**Duval County Public Schools** 

# Annie R. Morgan Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

| School Demographics            | 3  |
|--------------------------------|----|
|                                |    |
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
| School Information             | 6  |
| Needs Assessment               | 8  |
| Planning for Improvement       | 15 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 21 |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 21 |

# Annie R. Morgan Elementary School

964 SAINT CLAIR ST, Jacksonville, FL 32254

http://www.duvalschools.org/arm

# **Demographics**

**Principal: Tiffany Green** 

Start Date for this Principal: 3/13/2024

| 2019-20 Status<br>(per MSID File)   | Active  |
|---|---|
| School Type and Grades Served<br>(per MSID File)  | Elementary School<br>KG-5   |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education  |
| 2020-21 Title I School  | Yes   |
| 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)   | 100%  |
| 2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students* |
| School Grades History   | 2018-19: D (35%)<br>2017-18: C (46%)<br>2016-17: C (42%)  |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf   | ormation*   |
| SI Region   | Northeast   |
| Regional Executive Director   | Cassandra Brusca  |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle   | N/A   |
| Year  |   |
| Support Tier  |   |
| ESSA Status   |   |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F   | or more information, click here.  |

# **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

# Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
|--------------------------------|----|
|                                |    |
| School Information             | 6  |
|                                |    |
| Needs Assessment               | 8  |
|                                |    |
| Planning for Improvement       | 15 |
|                                |    |
| Title I Requirements           | 0  |
|                                |    |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 21 |
| <u> </u>                       |    |

# **Annie R. Morgan Elementary School**

964 SAINT CLAIR ST, Jacksonville, FL 32254

http://www.duvalschools.org/arm

## **School Demographics**

| School Type and Gr<br>(per MSID I |          | 2020-21 Title I Schoo | l Disadvant | Economically<br>taged (FRL) Rate<br>ted on Survey 3) |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------|--|
| Elementary S<br>KG-5              | School   | Yes                   |             | 100%   |
| Primary Servio<br>(per MSID I     | • •      | Charter School        | (Reporte    | Minority Rate<br>ed as Non-white<br>Survey 2)        |
| K-12 General E                    | ducation | No                    |             | 89%  |
| School Grades Histo               | ory      |                       |             |  |
| Year                              | 2020-21  | 2019-20               | 2018-19     | 2017-18  |
| Grade                             |          | D                     | D           | С  |

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### Part I: School Information

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The faculty and staff of Annie R. Morgan Elementary School is to provide educational excellence in every classroom, for every student, every day.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of Annie R. Morgan Elementary School is to ensure that every student is inspired and prepared for success in college or a career, and life.

## School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name

**Position Title** 

**Job Duties and Responsibilities** 

# **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Pending, Tiffany Green

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Total number of students enrolled at the school

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

**Demographic Data** 

### **Early Warning Systems**

#### 2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator  |   |   |   |   | ( | Gra | ade | L | eve | əl |    |    |    | Total |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|---|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5   | 6   | 7 | 8   | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | vel |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                            | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | vel |   |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                           | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

# Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 7/6/2021

# 2020-21 - As Reported

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                                 | Grade Level |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |       |
|---|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator                                  | K           | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled               | 18          | 53 | 40 | 45 | 68 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 268   |
| Attendance below 90 percent               | 0           | 0  | 1  | 2  | 20 | 8  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 31    |
| One or more suspensions                   | 0           | 2  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 4  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 15    |
| Course failure in ELA                     | 0           | 5  | 4  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 10    |
| Course failure in Math                    | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment  | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 2  | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 14    |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 2  | 8  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 10    |

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |    | Gra | de l | Lev | el |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator                             | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5   | 6    | 7   | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAT |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 25 | 20  | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 55    |

## The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |       |  |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator                           | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |  |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 5     |  |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1     |  |

# 2020-21 - Updated

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                                 | Grade Level |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |       |
|---|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator                                  | K           | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled               | 18          | 53 | 40 | 45 | 68 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 268   |
| Attendance below 90 percent               | 0           | 0  | 1  | 2  | 20 | 8  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 31    |
| One or more suspensions                   | 0           | 2  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 4  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 15    |
| Course failure in ELA                     | 0           | 5  | 4  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 10    |
| Course failure in Math                    | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment  | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 2  | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 14    |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 2  | 8  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 10    |

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |    | Gra | de | Lev | el |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator                             | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 25 | 20  | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 55    |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           |   |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    | Total |       |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| Indicator                           |   | 1 | 2           | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12    | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 4           | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     | 5     |
| Students retained two or more times |   | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     | 1     |

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### **School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component      | 2021   |          |       |        | 2019     |       |        | 2018     |       |  |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component      | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |  |
| ELA Achievement             |        |          |       | 20%    | 50%      | 57%   | 21%    | 50%      | 56%   |  |
| ELA Learning Gains          |        |          |       | 36%    | 56%      | 58%   | 43%    | 51%      | 55%   |  |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  |        |          |       | 41%    | 50%      | 53%   | 64%    | 46%      | 48%   |  |
| Math Achievement            |        |          |       | 38%    | 62%      | 63%   | 37%    | 61%      | 62%   |  |
| Math Learning Gains         |        |          |       | 47%    | 63%      | 62%   | 67%    | 59%      | 59%   |  |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile |        |          |       | 50%    | 52%      | 51%   | 75%    | 48%      | 47%   |  |
| Science Achievement         |        |          |       | 13%    | 48%      | 53%   | 14%    | 55%      | 55%   |  |

# **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

|           |          |        | ELA      |                                   |          |                                |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| Grade     | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State    | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 03        | 2021     |        |          |                                   |          |                                |
|           | 2019     | 22%    | 51%      | -29%                              | 58%      | -36%                           |
| Cohort Co | mparison |        |          |                                   |          |                                |
| 04        | 2021     |        |          |                                   |          |                                |
|           | 2019     | 21%    | 52%      | -31%                              | 58%      | -37%                           |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -22%   |          |                                   |          |                                |
| 05        | 2021     |        |          |                                   |          |                                |
|           | 2019     | 15%    | 50%      | -35%                              | 56%      | -41%                           |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -21%   |          |                                   | <u>'</u> |                                |

|            |          |        | MATH     |                                   |       |                                |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade      | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 03         | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     | 44%    | 61%      | -17%                              | 62%   | -18%                           |
| Cohort Cor | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 04         | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     | 38%    | 64%      | -26%                              | 64%   | -26%                           |
| Cohort Cor | nparison | -44%   |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 05         | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     | 27%    | 57%      | -30%                              | 60%   | -33%                           |
| Cohort Cor | nparison | -38%   |          |                                   | •     |                                |

|            | SCIENCE |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade      | Year    | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05         | 2021    |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | 2019    | 12%    | 49%      | -37%                              | 53%   | -41%                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Com | parison |        |          |                                   | •     |                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# **Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments**

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

Data is forthcoming

|                          |  | Grade 1 |        |        |
|--------------------------|--|---------|--------|--------|
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
| English Language<br>Arts | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners |         |        |        |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
| Mathematics              | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners |         |        |        |
|                          |  | Grade 2 |        |        |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
| English Language<br>Arts | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners |         |        |        |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
| Mathematics              | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners |         |        |        |

|                          |  | Grade 3 |        |        |
|--------------------------|--|---------|--------|--------|
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
| English Language<br>Arts | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners |         |        |        |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
| Mathematics              | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners |         |        |        |
|                          |  | Grade 4 |        |        |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
| English Language<br>Arts | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners |         |        |        |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
| Mathematics              | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities                           |         |        |        |

|                          |  | Grade 5 |        |        |
|--------------------------|--|---------|--------|--------|
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
| English Language<br>Arts | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners |         |        |        |
| Mathematics              | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners |         |        |        |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
| Science                  | All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners |         |        |        |

# Subgroup Data Review

|           |             | 2021      | SCHOO             | DL GRAD      | E COMF     | PONENT             | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2019-20 |
| SWD       | 10          |           |                   | 22           |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| BLK       | 19          | 41        |                   | 25           | 45         |                    | 12          |            |              |                         |                           |
| FRL       | 18          | 38        |                   | 26           | 48         |                    | 13          |            |              |                         |                           |
|           |             | 2019      | SCHO              | OL GRAD      | E COMF     | ONENT              | S BY SU     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2017-18 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2017-18 |
| SWD       | 7           | 6         |                   | 17           | 20         |                    | 7           |            |              |                         |                           |
| BLK       | 18          | 36        | 44                | 37           | 45         | 47                 | 8           |            |              |                         |                           |
| WHT       | 27          |           |                   | 45           |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| FRL       | 19          | 35        | 43                | 38           | 45         | 48                 | 10          |            |              |                         |                           |

|           | 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |  |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2016-17 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2016-17 |  |
| SWD       | 19  | 38        |                   | 26           | 90         | 92                 |             |            |              |                         |                           |  |
| BLK       | 20  | 40        | 57                | 37           | 68         | 78                 | 16          |            |              |                         |                           |  |
| WHT       | 8   |           |                   | 33           |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |  |
| FRL       | 21  | 44        | 63                | 37           | 65         | 72                 | 15          | ·          |              |                         |                           |  |

**ESSA Federal Index** 

# **ESSA Data Review**

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)  |     |
|---|-----|
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students  | 30  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students                                    | YES |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target                                    | 3   |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency |     |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index                                       | 149 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index  | 5   |
| Percent Tested  | 95% |
| Subgroup Data   |     |
| Students With Disabilities  |     |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities                                      | 16  |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%       |     |
| English Language Learners   |     |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners                                       |     |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?               | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%        |     |
| Native American Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Native American Students  |     |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%         |     |
| Asian Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Asian Students  |     |

| Asian Students   |          |
|--|----------|
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                             | N/A      |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%                      |          |
| Black/African American Students  |          |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students                                    | 28       |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?            | YES      |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%     |          |
| Hispanic Students  | <u> </u> |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students  |          |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                          | N/A      |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%                   |          |
| Multiracial Students   |          |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students   |          |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                       | N/A      |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%                |          |
| Pacific Islander Students  |          |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students  |          |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                  | N/A      |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%           |          |
| White Students   |          |
| Federal Index - White Students   |          |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                             | N/A      |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%                      |          |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students  |          |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students                                | 29       |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | YES      |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% |          |

# **Analysis**

# **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Analysis forthcoming

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Analysis forthcoming

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Analysis forthcoming

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Analysis forthcoming

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Analysis forthcoming

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Analysis forthcoming

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Analysis forthcoming

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Analysis forthcoming

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

## #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of

Focus
Description
and

Grade-level, standards-based instruction, with evidence of differentiation. Approximately 30% of our 5th graders scored on grade level on the 2018/2019 FSA.

Rationale:

100% of our core content teachers will engage in effective standards-based procedures.

These instructional practice shifts will positively impact student proficiency and learning gains, as teachers, coaches and administration are working collaboratively during PLC, to ensure that planning and curriculum implementation revolve around standards-based,

grade level instruction.

**Monitoring:** 

Measurable

Outcome:

The AOF will be monitored during classroom walkthroughs using the SWT and Dashboard.

Person responsible

for [no one identified]

monitoring outcome:

monitoring

Evidencebased Strategy: Content teams consistently plan standards-based instruction with aligned tasks and assessments, FCIM becoming a way of work, implementing learned best practices through

Collaborative Coaching Cycle (CCC).

Rationale

As expressed in the Opportunity Myth, our students need more opportunities with on grade level work, aligned to standards. Teachers need more support with FCIM; thus, the

for Evidencebased

Strategy:

Collaborative Coaching Cycle (CCC), will provide this intentional support. Coaching is a deliberate, intentional conversation between colleagues with the ultimate goal of strengthening instructional planning and practice. The Reading Coach is instrumental in

accomplishing this goal.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

SBLT will train teachers on how to use FSA data and scale to determine now many points are needed for each of their students to make a gain.

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

Reading Coach will help teachers drill down within the FSA clusters, to determine where students have the greatest deficiency.

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

Reading Coach will facilitate PD on how to plan lessons whereby goals/objectives/ activities/assessments all align to the grade level standard.

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

## #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus

Description

Grade level, standards-based instruction, with evidence of differentiation.

and

Rationale:

Measurable

Outcome:

100% of our core content teachers will engage in effective standards-based procedures.

These instructional practice shifts will positively impact student proficiency and learning gains, as teachers, coaches and administration are working collaboratively during PLC, to ensure that planning and curriculum implementation revolve around standards-based,

grade level instruction.

Monitoring: The AOF will be monitored during classroom walkthroughs using the SWT and Dashboard.

Person responsible

**for** [no one identified]

monitoring outcome:

**Evidence-** Content teams consistently plan standards-based instruction with aligned tasks and assessments, FCIM becoming a way of work, implementing learned best practices through

**Strategy:** Collaborative Coaching Cycle (CCC).

As expressed in the Opportunity Myth, our students need more opportunities with on grade

For Evidence-based Strategy:

Evidence-based Strategy:

For Evidence Strategy:

For Eviden

accomplishing this goal.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

SBLT will train teachers on how to use FSA data and scale to determine now many points are needed for each of their students to make a gain.

Person [no one identified]

Math Coach will help teachers drill down within the FSA clusters, to determine where students have the greatest deficiency.

Person
Responsible [no one identified]

Math Coach will facilitate PD on how to plan lessons whereby goals/objectives/ activities/assessments all align to the grade level standard.

Person
Responsible [no one

[no one identified]

#### #3. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

**Area of Focus** 

Description

and

Providing additional academic support for our ESE students.

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

60% of our primary students will move from one grade level to the next, closer to being

on grade level.

**Monitoring:** 

iReady and Achieve data will be a measurement of progress monitoring.

Person responsible

for

[no one identified]

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

Supplementary Materials- Listening Stations: This line item will be used to support students who struggle with reading and comprehending on-grade level text. The school intends to utilize this resource to support quality center activities, across multiple grade

levels.

Rationale for

Evidencebased Strategy:

Research supports that Reading fluency and comprehension are strictly inter-related, and

also correlated with important aspects of academic life, such as school outcomes.

# **Action Steps to Implement**

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#4. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Parent Involvement

Area of

and

**Focus** The parent liaison will provide training and support through workshops and parent events

Description

that support parents in understanding academic expectations at Annie. R. Morgan

Elementary.

Rationale:

**Measurable** Parent Involvement will increase by 25% through parent engagement activities that are

**Outcome:** coordinated by the parent liaison.

Attendance Sheets
Parent Event Agenda's

**Monitoring:** Parent Survey's from programming

Parent engagement survey's

5 Essentials Data

Person

responsible

for Tiffany Green (fullwoodt@duvalschools.org)

monitoring outcome:

Evidence-

based

The parent liaison will host parent workshops that discuss reading, math, and science. In addition, the parent Liasion will invite guest speakers such as the schools' instructional coach to discuss research-based strategies that parents can use at home to support

Strategy: home learning.

Rationale for

Evidence-

By equipping parents with the information they need, they will be more equipped to assist

based students at home.

Strategy:

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### **#5. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance**

**Area of** To provide students with more field experiences. (Educational Place Admissions

Focus (5100-330): (MOSH, College visits, Cummer Museum, Theatre Works, Jacksonville Zoo,

**Description** Alligator Farm, St. Augustine, Kennedy Space Center, etc): This line item will be used to provide a learning experience for students that cannot be duplicated in the classroom

Rationale: setting.

Measurable

Outcome: At least 30% of our 5th graders will be proficient on the state exam.

Ensure learning experience directly aligns to the Reading & Math Florida Standards and the

Monitoring: Next Generation Sunshine State Standards for Science. After the learning experience, the

students will fulfill an assignment that aligns directly with standard-based instruction.

Person responsible

for [no one identified]

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy: When students are given an opportunity to engage in learning experiences outside of the school, they look forward to coming to school, as they look forward to fun learning and being able to make connections from field experiences to the content taught in class, which allows students to have a more positive outlook on school.

Rationale

for

Evidence-

Face to Face and Virtual field experiences will be an option.

based Strategy:

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### **Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities**

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

- 1. Students will be welcome on to campus as they arrive each morning, as they are checked in via CDC/district guidelines.
- 2. Principal will make every attempt to speak positive words to students at the beginning of each day.
- 3. Students will engage in daily sessions of Calm Classroom, as well as some integration of Sanford

Harmony Lessons.

- 4. Ensure that all classroom doors are locked and students may not open unless given permission from adult.
- 5. Everyone is aware of safety and emergency procedures.
- 6. All classroom teachers will be expected to make contact with parents/guardians for first, positive interaction, and communicate at least quarterly about academic and behavior progress.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Analysis forthcoming

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Analysis forthcoming

# Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A.  | II.A. Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA  |  |                | \$84,498.91   |             |
|---|---|--|--|----------------|---|-------------|
|   | Function  | Object   | Budget Focus                                     | Funding Source | FTE   | 2021-22     |
|   | 5100  | 120-Classroom Teachers   | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School      | UniSIG         | 1.0   | \$42,130.69 |
|   |   | Notes: Supplemental Kindergarten Teacher - This is not a new position. Telementary classroom teaching position that is being requested to supplet standard allocation allotted to the school. The qualifications for the position teachers requires a bachelor's degree from an accredited college or unive None required. Salary is based on verified years of teaching experience. Concerns the continuent of the subject area. This position is not a new position, last year, the school had and would like the position to continue in 2021-2022. This position will be through UniSIG. |  |                | ement the district's ion, as with all versity. Experience: Certifications & ion in appropriate d this position filled |             |
|   | 5100  | 210-Retirement   | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School      | UniSIG         |   | \$4,558.24  |
|   |   |  | Notes: Kindergarten Teacher Retirement at 10.82% |                |   |             |
|   | 5100  | 220-Social Security  | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School      | UniSIG         |   | \$3,223.00  |
|   | Notes: Kindergarten Teacher Social Security/Medicare at 7.65% |  |  |                |   |             |
|   | 5100  | 231-Health and<br>Hospitalization  | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School      | UniSIG         |   | \$6,753.55  |

|      |  | Notes: Kindergarten Teacher Group I   | Health @ 16.03%  |   |  |
|------|--|---|--|---|--|
| 5100 | 240-Workers Compensation                       | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   |   | \$695.16   |
|      |  | Notes: Kindergarten Teacher Worker  | s Comp at 1.65%  |   |  |
| 5100 | 290-Other Employee Benefits                    | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   |   | \$219.08   |
|      |  | Notes: Kindergarten Teacher Flex Be   | enefits at 0.52%   |   |  |
| 6400 | 130-Other Certified<br>Instructional Personnel | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | 0.25  | \$12,190.53  |
|      |  | Notes: Reading Coach at .25% - This position to the UniSIG application. Duto cover 25% of the Reading Coach's Title I. The Reading Coach will work of Minimum Qualifications: Education: Euriversity. Master's degree preferred background in planning, organizing a activities. Salary is based on verified Elementary: K-6 certification and Reastatus by having completed a minimus School: English Language Arts 5-9 (No certification/endorsement or working two (2) reading competencies. | ue to a decrease in Title as Salary and Benefits. The with students and teacher achieves achieves a teacher and conducting in-service years of teaching experiating certification/endors of two (2) reading cords. | I funds, the same other 75% ers on standan accredited years teaching training/projence. Certificament, or wanpetencies. | school is requesting will be funded by ard mastery. I college or a experience with fessional growth cations & Licenses: orking toward that Middle School/High at Reading |
| 6400 | 210-Retirement                                 | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   |   | \$1,319.02   |
|      | •  | Notes: Reading Coach Retirement at 10.82%   |  |   |  |
| 6400 | 220-Social Security                            | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   |   | \$932.58   |
|      |  | Notes: Reading Coach Social Securit   | ty/Medicare at 7.65%   |   |  |
| 6400 | 231-Health and<br>Hospitalization              | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   |   | \$1,954.14   |
|      |  | Notes: Reading Coach Group Health   | @16.03%  |   |  |
| 6400 | 240-Workers Compensation                       | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   |   | \$201.14   |
|      |  | Notes: Reading Coach Workers Com  | p at 1.65%   |   |  |
| 6400 | 290-Other Employee Benefits                    | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   |   | \$63.39  |
|      |  | Notes: Reading Coach Flex Benefits  | ex Benefits at 0.52%   |   |  |
| 5100 | 232-Life Insurance                             | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   |   | \$8.43   |
|      |  | Notes: Kindergarten Teacher Group I   | Life @ 0.02%   |   |  |
| 6400 | 232-Life Insurance                             | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   |   | \$2.44   |
|      |  | Notes: Reading Coach Group Life @   | 0.02%  |   |  |
| 5100 | 622-Audio Visual Materials<br>Non-Capitalized  | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   |   | \$837.08   |
|      |  | Notes: LISTENING CENTER -VAL-U<br>HEADPHONES 4 qty @ \$209.27 eac<br>students with another avenue to expe   | ch: This line items will be  | used in gra   | des K-5 to provide   |

|   | ,                  |   | Notes: Math Coach at 0.16% - The so levels in the school. Specifically, the level. The Math Coach will be working interventions needed to increase star Education: Bachelor's degree from an preferred; Experience: ELEMENTAR's school or Grade 6 in Middle School or professional development participation Attendance at Conferences, Teacher Graduate Level coursework in educated etc.). Experience with the delivery, for conducting professional development complexity of defined course description assessments (quizzes, exit slips, unit ElementaryMathematics K-5 and/or Mathematics 6-12 Professional certific certification. The larger portion (99.84 the School's Title I funds. Due to the common the school of the school | Lowest Performing Quago with students and supported to accredited college or accredited participation, and assession standards preferred assessments, etc.) prediction; High School—Are accion; High School—Are accredited to the funding for the decrease in Title I funds | rtile student porting teach porting teach porting teach inviversity. In special post of the post of th | ts in each grade chers with specific fications are: Master degree eaching elementary three (3) years ESOL/ELL, ESE, all Resource Committees, anning and based on the tee in writing iffications & Licenses: Ille—Math 5-9 or 2 Professional er is being paid out of all is asking for the |
|---|--------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
|   | 6400               | 130-Other Certified<br>Instructional Personnel                                | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School  | UniSIG  | 0.16   | \$7,489.90  |
|   | III.A.<br>Function | Areas of Focus: Instruction Object  | Budget Focus   | Funding Source  | FTE  | 2021-22   |
| 2 | ш А                | Avec of Forms Instruction   | Notes: Supplies paper  |   |  | \$17,285.34   |
|   | 5100               | 510-Supplies  | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School  | UniSIG  |  | \$35.93   |
|   |                    |   | Notes: Classroom Supplies Composition books, pencils, dry erase markers and boards   |   |  |   |
|   | 5100               | 510-Supplies  | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School  | UniSIG  | au m   | \$1,915.49  |
|   | 1                  | Tricardo ana Equipment  | Notes: All In Learning Software AIR II   | <br>R Lona Life Batterv 26 i  | l<br>pad kit   |   |
|   | 5100               | 649-Technology-Related<br>Noncapitalized Furniture,<br>Fixtures and Equipment | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School  | UniSIG  |  | \$375.00  |
|   |                    |   | Notes: All In Learning Software 15 Te misconceptions during instruction. Th  |   |  |   |
|   | 5100               | 369-Technology-Related<br>Rentals   | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School  | UniSIG  |  | \$3,050.00  |
|   |                    |   | Notes: Supplemental materials: LAFS<br>@\$11.05 each Grade 1 Student Book<br>@\$11.05 each shipping 10%  |   |  |   |
|   | 5100               | 510-Supplies  | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School  | UniSIG  |  | \$1,324.90  |
|   |                    |   | Notes: Supplemental materials: Meas<br>@\$12.95 each (Grade 3) ELA 53 qty<br>@\$12.95 each (Grade 5)   |   |  |   |
|   | 5100               | 510-Supplies  | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School  | UniSIG  |  | \$1,809.12  |
|   |                    |   | Notes: Substitute Teachers - This line teacher has coverage for any days les instruction when absent.  |   |  |   |
|   | 5100               | 310-Professional and<br>Technical Services                                    | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School  | UniSIG  |  | \$900.00  |

| 6400     | 210-Retirement                    | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$810.41  |
|----------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
|          |                                   | Notes: Math Coach Retirement at 10.   | 82%  |   |
| 6400     | 220-Social Security               | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$572.98  |
|          |                                   | Notes: Math Coach Social Security/ M  | Medicare at 7.65%  | •   |
| 6400     | 231-Health and<br>Hospitalization | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$1,200.63  |
|          |                                   | Notes: Math Coach Group Health @ 1  | 16.03%   | •   |
| 6400     | 232-Life Insurance                | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$1.50  |
|          |                                   | Notes: Math Coach Group Life @ 0.02   | 2%   |   |
| 6400     | 240-Workers Compensation          | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$123.58  |
| <u> </u> |                                   | Notes: Math Coach Workers Comp @  | ) 1.65%  | ·   |
| 6400     | 290-Other Employee Benefits       | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$38.95   |
| •        | •                                 | Notes: Math Coach Flex Benefits @ 0   | 0.52%  |   |
| 5100     | 510-Supplies                      | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$742.20  |
| ·        |                                   | Notes: Supplemental Materials Measu<br>(5pk) 9 qty @\$24.99 each (Grade 3) L<br>(5pk) 7 qty @ \$24.99 each (Grade 5)  |  |   |
| 5100     | 510-Supplies                      | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$1,324.90  |
|          |                                   | Notes: Supplemental Materials MAFS each Grade 1 44 qty @\$11.05 each G  |  |   |
| 5100     | 510-Supplies                      | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$1,809.12  |
| •        |                                   | Notes: Supplemental Materials Measu<br>@\$12.95 each (Grade 3) Level D 53 of<br>each (Grade 5)  |  |   |
| 5900     | 120-Classroom Teachers            | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$2,640.00  |
|          |                                   | Notes: Tutoring 110 hours @ \$24 per<br>3-5 tutors to assist with the achievement<br>lowest performing quartile in grade lev<br>sign up on a first come, first serve bas<br>10th (before school, after school, or S | ent gaps. Tutoring will be over<br>1905 vel 3-5. Certified teachers<br>1905 sis. The tentative dates are | offered to students in the will have the opportunity to |
| 5900     | 210-Retirement                    | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$285.65  |
|          |                                   | Notes: Tutoring benefits Retirement 1   | 0.82%  |   |
| 5900     | 220-Social Security               | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$201.96  |
|          |                                   | Notes: Tutoring benefits Retirement S   | Social Security 7.65%  |   |
| 5900     | 240-Workers Compensation          | 0211 - Annie R. Morgan<br>Elementary School   | UniSIG   | \$43.56   |
|          |                                   |   | •  |   |

# Duval - 0211 - Annie R. Morgan Elementary School - 2021-22 SIP

|   | Notes: Tutoring benefits Retirement Workers Compensation 1.65%     |   |              |  |  |
|---|--|---|--------------|--|--|
| 3 | III.A.   | Areas of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: Students with Disabilities | \$0.00       |  |  |
| 4 | 4 III.A. Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Parent Involvement |   |              |  |  |
| 5 | 5 III.A. Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Student Attendance |   | \$0.00       |  |  |
|   | •  | Total:  | \$107,848.75 |  |  |