Duval County Public Schools

Ortega Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| | |
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| | |
| School Information | 7 |
| | |
| Needs Assessment | 10 |
| | |
| Planning for Improvement | 18 |
| | |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 26 |
| | |
| Budget to Support Goals | 27 |

Ortega Elementary School

4010 BALTIC ST, Jacksonville, FL 32210

http://www.duvalschools.org/ortega

Demographics

Principal: Shannon Rose Hammond

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2017

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|---|--|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School KG-5 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2020-21 Title I School | Yes |
| 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: A (64%) 2017-18: C (52%) 2016-17: C (51%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info | ormation* |
| SI Region | Northeast |
| Regional Executive Director | <u>Cassandra Brusca</u> |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo | or more information, click here. |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 10 |
| Planning for Improvement | 18 |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 27 |

Ortega Elementary School

4010 BALTIC ST, Jacksonville, FL 32210

http://www.duvalschools.org/ortega

School Demographics

| School Type and Gi (per MSID | | 2020-21 Title I School | Disadvan | l Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3) |
|---------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|---|
| Elementary S KG-5 | School | Yes | | 100% |
| Primary Servio (per MSID I | • • | Charter School | (Reporte | Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2) |
| K-12 General E | ducation | No | | 75% |
| School Grades Histo | ory | | | |
| Year | 2020-21 | 2019-20 | 2018-19 | 2017-18 |
| Grade | | A | Α | С |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Mission Statement: Ortega Museum Magnet partners with area museums to provide hands-on, minds-on learning experiences for students to examine their world, explore their strengths, exhibit their knowledge and achieve academic success.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Vision Statement: Be a learning community where highly qualified staff, committed students, supportive families, and a community of partnerships work together to create a positive school culture meeting the needs of ALL students.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Rose-Hamann, Shannon | Principal | |
| Noll, Mary | Reading Coach | |
| Carter, Cassandra | School Counselor | |
| Fraley, Kenneth | Assistant Principal | |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Saturday 7/1/2017, Shannon Rose Hammond

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

1

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

5

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

17

Total number of students enrolled at the school

310

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

4

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 45 | 55 | 62 | 49 | 59 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 331 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 21 | 25 | 16 | 22 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 11 | 27 | 22 | 19 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 16 | 35 | 22 | 23 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | evel | l | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 6/7/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | | | Total | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|-------|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Number of students enrolled | 60 | 55 | 60 | 55 | 43 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 321 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 18 | 15 | 10 | 24 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| One or more suspensions | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | evel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAT |
| Students with two or more indicators | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 60 | 55 | 60 | 55 | 43 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 321 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 18 | 15 | 10 | 24 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| One or more suspensions | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | Total | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|----|----|-------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Students retained two or more times | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component | 2021 | | | | 2019 | | 2018 | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State | |
| ELA Achievement | | | | 52% | 50% | 57% | 50% | 50% | 56% | |
| ELA Learning Gains | | | | 55% | 56% | 58% | 59% | 51% | 55% | |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | | | | 60% | 50% | 53% | 40% | 46% | 48% | |
| Math Achievement | | | | 63% | 62% | 63% | 61% | 61% | 62% | |
| Math Learning Gains | | | | 77% | 63% | 62% | 63% | 59% | 59% | |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | | | | 71% | 52% | 51% | 27% | 48% | 47% | |
| Science Achievement | | | | 68% | 48% | 53% | 62% | 55% | 55% | |

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 03 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 44% | 51% | -7% | 58% | -14% |
| Cohort Cor | nparison | | | | | |
| 04 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 53% | 52% | 1% | 58% | -5% |
| Cohort Cor | mparison | -44% | | | | |
| 05 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 47% | 50% | -3% | 56% | -9% |
| Cohort Cor | mparison | -53% | | | • | |

| | MATH | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | | | | |
| 03 | 2021 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 44% | 61% | -17% | 62% | -18% | | | | |
| Cohort Co | mparison | | | | | | | | | |
| 04 | 2021 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 57% | 64% | -7% | 64% | -7% | | | | |

| | MATH | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -44% | | | | | | | | |
| 05 | 2021 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 67% | 57% | 10% | 60% | 7% | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -57% | | | | | | | | |

| | | | SCIEN | CE | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 05 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 63% | 49% | 14% | 53% | 10% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | | | | | |

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

iReady District Progress Monitoring Assessments

| | | Grade 1 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| English Language Arts | All Students | 17 | 22 | 53 |
| | Economically Disadvantaged | 22 | 29 | 60 |
| 7 41.0 | Students With Disabilities | 10 | 22 | 20 |
| | English Language Learners | 20 | 30 | 18 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 10 | 21 | 37 |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 14 | 24 | 42 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 20 | 50 | 50 |
| | English Language Learners | 10 | 10 | 27 |

| | | Grade 2 | | |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 27 | 43 | 60 |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 18 | 38 | 65 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 25 | 25 | 29 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 33 | 67 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 16 | 39 | 56 |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 9 | 42 | 50 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 13 | 50 | 29 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 33 | 33 |
| | | 0 1 0 | | |
| | | Grade 3 | | |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | Proficiency All Students | | Winter 47 | Spring 46 |
| English Language Arts | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged | Fall | | |
| | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities | Fall 30 | 47 | 46 |
| | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners | Fall 30 27 | 47 42 | 46 40 |
| | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency | Fall 30 27 33 25 Fall | 47 42 67 25 Winter | 46 40 20 33 Spring |
| | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students | Fall 30 27 33 25 | 47 42 67 25 | 46 40 20 33 |
| | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged | Fall 30 27 33 25 Fall | 47 42 67 25 Winter | 46 40 20 33 Spring |
| Arts | Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically | Fall 30 27 33 25 Fall 47 | 47 42 67 25 Winter 57 | 46 40 20 33 Spring 62 |

| | | Grade 4 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 37 | 50 | 55 |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 34 | 51 | 51 |
| Arts | Students With Disabilities | 17 | 40 | 50 |
| | English Language Learners | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 52 | 59 | 65 |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 53 | 59 | 63 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 17 | 40 | 40 |
| | English Language Learners | 25 | 13 | 67 |
| | | Grade 5 | | |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 46 | 64 | 58 |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 39 | 58 | 54 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 22 | 44 | 25 |
| | English Language Learners | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 44 | 54 | 54 |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 48 | 58 | 54 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 22 | 11 | 25 |
| | English Language Learners | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 56 | 64 | 64 |
| Science | Economically Disadvantaged | 52 | 63 | 64 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 22 | 22 | 67 |
| | English Language Learners | 50 | 50 | 50 |

Subgroup Data Review

| | | 2021 | SCHO | DL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| SWD | 30 | 50 | | 48 | 50 | | | | | | |
| ELL | 28 | | | 59 | | | | | | | |
| BLK | 45 | 44 | | 57 | 69 | | 37 | | | | |
| HSP | 52 | | | 63 | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 71 | | | 87 | | | | | | | |
| FRL | 49 | 52 | | 67 | 70 | | 48 | | | | |
| | | 2019 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMP | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 23 | 50 | 56 | 53 | 75 | 75 | 50 | | | | |
| ELL | 30 | | | 30 | | | | | | | |
| BLK | 48 | 68 | 69 | 57 | 77 | 81 | 57 | | | | |
| HSP | 50 | 35 | | 55 | 62 | | 77 | | | | |
| WHT | 61 | 56 | | 75 | 89 | | 85 | | | | |
| FRL | 45 | 56 | 65 | 55 | 73 | 74 | 61 | | | | |
| | | 2018 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMP | ONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| SWD | 11 | 31 | 24 | 26 | 34 | 24 | 33 | | | | |
| ELL | 10 | | | 10 | | | | | | | |
| BLK | 44 | 59 | 33 | 49 | 57 | 19 | 54 | | | | |
| HSP | 49 | 56 | 40 | 55 | 65 | | 62 | | | | |
| WHT | 57 | 61 | | 80 | 69 | | 79 | | | | |
| FRL | 46 | 57 | 38 | 56 | 62 | 29 | 55 | | | | |

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

| ESSA Federal Index | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | | | | | | | |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | | | | | | | |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO | | | | | | |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 0 | | | | | | |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 59 | | | | | | |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 364 | | | | | | |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 6 | | | | | | |
| Percent Tested | 97% | | | | | | |

| Subgroup Data | | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|
| Students With Disabilities | | | | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 45 | | | |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | | | | |
| English Language Learners | | | | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 49 | | | |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | | | | |
| Native American Students | | | | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | | | | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | |
| Asian Students | | | | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | | | | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | |
| Black/African American Students | | | | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 50 | | | |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | |
| Hispanic Students | | | | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 57 | | | |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | |
| Multiracial Students | | | | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | | | | |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | |
| Pacific Islander Students | | | | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | | | | |

| Pacific Islander Students | | | | |
|--|----|--|--|--|
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | |
| White Students | | | | |
| Federal Index - White Students | 79 | | | |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | | | | |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 55 | | | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | |

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

The trends that emerge across grade levels, subgroups, and core content areas are reading proficiency and gains need to to increase in grade 3-5 in order to increase the average for the school.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The greatest need for improvement will be ELA proficiency in grades 3-5.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

We were providing intense support and remediation to the students in our lowest performing quartile but our remaining students did not receive the same level of remediation which could be a contributing factor to the decline. However, all students did receive a daily reading intervention in a small group setting. Some of the students who showed a decline were fourth graders; therefore, their performance on the writing component of the test was a contributing factor as well. In addition, several of our high performing students did not make learning gains. In 2021-2022 we will focus on providing enrichment opportunities for our high performing students in order to improve our learning gains.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

The component that showed the most improvement was Math Gains of the Lowest 25th Percentile.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The contributing factors to this improvement were that school administration, teachers and paras were providing intensive support and remediation to the students in our lowest performing quartile. Furthermore, faculty and staff members mentored each student in the lowest performing quartile. School faculty members also implemented Ortega Outreach, a Saturday tutoring session which was held monthly in the apartment complex zoned for the school.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

We will need to implement the following strategies in order to accelerate learning:

- --Reading Mastery for students in grades K-2
- --Leveled Literacy Instruction or guided reading for all students
- --Fluency passages/practice for all students
- --B.E.S.T. training for K-2 teachers
- --Benchmark Advance training for K-2 teachers
- --Teachers providing tier three interventions will need to be reading endorsed
- --Teachers will create/vet assignments and assessments that are well aligned with FSA item specifications

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

We will conduct weekly common planning sessions for each grade level. During common planning teachers will work with an administrators and/or coach to plan for strategic instruction, with an emphasis on standards based assignments and assessments as well and the standards continuum.

Teachers providing tier three interventions will participate in professional development in order to receive their reading endorsements.

Teachers working with the B.E.S.T. standards will receive B.E.S.T. standard training.

Teachers implementing the Benchmark Advance curriculum will receive the Benchmark Advance training.

Teachers implementing Reading Mastery will participate in R.M.S.E training prior to teaching the lessons.

Our school leadership team will create a professional development plan based on school needs which will include instructional rounding for all teachers.

The school reading coach will design and implement a professional development plan which will include book studies, lesson studies, and coaching cycles.

All teachers will create an Individualized Professional Development Plan and work with the school administration to complete the plan. The goal of the IPDP is for each teacher to receive the professional development needed to improve students' academic performance.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

We will invest in the whole child by establishing strong relationships with children and their families and focusing on social and emotional learning. We are able to give each child differentiated

instruction, which will ensure sustainability with those learners for their duration of time at Ortega. Our monthly Friday Flag Raisings ensure students are recognized for their birthdays, character, attendance, behavior and performance in art, music, and PE as well as the academic subjects.

Ensure highly qualified teachers are providing differentiated instruction.

Providing Reading Mastery instruction to every primary student ensures the majority of students are reading on grade level before third grade. Over time, intermediate students in grades 3-5 will be able to spend more time reading to learn science and social studies content, exploring a variety of genres, and completing more project based learning.

One School, One Book allows every family in the school to read and discuss the same book. This program instills a love of reading and learning within our school community.

Math Acaletics ensures students are mastering grade level math standards. If we continue using Acaletics with fidelity, our math achievement and learning gains will continue to improve.

Our ESOL paras work closely with our English Language Learners and our district specialist to ensure we are communicating well and utilizing the best teaching practices.

We will continue to utilize funding to purchase interactive monitors for classroom use, and we will encourage teacher to become Microsoft Innovative Educators in order to provide innovative instruction.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of

Focus Description and

Our students must be proficient readers in order to excel in other subject areas and to eventually be prepared for success in college or a career, and life.

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

If we implement rigorous, differentiated, data driven instruction in every classroom, then our proficiency, learning gains, and bottom quartile learning gains will improve in all academic areas.

Our school Reading coach will design, monitor and assess reading achievement progress and provide professional development and coaching for teachers. We plan to increase our reading achievement to 57 percent.

A tutor will work for five hours each day to provide remediation for students. A paraprofessional will provide reading enrichment and remediation for students. A parent liaison will work to build strong relationships with families and stakeholders and work with community, business and faith-based partners to secure resources and minors for students which will transfer to an increase in students reading proficiency.

Monitoring:

All K-2 students will participate in Reading Mastery. Teachers will be trained and will implement the program correctly and with fidelity, and data collection and analysis will occur during common planning sessions.

LLI (Leveled Literacy Instruction) will be used with select students in grades K-5.

All students in grades 3-5 will receive targeted small group instruction. All families will participate in the One School One Book program.

Materials will be purchased and utilized to support students for tutoring, remediation, and enrichment. Materials include but are not limited to interactive carts and monitors, computers, Raz Kids, Reflex Math, LLI kits, series books, scholastic books, and novel sets.

Person responsible

for

[no one identified]

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

Intensive remediation and effective interventions implementation in all grade levels will be required in order to improve our reading proficiency. Reading Mastery and LLI will be used in grades K-2 and LLI, LAFS, and standards based assessments will be utilized in grades 3-5.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

The Reading Coach will monitor and assess reading achievement progress as well as provide professional development and coaching for teachers.

Person Responsible

Mary Noll (nollm@duvalschools.org)

All K-2 students will receive differentiated instruction through Reading Mastery.

Person Responsible

Mary Noll (nollm@duvalschools.org)

A tutor will work with small groups of students to implement interventions and provide reading remediation.

Person

Responsible

Mary Noll (nollm@duvalschools.org)

A para will work with small groups of students and assist teachers in order to implement interventions, enrichment opportunities, and remediation for students.

Person

Responsible

Mary Noll (nollm@duvalschools.org)

The school will participate in "One School, One Book" which allows for all families to receive and read the same book.

Person

Responsible

Mary Noll (nollm@duvalschools.org)

Classroom libraries will be well utilized and well organized to provide appealing, interesting, and appropriate books for students with easy access. Title 1 will purchase additional computers and technology to allow students to use digital platforms like, I-Ready, Achieve, and Raz Kids.

Person

Responsible

Mary Noll (nollm@duvalschools.org)

Students will participate in data chats to set reading goals.

Person

Responsible

Mary Noll (nollm@duvalschools.org)

Teachers will collaboratively plan core reading instruction during weekly common planning meetings with a focus on standards-based reading, tasks and assessments.

Person

Responsible

Mary Noll (nollm@duvalschools.org)

Students will read grade-level fluency passages weekly both in school and at home and teachers will monitor student progress and provide additional support as needed.

Person

Responsible

Mary Noll (nollm@duvalschools.org)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Our standards walkthrough tool shows that we have shown improvement in teacher/student use of standards, standards based instruction, and standards based assessments; however student learning would be maximized through more frequent use of standards aligned assessments.

Measurable Outcome: 90% of more of our core content teachers will use assessments that are fully aligned to standards. Assessments should determine mastery and contain the learning arc and/or FSA alignment.

School administrators will conduct several standards-based walkthroughs each week, use the standards walkthrough tool to record data, and work with teachers to implement next

steps.

Person responsible

Monitoring:

Shannon Rose-Hamann (roses1@duvalschools.org)

monitoring outcome:

for

Evidence-based
Strategy:

Teachers, the reading coach, and the school administrators will work together during common planning and/or PLCs to review, create, and/or vet standards based assessments.

Rationale for Evidence-

based

We must ensure assessments are aligned to standards in order to determine instructional next steps. Students must be prepared to take state assessments. Student assessments should be in the appropriate place among the learning arc, provide an FSA equivalent experiences, and provide data to determine if students are on track to demonstrate mastery

Strategy: of the standards.

Action Steps to Implement

Train teachers on the SIP (School Improvement Plan) and SBI (Standards Based Instructional Continuum) requirements, and review 2021 data.

Person Responsible

Shannon Rose-Hamann (roses1@duvalschools.org)

Frequent collaboration and discussion around the SBI with the school leadership team. to ensure administrators share common definitions, evidence, and expectations across the school

Person Responsible

Shannon Rose-Hamann (roses1@duvalschools.org)

Review the SIP with all faculty, staff and stakeholders and revise as needed according to stakeholder input.

Person Responsible

Shannon Rose-Hamann (roses1@duvalschools.org)

Create standards aligned lessons and assessments during common planning.

Person Responsible

Shannon Rose-Hamann (roses1@duvalschools.org)

Schedule training as needed around learning arcs and standards based instruction and assessments.

Person Responsible

Shannon Rose-Hamann (roses1@duvalschools.org)

Utilize the standards walkthrough tool to observe classrooms, provide feedback to teachers, and plan professional development for continuous improvement.

Person

Responsible

Shannon Rose-Hamann (roses1@duvalschools.org)

Participate in continuous professional development (lesson studies, instructional rounding, strategic planning) to ensure success.

Person

Responsible

Shannon Rose-Hamann (roses1@duvalschools.org)

No description entered

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

#3. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of

Focus
Description

Description and

Many students show frequent absences and/or tardies, which negatively impacts students performance. If we improve student attendance rates then academic performance will

improve in all areas.

Rationale:

ELA Achievement-- 57% ELA Learning Gains-- 59%

Measurable Outcome:

ELA Gains of the Lowest 25%-- 62% Mathematics Achievement-- 69% Mathematics Learning Gains-- 78%

Mathematics Learning Gains of the Lowest 25%-- 73%

Science-- 70%

Monitoring:

This will be monitored through attendance rates, PMA scores, Achieve 3000 scores,

iReady scores, and FSA scores.

Person responsible

for

Cassandra Carter (carterc7@duvalschools.org)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy: Faculty and staff emphasize the importance of attending school daily (when healthy) and provide encouragement and incentives for students to attend school daily and to arrive on

time.

We have a district wide emphasis on social emotional health. All teachers have been trained in Calm Classroom, we participate in Wellness Wednesdays, and we set aside

Rationale for Evidence-

daily time for social and emotional learning.

Students who attend school regularly have been shown to achieve at higher levels than students who do not attend school regularly. In 2021 we had students with very low attendance. In 2022 we will improve attendance rates which will improve our academic

performance.

Action Steps to Implement

Train teachers on the connection between student attendance and academic performance as well as our district's attendance policy.

Person

Responsible

Cassandra Carter (carterc7@duvalschools.org)

Encourage teachers to establish strong lines of communication with students' families and emphasize the importance of daily attendance.

Person

Responsible

Cassandra Carter (carterc7@duvalschools.org)

When students are eligible for an AIT meeting, the guidance counselor will inform the school administrators and the teachers, and AIT meetings will be held on a monthly basis.

Person

Responsible

Cassandra Carter (carterc7@duvalschools.org)

Implement Live School in order to provide positive communications with students, parents, and school staff. Live School gives students points for attending school daily, arriving on time, and other positive things the students are doing. Live school allows the admin team to look at reports for academic and social growth. Doing this will help with student achievement by having the students in class daily and getting rigorous instruction.

Person
Responsible
Cassandra Carter (carterc7@duvalschools.org)

After attendance meetings, the school based team will meet to plan next steps and follow up with the family as needed.

Person Responsible

Cassandra Carter (carterc7@duvalschools.org)

#4. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Social Emotional Learning

Area of

Focus

Description and

If we develop positive relationships between faculty, staff, students and stakeholders and focus on social and emotional health, then our school culture will improve and academic performance will improve in all areas.

Rationale:

ELA Achievement-- 55% ELA Learning Gains-- 60%

Measurable Outcome:

ELA Gains of the Lowest 25%-- 63% Mathematics Achievement-- 65% Mathematics Learning Gains-- 80%

Mathematics Learning Gains of the Lowest 25%-- 73%

Science-- 70%

Monitoring:

The will be monitored through PMA scores, Achieve 3000 scores, iReady scores,

LiveSchool points, and school climate surveys.

Person

responsible for

monitoring

Kenneth Fraley (fraleyirk@duvalschools.org)

outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

Teachers will designate time each day for social and emotional learning, implement Calm Classroom, emphasize our Guidelines for Success and monthly character traits, implement Wellness Wednesday lessons, and work closely with our school guidance counselor to meet all students' needs.

Rationale for

Evidencebased

Our district places a strong emphasis on mental health and social and emotional learning, as there is a strong connection between students' health and academic performance.

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

Teachers will designate time for social emotional learning

Person Responsible

Shannon Rose-Hamann (roses1@duvalschools.org)

Teachers will be trained in Calm Classroom and will implement the program.

Person

Responsible

Kenneth Fraley (fraleyjrk@duvalschools.org)

Teachers will provide time and opportunities for children to develop strong, positive relationships with adults and peers.

Person

Responsible

Kenneth Fraley (fraleyirk@duvalschools.org)

Our school guidance counselor will teach character lessons to each class, and students exhibiting those character traits (students of the month) will be honored during Friday Flag Raisings.

Person

Responsible

Cassandra Carter (carterc7@duvalschools.org)

Faculty and staff will mentor the students in the lowest performing quartile as well as students who could benefit from an adult mentor.

Person
Responsible
Cassandra Carter (carterc7@duvalschools.org)

We will recognize and celebrate students during our monthly Friday Flag Raising Ceremonies (student birthdays, character awards, bus safety awards, attendance awards, art and music awards, etc.)

Person
Responsible
Shannon Rose-Hamann (roses1@duvalschools.org)

We will implement Ortega Outreach in the Courtney Manor Apartment Complex in order to build positive relationships with students on Saturdays throughout the year.

Person Responsible

[no one identified]

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

The school leadership team will plan to implement the following:

- -- Daily remediation block for students in the lowest performing quartile
- --Daily small group differentiated instruction in ELA for grades 3-5
- --Quarterly meetings with business partners and faith-based partners
- --Aceletics implementation and professional development
- --Reading Mastery implementation and professional development

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Ortega Elementary is a Museum Studies Magnet, which means that we partner with area museums to give our students a hands-on, mind-on learning experience. All students visit at least one museum during the school year, and twice per year we transform our school into a museum and invite parents, families, and other community stakeholders to visit. In addition to our Museum Exhibit Nights, we host several family involvement events including Pastries with Parents, Literacy Night, One School One Book, Math and Science Night, Orientation, Open house, and conferences.

This year we plan to have regular coffee chat meetings with our ESOL families. Furthermore, we hold a "First Friday Flag Raising" each month which gives us an opportunity to come together and celebrate as a community. We recognize all students/ birthdays, celebrate students who are exhibiting our character trait of the month, celebrate bus safety, and distribute various awards during our flag raising ceremonies. We also have an active SAC and PTA to help support our school improvement initiatives and meet students' needs. Our parent

liaison works hard to build and maintain strong, positive relationships with our families as well as our business, community, and faith based partners.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

The school administrators will promote a positive culture and environment at the school by being positive role models for the faculty, staff, students, parents, and other community members, and planning monthly teacher recognition or rewards to boost staff morale.

The school leadership team members will promote a positive culture and environment at the school by being positive role models for the faculty, staff, students, parents, and other community members, analyzing our 5 Essentials Data, and creating a plan for maintaining/improving our culture, climate and environment.

The teachers and staff members will promote a positive culture and environment at the school by being positive role models, establishing strong relationships with students, embracing the school theme, utilizing LiveSchool for student incentives, utilizing Dojo to establish strong communication, and participating in events outside of school. Furthermore, all faculty and staff members will participate in at least one school committee (Sunshine, PBIS, or Museum) to maintain/improve school culture.

The PTA will promote a positive school culture and environment at the school by working with the school and community leaders to make the school a positive culture and environment, participating in school events, planning Teacher Appreciation Week, and holding fundraisers to support our students.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA | \$0.00 |
|---|--------|---|--------|
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction | \$0.00 |
| 3 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Student Attendance | \$0.00 |
| 4 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Social Emotional Learning | \$0.00 |
| | | Total: | \$0.00 |