

Alachua County Public Schools

# Alachua Virtual Instruction Program (District Provided)



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>School Demographics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Positive Culture &amp; Environment</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>17</b>

## Alachua Virtual Instruction Program (District Provided)

2802 NE 8TH AVE, Gainesville, FL 32641

<https://www.sbac.edu/aes>

### Demographics

**Principal: J ESE Ly Alvarez Masencup**

Start Date for this Principal: 8/30/2021

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
<b>2020-21 Title I School</b>	No
<b>2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)	41%
<b>2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Economically Disadvantaged Students*
<b>School Grades History</b>	2018-19: I (%) 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: A (66%)
<b>2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*</b>	
<b>SI Region</b>	Northeast
<b>Regional Executive Director</b>	<a href="#">Cassandra Brusca</a>
<b>Turnaround Option/Cycle</b>	N/A
<b>Year</b>	
<b>Support Tier</b>	
<b>ESSA Status</b>	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <a href="#">click here</a> .	

### School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Alachua County School Board.

**SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at [www.floridacims.org](http://www.floridacims.org).

**Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

---

**Table of Contents**

---

<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Title I Requirements</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>17</b>

# Alachua Virtual Instruction Program (District Provided)

2802 NE 8TH AVE, Gainesville, FL 32641

<https://www.sbac.edu/aes>

## School Demographics

<p><b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)</p> <p>Elementary School PK-5</p>	<p><b>2020-21 Title I School</b></p> <p>No</p>	<p><b>2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)</p> <p>46%</p>
<p><b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)</p> <p>K-12 General Education</p>	<p><b>Charter School</b></p> <p>No</p>	<p><b>2018-19 Minority Rate</b> (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)</p> <p>66%</p>

## School Grades History

Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2016-17
Grade		I	I	A

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Alachua County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE’s school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridacims.org>.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of the Alachua eSchool Elementary program is to develop and deliver standards-based, student-centered online courses that increase educational opportunities and 21st century skills and to provide professional development to educators that expand the scope and depth of their instruction.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Our vision is to be the district leader in online education by working collaboratively with elementary schools to offer the highest quality courses for students and teachers.

### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Becker, Mallory	Principal	The instructional leader is responsible for setting clear goals, allocating resources to instruction, managing the curriculum, evaluating teachers, promotes growth in student learning, meets regularly with staff members, works collaboratively to problem solve, sets high expectations for performance, creates a culture for continuous learning, modeling effective instruction, supports and encourages collaboration, and gives specific praise for effective teaching.

### Demographic Information

#### Principal start date

Monday 8/30/2021, J ESE Ly Alvarez Masencup

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

#### Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

6

#### Total number of students enrolled at the school

371

#### Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

3

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

3

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	53	64	56	71	57	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	365
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 9/20/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	228	211	170	151	158	139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1057
Attendance below 90 percent	13	39	34	21	27	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	158
One or more suspensions	1	2	4	1	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Course failure in ELA	7	7	8	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
Course failure in Math	5	10	4	2	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	4	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	5	10	8	2	11	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	9	6	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**2020-21 - Updated**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	228	211	170	151	158	139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1057
Attendance below 90 percent	13	39	34	21	27	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	158
One or more suspensions	1	2	4	1	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Course failure in ELA	7	7	8	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
Course failure in Math	5	10	4	2	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	4	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	5	10	8	2	11	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53



**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Retained Students: Current Year	9	6	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis**

**School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2021			2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement					59%	57%		58%	56%
ELA Learning Gains					57%	58%		53%	55%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					49%	53%		40%	48%
Math Achievement					60%	63%		64%	62%
Math Learning Gains					61%	62%		58%	59%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					49%	51%		45%	47%
Science Achievement					57%	53%		55%	55%

**Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

**NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.**

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	0%	57%	-57%	58%	-58%
Cohort Comparison						
04	2021					
	2019	0%	55%	-55%	58%	-58%
Cohort Comparison		0%				
05	2021					
	2019	0%	55%	-55%	56%	-56%
Cohort Comparison		0%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	0%	58%	-58%	62%	-62%
Cohort Comparison						
04	2021					

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
	2019	0%	60%	-60%	64%	-64%
Cohort Comparison		0%				
05	2021					
	2019	0%	57%	-57%	60%	-60%
Cohort Comparison		0%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019	0%	55%	-55%	53%	-53%
Cohort Comparison						

**Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments**

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

FSA

Grade 1				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students			
	Economically Disadvantaged			
	Students With Disabilities			
	English Language Learners			
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	100	100	
	Economically Disadvantaged	100		
	Students With Disabilities			
	English Language Learners	100	100	

Grade 2				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	50	100	
	Economically Disadvantaged	0	100	
	Students With Disabilities			
	English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students		50	
	Economically Disadvantaged		0	
	Students With Disabilities			
	English Language Learners			

Grade 3				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students		100	
	Economically Disadvantaged		100	
	Students With Disabilities			
	English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students			
	Economically Disadvantaged			
	Students With Disabilities			
	English Language Learners			

Grade 4				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	100	100	
	Economically Disadvantaged			
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	100	0	
	Economically Disadvantaged			
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

Grade 5				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	0	100	
	Economically Disadvantaged	0	100	
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	100		
	Economically Disadvantaged	100		
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students	0		
	Economically Disadvantaged	0		
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

**Subgroup Data Review**

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
ASN	90										
BLK	46			21							
HSP	67			67							
WHT	78	62		52	35		75				
FRL	59	67		30	25		55				
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

**ESSA Data Review**

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	57
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	283
Total Components for the Federal Index	5
Percent Tested	69%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A

English Language Learners	
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	90
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	34
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	67
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	60
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	47
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

## Analysis

### Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

We have no recorded data other than our own observations of student performance.

#### What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

We have no recorded data other than our own observations of student performance.

#### What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Literacy is our main focus. We have been giving students age-appropriate and academic-level appropriate books to encourage additional daily reading. We will be having several online groups that will meet to have mini-book studies to encourage students to read for comprehension versus completion.

#### What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

We achieved great success in ELA with all students in 3rd, 4th, and 5th passing. This is data from our 18-19 school year.

#### What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

We provided more test prep materials for parent utilizing Canvas to house the material and treating the module as an additional course.

#### What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Continue to provide test prep materials to students and parents. Incorporate mini-assessments throughout the school year to assess student progress in both ELA and Math.

#### Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers will be trained on Illuminate for progress monitoring.

#### Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

The test prep materials will be assessed every year for their usefulness and will be customized for each grade level.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

### Areas of Focus:

#### #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to B.E.S.T. Standards

<b>Area of Focus Description and Rationale:</b>	As B.E.S.T. Standards are going to be the focus beginning next school year, all teachers will be trained by the district on those standards.
<b>Measurable Outcome:</b>	75% of our 3rd, 4th, and 5th graders will pass the FSA with a level 3 or higher.
<b>Monitoring:</b>	100% of Elementary teachers will attend training provided by the school district.
<b>Person responsible for monitoring outcome:</b>	Mallory Becker (beckermk@gm.sbac.edu)
<b>Evidence-based Strategy:</b>	Elementary teachers will work collaboratively on creating curriculum that uses the B.E.S.T Standards as a guide for the entire school year.
<b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:</b>	Collaborative planning has been shown to be an effective strategy for staff engagement and student academic improvement.

#### Action Steps to Implement

*No action steps were entered for this area of focus*

### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the [SafeSchoolsforAlex.org](https://www.safe-schools-for-alex.org), compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

**N/A as our students are online, and we don't have discipline data.**



### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

#### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Although asynchronous virtual learning can seem less personal than traditional learning modalities, Alachua eSchool works to create a culture as your local, hometown virtual school. All of our staff are located in Alachua County--in the same community where our students live--and are readily accessible. We pride ourselves on building relationships with students and their families so that students feel known, safe, and cared about. Our teachers maintain constant communication with students, including arranging face-to-face visits. Our population is diverse, and we embrace educational equity. Our teachers participate in professional development to foster culturally responsive teaching. All of our students are valued and racial and ethnic diversity are seen as assets. Our students are united by their desire to share in a flexible learning environment where the pace of instruction and time of day can adapt to meet their individual needs and schedules. We purposefully build relationships with parents and rely heavily on them as partners to monitor the learning environment and collaborate with us to support students' efforts.

#### Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

The elementary teachers are extremely responsive to both students and parents when there is a learning struggle. Teachers will use Zoom to meet one-on-one to conduct individual tutoring. Although we are online, teachers have also arranged for learning enrichment fieldtrips throughout the school year.

### Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: B.E.S.T. Standards	\$0.00
			Total: \$0.00