**Orange County Public Schools** 

# **Ocvs Virtual Franchise**



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	27
Positive Culture & Environment	32
Budget to Support Goals	33

# **Ocvs Virtual Franchise**

4000 SILVER STAR RD, Orlando, FL 32808

https://ocvs.ocps.net/

# **Demographics**

**Principal: Brandi Gurley** 

Start Date for this Principal: 8/10/2010

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (68%) 2017-18: A (65%) 2016-17: A (64%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	rmation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

## **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Orange County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
·	
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	27
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	33

# **Ocvs Virtual Franchise**

4000 SILVER STAR RD, Orlando, FL 32808

https://ocvs.ocps.net/

## **School Demographics**

School Type and Gi (per MSID I		2020-21 Title I School	Disadvan	l <b>Economically</b> taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)						
Combination S KG-12		No	0%							
Primary Servio (per MSID I		Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)						
K-12 General E	ducation	No		70%						
School Grades Histo	ory									
Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18						
Grade		A	Α	Α						

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Orange County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Part I: School Information**

## **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

With the support of families and the community, we create enriching and diverse pathways to lead our students to success.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

To ensure every student has a promising and successful future.

# School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Gurley, Brandi	Principal	*Facilitate implementation of MTSS process *Provide or coordinate valuable and continuous professional development *Analyze student data to determine achievements and opportunities for growth *Attend MTSS Team meetings to be active in the MTSS process *Conduct classroom walk-through observations to monitor the effectiveness of instruction
Lohr, Renee	Teacher, K-12	*Compile a data folder of progress monitoring notes (curriculum assessments, FLRKS, MAPS, ELA and Math FSA scores, work samples, and anecdotes) to be filed in each student's cumulative folder:  *Attend and participate in MTSS team meetings to collaborate on and monitor students who are struggling  *Design check points for student mastery of or progress towards grade level standards  *Implement interventions designed by the MTSS team for students in Tier 2 and 3  *Implement instructional interventions with fidelity
Walsh, Anne	Instructional Coach	*Attend MTSS Team meetings  * Train teachers in interventions, progress monitoring, differentiated instruction  *Implement tier 2 and 3 interventions  *Keep progress monitoring notes and anecdotes of interventions implemented  *Collect school-wide data for the team to use in determining struggling learners  * Attend MTSS Team meetings for tier 2 and tier 3 students  *Assist with tier 2 and 3 interventions through collaboration, training, and/ or direct student contact
Sparks, Tonja	Dean	*Provides expertise and support in identifying students and selecting appropriate interventions for behavior, including the creation of guidance groups, participating in MTSS meetings, and assisting in the creation MTSS behavior, action and support plans.

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		*Assist MTSS team with interventions *Conduct social-developmental history interviews and share with the MTSS team Each school-based leadership team member will analyze the data and help create and implement necessary action plans for students to show learning gains.
Marshall, Vanessa	Other	*Compile a data folder of progress monitoring notes (curriculum assessments, FLRKS, MAPS, ELA and Math FSA scores, work samples, and anecdotes) to be filed in each student's cumulative folder:  *Attend and participate in MTSS team meetings to collaborate on and monitor students who are struggling  *Design check points for student mastery of or progress towards grade level standards  *Implement interventions designed by the MTSS team for students in Tier 2 and 3  *Implement instructional interventions with fidelity
Lluvera, Nikishia	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal will:  *Facilitate implementation of MTSS process  *Attend MTSS Team meetings to be active in the MTSS process  *Conduct classroom walk-through observations to monitor the effectiveness of instruction

# **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Tuesday 8/10/2010, Brandi Gurley

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

6

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

22

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

189

Total number of students enrolled at the school

8,284

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

**Demographic Data** 

# **Early Warning Systems**

2021-22

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator						Gr	ade L	_evel						Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	186	166	167	149	133	151	167	160	180	185	202	194	2040
Attendance below 90 percent	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	2	3	3	14
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	3	5	8	3	21	21	19	47	30	157
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	7	5	10	8	21	28	26	48	51	204
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	11	7	16	22	25	17	106
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	11	17	28	18	29	18	137
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	3	5	12	8	16	26	17	43	37	167	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	8	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	

## Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 8/23/2021

## 2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
illuicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	19	29	22	30	34	27	167
Attendance below 90 percent	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5
One or more suspensions	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	4
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	5

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
muicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

# 2020-21 - Updated

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						G	3ra	de L	eve	ı				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	19	29	22	30	34	27	167
Attendance below 90 percent	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5
One or more suspensions	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	4
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	5

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	l				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2

# The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

# School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				86%	62%	61%	83%	60%	60%
ELA Learning Gains				68%	60%	59%	53%	57%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				75%	55%	54%	33%	54%	52%
Math Achievement				68%	61%	62%	76%	60%	61%
Math Learning Gains				51%	60%	59%	55%	60%	58%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				53%	54%	52%		55%	52%
Science Achievement				68%	56%	56%	75%	56%	57%
Social Studies Achievement				92%	74%	78%	87%	74%	77%

# **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•	
06	2021					
	2019	79%	52%	27%	54%	25%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•	
07	2021					
	2019	88%	48%	40%	52%	36%
Cohort Co	mparison	-79%			'	
08	2021					
	2019	86%	54%	32%	56%	30%
Cohort Co	mparison	-88%			•	

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2021					
	2019	91%	52%	39%	55%	36%
Cohort Com	nparison	-86%				
10	2021					
	2019	81%	50%	31%	53%	28%
Cohort Com	nparison	-91%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
06	2021					
	2019	92%	43%	49%	55%	37%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
07	2021					
	2019	80%	49%	31%	54%	26%
Cohort Co	mparison	-92%			<u>'</u>	
08	2021					
	2019	0%	36%	-36%	46%	-46%
Cohort Co	mparison	-80%	'		· ·	

			SCIENC	E		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Com	nparison					
08	2021					
	2019	62%	49%	13%	48%	14%
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

	BIOLOGY EOC								
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2021									

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	75%	67%	8%	67%	8%
•		CIVIC	S EOC	•	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	82%	66%	16%	71%	11%
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	94%	69%	25%	70%	24%
		ALGEE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	72%	63%	9%	61%	11%
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	53%	53%	0%	57%	-4%

# **Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments**

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

K-8 iReady 9-12 EOC courses-PMAs

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	64%	72%	65%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	53%	71%	47%
	English Language Learners	59%	69%	61%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	51%	53%	53%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	40%	42%	39%
	English Language Learners	59%	75%	52%
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Grade 2 Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students		Winter 58%	Spring 58%
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall		
	Proficiency  All Students  Economically  Disadvantaged  Students With  Disabilities	Fall 49%	58%	58%
	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall 49% NA	58% NA	58% NA
	Proficiency  All Students  Economically  Disadvantaged  Students With  Disabilities  English Language	Fall 49% NA 33% 33% Fall	58% NA 25% 20% Winter	58% NA 29%
	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners  Number/% Proficiency  All Students	Fall 49% NA 33% 33%	58% NA 25% 20%	58% NA 29% 41%
	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall 49% NA 33% 33% Fall	58% NA 25% 20% Winter	58% NA 29% 41% Spring
Arts	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners  Number/% Proficiency  All Students Economically	Fall 49% NA 33% 33% Fall 31%	58% NA 25% 20% Winter 31%	58% NA 29% 41% Spring 32%

		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	56%	54%	63%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	0%	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	46%	21%	27%
	English Language Learners	52%	35%	50%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	20%	21%	31%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	100%	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	0%	0%	7%
	English Language Learners	23%	25%	29%
		Grade 4		
	Number/% Proficiency	<b>Grade 4</b> Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students		Winter 52%	Spring 51%
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall		
	Proficiency  All Students  Economically  Disadvantaged  Students With  Disabilities	Fall 56%	52%	51%
	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall 56% 0%	52% 100%	51% NA
	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners  Number/% Proficiency	Fall 56% 0% 46% 52% Fall	52% 100% 25% 38% Winter	51% NA 50% 44% Spring
	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners  Number/% Proficiency  All Students	Fall 56% 0% 46% 52%	52% 100% 25% 38%	51% NA 50% 44%
	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners  Number/% Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall 56% 0% 46% 52% Fall	52% 100% 25% 38% Winter	51% NA 50% 44% Spring
Arts	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners  Number/% Proficiency  All Students Economically	Fall 56% 0% 46% 52% Fall 19%	52% 100% 25% 38% Winter 26%	51% NA 50% 44% Spring 32%

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	32%	28%	34%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	16%
,	Students With Disabilities	6%	13%	7%
	English Language Learners	20%	15%	14%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	20%	25%	36%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	25%	27%	19%
	English Language Learners	20%	18%	26%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	80%
Science	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	17%
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	78%
		Grade 6		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	34%	36%	46%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	0%	0%	33%
	English Language Learners	0%	0%	75%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	27%	4%	19%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	20%	0%	0%
	English Language Learners	0%	0%	0%

		Grade 7		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	36%	39%	49%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	0%	25%	17%
	English Language Learners	0%	50%	50%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	17%	4%	19%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	0%	0%	0%
	English Language Learners	0%	0%	0%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	83%
Civics	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	67%
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	40%

		Grade 8		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	44%	39%	51%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	0%	50%	50%
	English Language Learners	50%	0%	50%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	10%	27%	11%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	0%	50%	0%
	English Language Learners	NA	0%	0%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	49%
Science	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	0
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	0

		Grade 9		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	72%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	0
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	75%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	75%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	67%
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	100%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	77%
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	60
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	75%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	75%
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	50%
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	NA

		Grade 10		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	49%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	29%
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	50%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	NA
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	NA
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	NA
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	44%
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	20%
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	50%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	67%
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	100%
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	NA

		Grade 11		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	NA
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	NA
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	NA
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	NA
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	NA
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	NA
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	100%
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	NA
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	NA
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	89%
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	100%
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	100%

		Grade 12		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	NA
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	NA
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	NA
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	NA
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	NA
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	NA
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	0
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	0
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	NA
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	NA	NA	100%
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
	Students With Disabilities	NA	NA	NA
	English Language Learners	NA	NA	NA

# Subgroup Data Review

	2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	23	37	36	19	28	20	34	56			
ELL	57	57	47	45	38	30	41	58			
ASN	89	77		83	58		94	70			
BLK	51	43	29	29	22	16	45	60	55		
HSP	63	57	52	45	30	25	42	73	50	83	20

		2021	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
MUL	79	65		74	31			82			
WHT	78	65	40	58	37	30	71	75	53	97	53
FRL										80	60
		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
BLK	76	63		40	31						
HSP	96	75		77	63		80	90			
WHT	81	62		69	48		65	94		88	64
FRL	88	53		65	47		55	100			
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
BLK	67	50									
HSP	100	75		91	73			_		94	40
WHT	75	46		65	45		_	73		100	33
FRL	75	42		84	50					93	43

# **ESSA Data Review**

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	54
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	597
Total Components for the Federal Index	11
Percent Tested	66%

# Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities 32 Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? YES Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	47
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	79
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	39
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	49
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	66
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Design Lebes des Ott deste Out arrang Delay 440% in the Output Verso	N/A
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	60
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%  White Students	60 NO

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	70
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

# **Analysis**

## **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

## What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

During the pandemic, our students completed progress monitoring assessments at home, at times, with limited participation so while results are not completely accurate, we did see some trends emerging which was confirmed with FSA data. Math was our weakest area with ESE students performing lower than other subgroup areas.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

2018-2019

- 1. Math Lowest 25 Learning Gains-51%
- 2. Math Learning Gains-53%

2020-2021

- 1. Math Lowest 25 Learning Gains-24%
- 2. Math Learning Gains-32%

# What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

During the pandemic, our students completed progress monitoring assessments at home, at times, with limited participation so while results are not completely accurate, we did see some trends emerging which was confirmed with FSA data. Math was our weakest area with ESE students performing lower than other subgroup areas. Students' lack of participation in tutoring and interventions was evident last year and will be a huge focus during the 2021-2022 school year. Teachers will motivate students to attend live lessons, intervention, and tutoring. They will also provide daily instruction to address deficits and support with module assignments so students can walk away with completed lessons. The testing coordinator, teachers, and the instructional support team will monitor iReady and and provide interventions where necessary.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

ELA was our strong point during the 2018-2019 school year and math began to decline at this point. ELA learning gains increased from 53% in 2018 to 68% in 2019. ELA lowest 25 increased from 33% to 75%

In addition, White and FRL made the most gains in ELA last year.

# What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

During the 2020-2021 school year, OCVS placed a large focus on implementing close reading strategies. Additionally, OCVS conducted classroom visits and provided teachers with coaching and feedback. The school placed an intense focus on iReady and provided students with Reading interventions and tutoring.

# What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

- -Increased live lessons
- -More focus on MTSS process
- -Additional tutoring sessions
- -Working with students to complete assignments during live lessons.
- -Hired additional reading and math coaches/interventionists to provide support
- -More intense focus on iReady monitoring
- -Classroom walk throughs
- -Coaching/Mentoring
- -Professional Development
- -One on One support
- -Educate families on things they can do at home

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers are afforded multiple professional development opportunities. Teachers are able to attend school-wide professional development and grade-level professional development to build their capacities as educators. Some of the professional development opportunities include but are not limited to: Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS), Virtual Instruction Engagement Techniques, and Social and Emotional Learning.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Additional services will be implemented to ensure the sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond. Teachers and staff will be afforded opportunities for continued professional development. The school will focus on progress monitoring through the iReady platform. Additionally, small group intervention and one-on-one support will be available to students. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) will collaborate to discuss standards-based instruction and intervention ideas. The school will keep families educated and involved to enhance growth.

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### **Areas of Focus:**

## **#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math**

# Area of Focus

By June 2022, Orange County Virtual School will increase the percentage of students scoring on target in math lowest 25 percent by at least 20 percentage points.

# Description and Rationale:

Based on the 2020-2021 assessment data, 24 % of students in the lowest 25 percent made learning gains. This represents a 29% decrease from the 2018-2019 school year. School progress monitoring data show achievement gaps among some sub-groups. Additional strategies are needed to increase student proficiency and close achievement gaps in math.

# Measurable Outcome:

For 2021-2022 school year, the percent of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in Math will increase from 24% to 44%.

The school will broaden the data analysis protocols used in PLC's to analyze instructional practices and make necessary adjustments to improve student outcomes. Progress

# **Monitoring:**

monitoring will occur followed by data analysis and instructional planning. Classroom walkthrough

will be conducted and feedback to monitor the effective use of instructional strategies.

# Person responsible for

tor monitoring outcome: Amy Lerman (amy.lerman@ocps.net)

# Evidencebased Strategy:

Through Professional Learning Communities, teachers will learn how to help their students identify concepts and procedures. Evidence -based strategies used will be a wide number of discrete skills, techniques, and strategies that have been demonstrated to be effective such as explicit systematic instruction, visual representation, and effective classroom practices. In addition, teachers will be provided with Professional Development to gain the necessary skills to support student growth. Orange County Virtual School's rationale for using teacher effectiveness is based on research from the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Using FSA Data it has been determined that this is an area of focus. OCVS will use iReady, teacher observations and other necessary tools to support increased student learning. The leadership team will conduct observations and provide teachers with actionable feedback on standards-based instruction. In addition, student data will be monitored to determine if strategies being implemented are effective. During monthly data meetings, formative and summative student data will be discussed to determine whether or not students need additional support. Leadership team members will meet weekly to discuss findings and trends that they have observed within their classroom walkthroughs and PLC meetings.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

1. Teachers will attend schoolwide, statewide, and district PLC meetings specific to the implementation of standards-based instruction and supplemental curriculum that support these standards.

# Person Responsible

Brandi Gurley (brandi.gurley@ocps.net)

2. Professional development will be presented on supplemental resources and monitoring procedures to ensure expectations are being met with fidelity. Tier 2 and Tier 3 students will utilize I-Ready math with fidelity and will be presented additional lessons to target deficit areas.

# Person Responsible

Amy Lerman (amy.lerman@ocps.net)

3. Kindergarten through 8th grade students will be administered the i-Ready math diagnostic assessment three times per year to determine whether intervention strategies are decreasing gaps in learning. Interventions will be put in place to fill identified gaps.

Person
Responsible Amy Lerman (amy.lerman@ocps.net)

4. Orange County Virtual School will continue to focus on their Culturally Responsive school plan to build upon instruction and to provide differentiation and equitable access to education for students from all cultures. Strategies which will be of focus include using peer teaching and collaborative activities during face- to-face and live lessons. Teachers will continue to learn about their students as this is extremely important in the virtual environment. Open communication will be used to uncover students' learning styles. During the Welcome Orientation/Call process, teachers will spend time asking students about their hobbies and interests. Teachers will include parents by involving parents with a monthly call. This a great opportunity for teachers to provide involve parents in the virtual environment as their students may be trying the online environment for the first time.

Person
Responsible
Brandi Gurley (brandi.gurley@ocps.net)

5. Teachers will receive professional development on Social Emotional Learning so they understand the importance of it and the benefits it will provide students which include improving students' academic performance and lifelong learning. In addition, it may provide students with better psychosocial adjustment, improved attitudes, and academic and behavioral results.

Person
Responsible
Brandi Gurley (brandi.gurley@ocps.net)

#### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus

By June 2022, Orange County Virtual School will improve the percentage of students scoring a level 3 or above by at least 10 percent.

Description and Rationale:

Based on the 2020-2021 school data, 49 percent of students scored level 3 or above on FSA mathematics. This represents a 10 percent decrease from the 2018-2019 school year. School progress monitoring data show achievement gaps among some sub-groups. Additional strategies are needed to increase student proficiency and close achievement gaps in math.

Measurable Outcome:

For the 2021-2022 school year, the percent of students achieving Level 3 or above in Math will increase from 49% to 59%.

The school will broaden the data analysis protocols used in PLC's to analyze instructional practices and make necessary adjustments to improve student outcomes. Progress monitoring will occur followed by data analysis and instructional planning. Classroom

**Monitoring:** 

will be conducted and feedback to monitor the effective use of instructional strategies.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Amy Lerman (amy.lerman@ocps.net)

Evidencebased Strategy: Through Professional Learning Communities, teachers will learn how to help their students identify concepts and procedures. Evidence-based strategies used will be a wide number of discrete skills, techniques, and strategies that have been demonstrated to be effective such as explicit systematic instruction, visual representation, and effective classroom practices. In addition, teachers will be provided with Professional Development to gain the necessary skills to support student growth. Orange County Virtual School's rationale for using teacher effectiveness is based on research from the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Using FSA Data it has been determined that this is an area of focus. OCVS will use iReady, teacher observations and other necessary tools to support increased student learning. The leadership team will conduct observations and provide teachers with actionable feedback on standards-based instruction. In addition, student data will be monitored to determine if strategies being implemented are effective. During monthly data meetings, formative and summative student data will be discussed to determine whether or not students need additional support. Leadership team members will meet weekly to discuss findings and trends that they have observed within their classroom walkthroughs and PLC meetings.

# **Action Steps to Implement**

1. Teachers will attend schoolwide, statewide, and district PLC meetings specific to the implementation of standards-based instruction and supplemental curriculum that support these standards.

Person Responsible

Brandi Gurley (brandi.gurley@ocps.net)

2. Professional development will be presented on supplemental resources and monitoring procedures to ensure expectations are being met with fidelity. Tier 2 and Tier 3 students will utilize I-Ready math with fidelity and will be presented additional lessons to target deficit areas.

Person Responsible

Amy Lerman (amy.lerman@ocps.net)

3. Kindergarten through 8th grade students will be administered the i-Ready math diagnostic assessment three times per year to determine whether intervention strategies are decreasing gaps in learning. Interventions will be put in place to fill identified gaps.

Person

Responsible

Amy Lerman (amy.lerman@ocps.net)

## #3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement

Area of Focus
Description and

Based on the Orange County Virtual School Panorama data, 40% of students felt a sense of belonging at the school. By June 2022, Orange County Virtual School will improve student sense of belonging by increasing the percentage students who feel accepted and included from 40% to 50% on the Panorama survey.

Measurable Outcome:

Rationale:

By June 2022, Orange County Virtual School will improve student sense of belonging by increasing the percentage students who feel accepted and included from 40% to 50% on

the Panorama survey.

Teachers will receive professional development on Social Emotional Learning so they

understand the importance of it and the benefits it will provide students which include improving students' academic performance and lifelong learning. In addition, it may provide students with better psychosocial adjustment, improved attitudes, and academic and

behavioral results.

Person responsible for

Monitoring:

Amy Lerman (amy.lerman@ocps.net)

monitoring outcome:

The CASEL Core Competencies will be a continued focus during the 2021-2022 school

year. Those competencies include:

Evidencebased

Strategy:

Identifying emotions
 Accurate self-perception
 Recognizing strengths

4. Self-confidence5. Self-efficacy

Rationale

**for** Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:

Evidencebased The rationale for this goal is for students improve their CASEL Core Competencies and

**based** primarily focusing on accurate self-perception. **Strategy:** 

# **Action Steps to Implement**

Teachers will receive professional development on Social Emotional Learning so they understand the importance of it and the benefits it will provide students which include improving students' academic performance and lifelong learning. In addition, it may provide students with better psychosocial adjustment, improved attitudes, and academic and behavioral results.

Person Responsible

Brandi Gurley (brandi.gurley@ocps.net)

# **Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities**

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

NA

# Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

#### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Orange County Virtual School will continue to focus on its Culturally Responsive school plan to build upon instruction and to provide differentiation and equitable access to education for students from all cultures. Strategies that will be of focus include using peer teaching and collaborative activities during face-to-face and live lessons. Teachers will continue to learn about their students as this is extremely important in the virtual environment. A huge focus will also be placed on building relationships. Open communication will be used to uncover students' learning styles. During the Welcome Orientation/Call process, teachers will spend time asking students about their hobbies and interests. Teachers will include parents by involving parents with a monthly call. This is a great opportunity for teachers to provide involve parents in the virtual environment as their students may be trying the online environment for the first time.

# Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

In order to establish a positive school culture and climate, OCVS teachers and staff engage in ongoing, district-wide professional learning on leveraging social and emotional learning. Through a distributive leadership model, OCVS uses social and emotional learning to strengthen team dynamics and collaboration in order to build academic expertise in all students. Through this professional

learning, schools across the district use the CASEL Core Competencies as a common language to support a positive culture of social and emotional learning and connect cognitive and conative strategies to support student success. A core team of teachers and administrators from OCVS, which includes a core group of teachers and administrators, attend this district-wide professional learning throughout the year. The core team works with a broader school team and is charged with personalizing and implementing professional learning for school stakeholders, based on school and community needs. School leadership teams collaborate with stakeholders, through processes such as the School Advisory Council, to reflect on implementation and determine the next steps.

# Part V: Budget

# The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math	\$0.00
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Student Engagement	\$0.00
Total:		\$0.00	