Alachua County Public Schools

Joseph Williams Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	18
Positive Culture & Environment	23
Budget to Support Goals	23

Joseph Williams Elementary School

1245 SE 7TH AVE, Gainesville, FL 32641

https://www.sbac.edu/williams

Demographics

Principal: Anyana Stokes

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2018

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School KG-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	Yes
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Asian Students Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: D (36%) 2017-18: C (44%) 2016-17: C (46%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	<u>Cassandra Brusca</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Alachua County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	18
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	23

Joseph Williams Elementary School

1245 SE 7TH AVE, Gainesville, FL 32641

https://www.sbac.edu/williams

School Demographics

School Type and Gr (per MSID I		2020-21 Title I School	l Disadvant	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S KG-5	school	Yes		100%
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		90%
School Grades Histo	ry			
Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		D	D	С

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Alachua County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

We are committed to the success of every student.

Provide the school's vision statement.

At Williams, our students are loved, believed in, and challenged to be creative risk-takers who are prepared for future success.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Stokes, Anyana	Principal	Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making; Provide opportunities for teacher collaboration and knowledge building; Facilitate implementation of RTI; Provides or coordinates professional development; Attend EPT, IEP, 504 and RTI meetings; Conduct walkthroughs to monitor fidelity and integrity of core curriculum and intervention implementation; Monitor teacher effectiveness; Communicates with all shareholders information regarding school data and student achievement progress: Provides a common vision for student relationship building and behavior management
Roberson, Jenni	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal: Provides instructional support and coordinate professional development/coaching support for instructors; Coordinate school wide assessments, conduct walk-throughs to monitor implementation of SIP strategies, monitors behavior intervention, monitors student achievement through analyzing school-wide data, assist with the development of intervention and differentiated instruction; Attend EPT, IEP, 504 and RTI meetings.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Sunday 7/1/2018, Anyana Stokes

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

3

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

3

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

39

Total number of students enrolled at the school

525

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

7

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

2

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	21	48	85	111	92	119	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	476
Attendance below 90 percent	1	19	27	33	26	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	128
One or more suspensions	0	5	1	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Course failure in ELA	0	12	7	17	12	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68
Course failure in Math	0	7	6	16	14	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	41	56	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					(Grad	le L	_ev	el					Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	3	8	18	12	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	5	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 6/29/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Grade Level												Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	59	65	120	115	105	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	574
Attendance below 90 percent	2	25	22	28	13	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	116
One or more suspensions	0	1	2	9	4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
Course failure in ELA	0	0	2	13	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Course failure in Math	0	2	1	8	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	5	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	3	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Grad	le L	_ev	el					Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	2	3	15	12	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	59	65	120	115	105	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	574	
Attendance below 90 percent	2	25	22	28	13	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	116	
One or more suspensions	0	1	2	9	4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	2	13	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	
Course failure in Math	0	2	1	8	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	5	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	3	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total				
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	lotai
Students with two or more indicators	0	2	3	15	12	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75

The number of students identified as retainees:

La dia atao	Grade Level										Total			
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				42%	59%	57%	47%	58%	56%
ELA Learning Gains				42%	57%	58%	57%	53%	55%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				22%	49%	53%	47%	40%	48%
Math Achievement				41%	60%	63%	47%	64%	62%
Math Learning Gains				42%	61%	62%	43%	58%	59%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				22%	49%	51%	25%	45%	47%
Science Achievement				42%	57%	53%	44%	55%	55%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	35%	57%	-22%	58%	-23%
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019	43%	55%	-12%	58%	-15%
Cohort Co	mparison	-35%				
05	2021					
	2019	40%	55%	-15%	56%	-16%
Cohort Co	mparison	-43%				

			MATH	I		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	31%	58%	-27%	62%	-31%
Cohort Cor	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019	49%	60%	-11%	64%	-15%
Cohort Cor	mparison	-31%				
05	2021					
	2019	39%	57%	-18%	60%	-21%
Cohort Cor	mparison	-49%				

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019	41%	55%	-14%	53%	-12%
Cohort Com	nparison					

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

1st Grade ELA DIBELS, Math AIMS 2nd - 5th Grades ELA and Math AIMS 5th Grade Science AIMS

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	45	66	0
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	78	0	0
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students	Fall 57	Winter 56	Spring 54
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged			
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	57	56	54
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	57 30	56 31	54 33
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language	57 30 14	56 31 0	54 33 14
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	57 30 14 100	56 31 0 100	54 33 14 100
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	57 30 14 100 Fall	56 31 0 100 Winter	54 33 14 100 Spring
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	57 30 14 100 Fall 82	56 31 0 100 Winter 66	54 33 14 100 Spring 49

		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	(49) 51.6	(50) 45.9	(42) 42
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	(19) 29.7	(21) 27.3	(13) 18.8
	Students With Disabilities	(1) 16.7	(1) 33.3	(0) 0
	English Language Learners	(3) 100	(3) 100	(3) 100
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	(59) 60.8	(46) 47.9	(58) 60.4
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	(29) 43.9	(18) 27.7	(29) 44.6
	Students With Disabilities	(2) 33.3	(0) 0	(2) 50
	English Language Learners	(3) 100	(3) 100	(3) 100
		Grade 4		
	Niumah a m/0/			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students	Fall (49) 46.7	Winter (48) 44.4	Spring (55) 48.7
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged			
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	(49) 46.7	(48) 44.4	(55) 48.7
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	(49) 46.7 (12) 18.5	(48) 44.4 (12) 17.4	(55) 48.7 (15) 21.1
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency	(49) 46.7 (12) 18.5 (0) 0 (2) 100 Fall	(48) 44.4 (12) 17.4 (1) 14.3 (1) 100 Winter	(55) 48.7 (15) 21.1 (0) 0 (2) 100 Spring
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	(49) 46.7 (12) 18.5 (0) 0 (2) 100	(48) 44.4 (12) 17.4 (1) 14.3 (1) 100	(55) 48.7 (15) 21.1 (0) 0 (2) 100
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	(49) 46.7 (12) 18.5 (0) 0 (2) 100 Fall	(48) 44.4 (12) 17.4 (1) 14.3 (1) 100 Winter	(55) 48.7 (15) 21.1 (0) 0 (2) 100 Spring
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	(49) 46.7 (12) 18.5 (0) 0 (2) 100 Fall (71) 68.3	(48) 44.4 (12) 17.4 (1) 14.3 (1) 100 Winter (63) 57.8	(55) 48.7 (15) 21.1 (0) 0 (2) 100 Spring (55) 58.5

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	(24) 28.6	(27) 32.5	(48) 53.3
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	(6) 10.3	(8) 14	(25) 39.1
	Students With Disabilities	(1) 20	(1) 20	(1) 16.7
	English Language Learners	(1) 50	(2) 100	(2) 100
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	(35) 42.7	(46) 54.8	(50) 56.8
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	(14) 25	(24) 41.4	(28) 45,2
	Students With Disabilities	(1) 16.7	(3) 50	(1) 20
	English Language Learners	(2) 100	(2) 100	(2) 100
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	(30) 37.5	(50) 59.5	(48) 70.6
Science	Economically Disadvantaged	(9) 16.4	(28) 48.3	(25) 56.8
	Students With Disabilities	(1) 16.7	(1) 16.7	(2) 40
	English Language Learners	(2) 100	(2) 100	(2) 100

Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	16	15		13	27		10				
ASN	98	79		100	93		100				
BLK	16	20	29	14	31	33	7				
MUL	55			50							
WHT	97			97							
FRL	17	22	25	14	32	29	11				
		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	17	30	31	21	47	42					
ASN	100	92		100	97		100				
BLK	17	22	23	15	20	21	12				
HSP	60			60							

		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
MUL	43	18		54	40						
WHT	100	75		100	75						
FRL	17	24	22	16	22	24	16				
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	11	40	50	11	24	13	18				
ASN	100	94		100	100		100				
DLI	24	40	47	25	23	24	22				
BLK	24	43	47	25	23						
MUL	82	43	47	82	23	24	22				
		86	47		91	24	100				

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.	
ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	38
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	263
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	94%
Subgroup Data	

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	16
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	

English Language Learners				
Federal Index - English Language Learners				
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%				

Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	94
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	21
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students	53
	53 NO
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	NO
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	NO
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students	NO N/A
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students	NO N/A 97
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO N/A 97
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	NO N/A 97
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students	NO N/A N/A 97 NO

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Students are scoring at higher proficiency rates on progress monitoring assessments. Consideration needs to be given to how do we transfer this demonstration of learning to the FSA. ELA achievement seems to be remaining at the same level where as math achievement is steadily showing improvement.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Based on AIMS data, the greatest need for improvement is overall achievement in ELA. Third grade demonstrated a decline in achievement, while 4th and 5th made little progress.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Students enter KG at a deficit and are are working from the time they enter the school to catch up. For students who were already behind the gap in learning and stresses presented by COVID-19 put them even farther behind. Student's lack of background knowledge and gaps in foundational skills need to be addressed in order to fill the gaps quicker. Implementation of the new curriculum, Benchmark Advance, will help to address these factors.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Based on progress monitoring data 5th grade students seemed to make significant strides throughout the year. Improving proficiency in all areas as the year went on. Based on FSA, learning gains of the lowest quartile increased in math by 9%.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Factors that contributed to this improvement were instructional support, collaborative planning, and standards focused instruction. Math curriculum better aligned to standards and supported math instruction.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Continue to expose students to grade level standards and rigor. Building foundational skills. Provide engaging opportunities to build background knowledge.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

UFLI Foundations
Student Engagement
Release of Learning to Students
Small group instruction, to include differentiation and rigor

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Support for teachers in adoption of new BEST standards and curriculum. Continue school-wide collaborative planning support. Increase instructional support across subject areas and grade levels.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Improve achievement of all students as well as improve the learning gains of the lowest quartile in ELA. During the 2019 school year FSA scores showed a significant drop in the percentage of students in the lowest quartile who made a learning gain. We must engage students in their education and provide opportunities to strengthen

background knowledge. Many of our lower quartile students are performing 2 or more grade levels behind. We must provide remediation of foundation skills while also providing access and success on grade level tasks. The same is true for students with disabilities, African-American students, economically disadvantaged students, and multiracial students.

Increase learning gains of lowest quartile by 10%.

Measurable Outcome:

Increase achievement of all under-performing subgroups in ELA by 3% Raising all ESSA groups to a score of 41% or higher on the ESSA Federal

Index.

Monitoring: Quarterly analysis of AIMS ELA (progress monitoring) data.

Grade level teacher data chats led by administrators and instructional coaches.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Anyana Stokes (stokesay@gm.sbac.edu)

Early identification of students in lowest quartile and under performing subgroups. Progress Monitoring through quarterly data meetings with

Evidence-based Strategy:

teachers led by administrators. Increase student's background knowledge. Provide Extended day, beyond the bell learning opportunities. Support for and increase

teacher planning opportunities and

professional development in standards based and aligned instruction.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Teacher identification of students and continual monitoring of student progress and assist with selecting appropriate strategies and interventions. We know that acquiring usable knowledge will support student acquisition of

and provide links to new learning.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Weekly grade level collaborative Instructional Planning. 1 1/2 hours per week focused on standards and standards task alignment.
- 2. Quarterly teacher and student data chats.
- 3. UFLI Foundations, Small group instruction and literacy stations within ELA, High dose tutoring to support ELA Foundational Skills
- 4. Increase student access to extended learning opportunities. (Field trips, Extended Day Intervention)
- 5. Teacher PLCs (Standards, Student Engagement, Release of Learning, Differentiation, Acceleration)

Person Responsible

Jenni Roberson (robersonjc@gm.sbac.edu)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Increase achievement for all students and learning gains of lowest

quartile in

Math. FSA scores from the 2019 school year show a trend of low

performance

and learning gains for students in the lowest quartile in math. We must engage students in their education and provide opportunities to see math

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

real world application.

Many of our lower quartile students are performing 2 or more grade levels

behind. We know that math builds upon itself. We must provide

remediation

of foundational math skills while also providing access and success on

level tasks. The same is true for students with disabilities, African-

American

students, economically disadvantaged students, and multiracial students.

Increase learning gains of students in the lowest quartile by 10%.

Increase achievement of all under-performing subgroups in Math by 3%.

Measurable Outcome: Raising all ESSA groups to a score of 41% or higher on the ESSA

> Federal Index.

Monitoring: Quarterly data analysis of progress monitoring outcomes.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Anyana Stokes (stokesay@gm.sbac.edu)

Early identification of students in lowest quartile and under performing subgroups. Progress Monitoring through quarterly data meetings with teachers facilitated by administration. Educational (virtual) field trips to

increase awareness of career and real

world application. Extended day learning opportunities. Increase access **Evidence-based Strategy:**

to

technology as a tool for learning. Support for and increase teacher

planning

opportunities and professional development to improve student access to

standards based and aligned instruction.

Teacher identification of students and continual monitoring of student

progress and assist with selecting appropriate strategies and

Rationale for Evidence-

interventions. based Strategy:

We know that acquiring usable knowledge will support student acquisition

and provide links to new learning.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Weekly grade level collaborative Instructional Planning; 1 1/2 hours per week focused on standards and standards task alignment.
- 2. Quarterly teacher data chats facilitated by administrator.
- 3. Small group instruction within Math
- 4. Increase student access to extended learning opportunities (Field trips, Extended Day Intervention)
- 5. Teacher PLCs (Standards, Student Engagement, Differentiation, Release of Learning, Acceleration)

Person Responsible Jenni Roberson (robersonjc@gm.sbac.edu)

#3. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of Focus Description and

Rationale:

A review of skyward attendance data led us to identify Improve student attendance as an area of focus. Twenty-one percent of Williams students had attendance below 90% (121 students). It is important for students to be in attendance at school in order to receive the full advantage of educational opportunities. We must engage students in a way that they are eager to attend and willing participants in school, in their education.

Monthly monitoring of Skyward Truancy Reports. Monthly target 90% of

Measurable Outcome:

students attendance about 90%. For the year, decrease by 10% the number of students

who have attendance

below 90%.

Monitoring: Bi monthly meetings with family liaison and district truancy officer.

Monthly analysis of skyward attendance data. Weekly review by family liaison.

Person responsible

for monitoring

outcome:

Anyana Stokes (stokesay@gm.sbac.edu)

Continued implem

Continued implementation of Positive Behavior Intervention and

Evidencebased Supports. Professional Development and PLCs for teachers. Increase teacher capacity to engage students and manage classroom behaviors. Increase

Strategy: family engagement with and in the school through phone calls, home visits, newsletters,

teacher communications.

Rationale

for

Basis of PBIS is to reinforce desired student behaviors and create a positive school culture. Through PBIS we will establish a common language and a school-wide set of expectation. It will also allow us a systematic way in which to intervene and follow up. We also want to increase and improve beyond school enrichment opportunities (clubs, groups). Helping to

Evidencebased Strategy:

build individual determination within our students.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Improve student engagement using Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports
- 2. Provide teacher PD and support in the area of students engagement.
- 3. Provide support for and improve family engagement through improved and increased communication.
- 4. Beyond school day enrichment, engagement through the establishment of clubs and activities.

Person Responsible

Anyana Stokes (stokesay@gm.sbac.edu)

#4. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups

Four ESSA subgroup ratings were below 41%. We will reduce the

Area of Focus achievement gap between students of color and white students, students

Description with disabilities and their non-disabled peers, and economically

and Rationale: disadvantaged students and those more affluent by improving ESSA ratings

for all subgroups to above 41%.

Measurable Increase achievement of all under-performing subgroups by 3% Raising all

Outcome: ESSA groups to 41% or higher on the ESSA Federal Index.

Monitoring: Quarterly review of AIMS progress monitoring data, DIBELS, and ISIP.

Person

outcome:

responsible for

monitoring

Anyana Stokes (stokesay@gm.sbac.edu)

Early identification of students within under performing subgroups. Progress

Evidence- Monitoring through quarterly data meetings with teachers. Educational field

based trips (virtual) to increase background knowledge. Extended day learning opportunities.

Strategy: Support for and increase teacher planning opportunities and professional development

in the area of student engagement, differentiation, and cultural relevance.

Rationale for Evidence- basedTeacher identification of students and continual monitoring of student
progress and assist with selecting appropriate strategies and interventions.
We know that acquiring usable knowledge will support student acquisition of

Strategy: and provide links to new learning.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Grade level collaborative Instructional Planning.

- 2. Improved teacher and student data chats. Identifying targets for students in ESSA subgroups.
- 3. Small group instruction, differentiation
- 4. Assure student access to technology and extended learning opportunities (Laptops/Tablets, EDI)
- 5. Teacher PLCs and PD focused on the areas of: Equity, Culturally Relevant Instruction, Student Engagement and Standards

Person

Responsible

Jenni Roberson (robersonjc@gm.sbac.edu)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Based on the data comparison, we will continue to monitor overall student suspensions. Increased student engagement and PBIS efforts will continue to support the declining suspension rate. We will also continue to provide alternatives to suspension and work to maximize and increase time in class and on task.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Positive school culture includes a well-maintained school facility and school wide expectations for all members. Students and staff adhere to the expectations: Be Respectful, Be Responsible, and Be Kind. Students and teachers are held to high expectations of how we treat each other and the effort that we put forth. Students from all races are celebrated, valued, and welcomed. All students feel a sense of belonging and know that they are an important part of our school community. Our teachers are dedicated to culturally responsive and relevant

instruction. Members of the leadership team are easily accessible and dedicated to supporting students, staff, and families. Parents and community members are encouraged and welcome to participate on the School Advisory Committee and within the PTA. Principal attends community events by invitation and works to partner with local businesses and community organizations. We maintain an up to date website and providing a bi monthly newsletter. School events are posted on the marquee as well as phone home reminders. Parents and students are frequently surveyed to assess needs and provide input.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Stakeholders include school leadership, teachers, and staff. All of whom are responsible for projecting a positive image of the school by talking favorably, modeling positive behaviors, and positive attitudes towards the school. Families are stakeholders who by being active participants in the school community help to promote a positive culture. The school's PTA, community and business partners also support a positive school culture by provide needed resources and support of school initiatives.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	1 III.A. Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA					\$447,564.03
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.75	\$15,815.55
			Notes: 1 Intervention Paraprofessional to do small group intervention in grades K-5			

5100	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$1,711.28
		Notes: Retirement benefits @ 10.82% intervention in grades K-5	for 1 Intervention Para	aprofessiona	al to do small group
5100	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$1,209.92
		Notes: Social Security @ 7.65% for 1 I intervention in grades K-5	Intervention Paraprofe	ssional to de	o small group
5100	230-Group Insurance	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$7,735.00
		Notes: Group Insurance @ \$7,735.00 intervention in grades K-5	for 1 Intervention Para	professiona	l to do small group
5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$80.66
		Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% for 1 intervention in grades K-5	Intervention Paraprofe	essional to d	o small group
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	1.0	\$50,000.00
·		Notes: 1 class size reduction teacher to	o increase support to	4th graders	in all subject areas
5100	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$5,410.00
		Notes: Retirement benefits @ 10.82% to 4th graders in all subject areas	for 1 class size reduct	tion teacher	to increase support
5100	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$3,825.00
		Notes: Social Security @ 7.65% for 1 of graders in all subject areas	class size reduction te	acher to inc	rease support to 4th
5100	230-Group Insurance	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$7,735.00
		Notes: Group Insurance @ \$7,735.00 to 4th graders in all subject areas	for 1 class size reduct	ion teacher	to increase support
5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$255.00
		Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% for 1 graders in all subject areas	class size reduction te	eacher to inc	rease support to 4th
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	1.0	\$50,000.00
1		Notes: Intervention Teacher to support	t ELA and Math for 4th	and 5th gra	ades
5100	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$5,410.00
		Notes: Retirement benefits @ 10.82% 4th and 5th grades	for 1 intervention teac	her to supp	ort ELA and Math for
5100	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$3,825.00
		Notes: Social Security @ 7.65% for 1 i and 5th grades	intervention teacher to	support EL	A and Math for 4th
5100	230-Group Insurance	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$7,735.00

		Notes: Group Insurance @ \$7,735.00 4th and 5th grades	for 1 intervention teacl	her to suppoi	t ELA and Math for
5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$255.00
		Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% for 1 and 5th grades	intervention teacher to	support ELA	A and Math for 4th
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	1.0	\$59,810.01
		Notes: Instructional Intervention Coacl levels.	n/Lead Teacher to sup	port ELA and	Math in all grade
5100	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$6,471.45
		Notes: Retirement benefits @ 10.82% support ELA and Math in all grade level		ention Coacl	n/Lead Teacher to
5100	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$4,575.46
		Notes: Social Security @ 7.65% for Ins ELA and Math in all grade levels.	structional Intervention	Coach/Lead	l Teacher to support
5100	230-Group Insurance	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$7,735.00
		Notes: Group Insurance @ \$7,735.00 support ELA and Math in all grade level		ention Coach	/Lead Teacher to
5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$305.03
		Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% for In support ELA and Math in all grade leve		n Coach/Lea	d Teacher to
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	1.0	\$61,799.32
		Notes: Intervention Teacher to support	t ELA and Math in all g	ırade levels.	
5100	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$6,686.69
		Notes: Retirement benefits @ 10.82% all grade levels.	for Intervention Teach	er to suppor	t ELA and Math in
5100	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$4,727.65
		Notes: Social Security @ 7.65% for Integrade levels.	tervention Teacher to s	support ELA	and Math in all
5100	230-Group Insurance	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$7,735.00
		Notes: Group Insurance @ \$7,735.00 grade levels.	for Intervention Teach	er to support	ELA and Math in all
5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$315.18
		Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% for Ingrade levels.	tervention Teacher to	support ELA	and Math in all
	1=0 0.1	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem.	Title, I Part A	1.5	\$28,455.76
5100	150-Aides	School	Title, IT all A	1.5	Ψ20,+00.70

5100	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$3,078.92
		Notes: Retirement benefits @ 10.82% intervention in grades K-5	for 2 Intervention Para	aprofessiona	ls to do small group
5100	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$2,176.86
		Notes: Social Security @ 7.65% for 2 intervention in grades K-5	Intervention Paraprofe	ssionals to o	lo small group
5100	230-Group Insurance	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$15,470.00
		Notes: Group Insurance @ \$7,735.00 intervention in grades K-5	for 2 Intervention Para	professional	ls to do small group
5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$145.12
		Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% for 2 intervention in grades K-5	Intervention Paraprofe	essionals to o	do small group
5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$3,230.00
		Notes: Ready Florida Digital License to	o support Ready Florid	da suppleme	ntal curriculum
5100	520-Textbooks	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$4,558.67
		Notes: Ready Florida supplemental ELA curriculum in grades 3-5			
5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$2,248.00
		Notes: Top Score Digital License to su	upport Top Score writin	g curriculum	in grades 3-5.
5100	520-Textbooks	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$14,538.44
		Notes: Top Score writing curriculum in	grades 3-5.		
5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$2,390.00
		Notes: Smarty Ants digital license for t	the Smarty Ants progra	am to be use	d in grades K-2
5100	520-Textbooks	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$1,515.69
		Notes: Curriculum Associates Phonics	for Reading interventi	ion program	
5100	520-Textbooks	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$1,177.17
		Notes: Word Wisdom Vocabulary inter	vention program		
5900	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$4,000.00
		Notes: Extended Day Intervention - EL for 19 sessions between December 20		structional l	ntervention Coach
7800	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$1,995.00
		Notes: Transportation for Extended Da December 2012 and April 2022.	ay Intervention - ELA fo	or 19 session	ns between

			0111 - Joseph Williams Elem.				
	6300	120-Classroom Teachers	School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$12,540.00	
			Notes: Professional Development: Col for 1 hour at \$20 per hour from August				
	6300	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$25,080.00	
			Notes: Professional Development: Col for 2 hours at \$20 per hour from Febru				
	5900	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A		\$325.76	
			Notes: SSI Benefits for Extended Day	Intervention stipends			
	6300	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School			\$1,158.48	
	•		Notes: Professional Development: Collaborative Planning for ELA and Math First Semeste			Math First Semester	
	6300	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School			\$2,316.96	
			Notes: SSI Benefits for Professional Development: Collaborative Planning for ELA and Mat. Second Semester				
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	l Practice: Math			\$173,637.19	
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22	
	5100	150-Aides	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.75	\$15,909.57	
			Notes: 1 Intervention Paraprofessiona	l to do small group inte	ervention in	grades 2-5	
	5100	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$1,711.28	
			Notes: Retirement benefits @ 10.82% intervention in grades 2-5	for 1 Intervention Para	aprofessiona	al to do small group	
	5100	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$1,209.92	
	•		Notes: Social Security @ 7.65% for 1 intervention in grades 2-5	Intervention Paraprofe	ssional to d	o small group	
	2300	230-Group Insurance	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$7,735.00	
			Notes: Group Insurance @ \$7,735.00 intervention in grades 2-5	for 1 Intervention Para	professiona	al to do small group	
	5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$80.66	
			Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% for 1 intervention in grades 2-5	Intervention Paraprofe	essional to d	lo small group	
	5100	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$1,540.00	
			Notes: 4th Gr. Field Trip to CADE Mus Feb-May	seum; 110 students; \$1	4 per stude	nt in the Spring 2022	
	5100	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$1,610.00	
			Notes: 5th Gr. Field Trip to CADE Mus Feb-May	sem; 115 students; \$14	per studer	t in the Spring 2022	

5100	150-Aides	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	1.5	\$28,455.76
		Notes: 2 Intervention Paraprofessional	ls to do small group int	tervention in	grades K-5
5100	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$3,078.92
•		Notes: Retirement benefits @ 10.82% intervention in grades K-5	for 2 Intervention Para	aprofessiona	als to do small group
5100	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$2,176.86
		Notes: Social Security @ 7.65% for 2 intervention in grades K-5	Intervention Paraprofe	ssionals to o	do small group
5100	230-Group Insurance	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$15,470.00
	_	Notes: Group Insurance @ \$7,735.00 intervention in grades K-5	for 2 Intervention Para	aprofessiona	ils to do small group
5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$145.12
		Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% 2 Intervention in grades K-5	ervention Paraprofessi	ionals to do	small group
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	1.0	\$54,575.00
·	•	Notes: 1 Behavior Intervention to do small group intervention in behavior in grades K-5			
5100	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$5,905.02
		Notes: Retirement benefits @ 10.82% intervention in behavior in grades K-5	for 1 Behavior Interve	ntion to do s	small group
5100	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$4,174.99
·		Notes: Social Security @ 7.65% for 1 lbehavior in grades K-5	Behavior Intervention t	to do small g	group intervention in
5100	230-Group Insurance	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$7,735.00
	1	Notes: Group Insurance @ \$7,735.00 intervention in behavior in grades K-5	for 1 Behavior Interver	ntion to do s	mall group
5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$278.33
	,	Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% for 1 behavior in grades K-5	Behavior Intervention	to do small	group intervention in
5100	644-Computer Hardware Non-Capitalized	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$15,525.00
		Notes: 27 Dell Laptops for student use			
5900	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A		\$4,000.00
		Notes: Extended Day Intervention - Mi for 19 sessions between December 20		Instructiona	al Intervention Coach
7800	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A		\$1,995.00
	•	•			

			Notes: Transportation for Extended Da December 2012 and April 2022.	ay Intervention - MATH	l for 19 sess	sions between
	5900	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A		\$325.76
	•		Notes: SSI Benefits for Extended Day	Intervention - MATH		
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & E	nvironment: Student Attendar	nce		\$44,514.44
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	6110	160-Other Support Personnel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.25	\$7,620.00
			Notes: Family Liaison to work 2 additional 360 hours @ \$21.17 regular school day, increase student e	hour are needed to pe	erform Hom	e Visits beyond the
	6110	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$824.48
			Notes: Retirement @ 10.82% for the F FTE (to add to 6 hours on TIPA); Addi Home Visits beyond the regular schoo resources for families	itional 360 hours @ \$2	1.17 hour a	re needed to perform
	6110	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$582.83
			Notes: SSI @ 7.65% for the Family Lia add to 6 hours on TIPA); Additional 36 Visits beyond the regular school day, i for families	60 hours @ \$21.17 hoน	ır are neede	ed to perform Home
	6110	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$38.79
			Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% for the FTE (to add to 6 hours on TIPA); Addit Home Visits beyond the regular school resources for families	itional 360 hours @ \$2	1.17 hour a	re needed to perform
	6110	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$550.00
			Notes: Monthly travel for Family Liaiso Visits beyond the regular school day, i for families.			,
	6110	160-Other Support Personnel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.75	\$22,071.40
			Notes: Family Liaison to work 6 hours increase student engagement, improve	, ,		,,
	6110	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$2,388.13
			Notes: Retirement @ 10.82% for the F to 2 hours on UniSIG); to increase sturesources for families.			
	6110	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$1,688.46
			Notes: SSI @ 7.65% for the Family Lia hours on UniSIG); to increase student resources for families.			
	6110	230-Group Insurance	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$7,735.00

			Notes: Group Insurance at 7735.00 for (to add to 2 hours on UniSIG); to increfacilitate resources for families.	•		
	6110	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$112.56
			Notes: Early Retirement at .5% for the add to 2 hours on UniSIG); to increase facilitate resources for families.			
	6400	510-Supplies	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	Title, I Part A	0.0	\$902.79
			Notes: Staff Training: Student Engage	ment with white boards	S	
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subg	roup: Outcomes for Multiple S	Subgroups		\$23,793.30
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	7730	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$663.50
			Notes: BSI Summer Academy 2022 - 2 \$256.00, & hotel @327.50	2 admin Travel includir	ng Per Diem	@ \$80, mileage
	6400	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$327.25
			Notes: BSI Summer Academy 2022 - \$125.00 hotel @ \$162.50	1 teacher Travel includ	ling Per Dien	n @ \$40, mileage
	6400	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$120.00
			Notes: BSI Summer Academy 2022 -	1 teacher stipend for 6	hour @ \$20	/hour
	6400	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$10.61
			Notes: BSI Summer Academy 2022 S	SI @ 7.65%		
	6300	120-Classroom Teachers	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$13,975.00
			Notes: Collaborative Planning (Gear L hours over 3 days @ \$20/hour. The ad aligned with state standards, effective Culturally Responsive Teaching.	dditional planning time	will be used	to design lesson
	6300	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$1,069.09
			Notes: Social Security @ 7.65% for Co for 43 teachers for 16.25 hours over 3 used to design lesson aligned with sta emotional learning, and Culturally Res	days @ \$20/hour. The te standards, effective	additional p	lanning time will be
	7300	110-Administrators	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	1.0	\$3,175.20
			Notes: AP Salary for July 2022 which planning and delivering Summer Gear and analyzing the effectiveness of the intervention supports are needed. In a interventions and work with the Princip for 80 total hours @ \$39.69/hour.	Up PD and activities. interventions from 21- ddition, the AP will ide	The AP will a 22 to determ ntify students	also be reviewing ine if additional s for those
	7300	210-Retirement	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$343.56
			Notes: Retirement @ 10.82% for AP S The AP will be involved in planning an			

				Total:	\$699,950.72
		Notes: 3rd Gr Field Trip to CADE Muse Feb-May	eum; 100 students; \$1	4 per studen	t in the Spring 2022
7800	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$1,400.00
		Notes: 2nd Gr. Field Trip to CADE Mus Feb-May	seum; 75 students; \$1	4 per student	t in the Spring 2022
7800	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$1,050.00
		Notes: 1st Gr. Field Trip to CADE Musi Feb-May	eum - 55 students; \$1	4 per student	t in the Spring 2022
7800	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$770.0
		Notes: Kdg. Field Trip to CADE Museu Feb-May	m - 45 students; \$14	oer student ir	n the Spring 2022
7800	330-Travel	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$630.00
		Notes: Early Retirement @ .51% AP S The AP will be involved in planning and AP will also be reviewing and analyzing determine if additional intervention sup students for those interventions and we	d delivering Summer (g the effectiveness of ports are needed. In a	Gear Up PD a the interventi addition, the A	and activities. The ions from 21-22 to
7300	290-Other Employee Benefits	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$16.19
		Notes: SSI @ 7.65% for AP Salary for will be involved in planning and deliver also be reviewing and analyzing the eff	ing Summer Gear Up	yond the con PD and activ	tract year. The AP vities. The AP will
7300	220-Social Security	0111 - Joseph Williams Elem. School	UniSIG	0.0	\$242.9
		AP will also be reviewing and analyzing determine if additional intervention sup students			