Polk County Public Schools

Bill Duncan Opportunity Center



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| r dipose and Oddine of the on | |
| School Information | 6 |
| Needs Assessment | 9 |
| Planning for Improvement | 20 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 23 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 23 |

Bill Duncan Opportunity Center

3333 WINTER LAKE RD, Lakeland, FL 33803

http://schools.polk-fl.net/bdoc/

Demographics

Principal: Leigh Ann Cooley

Start Date for this Principal: 10/28/2014

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | High School 6-12 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | Alternative Education |
| 2020-21 Title I School | No |
| 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | |
| School Grades History | 2020-21: No Grade 2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* | , |
| SI Region | Southwest |
| Regional Executive Director | |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more inform | nation, <u>click here</u> . |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Polk County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information | 6 |
| Needs Assessment | 9 |
| Planning for Improvement | 20 |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 23 |

Bill Duncan Opportunity Center

3333 WINTER LAKE RD, Lakeland, FL 33803

http://schools.polk-fl.net/bdoc/

School Demographics

| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | 2020-21 Title I School | 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High School 6-12 | No | % |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | Charter School | 2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2) |
| Alternative Education | No | % |

School Grades History

Year

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Polk County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Bill Duncan Opportunity Center will provide an individualized curriculum for each student which will allow them the flexibility to transition to their zoned school prepared to succeed.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Every student will transition back to their home school equipped with social skills and study habits necessary to be successful.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Cooley, Leigh Anne | Principal | Oversee all curriculum and oversight of the school. |
| Baker-Cunningham, Torsha | | |
| Andino, Samaria | Attendance/Social Work | |
| Serrano, Pete | SAC Member | |
| Israel, Adrian | School Counselor | |
| Andrews, Brian J. | Assistant Principal | |
| Busby, Brooke | Dean | |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 10/28/2014, Leigh Ann Cooley

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

C

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

C

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

12

Total number of students enrolled at the school

49

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

0

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|--|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | | |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 49 | | |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 43 | | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 39 | | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 15 | | |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 23 | | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 21 | | |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 34 | | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | G | rad | e L | evel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 40 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 7/7/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 28 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 83 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 11 | 20 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 69 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 26 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 72 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 32 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 18 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 44 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 42 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | | Grad | de Le | evel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|-------|------|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 26 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 78 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | | G | rad | e L | evel | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 28 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 83 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 11 | 20 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 69 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 26 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 72 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 32 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 18 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 44 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 42 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | Total | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------|----|-------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 26 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 78 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | Total | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|----|-------|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Grada Component | 2021 | | | | 2019 | | 2018 | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement | | | | | 47% | 56% | | 46% | 56% |
| ELA Learning Gains | | | | | 46% | 51% | | 47% | 53% |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | | | | | 37% | 42% | | 39% | 44% |
| Math Achievement | | | | | 43% | 51% | | 44% | 51% |
| Math Learning Gains | | | | | 45% | 48% | | 42% | 48% |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | | | | | 44% | 45% | | 38% | 45% |
| Science Achievement | | | | | 58% | 68% | | 65% | 67% |
| Social Studies Achievement | | | | | 61% | 73% | | 63% | 71% |

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 17% | 48% | -31% | 54% | -37% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | | | | | |
| 07 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 11% | 42% | -31% | 52% | -41% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -17% | | | | |
| 08 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 33% | 48% | -15% | 56% | -23% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -11% | | | | |
| 09 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 17% | 45% | -28% | 55% | -38% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -33% | | | | |
| 10 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 0% | 42% | -42% | 53% | -53% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -17% | | | | |

| | | | MATH | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 0% | 47% | -47% | 55% | -55% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | | | | | |
| 07 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 19% | 39% | -20% | 54% | -35% |
| Cohort Com | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 08 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 17% | 35% | -18% | 46% | -29% |
| Cohort Com | nparison | -19% | | | | |

| | SCIENCE | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | | | |
| 08 | 2021 | | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 13% | 41% | -28% | 48% | -35% | | | |
| Cohort Com | nparison | | | | | | | | |

| | | BIOLO | GY EOC | | |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2021 | | | | | |
| 2019 | 36% | 54% | -18% | 67% | -31% |
| | | CIVIC | CS EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2021 | | | | | |
| 2019 | 39% | 70% | -31% | 71% | -32% |
| | | HISTO | RY EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2021 | | | | | |
| 2019 | 36% | 57% | -21% | 70% | -34% |
| | | ALGEI | BRA EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2021 | | | | | |
| 2019 | 0% | 50% | -50% | 61% | -61% |

| | GEOMETRY EOC | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State | | | | | |
| 2021 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2019 | 0% | 53% | -53% | 57% | -57% | | | | | |

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

STAR exam

| | | Grade 6 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | 25% | 33% |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | na | 25% | 33% |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | 100% | 100% |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 60% | 60% | 67% |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 60% | 60% | 67% |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |

| | | Grade 7 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 11% | 43% | 25% |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 13% | 33% | 25% |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 33% | 17% | 50% |
| Civics | Economically Disadvantaged | 50% | 25% | 50% |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | 33% | 17% | 50% |

| | | Grade 8 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 36% | 33% | 11% |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 29% | 29% | 17% |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 20% | 38% | 29% |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | na | 33% | 25% |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 80% | 50% | 33% |
| Science | Economically Disadvantaged | 80% | 50% | 29% |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | 33% | na |

| | | Grade 9 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | 20% | na |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| Biology | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 50% | 100% | na |
| US History | Economically Disadvantaged | 9% | 14% | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | 11% | na | na |

| | | Grade 10 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 25% | 50% | na |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 25% | 50% | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| Biology | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 50% | 100% | na |
| US History | Economically Disadvantaged | 50% | 100% | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | 11% | na | na |

| | | Grade 11 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| Biology | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 50% | 100% | na |
| US History | Economically Disadvantaged | 50% | 100% | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | 100% | 100% | na |

| | | Grade 12 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| Biology | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | na | na | na |
| US History | Economically Disadvantaged | na | na | na |
| | Students With Disabilities | na | na | na |
| | English Language Learners | na | na | na |

Subgroup Data Review

| | 2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| | | 2019 | SCHOO | DL GRAD | E COMP | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |

| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 0 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | YES |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 0 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 0 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 1 |
| Percent Tested | |
| Subgroup Data | |
| Students With Disabilities | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| English Language Learners | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Native American Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Asian Students | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |

| Black/African American Students | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Hispanic Students | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Multiracial Students | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| White Students | |
| Federal Index - White Students | |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | |
| | NI/A |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Students with a high number of suspensions and/or absences tend to score lower on State Assessments.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

ELA state assessments indicate the greatest need for improvement.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

As an alternate education center, students who attend our school are students with repetitive suspensions, high number of absences, and low parental support. Students and parents attend an orientation session before enrollment. Actions include weekly phone calls to discuss student progress, parent conferences, and additional classroom support based on the Edgenuity data.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

As an alternative education site, there is not enough data to make this determination.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

As an alternative education site, there is not enough data to make this determination.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

EdGenuity will be used to facilitate credit recovery and/or acceleration.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers will attend ongoing training in Edgenuity and Microsoft.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Students have access to a mental health counselor, social worker, and school counselor.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of Focus Description
According to the Polk Early Warning System, BDOC has 85% of it's

and Rationale: students below 90% attendance.

Measurable Outcome:

Attendance will increase by reducing absences by at least 5% per the early

warning indicator at 85%.

Monitoring:

The social worker and guidance counselor, along with the AP, will monitor

all students daily attendance.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Samaria Andino (samaria.andino@polk-fl.net)

Evidence-based Strategy: Excessive absences result in poor grades, lower STAR progress monitoring

results, which resulted in lower state test results.

Rationale for Evidence-

based Strategy: and poor academic performance.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Track daily attendance

2. Incentives for positive attendance goals

3. Collaborate with attendance office for accuracy and follow-up.

4. Parent contact and meetings to address poor attendance

Person Responsible Samaria Andino (samaria.andino@polk-fl.net)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Student proficiency in ELA is tied closely to attendance and participation in the online

Attendance data has shown a connection between poor school attendance

learning platform.

Measurable Outcome:

47% of our students scored a Level 1 on the ELA FSA. Students scoring a Level 1 will

decrease by 5% for next school year.

Monitoring:

Administration, teachers and guidance will monitor daily progress, grades, and test

scores.

Person

responsible for monitoring

Leigh Anne Cooley (leighanne.cooley@polk-fl.net)

Evidence-based

Strategy:

outcome:

Instructional monitoring and instructional adjustment based on progress monitoring will

enhance student achievement in reading and ELA.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Instructional best practices including individualized instruction designed to enhance learning in the online platform Edgenuity. In addition the reading and ELA teachers will

collaborate to maximize instruction for student success.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Collaboration between ELA and reading teachers

- 2. Progress monitoring with STAR reading and quarterly writing assessments
- 3. Monthly tracking in Edgenuity
- 4. Incentives for reaching goals individually

Person

Responsible Leigh Anne Cooley (leighanne.cooley@polk-fl.net)

#3. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Discipline

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Data reveals a significant number of referrals stemming from verbal and physical altercations, along with threats and intimidation.

Measurable Outcome:

If the conflict resolution strategies are utilized with fidelity, then BDOC's out of school

suspensions will be reduced by the end of the 2020-2021 school year.

Monitoring: This will be monitored by the administrative team and the guidance department.

Person

responsible for monitoring outcome:

Adrian Israel (adrian.israel@polk-fl.net)

Evidence-based

Strategy:

Conflict mediation and resolution strategies

Rationale for Evidence-based

Learning to manage anger and improve communication skills are the main elements

to be addressed with the students. Students are also encouraged to take

Strategy: responsibility for their actions and discuss compromises.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Individual and small group discussions based on situational needs

2. Continue to afford staff with training opportunities

3. Dean will practice conflict mediation with students

Person Responsible

Adrian Israel (adrian.israel@polk-fl.net)

#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description Student proficiency in Math is tied closely to attendance and participation in

and Rationale: the online learning platform.

Measurable Outcome: 43% of our students scored a Level 1 on the Math FSA. Students scoring a

Level 1 will decrease by 5% for next school year.

Monitoring: Administration, teachers and guidance will monitor daily progress, grades,

and test scores.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy: Instructional monitoring and instructional adjustment based on progress

monitoring will enhance student achievement in Math.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Instructional best practices including individualized instruction designed to

enhance learning in the online platform Edgenuity.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Collaboration between Math and ESE teachers.

- 2. Progress monitoring with STAR math and quarterly assessments
- 3. Monthly tracking in Edgenuity
- 4. Incentives for reaching goals individually

Person Responsible [no one identified]

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

There is no data listed for Bill Duncan.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

BDOC hosts a virtual student orientation for incoming students and their parents. During this time, we establish relationships with families and open lines of communication. We are a small, intimate center with frequent opportunities to contact parents, work with students one-on-one, and give personalized attention along with social and emotional support.

At the end of a student's term here, parents/guardians, students, and administration at the zoned school are contacted to meet to review the student's progress, both academically and behaviorally. These efforts help to support a smooth transition back to the traditional school setting.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Administration, to include deans, set the initial tone for the students and parents during the orientation and continue to work with all students and parents to monitor progress during their term at BDOC. Additionally, BDOC's school counselor, mental health counselor, and social worker provide ongoing support and feedback which assists in a smooth transition back to their zoned school.

Teachers provide consistent communication and feedback with ongoing progress in the edgenuity platform with parents. This communication will assist in a smooth transition back to the students home school.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Student Attendance | \$0.00 |
|---|---|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA | \$0.00 |

| 3 | 3 III.A. Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Discipline | | | |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--|
| 4 | 4 III.A. Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math | | | |
| | | Total: | \$0.00 | |