Polk County Public Schools

Fort Meade Middle/Senior High School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	24
Positive Culture & Environment	29
rositive Guiture & Environment	
Budget to Support Goals	30

Fort Meade Middle/Senior High School

700 EDGEWOOD DR N, Fort Meade, FL 33841

http://schools.polk-fl.net/fmmshs

Demographics

Principal: Matthew Blankenship

Start Date for this Principal: 7/24/2021

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	Yes
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: C (50%) 2017-18: B (56%) 2016-17: C (44%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo	or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Polk County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	24
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	30

Fort Meade Middle/Senior High School

700 EDGEWOOD DR N, Fort Meade, FL 33841

http://schools.polk-fl.net/fmmshs

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID I		2020-21 Title I School	Disadvant	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
High Scho 6-12	ool	Yes		100%
Primary Servio (per MSID I		Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		67%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		С	С	В

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Polk County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The Mission of Fort Meade Middle Senior High is to promote academic and social success for all students.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of Fort Meade Middle Senior High is to prepare students for college and career success.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

	Position	
Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Hardee, Amy	Principal	All members of the leadership team share in the decision making process as it pertains to the safety of the students and staff of the school, evaluating the effectiveness of the instructional program, and identifying the academic and social/emotional needs of the students. In addition, a distributed leadership approach is used whereby all administrators are assigned an academic area of focus to monitor, support, and coach. This administrative team meets weekly to share feedback related to instructional observations and student progress. The leadership team are also active members of instructional PLC's. Under the distributive leadership approach, Ms. Hardee, principal, oversees and monitors all aspects of the school's operations by assigning specific areas to the leadership team members. She assumes the direct responsibility for community/school relations, payroll/finances, employee relations for all administrators and staff, athletics, school safety, and the implementation of all district and school initiatives.
Browning, Michelle	Assistant Principal	All members of the leadership team share in the decision making process as it pertains to the safety of the students and staff of the school, evaluating the effectiveness of the instructional program, and identifying the academic and social/emotional needs of the students. In addition, a distributed leadership approach is used whereby all administrators are assigned an academic area of focus to monitor, support, and coach. This administrative team meets weekly to share feedback related to instructional observations and student progress. The leadership team are also active members of instructional PLC's. Mrs. Browning, assistant principal of curriculum, oversees the master schedule and student scheduling, student grades and academics, textbooks, assessment schedule, and school advisory council meetings.
Dent, Jason	Assistant Principal	All members of the leadership team share in the decision making process as it pertains to the safety of the students and staff of the school, evaluating the effectiveness of the instructional program, and identifying the academic and social/emotional needs of the students. In addition, a distributed leadership approach is used whereby all administrators are assigned an academic area of focus to monitor, support, and coach. This administrative team meets weekly to share feedback related to instructional observations and student progress. The leadership team are also active members of instructional PLC's. Mr. Dent, Assistant Principal of Administration, maintains the operations of the school building, oversees student discipline and supervision, oversees transportation services, and creates and disseminates safety protocols.

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Lambert, Seth	Assistant Principal	All members of the leadership team share in the decision making process as it pertains to the safety of the students and staff of the school, evaluating the effectiveness of the instructional program, and identifying the academic and social/emotional needs of the students. In addition, a distributed leadership approach is used whereby all administrators are assigned an academic area of focus to monitor, support, and coach. This administrative team meets weekly to share feedback related to instructional observations and student progress. The leadership team are also active members of instructional PLC's. Mr. Lambert, assistant principal, directly oversees the career academy programs, title one budget and tasks, student attendance, and school volunteers.
Cornelius, Jemalle	Dean	All members of the leadership team share in the decision making process as it pertains to the safety of the students and staff of the school, evaluating the effectiveness of the instructional program, and identifying the academic and social/emotional needs of the students. In addition, a distributed leadership approach is used whereby all administrators are assigned an academic area of focus to monitor, support, and coach. This administrative team meets weekly to share feedback related to instructional observations and student progress. The leadership team are also active members of instructional PLC's. Mr. Cornelius, dean of students, handles all student discipline and creates student supervision schedules.
Myers, Cynthia	Instructional Coach	All members of the leadership team share in the decision making process as it pertains to the safety of the students and staff of the school, evaluating the effectiveness of the instructional program, and identifying the academic and social/emotional needs of the students. In addition, a distributed leadership approach is used whereby all administrators are assigned an academic area of focus to monitor, support, and coach. This administrative team meets weekly to share feedback related to instructional observations and student progress. The leadership team are also active members of instructional PLC's. Mrs. Myers, math coach, facilitates PLCs, staff development, and collaborative planning. She is also responsible for mentoring teachers within the PEC program.

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Cannon, Susan	Instructional Coach	All members of the leadership team share in the decision making process as it pertains to the safety of the students and staff of the school, evaluating the effectiveness of the instructional program, and identifying the academic and social/emotional needs of the students. In addition, a distributed leadership approach is used whereby all administrators are assigned an academic area of focus to monitor, support, and coach. This administrative team meets weekly to share feedback related to instructional observations and student progress. The leadership team are also active members of instructional PLC's. Mrs. Cannon, reading coach, facilitates PLCs, staff development, and collaborative planning. She is also responsible for mentoring teachers within the PEC program.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Saturday 7/24/2021, Matthew Blankenship

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

1

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

13

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

54

Total number of students enrolled at the school

780

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

12

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Grade Level													Total	
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	124	120	115	117	125	72	98	771
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	35	18	27	20	15	16	155
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	40	29	16	29	8	3	159
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	36	17	3	20	15	1	104
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15	12	17	24	17	6	106
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	34	24	34	38	24	19	207
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	29	27	23	24	10	10	153
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator							Grad	de Le	evel					Total
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	47	39	34	50	26	13	250

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicate.		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	3	1	1	1	13	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	6	14	12	9	49	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 6/28/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	128	119	132	130	104	94	84	791
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	11	14	8	12	11	17	87
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	13	11	18	5	6	6	78
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	5	3	2	4	0	27
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	3	1	5	2	0	24
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	32	34	32	24	11	3	187
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	35	18	10	12	28	6	141

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

la dia stan		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	44	44	44	26	23	16	244	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	8	2	2	1	2	26
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	13	14	8	8	48

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	128	119	132	130	104	94	84	791
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	11	14	8	12	11	17	87
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	13	11	18	5	6	6	78
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	5	3	2	4	0	27
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	3	1	5	2	0	24
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	32	34	32	24	11	3	187
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	35	18	10	12	28	6	141

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	0	0	47	44	44	44	26	23	16	244

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
Indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	8	2	2	1	2	26
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	13	14	8	8	48

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement				42%	47%	56%	43%	46%	56%	
ELA Learning Gains				46%	46%	51%	48%	47%	53%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				39%	37%	42%	37%	39%	44%	
Math Achievement				46%	43%	51%	49%	44%	51%	
Math Learning Gains				45%	45%	48%	62%	42%	48%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				49%	44%	45%	61%	38%	45%	
Science Achievement				37%	58%	68%	51%	65%	67%	
Social Studies Achievement				59%	61%	73%	69%	63%	71%	

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2021					
	2019	38%	48%	-10%	54%	-16%
Cohort Co	mparison					
07	2021					
	2019	38%	42%	-4%	52%	-14%
Cohort Co	mparison	-38%			•	
80	2021					
	2019	41%	48%	-7%	56%	-15%
Cohort Co	mparison	-38%			'	
09	2021					
	2019	42%	45%	-3%	55%	-13%
Cohort Co	mparison	-41%				
10	2021					
	2019	41%	42%	-1%	53%	-12%
Cohort Co	mparison	-42%	'			

	MATH										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					
06	2021										
	2019	40%	47%	-7%	55%	-15%					
Cohort Con	nparison										
07	2021										
	2019	59%	39%	20%	54%	5%					
Cohort Con	nparison	-40%									
08	2021										
	2019	41%	35%	6%	46%	-5%					
Cohort Con	nparison	-59%									

	SCIENCE									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				
08	2021									
	2019	29%	41%	-12%	48%	-19%				
Cohort Com	nparison									

		BIOLO	GY EOC						
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2021									
2019	51%	54%	-3%	67%	-16%				
		CIVIC	S EOC						
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2021									
2019	62%	70%	-8%	71%	-9%				
HISTORY EOC									
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2021									
2019	52%	57%	-5%	70%	-18%				
		ALGEE	RA EOC	•					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2021									
2019	39%	50%	-11%	61%	-22%				
		GEOME	TRY EOC						
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2021									
2019	59%	53%	6%	57%	2%				

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

District quarterly assessments science and social studies Star Reading - Grades 6-12 intensive reading Star Math - Grades 6-8 math middle school math Star Enterprise for Geometry and Algebra

		Grade 6		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	40 36	37 32	31 25
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	19	17	4 21
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	48	46	27
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	51	41	24
	Students With Disabilities	15	23	8
	English Language Learners	39	34	20
		Grade 7		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	31	35	37
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	29	28	29
	Students With Disabilities		5	5
	English Language Learners	21	19	19
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	43	53	48
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	39	43	38
	Students With Disabilities	13	21	15
	English Language Learners	39	41	40
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	60	39	56
Civics	Economically Disadvantaged	44	32	47
	Students With Disabilities	33	11	29
	English Language Learners	57	44	53

		Grade 8		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	38	41	41
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	32	34	33
	Students With Disabilities			10
	English Language Learners	14	12	14
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	34	58	56
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	26	49	47
	Students With Disabilities	11	22	10
	English Language Learners	33	50	56
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	48	25	27
Science [Economically Disadvantaged	48	20	24
	Students With Disabilities	10	5	4
	English Language Learners	49	28	27

		Grade 9		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	28	30	36
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	25	29	32
	Students With Disabilities	19	25	29
	English Language Learners	10	10	13
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	100	35	35
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	100	31	33
	Students With Disabilities		13	20
	English Language Learners		35	43
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	59	33	34
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	56	34	32
	Students With Disabilities	46		13
	English Language Learners	54	33	36
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	42	37	48
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	38	29	39
	Students With Disabilities	22	22	20
	English Language Learners	46	42	50

		Grade 10		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	16	23	28
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	15	27	27
	Students With Disabilities	6	7	20
	English Language Learners	6	6	6
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	91	13	13
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	86	12	8
	Students With Disabilities		33	20
	English Language Learners	100	14	14
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	59	33	34
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	56	34	32
	Students With Disabilities	46		13
	English Language Learners	54	33	36
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	42	37	38
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	38	29	39
	Students With Disabilities	22	22	20
	English Language Learners	46	42	50

		Grade 11		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	17	16	23
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	18	11	20
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	25	10	5
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged		9	
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners		20	
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	59	33	34
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	56	34	32
	Students With Disabilities	46		13
	English Language Learners	54	33	36
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	42	37	38
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	38	29	39
	Students With Disabilities	22	22	20
	English Language Learners	46	42	50

		Grade 12		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	50	12.5	0
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged Students With	60	16.6	0
	Disabilities	0		
	English Language Learners		0	
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	100	0	0
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	100		
	Students With Disabilities		0	0
	English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	59	33	34
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	56	34	32
	Students With Disabilities	46		13
	English Language Learners	54	33	36
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	42	37	48
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	38	29	39
	Students With Disabilities	22	22	20
	English Language Learners	46	42	50

Subgroup Data Review

	2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	
SWD	15	26	27	16	24	36	22	22				
ELL	27	35	24	27	27	30	13	50				
BLK	34	38	20	26	32	26	14	19		100	38	
HSP	39	41	30	33	34	36	35	56	50	100	63	
WHT	50	47	36	48	38	48	49	63	57	94	67	

	2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
FRL	38	41	28	32	33	35	36	49	46	97	50
		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ubgroups ELA ELA LG Math Math LG Sci SS MS					Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18				
SWD	22	37	29	25	48	51	17	41		93	15
ELL	16	37	38	41	46	52	12	46	23	93	38
BLK	29	45	43	28	31	27	14	46		100	25
HSP	42	48	38	46	45	49	38	59	42	97	48
WHT	47	44	36	53	50	57	45	65	48	100	55
FRL	37	45	37	45	47	48	32	59	40	98	41
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	15	26	24	21	44	47	18				
ELL	22	39	38	32	57	65	19	33		91	20
BLK	34	42	24	36	56	68	33	44		85	29
HSP	40	49	41	49	62	62	41	72	72	82	55
WHT	49	49	37	53	63	54	64	72	50	90	57
FRL	38	47	38	45	60	60	46	63	61	82	50

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	47
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	33
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	558
Total Components for the Federal Index	12
Percent Tested	98%

Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities 24 Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	30
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	<u> </u>
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	35
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	46
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	54
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	43
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

6th grade math, reading, and science scores steadily declined as the year progressed.
7th and 8th grade reading steadily increased or remained the same. 7th and 8th grade math increased from quarter 1 to quarter 2 with only a slight drop during quarter
Social Sciences (Civics, M/J US History, and US History) dipped during quarter 2. Civics and US History

increased from quarter 2 to quarter 3.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Geometry and Biology data reveal a significant decline in proficiency from 2019 state assessments to quarter 3 progress monitoring.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

The lack of cooperative learning activities with built in student accountable talk greatly impeded student progress in Geometry and Biology. In addition, the inability to conduct hands on labs in Biology may have hindered student achievement.

Teachers will be provided with Day 2 of Kagan training at the beginning of the year and required to implement cooperative instructional strategies into daily lessons. Hopefully, Biology students will be able to participate in hands on lab activities next year as well.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

According to the progress monitoring data, students in 8th grade science performed better on the quarterly progress monitoring assessments than on the State Science Assessment in 2019.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Contributing factors leading to this improvement include a change of teacher as well as newly adopted course codes/curriculum scope and sequence for middle school science.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Teachers will need to return to best practices learned through LSI and implement cooperative learning structures into daily lessons.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Instructional coaches and administrators will provide a review of LSI best practices at the onset of the year.

A modified Kagan Day 1 will be provided to all new teachers at the beginning of the year by instructional coaches.

All teachers will participate in Kagan Day 2 training in September.

All teachers will participate in a book study of Seven Simple Secrets, What the Best Teachers Know and Do, by Annette Breaux and Todd Whitaker.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Administrators, instructional coaches, and teachers will resume weekly content area/grade level PLCs to review and discuss standards and instructional practices.

Administrators, instructional coaches, and teachers will resume monthly HGI meetings to review and discuss student performance data.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Teachers will provide a student-centered learning environment focused on standards-based instruction whereby all students are actively engaged in the learning process and assume responsibility for their own learning. Data collected through multiple classroom observations by administration throughout the 2020-2021 school year revealed low student engagement. In addition, quarterly progress monitoring revealed minimal to no progress in most assessed academic areas.

By spring of 2022, the number of 8th graders scoring at or above proficiency on the State Science Assessment will meet/exceed the state average (45% as of 2021).

By spring of 2022, the number of students scoring at or above proficiency on the Biology EOC will meet/exceed the state average (61% as of 2021).

By spring of 2022, the number of students scoring at or above proficiency on the Algebra 1 EOC will meet/exceed the state average (49% as of 2021).

Measurable Outcome:

By spring of 2022, the number of students scoring at or above proficiency on the US History EOC will meet/exceed the state average (63% as of 2021).

By spring of 2022, the number of students scoring at or above proficiency on the Geometry EOC will meet/exceed the state average (45% as of 2021.)

By the spring of 2022, at least 41% of black students and students with disabilities will demonstrate proficiency on all state assessments.

Monitoring:

Implementation of effective instructional practices such as LSI and Kagan will be monitored through regular classroom observations. Student progress will be monitored through daily checks for understanding, monthly HGI meetings of student grades and quarterly assessments.

Person responsible for monitoring

outcome:

Amy Hardee (amy.hardee@polk-fl.net)

Evidencebased Strategy:

Effective practices within the LSI framework coupled with Kagan Cooperative Learning strategies will be implemented into all content areas to promote active, student centered classrooms. Teachers will be required to develop and post daily learning targets and success criteria aligned with state standards. Success criteria will be utilized by the teacher and students to monitor progression of learning and provide immediate interventions as needed. In addition, teachers will implement Kagan structures within daily lessons to actively engage students in the learning process and promote individual student accountability.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy:

Learning Sciences International integrates key components for successful teaching/ learning: standards-based planning, criteria for success, instruction, conditions of learning, and collaboration. In addition, Kagan Cooperative Learning endorses highly engaging learning structures which promote positive interdependence, individual accountability, equal participation, and simultaneous interaction. Combining these research-based systems will enhance teaching and learning at our school and facilitate student centered classrooms where there is shared responsibility between the teacher and students. Students will have clearly defined roles and learning targets and be able to track their own progress toward the learning target using established success criteria.

Action Steps to Implement

Teachers will participate in weekly collaborative planning with school based coaches (and district based when available) to focus on unpacking standards, curriculum pacing, researching and aligning curriculum resources, and creating student tasks and instructional strategies aligned to the depth of the standards.

Person

Responsible Amy

Amy Hardee (amy.hardee@polk-fl.net)

Provide common planning for 6th and 7th grade teachers as well as 8th - 12th grade content area teachers.

Person

Responsible

Michelle Browning (michelle.browning@polk-fl.net)

Teachers will create and post daily learning targets and success criteria to be actively used by the teacher and students to monitor learning.

Person

Responsible Amy Ha

Amy Hardee (amy.hardee@polk-fl.net)

Teachers will provide frequent checks for understanding and create small group remediation based upon formative assessment data aligned with priority benchmarks.

Person

Responsible

Michelle Browning (michelle.browning@polk-fl.net)

Teachers and administration will participate in monthly How Goes It (HGI) Academic Data Reviews to discuss student progress and modify supports as needed.

Person

Responsible

Michelle Browning (michelle.browning@polk-fl.net)

Teachers and administrators will monitor ESSA subgroups, specifically, students with disabilities and black students, to determine level of progress after each quarterly assessment and assign Behavioral Interventionist/ mentors/tutors as needed.

Person

Responsible

Jason Dent (jason.dent@polk-fl.net)

Provide substitute teachers for teachers after each district quarterly assessment for the purpose of analyzing data and aligning the instructional program through collaborative planning. Substitute teachers will be funded through Title One.

Person

Responsible

Seth Lambert (seth.lambert@polk-fl.net)

Provide credit recovery and after school tutoring for identified students. Teacher stipends funded through SAI and Title One funds.

Person

Responsible

Michelle Browning (michelle.browning@polk-fl.net)

Provide Kagan Day 2 training to all teachers to enhance student engagement. Kagan training funded through Title One.

Person

Responsible

Amy Hardee (amy.hardee@polk-fl.net)

Provide professional development related to specific content area needs.

Person

Responsible

Susan Cannon (susan.cannon@polk-fl.net)

Purchase Gateway Civics resource book for 8th grade students to enhance reading and writing in the Civics classroom. Textbooks funded through Title One.

Person

Responsible

Seth Lambert (seth.lambert@polk-fl.net)

Purchase additional classroom libraries and magazine subscriptions funds to provide students with multiple opportunities to interact with grade level text. Classroom supplies, subscriptions, and books funded through Title One.

Person

Responsible

Seth Lambert (seth.lambert@polk-fl.net)

Hold Parent and Family Engagement workshops to discuss curriculum, state assessments, college and career planning, and introduce parents to online resources. Teacher stipends and materials funded through Title One.

Person

Responsible Seth Lambert (seth.lambert@polk-fl.net)

Purchase laptops, iPads, and iPad cases for use in classrooms for students to access online resources. Funds provided through Title One.

Person

Responsible

Seth Lambert (seth.lambert@polk-fl.net)

#2. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Equity & Diversity

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Data analysis reveals a need to concentrate on increasing acceleration points at the middle and high school levels. According to the 2021 School Grade data, 52% of eligible middle school students successfully earned an acceleration point and 61% of high school seniors earned an acceleration point upon graduation.

In the spring of 2022, at least 70% of all eligible middle school students will successfully earn an acceleration point by evidencing a passing score on the Algebra 1 EOC, Geometry EOC, or industry certification exam.

Measurable Outcome:

Upon graduation, at least 70% of all graduating seniors will have earned an acceleration point through successful participation in one or more dual enrollment courses or earning a passing score on an AP exam or industry certification exam.

Student participation/placement in accelerated programs will be monitored by guidance counselors and the administrative team at the onset of each school year as well as through monthly HGI meetings.

Person responsible

Monitoring:

for Michelle Browning (michelle.browning@polk-fl.net)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy: This area of Focus is aligned with the research and strategies identified in "Using Equity Audits in the Classroom to Reach and Teach all Students" (McKenzie and Skrla, 2011). Based upon this premise, we will strive to examine our programs and ensure all students are afforded equitable acceleration opportunities.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: As a school with a large economically, disadvantaged student population, we constantly battle low student motivation and expectations. We continually seek strategies and incentives which will motivate students to not only succeed, but to excel in their academic endeavors. As a result, we will utilize the resources outlined in the book (see above) to ensure all students from all subgroups are afforded equitable acceleration opportunities.

Action Steps to Implement

At the middle school level, consider placement in Algebra 1 or higher-level math course for all 8th grade students scoring a level 3 or higher on the 2021 FSA Math/EOC assessment.

Person Responsible

Michelle Browning (michelle.browning@polk-fl.net)

Guidance counselors will track high school students earning acceleration points and encourage all high school students to participate in dual enrollment, Advanced Placement, and Industry Certification courses throughout their high school career.

Person Responsible

Michelle Browning (michelle.browning@polk-fl.net)

Seek assistance from Workforce Education and high schools with high passing rates to increase passing rates on Industry Certification exams.

Person Responsible

Seth Lambert (seth.lambert@polk-fl.net)

College tours (virtual or in-person) will be scheduled for all freshmen as a means of exposure to higher educational opportunities and promotion of a focus on Advanced Placement, Dual Enrollment, and/or Industry Certification courses.

Person Responsible

Michelle Browning (michelle.browning@polk-fl.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Based on the data from SafeSchoolsforAlex, the primary area of concern at Fort Meade Middle Senior High School is fighting and the secondary area of concerns relates to drug/tobacco usage. To mitigate incidents involving fighting, we plan to utilize a more in depth transition program throughout the school day while also positioning staff in identified "hot spots" on campus during transitions. The crime prevention specialists designated by the PCSO will also be asked to provide presentations to our students regarding drug awareness including vaping throughout the school year in the hopes of declining incidents involving drugs or tobacco.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

The School-based Leadership Team meets weekly to review school-wide, grade level, and teacher data focusing on improving student achievement outcomes with evidence based interventions implemented with fidelity and frequent progress monitoring. Instructional coaches and assigned administrators meet with content area teacher on a weekly basis to facilitate collaborative planning, discuss progress toward school initiatives, and/or participate in professional development. District coaches and curriculum specialists are an integral part of our school improvement process and are encouraged to attend our weekly meetings. In addition, the SBLT meets with all teachers on a monthly basis to review and discuss student progress and modify supports as needed.

All new teachers are provided additional support through the PEC program and mentorship. Instructional coaches are assigned as mentors for all new teachers and help guide them through their first year. Furthermore, Fort Meade Middle Senior High School has applied for a Teacher Ambassador stipend through Title One to provide additional support and guidance to all new teachers.

Parents meeting for incoming 6th graders, high school students, and migrant families are sponsored

throughout the year to provide students and parents with information as well as to seek feedback and input regarding Fort Meade Middle Senior High School programs and expectations.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Parents, students, community leaders, and district staff participate on the School Advisory Council and meet monthly to discuss school and community issues/concerns, review progress monitoring data, and/or plan for school improvement. Community business leaders also serve as advisory board members for select career academies.

Local business partners, community leaders, and college representatives help to facilitate college and career awareness by participating in annual collegiate and career fairs, college tours freshmen, and round robin career sessions.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	1	I.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Student Engagement	\$0.00
:	2 III.	I.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Equity & Diversity	\$0.00
			Total:	\$0.00