Lake County Schools

Eustis Heights Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	20
Positive Culture & Environment	28
Budget to Support Goals	29

Eustis Heights Elementary School

310 W TAYLOR AVE, Eustis, FL 32726

https://ehe.lake.k12.fl.us/

Demographics

Principal: Terri Soos Start Date for this Principal: 8/10/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active							
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5							
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education							
2020-21 Title I School	Yes							
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%							
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*							
School Grades History	2018-19: C (49%) 2017-18: D (34%) 2016-17: C (43%)							
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*							
SI Region	Central							
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson							
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A							
Year								
Support Tier								
ESSA Status								
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, click here.							

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Lake County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
•	
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	20
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	29

Eustis Heights Elementary School

310 W TAYLOR AVE, Eustis, FL 32726

https://ehe.lake.k12.fl.us/

School Demographics

School Type and Gr (per MSID F		2020-21 Title I School	Disadvan	I Economically taged (FRL) Rate rted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	chool	Yes		100%
Primary Servio (per MSID F	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	9 Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		68%
School Grades Histo	ry			
Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		С	С	D

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Lake County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Eustis Heights Elementary will create and support a safe, caring learning environment in which all children and adults feel welcomed, respected, and an important part of the school community. We believe each child deserves to be successful. Our family centered environment strives to develop confidence in students as we learn together, support one another, value differences in one another, and become responsible citizens. Pride of Eustis-Success at the heart!

Provide the school's vision statement.

Recognizing that all children are unique, the mission of Eustis Heights Elementary School is to ensure that all students feel loved, respected, and encouraged while being inspired, educated, and prepared to achieve their fullest potential as lifelong learners and productive citizens in our global society.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Name Scott, Tiffany	Principal Principal	The school leadership team consists of the Principal, two Assistant Principals, Guidance Counselor, Literacy Coach, Math/ Science Coach, MTSS Coach, ESE Specialist, Instructional Dean, PASS Teacher, Mental Health Liaison, Instructional Technology, and Potential Specialist. The function and responsibility of each school leadership team member is to create a system of supports for both the classroom teacher and individual students according to the intervention design outlined in the MTSS process. More specifically, the role of administration is to: 1. Oversee, evaluate, and provide assistance as instructional leaders for all instructional and non-instructional staff. 2. Oversee the MTSS process, implementation, and procedures. 3. Provide strategies, interventions, resources for teachers to implement for students, and to monitor the progress of each student. 4. Secure necessary resources to ensure to ensure all teachers are successful, which in turn will lead to student success. 5. Oversee and provide support to manage IEP's, ensure compliance, conduct IEP meetings, problem solve, and support ESE teachers and students. The leadership team meets weekly to discuss/report the following: 1. Core instruction alignment among grade levels (instructional
		The leadership team meets weekly to discuss/report the following: 1. Core instruction alignment among grade levels
		and differentiated instruction) **Person(s) responsible: Literacy coach, Math/Science Coach, and Administration
		2. Small group instruction is skill specific for student needs based upon data. **Person(s) responsible: Potential Specialist, Literacy Coach,
		Math/Science Coach, and Administration 3. School-wide data driven professional learning

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		communities. (SIPPS, iReady, ALEKS, Performance Matters Assessments, Teacher Made Assessments and Student Grades.) **Person(s) responsible: Potential Specialist, Literacy Coach, Math/Science Coach, & Administration 4. Early Warning Systems (Attendance, Discipline, and PBS) **Person(s) responsible: Administration, Potential Specialist, and Guidance 5. Lowest Quartile Data Tracking and Retained Students **Person(s) responsible: Potential Specialist, MTSS Coach, Administration and Guidance 6. MTSS Status **Person(s) Responsible: MTSS Coach and Administration 7. ESE/ELL Status **Person(s) responsible: ESE Specialist & MTSS Coach 8. Professional Learning Communities **Person(s) responsible: Administration, Literacy Coach, and Math/Science Coach 9. Professional Development Needs **Person(s) responsible: Administration, Literacy Coach, and Math/Science Coach 10. Support/Celebrations **Person(s) responsible: All Members
Soos, Terri	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal
Peterkin, Andrie	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal
Ward, Ashley	School Counselor	School Counselor
Wolfe, Kacy	Instructional Coach	Literacy Coach
Flint, Mikel A.	Instructional Coach	MTSS Coach
Bellefleur, Eileen	Teacher, ESE	ESE Specialist
Bob, Linda	Parent Engagement	

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Martin Eubanks, Linda	Other	Potential Specialist who serves as our Academic Interventionist
Taylor, Aja A.	Instructional Technology	Media Specialist
Martinez, Janet	Other	Mental Health Liaison
Coulter, Rebecca	Instructional Coach	Instructional Coach (Math/Science)
Durbin, John	Dean	Instructional Dean

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Saturday 8/10/2019, Terri Soos

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

2

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

15

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

57

Total number of students enrolled at the school

639

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

12

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

12

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					Gra	ide L	eve	əl						Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	93	87	102	94	118	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	600
Attendance below 90 percent	0	37	36	35	41	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	184
One or more suspensions	0	6	4	9	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
Course failure in ELA	5	9	6	14	31	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78
Course failure in Math	3	3	6	16	11	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	21	21	25	83	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	208

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	7	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 8/12/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	65	79	69	96	79	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	473
Attendance below 90 percent	0	16	21	11	12	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70
One or more suspensions	0	1	6	3	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Course failure in ELA	0	4	7	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Course failure in Math	0	4	7	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					Gı	rade	Le	vel						Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	30	49	44	54	53	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	307

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					Gr	ade	Le	ve	ı					Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	65	79	69	96	79	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	473
Attendance below 90 percent	0	16	21	11	12	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70
One or more suspensions	0	1	6	3	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Course failure in ELA	0	4	7	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Course failure in Math	0	4	7	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	30	49	44	54	53	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	307

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement				48%	58%	57%	39%	59%	56%	
ELA Learning Gains				57%	57%	58%	42%	54%	55%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				54%	49%	53%	19%	46%	48%	
Math Achievement				53%	60%	63%	44%	63%	62%	
Math Learning Gains				51%	56%	62%	42%	54%	59%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile		·		34%	39%	51%	24%	41%	47%	
Science Achievement				47%	54%	53%	30%	55%	55%	

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	49%	60%	-11%	58%	-9%
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019	46%	60%	-14%	58%	-12%
Cohort Co	mparison	-49%				
05	2021					
	2019	51%	59%	-8%	56%	-5%
Cohort Co	mparison	-46%			'	

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	64%	62%	2%	62%	2%
Cohort Com	nparison					
04	2021					
	2019	51%	61%	-10%	64%	-13%
Cohort Com	nparison	-64%				
05	2021					
	2019	43%	57%	-14%	60%	-17%
Cohort Com	nparison	-51%				

	SCIENCE												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison							
05	2021												
	2019	47%	56%	-9%	53%	-6%							
Cohort Com	nparison												

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

The progress monitoring tool used to provide the data below is as follows:

^{* 5}th Grade Science -LSA Data (Q1 and Q3) and NGSS (Spring)

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	18	28	48
	Students With Disabilities	6	17	44
	English Language Learners	0	36	36
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	13	25	53
	Students With Disabilities	0	6	50
	English Language Learners	0	27	55
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Grade 2 Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged		Winter 24	Spring 32
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	Fall		
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With	Fall 14	24	32
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language	Fall 14 0	24 11	20
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall 14 0 0	24 11 0	32 20 0
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	Fall 14 0 0 Fall	24 11 0 Winter	32 20 0 Spring

^{*} K-2 ELA & Math -iReady (Fall, Winter, Spring)

^{*3 - 5} ELA & Math iReady (Fall and Winter) and FSA (Spring)

		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	28	42	36
	Students With Disabilities	0	23	
	English Language Learners	0	6	
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	12	35	44
	Students With Disabilities	0	9	
	English Language Learners	0	6	
		Grade 4		
		0.000		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged		Winter 43	Spring 45
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	Fall		
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall 29	43	
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language	Fall 29 0	43 19	
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall 29 0 0	43 19 0	45
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	Fall 29 0 0 Fall	43 19 0 Winter	45 Spring

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	24	35	43
,	Students With Disabilities	10	13	
	English Language Learners	9	18	
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	13	34	46
	Students With Disabilities	10	10	
	English Language Learners	27	27	
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	39	35	37
	Students With Disabilities	15	27	
	English Language Learners	49	43	

Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	20	32	25	27	26	24	23				
ELL	18			36							
BLK	21	36		23	25	10	20				
HSP	32	47	50	44	47		38				
MUL	68			72							
WHT	52	57		67	46		43				
FRL	35	48	47	43	39	25	33				
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	26	35	30	27	42	38	28				
ELL	33	39	29	46	38	31	29				
BLK	31	50	59	30	37	30	29				

		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
HSP	47	52	35	59	52	32	38				
MUL	47	62		63	62						
WHT	61	65	77	60	57	50	74				
FRL	44	55	50	49	48	31	39				
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate	C & C Accel
			L25%			L25%	,		/ 1000	2016-17	2016-17
SWD	17	26	17	20	30	L25%	10		7100011	2016-17	2016-17
SWD ELL	17 24	26 44		20 32					7 100011	2016-17	2016-17
					30				7,000	2016-17	2016-17
ELL	24	44	17	32	30 35	17	10			2016-17	2016-17
ELL BLK	24 28	44 33	17 19	32 26	30 35 32	17 26	10			2016-17	2016-17
ELL BLK HSP	24 28 33	44 33 41	17 19	32 26 41	30 35 32 41	17 26	10			2016-17	2016-17

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	43
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	65
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	344
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	99%

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	25
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Languago Loarnors	

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	40
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES

English Language Learners	
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	23
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	47
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	70
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	53
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	41
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Trends that emerge across grade levels include low proficiency rates for all grade levels in the area of ELA, Math and Science. Additionally, Black, ELL and ESE students are not growing at a rate comparable to their peers.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The data component that demonstrate the greatest need for improvement is Math Lowest 25th Percentile

with 34% during the 2018-19 school year and 24% during the 2017-18 school year. Although this is an increase in student performance as compared to the previous year, it is at least a 13% difference from the other school grade components.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

One of the contributing factors to this need for improvement was not providing students with adequate time on remedial math skills. The new action needed for improvement would be to ensure that adequate time is allotted for remedial math skills. In addition, we will need to ensure that the remediation time is of high quality and occurring consistently in each grade.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

ELA Lowest 25th Percentile was the data component that showed the most improvement moving from 19% during the 2017-18 school year to 54% during the 2018-19 school year, a 35% difference.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

This year there were several actions that contributed to this change. During the 2018-19 we utilized the Leveled Literacy Intervention program to support our lowest quartile and dedicated time and human capital to ensure that the systems ran daily based on the allotted within the schedule. Staff administering the program were trained on how to implement the program and the program was monitored with fidelity. Additionally, our district DA support team provide consistent feedback resulting in stronger monitoring and targeted improvements based on timely feedback.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

In order to accelerate learning, we will need to strengthen our core instruction and improve our Multi-Tiered System of Supports.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

The professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders include:

- 1. MTSS/Intervention Support
- 2. Small Group & Guided Instruction
- 3. ELA, Math & Science Content Training
- 4. Grade Appropriate Assignments, Deep Engagement, Strong Instruction & High Expectations
- 5. PLC Framework

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Additional Services include the following:

- 1. Teacher leaders lead and facilitate common planning while coaches support and ensure alignment to district instructional framework. This will help maintain instructional momentum from year to year with minimal teacher attrition.
- 2. Strengthen instructional feedback to teachers and coaches with both quality and quantity.
- 3. Intentionally focus on recruiting and retaining effective and highly effective teachers.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: With high expectations, teachers will understand, plan, and deliver standards based instruction where students can state what they are learning, why they are learning it and how they know they have learned it. Strong collaborative planning around strong standards based instruction will ensure that grade appropriate task and quality instruction is consistently delivered. This thoughtful planning will ensure the instructional needs of our under performing subgroups (SWD, Black, ELL), and all students will be met. The data shows that we are improving from year to year but our proficiency rate is below 50% in almost all subgroups other than our white students in ELA and Math. This suggest that the core (standards based instruction) can use an improvement when less than 50% of our students are not meeting proficiency.

Increase Reading, Writing, Thinking and Talking across all content areas and build capacity in the six Marzano elements as evidenced by quarterly increases and also doubling the percent of learning walk tool look-fors from

Measurable Outcome:

baseline to mid-year learning walks; Recruit and retain highly effective teachers by supporting a collaborative student-centered environment that provides access and utilization of state of the art educational technology.

Increase student achievement in ELA proficiency from 48% to 51%, ELA Learning Gains from 57% to 60%, Math Proficiency from 53% to 56%, Math Learning Gains from 51% to 54%, and Science Proficiency from 47% to 50%. Additionally, we will improve teacher retention from 79% (46/58) to 91% (53/58).

This area of focus will be monitored through administration and coaches participating in weekly common planning; observing instruction to monitor for transfer from planning to instruction; and a tracking tool to determine how we spend the majority of our time in PLCs with regard to the four questions. Findings from all observations will be discussed in the leadership team meetings where next steps will be determined.

Monitoring:

Person responsible for

monitoring outcome:

Tiffany Scott (scottt1@lake.k12.fl.us)

Evidencebased Strategy: The evidence-based strategy that will be used is engaging in collaborative planning twice per week. This strategy will be monitored through consistent participation within PLCs, PLC forms (include agenda, minutes,

participants, and follow up task(s), and classroom walkthroughs.

The rationale behind this strategy is that if teachers, administrators and coaches

consistently engage in PLCs that focus on purpose, developing quality tasks and coherent instructional delivery in a cycle that will allow

Rationale for Evidence-

based Strategy: them to plan, teach, analyze and apply their findings, we will reduce equity gaps among classes. This cycle is expected to narrow the focus around standards based instruction, and increase teacher competency. Additionally, we will be able to intentionally and

consistently provide quality learning

experiences for all students.

Action Steps to Implement

Develop a common understanding around professional learning communities, our focus which is purpose, and standards based instruction through professional development.

Person Responsible

Kacy Wolfe (wolfek@lake.k12.fl.us)

Create a common planning schedule, PLC protocols, expectations, and a designated time for planning.

Person
Responsible Tiffany Scott (scottt1@lake.k12.fl.us)

Develop, utilize and follow an administrative schedule for attending and supporting weekly common planning.

Person Rebecca Coulter (coulterr@lake.k12.fl.us)

Responsible Responsible

Administration and content area coaches will conduct weekly walkthroughs to monitor for transfer and provide feedback around our focus, guided instruction.

Person
Responsible Tiffany Scott (scottt1@lake.k12.fl.us)

Consistently engage in PLCs, monitor for desired outcomes, and make adjustments where needed.

Person
Responsible Rebecca Coulter (coulterr@lake.k12.fl.us)

Collaborative teams will analyze student assessments and work products to monitor learning and guide next steps.

Person
Responsible Kacy Wolfe (wolfek@lake.k12.fl.us)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Differentiation

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: By utilizing student achievement data, Eustis Heights Elementary will tailor interventions and accelerations for all students in Reading, Math, and Science to increase student achievement among all student to include our student under performing in key subgroups (Black, ELL, SWD). Tailoring interventions and accelerations for all students will allow us to meet the individual needs of each students allowing us to close achievement gaps. The data reveals that while we have made progress in improving learning gains we are still below the federal index for SWD, ELL, and Black. Providing more specific accelerations and interventions will allow us to improve student outcomes and more accurately meet their learning needs.

Measurable Outcome:

The measurable outcome that EHES plans to achieve is an increase in student achievement in ELA Achievement from 48% to 51%, ELA Learning Gains from 57% to 60%, ELA Lowest Quartile from 54% to 57%, Math Achievement from 53% to 56%, Math Learning Gains from 51% to 54%, Math Lowest Quartile from 34% to 50%, and Science Achievement from 47% to 50%.

Monitoring:

This are of focus will be monitored by walking intervention weekly and analyzing the quality of instruction in weekly leadership meetings. During those meetings next steps to include professional development needs will be determined during that time.

Person responsible for

monitoring outcome:

Linda Martin Eubanks (eubanksl@lake.k12.fl.us)

Evidencebased The evidence based strategy is providing students with systematic interventions that will remediate or accelerate student learning based on their individual needs using small group

instruction, Fountas & Pinnell-

Strategy: Leveled Literacy Intervention, Fundations, Rosetta Stone, SIPPS, iReady Reading &

Math, and ALEKS.

Rationale for

The rationale behind this strategy is that if students are provided the time within a school day to receive systematic support (interventions/ accelerations) in addition to core instruction, students will be able to recover

Evidencebased

Strategy:

learning gaps while building current grade level knowledge and skills. This strategy will allow all students including the lowest quartile, and students in critical need subgroups (SWD, ELL, Black) to get their individual learning needs met, resulting in higher student achievement.

Action Steps to Implement

Create established time for targeted small group instruction, and schedules for implementation.

Person Responsible

Linda Martin Eubanks (eubanksl@lake.k12.fl.us)

Create and train an intervention team to assist with small group instruction for interventions (SIPPs, iReady, Rosetta Stone, ALEKS, LLI, and Standards Based Stations).

Person Responsible

Linda Martin Eubanks (eubanksl@lake.k12.fl.us)

Conduct weekly walk-through to monitor for implementation and fidelity of interventions during the intervention block, What I Need Time (W.I.N Time).

Person Responsible

Mikel A. Flint (flintm@lake.k12.fl.us)

Progress monitor student data using iReady (ELA & Math), LLI assessments (ELA), ALEKS (Math), SIPPS tracking (Reading Readiness), Rosetta Stone, Performance Matters (ELA, Math, and Science), and Teacher Made Assessments (ELA & Math) with an intentional focus on the lowest quartile and student subgroups (SWD, ELL and Black) monthly so that we can continuously make adjustments.

Person
Responsible Mikel A. Flint (flintm@lake.k12.fl.us)

Build instructional capacity among our leadership team around small group instruction by engaging in a book study on "Teaching Reading in Small Groups: Differentiated Instruction for Building Strategic, Independent Readers and Making Sense of Mathematics for Teaching the Small Group."

Person
Responsible Tiffany Scott (scottt1@lake.k12.fl.us)

Recruit, hire, and train a tutor during the day to support small group instruction in Math/ELA using SAI funds.

Person
Responsible
Terri Soos (soost@lake.k12.fl.us)

#3. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Early Warning Systems

Description

By utilizing early warning systems data, Eustis Heights Elementary will increase Area of Focus attendance, positive student behaviors, and maintain a safe and supportive environment

for all students. These elements have a direct

and Rationale:

correlation to student achievement. Therefore by improving these elements we are creating a stronger foundation for learning and cultivating an environment for which students can thrive.

The intended outcome is to reduce the number of students meeting the EWS for absences and maintain 7% or less each quarter. Additionally, we will Increase student achievement in ELA proficiency from 48% to 51%, ELA

Measurable Outcome:

Learning Gains from 57% to 60%, Math Proficiency from 53% to 56%, Math Learning Gains from 51% to 54%, ELA Lowest Quartile Learning gains from 54% to 57%; Math Lowest Quartile Learning Gains from 34% to 50%, and Science proficiency from 47% to 50%.

This area of focus will be monitored for the desired outcome through the weekly review of early warning sign data. Additionally, the leadership team will identify trends in the data and problem solve for both preventative and responsive intervention.

Person responsible

Monitoring:

for Andrie Peterkin (peterkina@lake.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

The evidence based strategy that we will be used is the implementation of a school wide

Evidencebased

positive behavior plan that will offer incentives for students demonstrating desired positive

behaviors and monitors early warning sign

data to intervene with students displaying at-risk behaviors. We will also increase home to Strategy:

school communication by providing more opportunities for families to be involved.

Rationale for Evidencebased

If we implement, monitor and support practices that will foster a positive and supportive learning environment than attendance will increase. Additionally, building relationships with families increase communication and support from home to support students

Strategy: academically.

Action Steps to Implement

Implement a school-wide positive behavior plan.

Person Responsible

John Durbin (durbinj@lake.k12.fl.us)

The positive behavior support team will monitor EWS data and provide supports to students when and where needed.

Person Responsible

John Durbin (durbinj@lake.k12.fl.us)

Provide faculty and staff with professional development on Zones of Regulation and Restorative Practices so that it may be implemented school wide.

Person

Janet Martinez (martinezj5@lake.k12.fl.us) Responsible

Utilize services and staff such as our Mental Health Liaison, Positive Alternative School Suspension Teacher, and Guidance Counselor to support our neediest students.

Person Responsible

Andrie Peterkin (peterkina@lake.k12.fl.us)

#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

By providing targeted ELA instruction, supports and interventions in K-5 using research based strategies with fidelity, we will increase the number of students scoring a level 3 or higher on the 2022 ELA standardized assessments. We will also increase the number of students performing on grade level on the end of year iReady assessments. According to the data, in 1st - 5th grade less than 50% of our students are performing on or above grade level in ELA on the end of year state and district assessment. Per ELA EOY iReady results 49% of 1st grade and 32% of 2nd grade were on or above grade. Per the 2021 FSA ELA assessment, 36% of 3rd grade, 45% of 4th grade, and 43% of 5th grade were on or above grade level. Based on this data, we believe that the consistent implementation of quality instruction along with research based interventions in ELA and monitoring, we will be able to increase the number of students who demonstrate proficiency on the above district and state ELA assessments.

Measurable Outcome:

The outcome will be measured in student performance data. Our aim is to increase the percentage of 3rd grade students scoring a level 3 or higher on the statewide assessment from 36% to 40%. Increase the percentage of 4th grade students scoring a level 3 or higher on the statewide assessment from 45% to 49%. Increase the percentage of 5th grade students scoring a level 3 or higher on the statewide assessment from 43% to 47%. Increase the percentage of 1st grade students scoring on or above grade level based on the EOY iReady assessment from 49% to 53%. Increase the percentage of 2nd grade students scoring on or above grade level based on the EOY iReady assessment from 32% to 36%.

Monitoring:

This area of focus must be monitored using multiple approaches. The intervention team will conduct weekly walkthroughs during core instruction and interventions using the district instructional framework walkthrough tool, analyze the data for trends and determine a plan of action based on the opportunities observed. Additionally, the team will analyze the effectiveness of the intervention by reviewing pre/post data for interventions.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Tiffany Scott (scottt1@lake.k12.fl.us)

The evidence bases strategy being used in this plan includes the following:

- 1. Implementing high quality core ELA materials (Wit & Wisdom) that are aligned to the science of reading and integration of content rich texts.
- 2. Plan reading instruction using the 6 core components of reading using the 120 minute district literacy block.

Evidencebased Strategy:

- 3. Collaborative planning twice per week using Rick DuFour's research practices and guiding questions.
- 4. Utilize Fundations to teach phonics instruction explicitly and systematically.
- 5. Utilize data to drive interventions using small group instruction specific to students' needs and additional personnel in core instruction to provide additional instructional support.
- 6. Utilize research based resources during intervention to include Fundations/Geodes, LLI/ SIPPS, and/or iReady Teacher Directed Lessons.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: The rationale behind each of these strategies is that with less than 50% of a grade level meeting proficiency, it is necessary to improve the quality of instruction as a whole to improve student learning outcomes for all students. However, improving the quality of instruction alone is not enough. Understanding that providing students with interventions will allow us to differentiate instruction and recover academic loss in all students but

especially those 2 or more grade levels behind. Finally, in order to improve the quality of instruction and to provide interventions with quality we must adequately plan for instruction and interventions using best practices and monitor the effectiveness using relevant data. Collectively these strategies will increase student learning and retention, and ultimately increase the number of students demonstrating proficiency on district and statewide assessments.

Action Steps to Implement

Establish an intervention team, its purpose and the role of each member.

Person

Responsible

Tiffany Scott (scottt1@lake.k12.fl.us)

Meet weekly with the intervention team to review data, problem solve, conduct class walkthroughs with feedback using the district instructional framework, and norm our practice.

Person

Responsible

Tiffany Scott (scottt1@lake.k12.fl.us)

Develop a common planning schedule, intervention schedule, and a core instructional support schedule. Ensure the consistent implementation of each schedule.

Person

Responsible

Terri Soos (soost@lake.k12.fl.us)

Provide training and professional development on PLCs, curriculum implementation (Wit & Wisdom/Fundations/Geodes, iReady Teacher Directed Lessons), intervention materials and focus map, small group instruction, and other high yield strategies to teachers and those providing interventions as needed.

Person

Responsible

Kacy Wolfe (wolfek@lake.k12.fl.us)

Meet with teachers who lead collaborative planning monthly to practice two-way communication and identify barriers to intervention and/or the delivery of consistent and quality instruction.

Person

Responsible

Tiffany Scott (scottt1@lake.k12.fl.us)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Based on the Safe Schools for Alex data, EHES ranks #577 out of #1,395, with an overall moderate rating. Although EHES is below the state average on most indicators, a few areas of concern remain. The primary concern is the number of suspensions for the 20-21 SY with a total of 71 (45 in-school and 26 out-of-school). The secondary concern is the 2 violent incidents reported as a threat or intimidation. As a school community we will work to reduce the suspension and violent incident numbers by being proactive and educating our students on conflict resolution as well as restorative practices. The Leadership Team will review the data (suspension and infraction type) monthly to discuss any interventions and/or supports needed through problem solving. Dr. Tiffany Scott, Principal, along with Andrie Peterkin, Assistant Principal, will supervise this effort.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

At Eustis Heights we strive to build a positive school culture and environment in a variety of ways to include:

- 1. Practicing two -way communication with our families, and using every opportunity to keep them informed about what's happening on campus.
- 2. Creating a warm environment for students and stakeholders alike by creating the best customer service experience (addressing them by name and a smile, following up promptly with concerns.
- 3. Remaining visible to the public. Admin remaining visible to staff and providing monthly treats or pick me ups to let the know they are appreciated.
- 4. Celebrate our wins together.
- 5. Provide a space for teachers and staff to express concerns.
- 6. Provide stability with consistent expectations.
- 7. Make every effort to recruit and retain staff that are effective instructionally and also build relationships with students.
- 8. Ensuring the facility is clean and presentable. Requiring all stakeholders to treat the facility and the people within it with care.
- 9. Provide every staff member with specific feedback throughout the school year.
- 10. Establish a clear mission and vision, and connect our work to the big picture consistently.
- 11. Provide faculty and staff with opportunities to learn from one another and build capacity.
- 12. Referring to the leadership team as the support team, as to model servant leadership.
- 13. Promote positive clubs, activities and student involvement.
- 14. Host quarterly events for faculty and staff to fellowship outside of school.
- 15. Publicly recognize staff for a skill they bring to the school family.
- 16. Utilize a love language inventory for staff so that we know how each staff member feels appreciated. Each team member and support team member use that to encourage and motivate the school family.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Parent and community involvement is vital to Eustis Heights Elementary School. We believe that parent, families and community stakeholders comprise of our most important stakeholders. Our stakeholders will help support the school mission and vision as well as contribute to school improvement for the betterment of our student needs in the following ways:

1. Quarterly parent/grandparent support classes.

- 2. Community and Family Input with the Title I Plan, Parent Involvement Plan, and School Compact.
- 3. Parent Teacher Conference Nights twice a year.
- 4. Title 1 Survey and follow up meetings.
- 5. Increase virtual communication using all social media outlets to provide for two-way communication.
- 6. Continue partnerships with local organizations to ensure our needlest families receive, books, school supplies, clothes, food, and other resources.
- 7. Actively recruit, retain, and meet with our PTO and SAC while addressing current issues through all stakeholder input.
- 8. Host virtual events where face-to-face communications are not present.
- 9. Send home monthly news letters in English and Spanish.
- 10. Work with our feeder pattern middle school to arrange for visitations/orientation days for our outgoing 5th grade students to include ESE students.
- 11. Partner with child care centers and invite them to visit classes and our school in preparation for PreK and Kindergarten transitions and share PreK and KG readiness goals.
- 12. Conduct meet the teachers, curriculum nights, and Annual Title I Meetings.
- 13. Host special events to build community and celebrate student success(Movie Night, Honor Roll Ceremonies, Intervention Ceremonies, Terrific Kid, etc.).
- 14. Conduct home visits as needed.
- 15. Host monthly family dinner nights where educational resources are provided in addition to a free meal.
- 16. Open the Parent Resource Center where parents can check out a variety of resources to support student learning and encourage literacy in the home.
- 17. Provide school based and community mentors for at-risk youth or students with more than 2 Early Warning

Signs.

18. Utilize volunteers at school events (Class Readers, Tiger Loot Day, Career Day, Field Day, etc.).

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Differentiation	\$0.00
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Early Warning Systems	\$0.00
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00