Marion County Public Schools

Belleview High School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	21
Positive Culture & Environment	23
Budget to Support Goals	24

Belleview High School

10400 SE 36TH AVE, Belleview, FL 34420

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Heather Guest

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2018

-
Active
High School 9-12
K-12 General Education
Yes
91%
Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
2018-19: B (55%) 2017-18: B (56%) 2016-17: C (53%)
ormation*
Northeast
Cassandra Brusca
N/A
or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Marion County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	21
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	24

Belleview High School

10400 SE 36TH AVE, Belleview, FL 34420

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID		2020-21 Title I School	Disadvan	I Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
High Scho 9-12	ool	Yes		60%
Primary Servio	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		44%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		В	В	В

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Marion County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

MISSION

The Faculty and Staff of Belleview High School are committed to providing a safe, secure and healthy learning environment as a foundation for the academic, social, and ethical development necessary for each student to become a successful adult in tomorrow's society.

Provide the school's vision statement.

VISION

We are committed to providing a safe and healthy learning environment as a foundation for the academic, social, and ethical development necessary for each student to become a successful citizen in tomorrow's society.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Guest, Heather	Principal	Instructional leader of the school; Staff evaluations; Purchasing; School Advisory Council; Monitor implementation of the School Improvement Plan; Technology Plan; Budget - instructional and internal; Support staff, custodians, and cafeteria.
Hisey, Virginia	Assistant Principal	Textbook management budgeting, purchasing, and inventory; Maintain and distribute teacher resources; Deliberate practices data distribution; Coordination of professional development; Coordinate lesson plans with APC; Staff evaluations; Emergency lesson plans; Curriculum maps and specs; State and district testing; Coordinate and manage 504 Plans
Hicks, Brandon	Assistant Principal	Crisis management; MTSS; Coordinate discipline department; Staff evaluations; Fire/Tornado/ALICE drills; Student handbook; Clinic; Teacher duty stations; Lunch duty; Attendance and CST; Coordinate and manage ESOL
Sandlin, Alisa	Assistant Principal	School curriculum and curriculum guide; Develop and maintain master schedule; Gradebook policies and report cards; Maintenance of ESE; Maintain accreditation records; Coordinate room assignments; Coordinate lesson plans with API; Coordinate guidance department; Coordinator of management information services in Skyward, statistics, databases
Dreher, Joel	Dean	Student discipline; Monitor attendance; SharePoint; Student Parking; Positive referrals;
Avery, Annie	Dean	Buses; Student discipline; Monitor attendance; Positive referrals; Duty Stations
Rivera, Casey	Dean	Monitor student attendance and maintain records for DMV; Student discipline; Monitor attendance; Positive referrals; Duty stations
Baxley, Vanessa	Magnet Coordinator	Cambridge recruitment; Cambridge communication; Cambridge counseling; Cambridge publicity; Cambridge testing
Pfriender, Jason	Other	School assessment coordinator assistant; Lead Instructional talent developer; Student/teacher library materials manager
Losito, Michael	Magnet Coordinator	Cambridge curriculum and staff development; Cambridge budget; Cambridge testing; Cambridge Instructional materials

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Sunday 7/1/2018, Heather Guest

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

1

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

16

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Total number of students enrolled at the school

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year. 20

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	438	430	403	326	1597		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	117	142	180	175	614		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	57	50	45	210		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	177	150	158	102	587		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	162	196	201	132	691		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	72	81	88	339		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	73	67	112	350		
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator							Gr	ad	e Lo	evel				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	193	196	227	179	795

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	20		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 6/30/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	411	435	424	406	1676
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	154	134	105	174	567
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	70	89	63	263
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	113	110	85	390
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	114	112	81	389
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	74	84	97	335
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	78	68	127	357

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						G	rad	e L	eve	l				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	67	72	95	266

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	411	435	424	406	1676		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	154	134	105	174	567		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	70	89	63	263		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	113	110	85	390		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	114	112	81	389		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	74	84	97	335		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	78	68	127	357		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level										Total		
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	67	72	95	266

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantor		Grade Level										Total		
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019		2018		
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				49%	46%	56%	48%	44%	56%
ELA Learning Gains				49%	48%	51%	51%	48%	53%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				36%	39%	42%	35%	37%	44%
Math Achievement				45%	40%	51%	49%	44%	51%
Math Learning Gains				49%	43%	48%	49%	42%	48%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				33%	37%	45%	41%	31%	45%
Science Achievement				60%	61%	68%	61%	60%	67%
Social Studies Achievement				71%	71%	73%	70%	67%	71%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2021					
	2019	50%	50%	0%	55%	-5%
Cohort Com	nparison					
10	2021					
	2019	44%	46%	-2%	53%	-9%
Cohort Com	nparison	-50%				

MATH								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		

SCIENCE									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison			

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	59%	64%	-5%	67%	-8%
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	70%	70%	0%	70%	0%
		ALGEB	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	27%	54%	-27%	61%	-34%
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					

	GEOMETRY EOC								
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2019	54%	51%	3%	57%	-3%				

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

The progress monitoring tools used by grade level to compile the data below are:

- English Language Arts: Grades 9 and 10 ELA Quarters 1, 2, and 3 Quarterly Standards Mastery Assessments (QSMA)
- Algebra: Algebra 1 Quarters 1, 2, and 3 Quarterly Standards Mastery Assessments (QSMA)
- Geometry: Geometry Quarters 1, 2, and 3 Quarterly Standards Mastery Assessments (QSMA)
- Biology: Biology Quarters 1, 2, and 3 Quarterly Standards Mastery Assessments (QSMA)
- US History: US History Quarters 1, 2, and 3 Quarterly Standards Mastery Assessments (QSMA)

		Grade 9		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	111 / 32%	157 / 40%	135 / 36%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	63 / 29%	90 / 36%	71 / 31%
	Students With Disabilities	1 / 3%	2 / 6%	4 / 13%
	English Language Learners	0 / 0%	0 / 0%	0 / 0%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	"Algebra 59 / 52% Geo 94 / 63%"	"Algebra 39 / 31% Geo 112 / 70%"	"Algebra 41 / 34% Geo 103 / 68%"
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	"Algebra 41 / 53% Geo 51 / 63%"	"Algebra 25 / 28% Geo 52 / 63%"	"Algebra 26 / 31% Geo 50 / 64%"
	Students With Disabilities	"Algebra 6 / 60% "	"Algebra 2 / 20% Geo 0 / 0%"	"Algebra 2 / 22% "
	English Language Learners	" Geo 1 / 100%"	" Geo 1 / 100%"	" Geo 0 / 0%"
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	113 / 78%	129 / 88%	116 / 81%
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	56 / 78%	63 / 86%	53 / 76%
· ·	Students With Disabilities	1 / 100%	1 / 100%	1 / 100%
	English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	5 / 63%	5 / 56%	4 / 57%
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	4 / 67%	4 / 57%	3 / 50%
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	0 / 0%	0 / 0%	

		Grade 10		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	185 / 55%	216 / 58%	181 / 54%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	96 / 49%	114 / 51%	88 / 44%
	Students With Disabilities	6 / 18%	11 / 29%	8 / 23%
	English Language Learners	3 / 20%	4 / 21%	2 / 13%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	"Algebra 39 / 45% Geo 50 / 36%"	"Algebra 18 / 18% Geo 68 / 44%"	"Algebra 11 / 12% Geo 54 / 37%"
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	"Algebra 28 / 44% Geo 31 / 35%"	"Algebra 14 / 18% Geo 43 / 44%"	"Algebra 7 / 10% Geo 31 / 33%"
	Students With	"Algebra 8 / 42%	"Algebra 3 / 14%	"Algebra 2 / 11%
	Disabilities English Language	Geo 3 / 25%" "Algebra 7 / 64%	Geo 7 / 50%" "Algebra 3 / 23%	Geo 5 / 42%" "Algebra 2 / 20%
	Learners	Geo 0 / 0%"	Geo 0 / 0%"	Geo 0 / 0%"
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	90 / 37%	96 / 36%	50 / 20%
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	60 / 35%	67 / 36%	33 / 19%
	Students With Disabilities	2 / 6%	7 / 19%	3 / 9%
	English Language Learners	2 / 13%	2 / 11%	1 / 7%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	2 / 33%	3 / 38%	3 / 60%
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	2 / 33%	3 / 43%	3 / 60%
	Students With Disabilities	0 / 0%	0 / 0%	
	English Language Learners			

		Grade 11		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	"Algebra 3 / 75% Geo 19 / 26%"	"Algebra 0 / 0% Geo 18 / 23%"	"Algebra 0 / 0% Geo 12 / 17%"
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged Students With	"Algebra 2 / 67% Geo 14 / 27%"	"Algebra 0 / 0% Geo 14 / 25%"	"Algebra 0 / 0% Geo 10 / 19%"
	Disabilities English Language Learners	"Algebra 1 / 100% Geo 6 / 46%" "Algebra 1 / 100% Geo 2 / 29%"	"Algebra 0 / 0% Geo 5 / 31%" "Algebra 0 / 0% Geo 3 / 43%"	"Algebra 0 / 0% Geo 3 / 23%" "Algebra 0 / 0% Geo 2 / 29%"
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0 / 0%	1 / 50%	0 / 0%
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	0 / 0%	1 / 50%	0 / 0%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	137 / 49%	208 / 62%	218 / 69%
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	75 / 47%	118 / 60%	116 / 63%
	Students With Disabilities	9 / 35%	9 / 29%	9 / 32%
	English Language Learners	3 / 50%	2 / 25%	2 / 25%

		Grade 12		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	" Geo 0 / 0%"	" Geo 1 / 33%"	" Geo 1 / 33%"
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	" Geo 0 / 0%"	" Geo 1 / 50%"	" Geo 1 / 50%"
	Students With Disabilities	" Geo 0 / 0%"	" Geo 1 / 50%"	" Geo 1 / 50%"
	English Language Learners	" Geo 0 / 0%"	" Geo 0 / 0%"	" Geo 0 / 0%"
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	5 / 71%	5 / 71%	6 / 100%
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	4 / 67%	4 / 67%	5 / 100%
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	1 / 100%	0 / 0%	1 / 100%

Subgroup Data Review

	2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	21	37	31	26	38	13	42	34		80	48
ELL	18	38	36	19	24	20	22	7		76	37
BLK	27	32	29	25	21	23	35	46		84	48
HSP	36	35	29	22	19	18	44	60		86	75
MUL	44	50		30	18		53	57		82	64

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS														
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20			
WHT	55	46	40	38	22	25	57	70		84	86			
FRL	37	39	36	27	22	20	43	56		80	74			
		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS	S				
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18			
SWD	14	31	30	24	39	41	23	32		73	34			
ELL	37	44	37	28	35		28	28		35				
BLK	37	39	26	30	41	27	51	40		84	72			
HSP	49	46	33	41	45	29	51	60		71	72			
MUL	42	48	40	42	55		62	60		94	81			
WHT	51	51	39	49	50	35	65	79		89	79			
FRL	40	42	34	37	42	31	52	62		80	70			
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS					
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17			
SWD	18	27	24	27	34	38	20	39		67	38			
ELL	9	41	38	19	38		29			57	58			
BLK	36	48	38	36	40	25	34	40		79	52			
HSP	43	49	25	41	52	40	57	64		74	77			
MUL	48	39		50	55		57	77		76	69			
WHT	52	52	40	54	49	44	66	76		87	77			
FRL	42	49	34	43	46	37	56	66		79	69			

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as or 10/19/2021.					
ESSA Federal Index					
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)					
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	47				
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO				
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3				
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	45				
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	521				
Total Components for the Federal Index	11				
Percent Tested	96%				
Subgroup Data					
Students With Disabilities					
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	37				

Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	31
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	37
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	42
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	50
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	

White Students			
Federal Index - White Students	52		
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO		
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%			
Economically Disadvantaged Students			
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	43		
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO		
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%			

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

We have continued to drop in Algebra 1 for the past few years. Our US History and Biology scores continue to stay above 50%. 20-21 data shows a drop across all subject area tests.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Algebra 1 and Geometry show the greatest need for improvement.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Contributing factors for this need for improvement are more students are taking Algebra 1 at the middle schools so the students are coming to us with Level 1 and 2 scores for Math. They are deficient in skills they should have mastered in Grades 7 and 8.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

20-21 data did not show any improvement. However, we maintained a score above 50% in Biology and 64% in US History.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

This maintenance was due to consistency of instruction in the classes.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Teachers need to implement a Universal Design for Learning. 8 of the Math teachers are participating in the book study this fall.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers will receive professional development in the Universal Design for Learning, Standards training, Kagan training, BEST standards training

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Additional services that will be implemented include walkthroughs, crate walks and collaborative planning.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement

Area of Focus

Description and

Based on observations and walkthroughs, students need to know the why (relevancy) of

what they are learning and how it applies to them.

Rationale:

If BHS implements Peer influences on achievement, Direct Instruction and Student-

Measurable Outcome:

Teacher relationships, our Algebra scores will increase from 15% proficiency (20-21) to 30% proficiency and our ELA scores will increase from 46% proficiency (20-21) to 50%

proficiency.

Monitoring:

We will meet monthly with the 6 tested areas and collaboratively plan. We will look at the

data from QSMA's, Read 180, Math 180 and IXL.

Person responsible

for

Heather Guest (heather.guest@marion.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased

We will be implementing the following strategies from Hattie's Index of Teaching and

Learning:

Strategy: Peer influences on achievement, Direct Instruction and Student-Teacher relationships.

Rationale for Evidence-

based Strategy:

The rationale for selecting this strategy is to help students understand the relevance of their learning process. Many of the students are frustrated with their learning and the families do not have the strategies in their homes needed for their child to be successful.

Action Steps to Implement

Implementation of the Common Board layout and regular inspection through walkthroughs and common planning collaboration.

Person Responsible

Heather Guest (heather.guest@marion.k12.fl.us)

Utilize the home school liaison to identify students in need and monitor their Early Warning indicators. The home school liaison will then communicate with the teachers in helping guide and create a plan for individual students.

Person

Brandon Hicks (brandon.hicks@marion.k12.fl.us) Responsible

Page 21 of 24 Last Modified: 5/6/2024 https://www.floridacims.org

#2. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups

Area of Focus

Description

Based on the 18-19 subgroup data, the groups of ELL and ESE have scored below the

41% federal index.

Rationale:

and

Measurable Outcome:

If BHS utilizes Peer influences on achievement, Direct Instruction and Student-Teacher Relationships, our SWD will increase from 34% proficiency (18-19) to 41% proficiency

and our ELL will increase from 36% proficiency (18-19) to 41% proficiency.

We will meet monthly with the tested 6 areas and collaboratively plan. We will look at the data from QSMA's, Read 180, Math 180 and IXL. We will continue to include our ELL and

ESE teachers in the collaborative planning process.

Person responsible

Monitoring:

for

Virginia Hisey (virginia.hisey@marion.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy: We will be implementing the following strategies from Hattie's Index of Teaching and Learning: Peer influences on achievement, Direct Instruction and Student-Teacher

Relationships.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy:

The reason this strategy has been selected is to help students understand the relevance of their learning process. Many of the students are frustrated with their learning and the families do not have the strategies in their homes needed for their child to be successful.

Action Steps to Implement

Utilize the home school liaison to identify students in need and monitor their Early Warning indicators. The home school liaison will then communicate with the teachers in helping guide and create a plan for individual students. The home school liaison will help develop the strategies for families to support their child at home.

Person Responsible

Brandon Hicks (brandon.hicks@marion.k12.fl.us)

Implementation of the Universal Design for Learning layout and regular inspection through walkthroughs and common planning collaboration.

Person Responsible

Heather Guest (heather.guest@marion.k12.fl.us)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

N/A

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

One of the areas we are addressing as a school is focusing on and rewarding positive behaviors. We are now giving teachers and staff the option to write positive referrals for students who they see exhibiting one or more of our Core 4 behaviors. The students are rewarded and the parents are also notified of the student's positive referral.

We would also like to use our SAC meetings to promote and showcase our CTE departments this year. Some of these ideas are to have our culinary arts students cook and serve an appetizer before the meeting starts, have our agriculture students bring their animals or produce to a meeting, and have our robotics students show off their projects. This would bring more participants to our SAC meetings as well as showcase all the great programs we have at BHS.

Belleview High School welcomes incoming 9th grade students by first visiting them in their 8th grade setting and discussing the positive things BHS has to offer. We also have the 8th grade invasion, where students visit BHS, allowing students to see all academic offerings. These students are also encouraged to attend the pre-school open house night in which they are given the opportunity to explore the campus, as well as obtain information on

buses, extra curricular activities, and classroom schedules. Parents and students are offered many opportunities to find out information about College, career, and military through a variety of activities including; College and Career Expo at CCF, Financial Aid Night, Military presence at lunches once a week, Colleges visiting during lunches, CCF Spring Presentation, State University Tour for Counselors.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Belleview High School guidance department has an open door policy. Students are welcome to come to the front office throughout the school day to talk to their counselors. In addition, our Multi-Disciplinary team meets weekly and on demand to discuss any students with social-emotional needs.

BHS works directly with our local businesses to create a positive environment for all stakeholders. We have multiple businesses that have partnered with our school and donated items to support the learning of our students. We also plan parent involvement nights where parents can come to see our school and all that we offer. We survey our parents to see what time of day would be best for them to participate in our SAC meetings.

We work directly with our staff to include them in decision making including both a discipline and testing planning committee team. These teams are used to get direct teacher input on decision making that impacts the entire staff. We also have a Sunshine committee that celebrates all aspects of our staff's lives. Administration supports and acknowledges teachers' individual successes both publicly and privately.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Student Engagement	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00