**Pinellas County Schools** 

# Fitzgerald Middle School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	18
Positive Culture & Environment	35
Budget to Support Goals	36

## Fitzgerald Middle School

6410 118TH AVE, Largo, FL 33773

http://www.fitzgerald-ms.pinellas.k12.fl.us

#### **Demographics**

Principal: Ija Hawthorne

Start Date for this Principal: 7/28/2021

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: B (56%) 2017-18: C (52%) 2016-17: C (52%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	rmation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Pinellas County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	18
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	36

### **Fitzgerald Middle School**

6410 118TH AVE, Largo, FL 33773

http://www.fitzgerald-ms.pinellas.k12.fl.us

#### **School Demographics**

School Type and Gr (per MSID I		2020-21 Title I Schoo	l Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Middle Sch 6-8	nool	No		98%
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		60%
School Grades Histo	ry			
Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		В	В	С

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Pinellas County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission is to promote the highest academic achievement of students using an equity lens to promote a positive and safe learning environment for all.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Our vision is for all students to enter high school with the skills necessary for a successful high school career and life outside of high school. (High School Readiness).

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Hawthorne, Ija	Principal	Instructional and operational leader within the school community who is critical to improving student outcomes, through the hiring, development, support, supervision and retention of high-quality instructional and support staff.
Douglass, Christine	Assistant Principal	
Donnelly, Leah	Assistant Principal	
Trombley, Calvin	Assistant Principal	
Arof, Melissa	Teacher, K-12	
Steeves, Heather	Teacher, K-12	
Jones, Carol	Teacher, K-12	
Tunstall , Brittany	Teacher, K-12	
Vergara, Veronica	Teacher, K-12	
Maiani, Marlana	Teacher, K-12	
Vidi, Heather	Teacher, K-12	

#### **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Wednesday 7/28/2021, Ija Hawthorne

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

3

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

10

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

60

Total number of students enrolled at the school

1,139

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

14

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

**Demographic Data** 

#### **Early Warning Systems**

#### 2021-22

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator							Gra	de Le	vel					Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	361	377	371	0	0	0	0	1109
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	53	61	0	0	0	0	170
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	42	16	0	0	0	0	110
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	10	10	0	0	0	0	47
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	8	0	20	0	0	0	55
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	69	124	0	0	0	0	238
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	62	88	0	0	0	0	200
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	9	6	0	0	0	0	26

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator							Grad	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	112	97	105	0	0	0	0	314

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	9	16	0	0	0	0	47		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	3	0	0	0	0	14		

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 7/20/2021

#### 2020-21 - As Reported

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	371	397	362	0	0	0	0	1130	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	66	54	0	0	0	0	212	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	60	39	0	0	0	0	106	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	24	3	0	0	0	0	40	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	27	22	0	0	0	0	62	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	93	81	0	0	0	0	242	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	86	89	0	0	0	0	243	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						(	<b>3</b> rad	e Le	vel					Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	58	47	0	0	0	0	153

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	17	7	0	0	0	0	31		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		

#### 2020-21 - Updated

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	371	397	362	0	0	0	0	1130	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	66	54	0	0	0	0	212	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	60	39	0	0	0	0	106	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	24	3	0	0	0	0	40	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	27	22	0	0	0	0	62	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	93	81	0	0	0	0	242	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	86	89	0	0	0	0	243	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level									Total			
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	58	47	0	0	0	0	153

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

la dia stan	Grade Level										Total			
Indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year		0	0	0	0	0	7	17	7	0	0	0	0	31
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

### Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				51%	52%	54%	47%	50%	53%
ELA Learning Gains				52%	55%	54%	49%	50%	54%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				37%	47%	47%	37%	42%	47%
Math Achievement				57%	55%	58%	50%	54%	58%
Math Learning Gains				56%	52%	57%	52%	54%	57%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				45%	46%	51%	45%	48%	51%
Science Achievement				52%	51%	51%	47%	52%	52%
Social Studies Achievement				68%	68%	72%	65%	65%	72%

#### **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2021					
	2019	46%	51%	-5%	54%	-8%
Cohort Con	nparison					
07	2021					
	2019	46%	51%	-5%	52%	-6%
Cohort Con	nparison	-46%				
80	2021					
	2019	55%	55%	0%	56%	-1%
Cohort Con	nparison	-46%			•	

			MATH	I		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2021					
	2019	37%	44%	-7%	55%	-18%
Cohort Co	mparison					
07	2021					
	2019	54%	60%	-6%	54%	0%
Cohort Co	mparison	-37%				
08	2021					
	2019	44%	31%	13%	46%	-2%
Cohort Co	mparison	-54%				

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
08	2021					
	2019	50%	51%	-1%	48%	2%
Cohort Com	nparison					

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	65%	68%	-3%	71%	-6%

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		ALGEI	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	95%	55%	40%	61%	34%
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	100%	56%	44%	57%	43%

#### **Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments**

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

The progress monitoring tools used to compile the data were: iREADY for ELA, Cycle Assessments for Mathematics, Science, and Civics.

		Grade 6		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	14	14	18
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	12	10	18
	Students With Disabilities	2	8	3
	English Language Learners	0	2	5
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	37		40
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	34		27
	Students With Disabilities	17		8
	English Language Learners	21		12

		Grade 7		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	12	20	18
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	10	21	12
	Students With Disabilities	10	0	0
	English Language Learners	4	14	5
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	51		53
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	46		48
	Students With Disabilities	24		20
	English Language Learners	23		32
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	44	48	57
Civics	Economically Disadvantaged	39	43	52
	Students With Disabilities	6	25	26
	English Language Learners	16	31	29

		Grade 8		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	25	30	39
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	24	27	34
	Students With Disabilities	16	17	24
	English Language Learners	13	15	25
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	68		40
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	36		32
	Students With Disabilities	25		10
	English Language Learners	27		23
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	49		51
Science	Economically Disadvantaged	39		34
5 5 6	Students With Disabilities	30		18
	English Language Learners	18		16

### Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	11	28	23	16	26	26	10	19			
ELL	24	38	34	31	38	37	9	36	59		
ASN	78	71		85	66		63	81	88		
BLK	34	41	27	38	39	32	26	45	67		
HSP	38	41	30	39	36	31	32	44	62		
MUL	55	54		63	68		64	57			
WHT	55	52	37	58	50	37	55	53	77		
FRL	36	39	25	40	38	28	29	46	63		
		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	12	35	31	15	35	38	6	24			
ELL	26	43	38	38	45	41	24	60	79		

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
ASN	78	68		84	79	67	84	88	94		
BLK	26	37	31	28	45	55	15	44			
HSP	41	52	40	46	48	39	37	61	71		
MUL	64	64		59	59	27	60	77	92		
WHT	58	52	39	66	60	47	60	75	86		
FRL	37	46	35	43	49	43	38	58	77		
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	12	35	33	13	42	40	10	30			
ELL	14	35	38	21	37	48	6	31	25		
ASN	73	63	29	73	67	80	80	83	92		
BLK	18	35	40	19	32	30	15	36	60		
HSP	34	41	36	38	47	57	32	56	62		
						-00	<b>F</b> 2	77	100		
MUL	59	50		61	58	60	53	11	100		
	59 56	50 55	35	61 60	58 56	39	56	71	79		

#### **ESSA Data Review**

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	46
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	33
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	463
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	93%

### **Subgroup Data**

Students With Disabilities				
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	19			
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%				

English Language Learners				
English Language Learners  Fodoral Index - English Language Learners	34			
Federal Index - English Language Learners  English Language Learners Subgroup Balau 41% in the Current Year?				
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%				
Native American Students				
Federal Index - Native American Students				
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Asian Students				
Federal Index - Asian Students	76			
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Black/African American Students				
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	39			
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Hispanic Students				
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	39			
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Multiracial Students	<u>,                                      </u>			
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	60			
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Pacific Islander Students				
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students				
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%				
White Students				
Federal Index - White Students	52			
Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	52 NO			

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	38
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

The emerging trend across grades levels is a school wide need for a clear and concise alignment between what the standard is targeting and how the task is aligned to that standard. Based on our analysis our actions should move to align the target and the task. All school grade components observed an increase except our lowest 25th percentile of learners. Our subgroup of African American youths are making learning gains, but not achieving proficiency. While our students with disabilities have an opportunity for growth in all school grade components, we need to ensure that there is target and task alignment. These data points support the need for a clear and concise alignment to the level of rigor the standards are targeting and the level of rigor of the learning task assigned to scholars.

## What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The data components that demonstrate the greatest need for improvement is the lowest 25th percentile in both English Language Arts and Mathematics. ELA and mathematics L25%ile of learners remained unchanged from the 2018 FSA data captured. The L25%ile cohort performance on the 2020-21 school year progress monitoring assessments reflected the 2019 FSA performance.

## What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Teachers responding to instructional practices based on data disaggregation. Continuous improvement cycle was not implemented with fidelity when scholars were not making progress towards mastery or growth. New actions that can support improvement in the identified areas of improvement are as follows: identify L35%ile; schedule L35%ile as to provide best learning environment with most impactful teachers; data chat with teachers about the identified L35%ile scholars strengths and opportunities; focus on what the scholars can do; monitor and control instructional exit tickets, mini assessments, unit assessments, and cycle assessments. As an administrative team, we will use said information to drive PLCs and classroom "look fors" to provide coaching cycles for teachers based on their individual opportunities for growth.

## What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

The data component that showed the greatest improvement was middle school acceleration. Middle school acceleration increase by 8 percentage points from 78% in 2018 to 86% in 2019, This school grade component is an amalgamation of industry certifications, algebra 1, and geometry.

## What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Data chats in Algebra 1, Geometry, and with our CTAE teachers was the lever that contributed to this improvement. The data chats drilled down to critical standards that had the greatest impact on FSA and industry certification achievement. We utilized a tutor for Algebra 1 during the scholars' electives and after school to drill down to high impact standards.

#### What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

The strategy implemented for the 2021-22 school year to accelerate learning will be the use of conducting data chats to identify trend data that will be used to drive our professional learning communities(PLCs), support our L25 scholars and accelerate our 60th percentile or higher scholars. As an administrative team, we will collect walk-thru data to provide meaningful and actionable feedback to optimize the instructional practices that are having a positive impact on our scholars.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

The lever that will assist to accelerate learning is the follow through of moving learned skills from professional learning communities to practical application. "From PLCs to practice" is the shared vision of professional learning. For example, during PLCs we will focus on data to drive the following areas: doing the math, preparing learning opportunities in science, B.E.S.T. transition in ELA combined with rigor and writing, and civics is moving from facts to skills.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

The PLC structures will be normalized with common language and forms. The common language and forms will seek to reinforce the practice, making it the Phantom way of work. We will provide opportunities for our staff to participate in book studies based on various data points of need, i.e. Marzano data, walkthrough data, and data from scholar cycle assessment data.

### Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#### #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

**Area of**Our current level of performance is 48% proficiency and 48% overall gains, as evidenced in the Spring 2021 FSA Achievement. Our ELA Learning gains among our L25 scholars

Description and

the Spring 2021 FSA Achievement. Our ELA Learning gains among our L25 scholars showed no improvement, with 31% gains as opposed to 37% learning gains in 2019. The problem/gap is occurring because there is a lack of differentiation/scaffolding of instruction

based on scholar data to support complex tasks/rigor for our diverse learners.

Measurable Outcome:

Rationale:

We will increase our ELA gains by 5% from 31% to 36% among our L25 scholars and our

ELA overall gains by 5% from 48% to 52% as measured by the ELA FSA.

The desired outcome will be monitored by monthly scholar data analysis through the use of

Monitoring: classroom formative assessments and district assessments such as iReady and Write

Score.

Person responsible

for Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

monitoring outcome:

Strategy 1: Support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in

Evidencebased Strategy: manners which differentiate/scaffold instruction to meet the needs of each student.

Strategy 2: Administration and instructional staff developers will enhance staff capacity to

identify critical content from the Standards in alignment with district resources.

Rationale for Evidence-

Through the use of scholar data analysis by using formative assessments, Write Score, and iReady, teachers will be able to utilize that data to create groups that allow for differentiation of instruction for all. Teachers will work to enhance ability to identify critical content from the standards to support impactful differentiation based on the needs of the scholars and through culturally relevant teaching. These actions will increase scholar

based Strategy:

interest and engagement.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Conduct regular Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) inclusive of 'data chats' to review student responses to tasks and plan for instruction based on data.

Person Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

Use data to plan instruction that ensures differentiation, intervention and enrichment while scaffolding learning to increase student performance.

Person Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

Administrators monitor teacher practice and provide feedback to support teacher growth. Administrators conduct walkthroughs for evidence of reading informational texts in content classrooms. Administrator visits classroom(s) and provides feedback to teacher(s) and literacy coach/staff developers, and administrator and literacy coach/staff developer collaborate to determine next steps. Literacy coaches and staff developers are available in English/language arts and reading classes for the purpose of implementing culturally relevant lessons inspired by the LAFS/B.E.S.T. and differentiated for students based on data

Person Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

ELA teachers utilize a planning roadmap to choose strategies and resources for use as they plan, to ensure high engagement, rigor and progress monitoring.

Person

Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

Teachers use Culturally Responsive strategies for close reading such as communicating high expectations, multiple means of action and expression, and use of texts from student-generated topics of interest.

Person

Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

Schoolwide Avid training with a focus on student collaboration

Person

Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

#### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Our 2020-2021 level of performance is 52% proficiency, as evidenced in FSA

Achievement. We expect our performance level to be 56% proficiency by the 2022 Spring

FSA.

Area of Focus **Description** and Rationale:

Based on 2020-2021 walkthrough data students were not consistently engaged in tasks that help them develop automaticity in the content.

If instructional strategies and tasks are implemented around helping students practice skills, strategies, and processes than the lowest 25th percentile of learners component will increase from 31% to 45% as measured by the spring 2022 Florida Standardized

Assessments mathematics grades 6-8.

Measurable Outcome:

FSA Mathematics Achievement component will improve 4% from (52% to 56%) FSA Mathematics Learning Gains Component will improve by 10% from (46% to 56%) FSA Mathematics Lowest 25th Percentile Component will improve 12% from (33% to 45%)

Based on 20-21 walkthrough data helping students practice skills, strategies, and processes to develop automaticity will ensure student achievement in the 21-22 academic year. The first priority will be to ensure that the learning is released to scholars so they can build on their understanding of the content.

#### **Monitoring:**

Practicing skills and cognitively complex tasks will be monitored during weekly walkthroughs and classroom/cycle assessment data. Data from observations will be presented during PLCs, observational feedback, and SBLT meetings.

Person responsible

for

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

monitoring outcome:

1-Support math teachers' deepening their understanding of standards so teacher-led

Evidencebased

instruction is delivered efficiently.

Strategy:

2-Enhance math teachers' understanding and implementation of cognitively complex tasks that will support students practicing and building automaticity in skills.

Rationale for

Evidence-

Based on 20-21 walkthrough data, students were not consistently given the opportunity to own the instruction and practice skills. The overarching purpose of systematic

based Strategy: implementation of helping students practice skills is for scholars to be able to complete isolated elements of the standard.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Administration and the math department will participate in 2 peer observational rounds during the first semester. Observational rounds' objective will be for teachers to observe and provide feedback focusing on task complexity and individualized student comprehension. Observers will also look for utilization of real-word problems, wait time, target task alignment, and productive struggle.

Teachers and admin will utilize the Observation Round pdf tool found in iObservation.

Person Responsible

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Teachers will facilitate data tracking activities with students to understand and monitor their learning. Tracking sheets will include FSA, Cycle, and district provide software data. The data tracking sheets will be completed and monitored once a quarter.

Person
Responsible Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Conduct regular, biweekly, Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) inclusive of 'data chats' to review student work and formative assessments.

Person
Responsible Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Admin and the math department will participate in professional learning opportunities around standardsbased instruction and planning that align with the Marzano goals and scales.

Person
Responsible Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Admin, math department, ESE support facilitators will participate in professional learning opportunities centered around instructional strategies to support ELL & AA scholars. This training will include the proper utilization of math tools and manipulatives (rulers, number lines, counters, pattern blocks, base ten blocks, etc.).

Person
Responsible Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Teachers and the math administrator will attend Facilitated Planning to collaborate on lesson plans. The key focus for attendance will be to set taxonomy levels in plans and ensure tasks are rigorous, Resources will be shared with grade-level peers during school-based common planning.

Person
Responsible Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

#### #3. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to English Language Learners

**Area of** Our current level of performance is our ELL students' proficiency in ELA is %, as evidenced

**Focus** in 2020-2021 Florida Standards Assessment.

**Description** The problem/gap is occurring because teachers do not have adequate information to

and determine ELL student language levels to successfully differentiate instruction and to

**Rationale:** implement instructional supports.

Measurable The percent of ELL students proficient in ELA will increase from % to %, as measured by

Outcome: 2021 - 2022 Florida Standards Assessment.

Monitoring: The ELL department will meet biweekly to monitor the implementation of action steps and

progress towards the desired outcome.

Person responsible

for Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

monitoring outcome:

Strategy 1: Establish effective interventions for ELL students based on their proficiency

levels and time in the US.

Evidencebased

Strategy: Strategy: Strategy:

based on English language proficiency levels and length of time in U.S. schools to ensure

the academic success of each EL in their class.

Rationale

for Evidencebased Understanding ELL current language level and time in the US will allow teachers to plan appropriate lessons that support the need of ELL students. While collaborating with the ELL department including bilingual assistants, teachers will be able to utilize UDL and CRT

Strategy: instructional strategies and embed them in their lessons.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Administration will provide WIDA Can Do Descriptors and Model Performance Indicators (MPIs) reports to teachers during the preschool planning days and will go over how to read and utilize the information as well as how to access the database in preschool training.

Person Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Provide regular opportunities for ESOL and content teachers to collaborate and co-plan to bridge grade-level work and the integration of language development within content specific instruction during PLC's and through strategy walks to be held monthly.

Person Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Provide training and guidelines to all teachers with regard to ELL grading policies and practices.

Person

Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Work with the ESOL office to utilize resources and events to keep families engaged and create an inclusive environment for our ELL students and their families. For example, promoting back to school nights and informational forums.

Person Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Utilize Marzano Focus Model Go To Strategies for English Language Learners to document and provide ongoing feedback to teachers of ELL's in order to support the development of their practice in providing comprehensible and effective grade-level instruction to ELL's.

Person Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

#4. Instructional Practice specifical	y relating to Career	& Technical Education
---------------------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Our 2020-2021 level of performance is 25% proficiency, as evidenced in Certiport Data. We expect our performance level to be 40% proficiency by end of the 2021-2022

school year.

Measurable Outcome:

This is a measure via Certiport data and supported by district provided assessment

data.

**Monitoring:** This strategy will be monitored biweekly using the Acceleration Tracking spreadsheet.

Person

responsible for monitoring outcome:

Ija Hawthorne (hawthornei@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1-Enhance staff capacity to identify critical content from the Standards/

Benchmarks in alignment with district resources.

Evidence-based Strategy:

Strategy 1-Strengthen teacher implementation of rigorous instructional practices with

monitoring.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Enhance staff's understanding of their content area so they can effectively plan and deliver rigorous lessons by ensuring Learning Goals are stated completely and

repeatedly in conjunction with having a scale for students.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Administration and the CTE instructor will participate in quarterly facilitated planning with the CTAE support facilitator.

Person

Responsible

Ija Hawthorne (hawthornei@pcsb.org)

The teacher provides administration with biweekly tracking sheets that show students' performance on all assessments includes practice tests.

Person

Responsible

Ija Hawthorne (hawthornei@pcsb.org)

The teacher provides administration with biweekly tracking sheets that show students' performance on all assessments includes practice tests.

Person

Responsible

Ija Hawthorne (hawthornei@pcsb.org)

Administrators and teachers engage in a focused learning walk facilitated by a proven mentor teacher in the district.

Person

Responsible

Ija Hawthorne (hawthornei@pcsb.org)

#### #5. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports

Our 2020-2021 level of performance in school-wide behavior is 606 total referrals. The problem/gap in behavior performance is occurring because the behavior support structures were not consistently followed in

Area of Focus
Description
and Rationale:

the 2020-2021 school year. The school culture needs to consistently follow the Three A's and how to effectively use PBIS with fidelity. PBIS should be aligned to classroom expectations and consequences, but also include restorative practices.

Measurable Outcome:

Through the use of PBIS and the Three A's the occurrence of ODRs would be reduced

by 10% as evidenced by total number of referrals.

We will analyze and review our data for the effective implementation of our strategies by

Monitoring: the end of the first grade reporting period to include schoolwide celebrations for

students meeting the Three A's expectation and quarterly PBIS celebrations.

Person

responsible for monitoring

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

Evidence-

outcome:

Strategy 1: Expectations and rules are developed and effective procedures for dealing

with discipline are established (staff).

Strategy:

based

Strategy 2: Expectations are clearly defined, taught, and reinforced (students).

Strategy 3: Establish and maintain positive relationships with students

Rationale for

Evidencebased Student discipline needs to address the needs of individual students and needs to be

fair, consistent, and restorative.

Strategy:

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

During the first 10 days of school, students will engage in lessons on common area expectations from the behavior matrix. . Administration will monitor this practice through weekly walk throughs.

Person

Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

Quarterly PBIS celebrations are planned and coverage is arranged so that staff can participate and interact with students in a social setting.

Person

Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

Students will be surveyed to provide input of what rewards should be offered for school wide recognition program as well as to improve our PBIS school store.

Person

Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

#### #6. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of

Focus

Description and

SSA, cycle data and walk through data shows that classroom practices do not consistently include rigor and teachers do not consistently use data, monitor for learning and differentiation is not readily apparent.

Rationale:

Measurable

Outcome:

Our current level of performance is 44%, as evidenced in 2021 Spring SSA. We expect our performance level to increase by 8% to 52% by 2022 Assessment. In grades 6 and 7, 65 percent of scholars will achieve 60% of points possible as measured by district cycle and

common unit assessments.

This will be monitored through PLC's and classroom walkthroughs with effective and timely feedback provided to teachers.

**Monitoring:** 

FCIM mini assessments will be used in grade 8 to monitor target and task alignment. Unit Assessments will be used in all science courses to monitor student response to

District cycle assessments will be used to monitor progress toward SSA goal of 52%.

Person responsible

for

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

monitoring outcome:

Strategy 1: Enhance staff capacity to identify critical content from the

Evidence-

standards in alignment with district resources.

based Strategy: Strategy 2: Support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners which differentiates/scaffolds instruction to meet the

needs of each student.

Rationale

for EvidenceEnhance staff capacity to identify critical content from the standards in alignment with district resources and support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners which differentiates/scaffolds instruction to meet the needs of each student.

based Strategy:

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Strategy 1: Teachers utilize systemic documents (adopted curriculum, pacing guides, etc.) to effectively plan for units that incorporate rigorous performance tasks aligned to the Standards.

Person Responsible

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1 and Strategy 2: Conduct regular, monthly, Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) inclusive of 'data chats' to review student responses to tasks and formative assessments and plan for instructional lessons as evidenced by the Intentional Thinking Map.

Person Responsible

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1: Include AVID strategies daily to support student achievement at all levels and to improve student collaboration daily in classes.

Person Responsible

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1 and Strategy 2: Use data to plan instruction that ensures differentiation, intervention and enrichment while scaffolding learning to increase student performance.

Person
Responsible
Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1: Administrators monitor teacher practice and provide feedback to support teacher growth. Administrators regularly observe science lessons to monitor strategy implementation and provide feedback to teachers, literacy coach and science Instructional Staff Developer to support next steps.

Person
Responsible
Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Teachers will incorporate vertical planning in their planning.

Person
Responsible
Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

#### #7. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Social Studies

Area of
Focus
Description
and
Rationale:

The problem/gap is occurring because scores have only risen incrementally over the last four years. ISM visit data shows that classroom practices do not consistently include learning environments with rigor and teachers do not consistently monitor for learning and differentiation is not readily apparent. This is evidenced mainly by our Civics EOC data, which is 52%, but also our midterm/final exam data.

Measurable Outcome:

Monitoring:

The percent of 7th and 8th grade students achieving proficiency on the Civics EOC will increase from 52% to 59%, as measured by the spring administration of the Civics EOC.

Administration will meet with Civics Teachers bi weekly after implementing collaborative planning with reading teachers. Ongoing progress monitoring with unit assessments to determine which standards require remediation to reduce the number of scholars not

scoring proficient.

Person responsible

for Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy 1: Enhance staff capacity to identify critical content from the Standards in

alignment with district resources.

Strategy: Strategy 2: Support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners which differentiates/scaffolds instruction to meet the needs of each student

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:

Enhance staff capacity to identify critical content from the Standards in alignment with district resources and support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners which differentiates/scaffolds instruction to meet the needs of each

student.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Teachers utilize systemic documents (curriculum guides, suggested model lessons, DBQs, etc.) to effectively plan for units that incorporate rigorous performance tasks aligned to Standards

Person Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1:Ensure teachers receive professional development around planning and implementing teaching through inquiry, using Historical Thinking Skills. Teachers of our striving readers receive professional development around planning and implementing teaching with rotations..

Person Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Provide primary source documents at varying complexity levels throughout the year (Writing in Response to Text resources on SharePoint, Curriculum Guide links, DBQs)

Person Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1 and Strategy 2: Conduct regular, monthly, Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) inclusive of 'data chats' to review student responses to tasks and formative assessments to plan for instructional lessons that meet the remediation and enrichment needs of students.

Person Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1 and Strategy 2: Administrators monitor teacher practice and provide feedback to support teacher growth.

Person
Responsible Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Strategy 2: Regularly assess (formally and informally) and utilize data to modify and adjust instruction. Teachers utilize ongoing formative assessment and use the information gained to adjust instruction, enrich and reteach, and provide research-based interventions.

Person
Responsible Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1 and Strategy 2: Use data to plan instruction that ensures differentiation, intervention and enrichment while scaffolding learning to increase student performance.

Person
Responsible Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1: Include AVID strategies daily to support student achievement at all levels and increase student collaboration.

Person
Responsible Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Strategy 1 and Strategy 2: Teachers monitor and provide feedback to students to support learning.

Person
Responsible Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

#### #8. Other specifically relating to Gifted

Area of Focus **Description** and

Our current level of performance of our Gifted students scoring a level 4 or 5 on the ELA FSA was 76% and Math FSA was 84% in the 2018-19 school year. The problem exists because teachers are not engaging students in rigorous and effective questioning that will deepen students' knowledge in critical content.

Measurable Outcome:

Rationale:

Gifted FSA Achievement will increase by 5% in ELA and Math evidenced by the 2022 FSA.

Monitoring:

On going progress monitoring as evidenced by biweekly formative assessments as determined by bi monthly department meetings.

Person responsible

for Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

monitoring outcome:

Effective question techniques are critical in creating good classroom discussions and Evidenceensure student engagement. When students are given the opportunity to answer effective questions and engage in small group discussions teachers are given the opportunity to deepen students' understanding.

Rationale

Strategy:

based

Morgan Fitzgerald will improve student achievement by utilizing data to plan differentiated for Evidenceinstruction. Differentiating instruction will allow CGS instructors the opportunity to deliver a rigorous line of questions that will deepen students understanding of concepts. based

Strategy:

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

MFMS CGS instructors will participate in biweekly PLCs that will support instruction that is differentiated for gifted learners through adapting content, thinking skills, resources, and/or objectives.

Person Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

In partnership with professional development, MFMS CGS Teachers will participate in professional development to deliver cognitively complex tasks during instruction and "differentiation for gifted learners".

Person Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

Teachers will utilize the district instructional diagnostic assessment data to remediate or accelerate students based on their performance.

Person Responsible

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

#### #9. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Black/African-American

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: African American scholars represent 16% of our enrollment, but receive 26.5% of our referrals. Our African American scholars made up 40% of our out of school suspensions as this is disproportionate in comparison to our white scholars who made up 25% of our out of school suspensions. A disproportionate number of our African American scholars are removed from classes through suspensions. This is creating a pronounced loss of instructional time and negatively affecting the academic performance of African American scholars and the overall classroom climate and school culture.

Measurable Outcome:

If we were to reduce the number of referrals for our African American scholars and out of school suspension by 20%, we could improve attendance and assessment performance by 10%.

**Monitoring:** 

This will be monitored through the use of data reviews in our PBIS, SBLT, MTSS and ATeam meetings to track progress and identify areas for improvement monthly to reduce the amount of African American scholars receiving a disproportionate amount of ODR's resulting in suspensions and removal from classrooms, which impacts instruction.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

Evidencebased Strategy: Develop a school wide plan with a focus on implementing positive, proactive behavior support practices, clear, objective discipline procedures, and institute processes and procedures around Restorative Practices, Equity, and CRT.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: African American scholars are disproportionately removed from classes and receive consequences that interfere with learning. If we make restorative practice an expectation, we will limit this loss and increase student performance. We have site-based CR trainers and Four Equity Champions on staff and three of our four administrators are Equity Champions. Additionally, the administrative staff actively recruited teachers to participate in AVID CRT training with the expectation for implementation. Restorative practices and culturally relevant teaching are proven methods of reducing behavioral incidences. With a focus centered around implementing positive proactive behavior supports as well as objective discipline procedures we can reduce the amount of time our African American scholars are removed from the instructional setting and limit the loss of learning.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Ongoing and systemic equity training will become part of our professional development calendar with the expectation that at a minimum we have a school wide Equity activity once per quarter. School based Equity Champions will be involved in planning and implementing training and follow up. Three of our four administrators are Equity Champions, and we have 4 faculty members who have completed the training as well as several more who are in the process of becoming Equity Champions. Our Equity Champions will engage in formal and informal dialogue with fellow faculty and staff around issues of cultural awareness and equity. They will support our whole faculty and staff through promoting awareness and understanding of diversity.

Person Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Ongoing professional development for all faculty and staff around Restorative Practice. Our site-based trainer will arrange and monitor participation in this training in conjunction with the administration. Administration will follow up with weekly walkthroughs employing a checklist focused on RP and offer feedback and additional support where needed.

Person
Responsible Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Ongoing professional development for all faculty and staff around positive, proactive behavior support practices throughout our PLC's

Person
Responsible
Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

The PBIS team will create lesson plans quarterly in which there are lessons that include examples and non-examples to assist in creating consistency in school wide expectations, in addition the discipline matrix will be shared with staff but referred to and monitored throughout the school year.

Person
Responsible
Leah Donnelly (donnellyl@pcsb.org)

#### #10. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Community Involvement

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Intentionally build relationships with families and community partners starting immediately and continuing thru the 21/22 school year. MFMS plans to task our Family and Community Liaison with 65% responsibility of obtaining this goal. The other 35% will be a collective approach from all MFMS Staff members for the 2021-2022 school year.

Measurable Outcome: Currently, we have do not have business partners for the 2021-22 school year. By October of 2021, we will have two business partners. By January of 2022, we will have four business partners. By the end of the 2021-22 school year we will have procured five high-quality business partners. High quality is to mean a business that contributes more than \$1000.00 annually and is an active participant during Morgan Fitzgerald events.

The area of focus will be monitored during monthly meetings with the community liaison. The assistant principal and community liaison, termed the marketing team will review business leads and next steps required to meet the targets and the overall desired outcome of five high quality business partners.

Person responsible for

**Monitoring:** 

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

outcome: Evidencebased

monitoring

Fostering opportunities and collaboration with businesses to build positive relationships and opportunities for improved academics of students.

Strategy: Rationale

for Evidence-

Improve school culture by increasing the amount of high quality business partnerships

based Strategy:

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

The assistant principal and community liaison to be called the marketing team will meet with business in the school's neighborhood. In the meeting, we will discuss the potential positive community image of supporting the youth's and faculty of Morgan Fitzgerald. What are the benefits for the business if they sponsor our school will drive the conversation. The marketing team will expand our search for high quality business partners each month to meet our goal.

Person Responsible

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Implement business engagement monthly which is centered on Academic and Behavioral benefits to scholars when businesses sponsor schools.

Person Responsible

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Increase communication from School to business by recognizing positive impact of sponsorships in monthly marketing meetings.

Person Responsible

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

Conduct monthly Q & A sessions with businesses. These virtual / in-person sessions will be facilitated by School Principal and designated leadership team member.

Person

Responsible

Ija Hawthorne (hawthornei@pcsb.org)

#### #11. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of

Focus

Description

and

Our 20-21 data indicates 170 or 15% of students with less than 90% attendance. The distribution is relatively even among grade levels.

and

Rationale:

Measurable We will improve our attendance rate by 10% to ensure 95% of our students are present

Outcome:

more than 90% of the time.

The CST team, consisting of the social worker, school psychologist, administrative and

**Monitoring:** 

guidance teams will meet regularly to identify and monitor individual attendance by grade

level.

Person

responsible

for

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

monitoring outcome:

Teachers will notify grade level teams when students miss more than three classes.

Evidencebased Strategy: Guidance will be the first point of contact with parents, identifying barriers and potential solutions. Ongoing support will be offered through the grade level offices and student service team to make sure all students have access and resources to overcome

attendance barriers.

Rationale

Evidence-

for

Monitoring and frequent parent contact will create an inclusive environment and offer assistance to parents and students struggling with attendance.

based Strategy:

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

We will create a form for teachers to report students who miss more than three classes.

Person

Responsible

Christine Douglass (douglassc@pcsb.org)

Guidance and grade level offices will follow up with the parents to identify the barrier and offer solutions for students who are missing school.

Person

Responsible

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

CST Team will meet biweekly to create systemic plans to improve attendance as well as to work with grade level teams to find individual solutions.

Person

Responsible

Calvin Trombley (trombleyca@pcsb.org)

#### #12. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:** 

Measurable Outcome:

Monitoring:

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

**Action Steps to Implement** 

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### **Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities**

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

According to Safe Schools our 2019-2020 comparative number of violent incidents was high with an incident rate of 3.46 per 100 students. Our focus will be on preventing violent incidents from occurring and/or recurring. As part of our orienting activities, we will include a violence prevention seminar for all grade levels. The sixth grade students meet weekly with the violence prevention specialist as part of their physical education program.

At the individual student level, we will refer students to the violence prevention specialist who have a history of violent incidents at the start of the school year and will ask her to investigate and intervene when new incidents occur. Additionally, our MTSS team will focus on students with multiple behavioral infractions and create systemic supports for these students to include behavior groups, behavior plans, and a menu of interventions.

#### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

We will take a proactive approach to improve school safety and promote positive behavior. We use PBIS as a prevention, not punishment. We will include the need to speak from a stance of equity at all times incorporating PBIS, Equity, Restorative Practices, and CRT into our processes daily.

## Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Mrs. Donnelly, Assistant Principal- PBIS Coordinator

Ms. Chan, Teacher- PBIS Team Leader

Mrs. Douglass, Assistant Principal- Equity Champion

Ms. Hawthorne, Principal- Equity Champion

Mr. Trombley- Equity Champion (coming soon)

#### Part V: Budget

#### The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	\$1,000.00			
	Function Object		Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	0000 140-Substitute Teachers		1281 - Fitzgerald Middle School Improvement Funds			\$1,000.00
			Notes: Substitutes will be used once of and planning. Postage may be used to platforms.	•		
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	I Practice: Math			\$1,000.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	0000 140-Substitute Teachers		1281 - Fitzgerald Middle School	School Improvement Funds		\$1,000.00
			Notes: Substitutes will be used once of and planning.	cycle assessment data	returns to a	llow for data chats
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subg	\$1,000.00			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	0000	239-Other	1281 - Fitzgerald Middle School	School Improvement Funds		\$1,000.00
			Notes: Data chat night coupled with re released. Marketing items may need to		er cycle as:	sessment data is
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	\$800.00			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	0000	140-Substitute Teachers	1281 - Fitzgerald Middle School	School Improvement Funds		\$600.00
	-		Notes: To visit other schools with high	yielding certifications t	o glean stra	ategies to implement

	,					
<u> </u>	0000	239-Other	1281 - Fitzgerald Middle School	School Improvement Funds		\$200.00
			Notes: Marketing			
5	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & E Supports	nvironment: Positive Behavio	or Intervention an	d	\$600.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
		239-Other	1281 - Fitzgerald Middle School	School Improvement Funds		\$600.00
			Notes: Support the initiatives of PBIS	S.		
6	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruction	al Practice: Science			\$1,000.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	0000	140-Substitute Teachers	1281 - Fitzgerald Middle School			\$1,000.00
			Notes: Substitutes will be used once and planning. Postage may be used platforms.			
7	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruction	al Practice: Social Studies			\$700.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	0000	140-Substitute Teachers	1281 - Fitzgerald Middle School	School Improvement Funds		\$700.00
			Notes: Substitutes will be used once and planning. Postage may be used platforms.	-		
8	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Other: Gifte	\$0.00			
9	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subg	\$0.00			
10	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & E	\$0.00			
			\$0.00			
11	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & E	nvironment: Student Attenda	ance		\$0.00
11 12	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & E  Areas of Focus: Select be		ince		\$0.00