

2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	24
Positive Culture & Environment	30
Budget to Support Goals	30

Highlands - 7023 - Highlands Virtual School - 2021-22 SIP

Highlands Virtual School

426 SCHOOL ST, Sebring, FL 33870

https://sites.google.com/highlands.k12.fl.us/hvs

Demographics

Principal: Page Green

Start Date for this Principal: 12/22/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	49%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: C (50%) 2017-18: B (55%) 2016-17: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Highlands County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	24
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	30

Highlands - 7023 - Highlands Virtual School - 2021-22 SIP

Highlands Virtual School

426 SCHOOL ST, Sebring, FL 33870

https://sites.google.com/highlands.k12.fl.us/hvs

School Demographics

School Type and Gr (per MSID F		2020-21 Title I School	Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)					
Combination S KG-12	School	No	No 53%						
Primary Servic (per MSID F		Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)					
K-12 General Ec	lucation	No		61%					
School Grades Histo	ry								
Year Grade	2020-21	2019-20 C	2018-19 C	2017-18 B					
School Board Approv	val								

This plan is pending approval by the Highlands County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Highlands Virtual School will partner with students, families, and the community to empower students to be self-motivated learners who embrace digital literacy to achieve academic mastery and foster lifelong learning.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Empowering students to be independent lifelong learners.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Graham, Rita	Teacher, K-12	
Goudge, Erin	Assistant Principal	
Green, Page	Instructional Coach	MTSS
Riley, Kim	Principal	
Taylor, Micah	Math Coach	
Ramos, Stephanie	Science Coach	
Pierce, Margaret	Teacher, K-12	Related Arts
Hunter, Rhonda	Teacher, K-12	Social Studies
Sutton, Daniele	Reading Coach	
Hendrick, Stefanie	Teacher, ESE	
Langston, Jennifer	School Counselor	
Gordon, Rebecca	Dean	
ana avanhia lafavaatian		

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 12/22/2020, Page Green

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

2

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school 24

Total number of students enrolled at the school 325

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year. 2

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year. 0

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator						Gra	de L	_eve						Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	9	11	22	11	14	28	18	32	31	22	35	42	50	325
Attendance below 90 percent	2	6	13	5	3	9	4	10	10	6	11	13	18	110
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	4	1	7	1	24
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	3	6	6	11	36
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	2	4	1	4	0	3	7	9	10	40
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	2	4	1	4	0	7	9	9	10	46
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indiantan						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Tatal
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	4	8	2	8	6	4	7	13	13	65
The number of students identified as reta	inee	s:												

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	3	1	8

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 8/26/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					Gra	de Le	eve	I						Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	104	102	93	91	112	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	608
Attendance below 90 percent	24	10	9	6	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65
One or more suspensions	6	6	7	7	24	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56
Course failure in ELA	35	18	4	25	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105
Course failure in Math	28	10	7	14	43	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	111
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	5	20	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	5	28	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Grad	de L	_ev	el					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	15	7	2	8	39	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	81

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar						Gr	ade	e Le	ve					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Highlands - 7023 - Highlands	Virtual School - 2021-22 SIP
------------------------------	------------------------------

Indicator					Gra	de Le	eve							Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	104	102	93	91	112	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	608
Attendance below 90 percent	24	10	9	6	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65
One or more suspensions	6	6	7	7	24	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56
Course failure in ELA	35	18	4	25	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105
Course failure in Math	28	10	7	14	43	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	111
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	5	20	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	5	28	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level								Total				
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	15	7	2	8	39	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	81

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator				Grade Level								Tetal		
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				62%	62%	61%	62%	62%	60%
ELA Learning Gains				41%	41%	59%	58%	58%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile						54%			52%
Math Achievement				44%	44%	62%	40%	40%	61%
Math Learning Gains				36%	36%	59%	47%	47%	58%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile						52%			52%
Science Achievement				55%	55%	56%	70%	70%	57%
Social Studies Achievement				63%	63%	78%			77%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison					
04	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
06	2021					
	2019	0%	44%	-44%	54%	-54%
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
07	2021					
	2019	0%	40%	-40%	52%	-52%
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			•	
08	2021					
	2019	0%	46%	-46%	56%	-56%
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
09	2021					
	2019	0%	46%	-46%	55%	-55%
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			<u> </u>	
10	2021					
	2019	40%	43%	-3%	53%	-13%
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison					
04	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
06	2021					
	2019	0%	44%	-44%	55%	-55%
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
07	2021					
	2019	0%	49%	-49%	54%	-54%
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
08	2021					
	2019	0%	44%	-44%	46%	-46%

MATH								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		
Cohort Con	nparison	0%						

			SCIENC	E		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison				•	
08	2021					
	2019	0%	41%	-41%	48%	-48%
Cohort Con	nparison	0%			·	

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	40%	54%	-14%	67%	-27%
		CIVIC	SEOC	· · ·	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	60%	-60%	71%	-71%
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	58%	63%	-5%	70%	-12%
		ALGEB	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	52%	-52%	61%	-61%
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021				1	
2019	0%	55%	-55%	57%	-57%

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

The progress monitoring tools that will be used are iReady, Common Lit, Achieve 3,000, along with district common assessments.

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
		Grade 4		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
		Grade 6		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 7		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Civics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 8		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 9		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 10		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 11		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 12		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

Subgroup Data Review

	2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	3	19	21	9	9	10	18				
ELL	31	43		16	24						
BLK	31	43	21	14	27	31	33	32			
HSP	48	41	38	28	20	27	48	50			
MUL	56	47		46	27						

	2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
WHT	53	50	29	30	24	17	67	58		95	32
FRL	43	42	32	22	23	29	53	37		90	33
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
WHT	65	54		46				54			
FRL								50			
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
WHT	57	62		46							

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	44
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	485
Total Components for the Federal Index	11
Percent Tested	76%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	13
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	29
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	

Highlands - 7023 - Highlands Virtual School - 2021-22 SIP

Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	·
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	29
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	38
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	44
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	46
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	40
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
	1

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Math achievement is overall the lower performing area when looking across grade levels 4-12. Sixth grade is a higher performing grade level in math but the rest are below district and state average.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Tier 2 and 3 interventions and support are identified to need the greatest need for improvement to support improved math achievement across multiple grade levels.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

The student criteria for enrollment change led to the need for more intervention. Teachers were new to this learning platform and due to not having a full staff or structures in place everyone had to take on additional responsibilities. Specific actions to address this need are: we now are fully staffed so there is a shift in expectations for teachers, modeling and supporting the change and focus of Tier 1 support in synchronous sessions, focus of PLCs, identifying students in need of intervention, modeling instruction and supporting intervention teachers, monitoring student success during intervention and using better (new) math curriculum (FLVS) across all grade levels.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

ELA achievement in 4th 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, and 10th showed the most improvement.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Through the curriculum in all subjects students use their reading and writing skills. Writing across content areas was a major focus of the school.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Strategies to implement to accelerate learning is a focus on tier 1 support for students to have a strong foundation of the learning. We will also have promotion and encouragement of advanced and accelerated courses. Also having more accelerated course offerings available to students.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Professional development will be provided to teachers and staff on focusing on core instruction and tier 1 support.

We will also keep staff aware of the availability of accelerated courses and how to encourage accelerated courses to students. The specific teachers of the accelerated courses will also need to receive professional development on the development of additional accelerated courses to add to our course directory.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

The largest implementation will be the PLC process and identifying areas where students need support. Changing the instruction to include more synchronous sessions to provide that tier 1 support. Also through MTSS closely monitoring of the tier 2 and 3 students in ELA and Math and having a focus on intensive interventions. The use of a new curriculum (FLVS) and learning management system (Canvas) will be implemented.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional	Fractice specifically relating to Math
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Looking at our data, we identified math learning gains as critical area of academic need to ensure that we are preparing all of our students to be college, career, and workplace ready.
Measurable Outcome:	HVS will increase its math learning gains by 5% from an overall 23% to 28%.
Monitoring:	This area of need will be monitored by targeting specific students needs and providing intervention and instruction on those areas. We will also monitor growth through students baseline diagnostics.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Micah Taylor (taylorm@highlands.k12.fl.us)
Evidence-based Strategy:	Tier 2 and 3 pull out interventions will be used to implement this area of focus. School-Wide AVID Initiative AVID Focused Notes/Note-taking strategies Use of Instructional Math Coach Use of Instructional Practice Guides to provide feedback to teachers and to identify areas of instructional and curriculum needs Use of new FLVS curriculum MTSS- Tier 2 and 3 remediation
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	This strategy was selected so that students will receive instruction on their specific skill need to further their learning gains in math. The MTSS process is a proven research-based approach that has been encouraged by the district.
A atlan Otana ta I	un se la una su t

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Action Steps to Implement

1.Use of district-developed curriculum maps and progress monitoring

2. Data-driven chats with math coach

3. Professional development such as AVID Trainings, Summer Institutes, College

Board AP, district coaches meetings, schoolwide professional development plan,

support facilitation and national literacy professional development (Achieve 3000), BEST standards trainings

4. Work in PLCs will allow for teachers to collaborate on assessments and lessons resulting from analysis of student performance data.

5. Monthly MTSS meetings, weekly data collection and a focus on Tier 2 and 3 supports

6. Completing classroom walk throughs using the IPG tool

7. Stock Take team will meet monthly to discuss the feedback and determine areas of need and next steps.

Person Responsible Micah Taylor (taylorm@highlands.k12.fl.us)

#2. Instructional	Practice specifically relating to ELA
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Looking at our data we identified learning gains in ELA as a critical area due to being under 50% successful. Student's academic success help ensure we are preparing all of our students to be college, career, and workplace ready.
Measurable Outcome:	HVS will increase its ELA learning gains by 3% from an overall 45% to 48%.
Monitoring:	This area of need will be monitored by targeting specific students needs and providing intervention and instruction on those areas. We will also monitor growth through students baseline diagnostics.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Daniele Sutton (suttond@highlands.k12.fl.us)
Evidence-based Strategy:	Tier 2 and 3 pull out interventions will be used to implement this area of focus. School-Wide AVID Initiative AVID Focused Notes/Note-taking strategies Use of Reading Coach Use of Instructional Practice Guides to provide feedback to teachers and to identify areas of instructional and curriculum needs Use of new FLVS curriculum MTSS- Tier 2 and 3 remediation
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	This strategy was selected so that students will receive instruction on their specific skill need to further their learning gains in math. The MTSS process is a proven research-based approach that has been encouraged by the district.
Action Stone to l	mplomont

Action Steps to Implement

110 1 1

1.Use of district-developed curriculum maps and progress monitoring

- 2. Data-driven chats with reading coach
- 3. Professional development such as AVID Trainings, Summer Institutes, College
- Board AP, district coaches meetings, schoolwide professional development plan,

support facilitation and national literacy professional development (Achieve 3000), BEST standards trainings

4. Work in PLCs will allow for the Development/implementation of common formative and summative assessments

5. Monthly MTSS meetings, weekly data collection via AIMS web Plus

6. Completing classroom walk throughs using the IPG tool

7. Stock Take team will meet monthly to discuss the feedback and determine areas of need and next steps.

Person Responsible Daniele Sutton (suttond@highlands.k12.fl.us)

#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Career & Technical Education		
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	College and Career Acceleration was identified as a critical area of need due to having under 50% of students meeting this criteria. Ensuring all students take at least 1 advanced placement, dual enrollment course or pass an industry certification is necessary to ensure we are preparing our students to be college, career and workplace ready.	
Measurable Outcome:	HVS will increase its College and Career Acceleration by 5% from 33% to 38%.	
Monitoring:	Percentage of students passing acceleration courses will be monitored throughout the year. Students will be encouraged to enroll in acceleration courses when they meet the criteria to do so. Students will be encouraged to attend college/career fairs offered in the district.	
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Stefanie Hendrick (hendrics@highlands.k12.fl.us)	
Evidence- based Strategy:	PLC time will be utilized to maximize common assessments and examination of student data to improve instruction in acceleration courses.	
	AP Summer Instituteseveral teachers attended	
	AVID School	
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	PLCs are proven research-based approach that has been implemented nationally and has been encouraged by the district. Avid helps focus students on college and career readiness skills and encourages advanced level coursework.	

Action Steps to Implement

 Student data will be collected and examined throughout the year to determine achievement in accelerated courses and to determine which students should be encouraged to take these courses.
Teachers will collaborate on assessments and lessons resulting from analysis of student performance data and attend AP Summer Institute through College Board and AVID trainings (Professional Development).

3. Interventions will specifically target students in Tier 3 and Tier 4 for additional supports

4. Events to increase family involvement are also necessary to inform families and will be held virtually

5. Use of PSAT results to determine AP Potential

6. Stock Take team will meet monthly to discuss the feedback and determine areas of need and next steps.

Person Responsible Stefanie Hendrick (hendrics@highlands.k12.fl.us)

#4. Outtare d En	in online in specifically relating to Larry Warning Systems	
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	After looking at our data we identified our risk level 3 and 4 students as a critical area of need due to the high percentage of students in that category.	
Measurable Outcome:	To decrease the % of students in Risk level 4 in Math from 19% to 14% and in Risk Level 3 and 4 in ELA from 22% to 17% (Based on End of Year 2021 Diagnostic 3 Data)	
Monitoring:	This area of need will be monitored by targeting specific students needs and providing intervention and instruction on those areas. We will also monitor growth through students baseline diagnostics.	
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Page Green (greenp@highlands.k12.fl.us)	
Evidence-based Strategy:	Tier 2 and 3 pull out interventions will be used to implement this area of focus. The students will be specifically targeted and monitored through the MTSS process.	
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	This strategy was selected so that students will receive instruction on their specific skill need to further their learning gains in math. The MTSS process is a proven research-based approach that has been encouraged by the district.	
Action Steps to Implement		

#4. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Early Warning Systems

1. Student diagnostic data will be collected and analyzed three time a year.

2. Student achievement will be analyzed throughout the year, in PLC and MTSS monthly meetings to determine achievement progress, for risk level 3 and 4 students.

3. Monthly probing will be conducted on those risk level 3 and 4 students.

4. MTSS team will meet monthly to look at specific students for intervention support.

5. Interventions will specifically target students in risk level 3 and 4.

6. Stock Take team will meet monthly to discuss the feedback and determine areas of need and next steps.

Person Responsible Page Green (greenp@highlands.k12.fl.us)

#5. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	After reviewing our data we identified attendance as a critical area of need due to students inconsistently logging into their online coursework and because there was not a well developed system in place for taking, recording and monitoring attendance.
Measurable Outcome:	75% of our students will be present for more than 90% of time.
Monitoring:	Student attendance will be monitored and recorded weekly based on the expectation that students must login daily to each of their required courses. Weekly reporting will be done to monitor attendance and address areas of concern.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Rebecca Gordon (gordonr@highlands.k12.fl.us)
Evidence- based Strategy:	SARC Positive behavior incentives (i.e. perfect attendance awards) Daily recording of attendance (entered weekly in Skyward) Skyward reporting MTSS Relationships with discretionary agencies (Youth and Family Services, Project 10)
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	In order for students to be engaged and involved in their academics they must attend regularly. We will take a systematic approach to taking, recording, and monitoring attendance and then using the SARC process to address concerns as they arise. Student attendance will also be taken into consideration during monthly MTSS meetings and for students to remain enrolled in HVS as a school of choice.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Student attendance will be monitored weekly by dean and admin

2. Dean reaches out to non-attendees for parent/student meetings with administration.

3. SARC process is followed with support from student services

4. Dean holds tutoring sessions for students who have been absent and falling behind in courses to assist with logging in to their courses and completing school work.

5. Dean works with students in study skills sessions by creating schedules, setting up contact with teachers, completing work.

6. Students have opportunity to earn awards for attendance quarterly

Person Responsible Rebecca Gordon (gordonr@highlands.k12.fl.us)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

School is not listed on report and is also not listed on non-reporting school. As a virtual school, 56 of the 60 total referrals written last year were written because of copying/cheating/plagiarism or internet policy violations.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Communication is a goal for our school to improve positive school culture and environment. Teachers work each day to communicate with students individually about their coursework. The school has adopted a new learning management system with a parent/observer app so parents can see what students are completing in their courses and receive copies of messages from teachers to their student. For school wide announcements and information the school uses social media and the school wide app encouraged by the district.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

The administration, faculty, and staff have worked and are working on improving relationships with our students, parents, and the community. Listed below are some examples of activities and strategies HVS is going to use to create a better culture.

- 1. SAC meetings
- 2. Student of the month
- 3. Social Media Postings
- 4. AVID Strategy
- 5. Parent App
- 6. Test Prep Bootcamps
- 7. Teacher Appreciation Week
- 8. National Literacy Week
- 9. Social Meet Up Activities
- 10. Parent Curriculum Night

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA	\$0.00

3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Career & Technical Education	\$0.00
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Early Warning Systems	\$0.00
5	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Student Attendance	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00