama data is as follows: Self Management: 72% favorable; Emotional Regulation: 48% favorable; Social Awareness: 71% favorable.

Measurable Outcome:

Monitoring:

Improve emotional regulation to a goal of 60%. Lower Disciplinary Referral frequency by 20%, In-school Suspensions / Out of school suspension numbers will decrease by 20%; Increase positive referrals by 50%.

Panorama Survey given 3 times during this school year to 3rd - 5th graders, FOCUS behavior referral data will be reviewed and monitored monthly during PBiS meetings; MTSS Behavior data to be reviewed ongoing during MTSS meetings and within teacher meetings as necessary; PBiS Team positive referral data to be reviewed quarterly during

PBiS Committee meetings.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Annamaria Fiola (annamaria.fiola@osceolaschools.net)

Evidencebased Strategy: The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) emphasizes evidence-based interventions while providing new flexibilities to states and districts with regard to the use of federal funds, including funds that could be used to support social and emotional learning (SEL). All students are engaged and active learners who are self-aware, caring, respectful, connected to others, responsible decision makers, and academic achievers. Educators, students, families, and community members work together to support the healthy development of all students.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: The Center for Social and Emotional Foundations for Early Learning (www.csefel.vanderbilt.edu) outlines the following as most effective strategies. Self-awareness, accurately assessing one's feelings, interests, values, and strengths; Self-management, regulating one's emotions to handle stress, control impulses, and persevere in overcoming obstacles; Social awareness, being able to take the perspective of and empathize with others; Relationship skills, establishing and maintaining healthy and rewarding relationships based on cooperation; resisting inappropriate social pressure; preventing, managing, and resolving interpersonal conflict; seeking help when needed; and Responsible decision-making; making decisions based on consideration of ethical standards, safety concerns, appropriate social norms, respect for others, and likely consequences of various actions; applying decision-making skills to academic and social situations; contributing to the well-being of one's school and community.

Action Steps to Implement

1) The Leadership Team will share the WHY behind implementing SEL strategies, a Warm Demander approach, and PBIS framework to positively impact the culture and learning environment for all students. Panaroma survey data will be used to specifically address the needs of the student body.

Person Responsible Annamaria Fiola (annamaria.fiola@osceolaschools.net)

2) The leadership team and district support staff will provide ongoing professional development to all teachers and paraprofessionals on SEL, Warm Demand, Restorative Practices, and PBIS to stay updated with best practices and proper implementation. Teachers will be offered a Mental Wellness Workshop conducted by Student Services to enhance understanding of student's emotional needs and supporting them toward positive academic growth.

Person Responsible Annamaria Fiola (annamaria.fiola@osceolaschools.net)

3) Mental Health Team members, along with Leadership Team members will monitor implementation of SEL supports through MTSS meetings, walk throughs, discipline data, and PBiS data.

Person Responsible Annamaria Fiola (annamaria.fiola@osceolaschools.net)

4) Data collected through MTSS meetings, PBiS meetings, walk throughs and discipline reports will be discussed with the problem solving team to identify trends, areas of improvement and celebrate areas of strength and proficiency.

Person Responsible Annamaria Fiola (annamaria.fiola@osceolaschools.net)

5) The Leadership Team will provide on-going support and resources for identified areas through PLCs. The principal and assistant principal will monitor for accountability.

Person Responsible Annamaria Fiola (annamaria.fiola@osceolaschools.net)

6) A cumulative review of our school year's SEL related data points will be analyzed by Leadership to identify successful approaches used to meet our measurable outcome goals. This information will then by shared with all stakeholders to celebrate successful implementation. Plans to guide our upcoming year will be put in motion to address any areas in need of improvement.

Person Responsible Annamaria Fiola (annamaria.fiola@osceolaschools.net)

#5. Leadership specifically relating to Instructional Leadership Team

Area of Focus **Description** The leadership team helps to maintain a cohesive school vision and strategy focused on student achievement. Improvement in this area, rather than the operational management of a school, is the main priority of leadership teams.

and Rationale:

Effective instructional leadership teams are powerful levers for making change in schools. These teams typically include the principal, assistant principal, instructional coaches, teacher leaders, and other school leaders and can provide a systematic way for schools to execute their most important priorites.

Measurable Outcome:

Insight survey data

Monitoring:

Insight survey data from teachers, conferences, PLC meetings, Stocktake

Person responsible

Melanie Cleveland (melanie.cleveland@osceolaschools.net)

monitoring outcome:

for

for

based

Evidencebased Strategy:

Increasing teacher leadership roles within the school leadership team can improve teacher motivation and confidence in their own abilities. This can help them to motivate, lead, and encourage other adults leaders to improved self-confidence, increased knowledge, and an improved attitude to teaching amongst teachers.

Rationale Evidence-Strategy:

Great leaders understand that teachers know what their students - and what they themselves - need to succeed. When teachers are involoved in examining data and making important decisions based on data that inform how they continuously improve their schools, leadership teams can ensure that everyone in the building is focused on the core business of the school - improving student learning outcomes. When teachers work together in teams, they coach each other, learn from one another, and become experts in specific areas. This team dynamic - in which everyone plays a role and is valued - provides them with a safe space to refine their practices to improve student outcomes. It also boosts teacher morale, making it more likely that good teachers will stay in the profession longer. In these collaborative environments, transparency of practice and data are expected to help drive improvement (Gates Foundation 2019).

Action Steps to Implement

The principal, and leadership team, will identify teacher leaders to step into leadership roles within the school and support them as needed.

Person Responsible

Melanie Cleveland (melanie.cleveland@osceolaschools.net)

Cultivate a mindset of focus, discipline, and accountability within every staff member and ensure that concrete actions are taken every day toward goals.

Person Responsible

Melanie Cleveland (melanie.cleveland@osceolaschools.net)

The principal and assistant principal will identify candidates for the assistant principal and principal pools and support the participation in district leadership programs.

Person Responsible

Melanie Cleveland (melanie.cleveland@osceolaschools.net)

#6. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Ensure high levels of ELA achievement for all students and specifically strenthening each student's ability to read and comprehend grade level text. It is important to have a clear direction for the results of collaborative practice. By identifying the most essential standards in ELA we can ensure that ALL student sub-groups including ELL and ESE are receiving instruction to master of the content necessary to be successful with the grade level content. In addition, the uses of high-quality, complex texts and tasks to determine performance is critical in the development of lessons aligned to the standard. The consistent use of common assessments will lead to increased understanding, and provide teachers a way to analyze student performance and inform their instructional next steps in teaching to mastery. Learning gains are a high priority area of need that hold a direct connection to student achievement and the overall school academic grade. With a developed and specific focus on learning gains, students will receive core instruction and targeted interventions that will meet their individual needs.

Focusing on mastery of grade level standards, and a cohesive instructional plan that addresses the desired student performance will lead to increased student achievement for all subgroups. The intended outcome of this focus area is to improve focus on task and standard alignment and thereby improving student acheivement. Using NWEA data the following baseline and goal scores have been set:

Grade LVES FALL Avg. RIT

Kinder 140.5 First 154.8 Second 169.3 Third 186.7 Fourth 199.9

Measurable Outcome:

Fifth 205.1

Grade LVES Spring Avg. RIT

Kinder 153 First 171 Second 186 Third 197 Fourth 205 Fifth 211

Increase proficiency of Students with Disabilities in ELA from 19% to 31% Increase proficiency of Students who are English Language Learners from 35% to 42% Implementation of School-wide Grade Level Expectation Guide Student evidence of tasks aligned to the depth of the standards

Identify Grade Level Expectations and coach teachers toward a better understanding of implementation and monitoring of students' acquisition of grade level standards. The leadership team will conduct walkthroughs and observe PLC teams to ensure correct processes are being used in the analyzing and planning for student achievement. School Stocktake will take place monthly to report progress to the Principal. Principal will share and update the Chief of Staff and Assistant Superintendents during their halfway point through the Stocktake Model. To meet the needs of the diverse learners, staff will implement AVID and High Yield Teaching Strategies that align to the work of Robert Marzano and Visible Learning, the work of John Hattie. Ongoing progress monitoring will be continued using data to drive instruction and identify supports. Strategies for social and emotional learning will be embedded. Identified resources and support are provided for SWD and ELLs to close the achievements gaps.

Monitoring:

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Stephanie Walcott (stephanie.walcott@osceolaschools.net)

Evidencebased Strategy: In order to meet the needs of the diverse learners, administration, teachers and staff will implement AVID and High Yield Teaching Strategies that align to the work of Robert Marzano and Visible Learning, the work of John Hattie. On going progress monitoring with the use of NWEA and NSGRA will be continued using data to drive instruction and identify supports. Additionally, strategies for social and emotional learning will be embedded at every grade level. Identified resources and support are provided for SWD and ELLs to close the achievements gaps. Teachers will utilize the CUPS and decision tree to guide their Tier 1, 2, and 3 instruction. A balanced literacy block will be implemented with a focus on standards based instruction, rigorous reading/writing tasks, and differentiated instruction. Lessons will use the WICOR framework to engage students and scaffold instruction.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: AVID and High Yield Teaching Strategies are research based and proven through student outcome data nation wide. They include the research and work of Robert Marzano, John Hattie, Douglas Fisher and Nancy Frey with a proven positive effect on teaching and learning, including practices for engagement and impact in diverse settings, including distance learning. SWD have a history of academic struggle and low attendance. Resources and support designed for SWD will bolster the learning environment. On going progress monitoring and the district decision tree will identify areas of concern and needs for interventions. School wide support from instructional coaches, interventionist, and teacher mentors will be implemented, monitored and tailored to the needs of the teachers and students. The AVID foundation for high-engagement in teaching and learning will be

Action Steps to Implement

The literacy coach and interventionist will work with teams to implement the essential standards and support teachers with implementing complex texts and tasks for all learners including 100% integrity in utilizing Benchmark's high quality ELA instructional materials as evidenced in the curriculum unit plans.

implemented to support the college and career readiness framework.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Walcott (stephanie.walcott@osceolaschools.net)

Instructional Coaches will work with each team using the Curriculum Unit Plans (Benchmark Advance, Core Connections, and Open Court to meet grade level expectations. Coaches will foster the use of High Yield Strategies and Visible Learning to monitor student progress. All elements of the Open Court curriculum will be implemented in Kindergarten, print and book awareness, letter recognition, phonological and phonemic awareness, decoding phonics, fluency, and vocabulary and language development; First Grade letter/book/print awareness, phonemic awareness, decoding phonics and inflectional endings, fluency rate and accuracy, and vocabulary and language development. Second Grade decoding phonics/ work analysis, fluency: rate, accuracy, and prosody, and vocabulary and language development.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Walcott (stephanie.walcott@osceolaschools.net)

Instructional Coaches will collect student work samples monthly to encourge use of common assessments aligned to essential standards and work with grade level team and the Interventionist through the MTSS process to determine best placement for student instructions including T1 and T2 students engage in 20 min on Lexia Core 5 1 day/week during station rotation; T3 students engage in 20 mins on Lexia Core 5 2 days/week during station rotation.; RISE reading for T2 and Pre-Teaching strategies for T2.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Walcott (stephanie.walcott@osceolaschools.net)

The Leadership Team will provide on-going support of these tasks through PLCs, Leadership Team meetings, and MTSS/PS/RtI meetings with grade level teams.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Walcott (stephanie.walcott@osceolaschools.net)

The Principal and Assistant Principal will monitor for accountability utilizing the new NEST tool, focused observations, and coaching conversations with teachers. The Leadership Team will chart progress and guide problem solving around live data during grade level MTSS/PS/RtI meetings.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Walcott (stephanie.walcott@osceolaschools.net)

Ongoing Professional Development will be offered: AVID (WICOR) Framework, Lexia Learning, Benchmark Advance, and High Yield Strategies with the expectation of PD to practice within classrooms.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Walcott (stephanie.walcott@osceolaschools.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Lakeview Elementary School ranked 187 out of 1395 elementary schools statewide and #4 out of 15 elementary schools in the county. With an overall statewide ranking in the very low category, Lakview was 332 out of 1395 in violent incidents, 1 out of 1395 in property incidents, and 1 out of 1395 in drug or public order incidents. Lakeview reported 0.1 incidents per 100 students compared to 1.0 incidents per 100 students statewide. Lakeview reported 6.0 suspensions per 100 studenst while the statewide average was 3.9. In and out of school suspensions must be reduced.

The Leadership Team will work closely with the PBiS Team, MTSS Coach, School Counselor, and Team Leads to implement tiered discipline supports and actions to be followed consistently schoolwide with the goal of limiting out-of-class and out-of-school time to critical incidents only. Intense interventions and supports will be in place for our ESE contained classrooms to better serve our EBD, ASD, and IND students needs. Discipline and behavior data will be logged into FOCUS and will be monitored and discussed during grade level MTSS/PS meetings, Leadership Team meetings, and PLCs. The PBiS Team will continue with postivie incentives and excellent "Copper" Level schoolwide implementation. A subcommittee on the PBiS Team will focus on Restorative Practices to be implemented consistently schoolwide.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Research has proven the importance of school culture as it relates to student achievement and teachers' attitudes toward their work. Information gathered from school and district climate surveys will be shared with all stakeholders, students, teachers, support staff, families, and community members. It is critical for everyone involved to feel heard and understood as plan for the new school year are formed. It is also important to consider the history, values and beliefs. We will continue to strenghthen our school wide PBiS implementation and revitalize our school mascot, vision, mission, and school colors. Creating unity and pride is at the heart of culture within an organization. We will establish this through the use of common habits, traditions, and common language. A coherent vision will drive the common behavior exemplified by staff. The administrators, teachers, and staff will model the expected behaviors and acknowledge them in others. Students will receive Splash Cash to use to purchase items at our school's Splash Zone store. Teachers will earn lanyard and brag tags. Both students and teachers will be recognized during morning announcements.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Involve the parents of children served in Title I, Part A in decisions about how Title I, Part A funds are spent.

The school will carry out the programs, activities, and procedures in accordance with the definitions in Section 80101 of Every Student Education Act(ESEA).

The plan was jointly developed/revised with parents and made available to the local community.

How the parents and families are involved in planning, reviewing and improving the school-wide program plan.

The plan uses the findings of the parent and family engagement plan review to design strategies for more effective engagement, and to review, if necessary, the school's parent and family engagement plan.

The school will provide each family with timely notice information regarding their right to request information on the professional qualifications of the student's classroom teachers and paraprofessionals.

The school will notify each family, in a timely manner, when their child has been assigned, or had been taught for four or more consecutive weeks, by a teacher who is out of the field.

The school will provide each family with an individualized student report about the performance of their child(ren) on the State assessments.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math | \$0.00 |
|---|--------|--|--------|
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Science | \$0.00 |
| 3 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups | \$0.00 |
| 4 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Social Emotional Learning | \$0.00 |
| 5 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Leadership: Instructional Leadership Team | \$0.00 |
| 6 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA | \$0.00 |
| | | Total: | \$0.00 |