

Miami-Dade County Public Schools

# Here's Help



## 2021-22 Ungraded Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>School Demographics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Purpose and Outline of the Ungraded SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>R.A.I.S.E</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Positive Culture &amp; Environment</b>	<b>0</b>

## Here's Help

15100 NW 27TH AVE, Opa Locka, FL 33054

outreach.dadeschools.net

### Demographics

**Principal: Theron Clark**

Start Date for this Principal: 1/4/2016

<b>2021-22 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Function</b> (per accountability file)	
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	High School 7-12
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
<b>2020-21 Title I School</b>	No
<b>2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
<b>2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
<b>School Improvement Rating History</b>	
<b>DJJ Accountability Rating</b>	2023-24: No Rating

### School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

### SIP Authority

A Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) is a requirement for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) ungraded schools pursuant to 1001.42 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and for DJJ schools receiving a rating of Unsatisfactory pursuant to Sections 1003.51 and 1003.52, F.S. and Rule 6A-1.099813, F.A.C.

CSI schools can be designated as such in 2 ways:

1. Have a graduation of 67% or lower; or
2. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

DJJ Unsatisfactory Ratings are based on percentages by program type:

- Prevention and Intervention: 0%-50%
- Nonsecure Programs: 0%-59%
- Secure Programs: 0%-53%

SIP Plans for Ungraded CSI schools and DJJ schools receiving an Unsatisfactory rating must be approved by the district and reviewed by the state.

### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The School Improvement Plan (SIP) provides schools and Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) the opportunity to identify the academic and priority goals along with strategies for each school. School leadership teams may refine their SIP annually to define their school's academic and priority goals to increase student achievement.

Schools and LEAs are strongly encouraged to collaborate in the development and implementation of this plan.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

**Provide the school's mission statement.**

To provide educational, life skills to include social-emotional services to meet the diverse needs of our students.

**Provide the school's vision statement.**

Through our educational, life skills and social emotional services students use these services to successfully re-integrate into society.

**Briefly discuss the population unique to your school and the specific supports provided to meet the mission and vision.**

Here's Help is a drug treatment program in which secondary students attend as residents for a period of 90 days.

### School Leadership Team

**Membership**

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Iber, Alberto	Principal	Provides instructional leadership to faculty and staff to pro academic excellence, foster collaboration to support a positive school climate, and coordinate all school resources to ensure all stakeholders are equipped with the means to deliver quality educational programs to our students.
Nortelus, Joella	Instructional Coach	Provides assistance with the coordination and implementation of research-based instructional practice via the coaching model(planning, demonstrating, providing feedback) based on students need as determined by students assessment data
Antonini, Enrique	Instructional Coach	Provides assistance with the coordination and implementation of research-based instructional practice via the coaching model(planning, demonstrating, providing feedback) based on students need as determined by students assessment data
Alonso, Nadeshka	Administrative Support	Collects and analyzes school-wide assessment data to design and implement progress monitoring tools to inform instructional decisions and practices.
Perez de Ayllon, Nidia	Other	Provides specialized knowledge and skill related to student-centered learning processes, techniques of assessment of learning and social adjustment, research design, and modification of behaviors to improve social and academic outcomes for students.
Lafaille, Eddy	Assistant Principal	
Connors, Mary	Teacher, K-12	Provide instruction

**Is education provided through contract for educational services?**

No

**If yes, name of the contracted education provider.**

**Demographic Information**

**Principal start date**

Monday 1/4/2016, Theron Clark

**Number of teachers with professional teaching certificates?**

2

**Number of teachers with temporary teaching certificates?**

0

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school.**

2

Total number of students enrolled at the school.

7

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

0

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

0

### Demographic Data

## Early Warning Systems

### 2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level														Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	2	0	7	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	4	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 7/28/2021

### 2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level														Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2021			2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement					59%	56%		59%	56%
ELA Learning Gains					54%	51%		56%	53%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					48%	42%		51%	44%
Math Achievement					54%	51%		51%	51%
Math Learning Gains					52%	48%		50%	48%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					51%	45%		51%	45%
Science Achievement					68%	68%		65%	67%
Social Studies Achievement					76%	73%		73%	71%

### Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

**NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.**



ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
07	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
08	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
09	2021					
	2019	0%	55%	-55%	55%	-55%
Cohort Comparison		0%				
10	2021					
	2019	0%	53%	-53%	53%	-53%
Cohort Comparison		0%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
07	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
08	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
08	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	68%	-68%	67%	-67%
CIVICS EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					

HISTORY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	71%	-71%	70%	-70%
ALGEBRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	63%	-63%	61%	-61%
GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	54%	-54%	57%	-57%

### Subgroup Data Review

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
HSP											
FRL											
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

### ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	0
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	0
Total Components for the Federal Index	1

ESSA Federal Index	
Percent Tested	
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	0
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	1
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	1

## Analysis

### Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

#### Reflect on the Areas of Focus from the previous school year. What progress monitoring was in place for low performing ESSA subgroups related to the Areas of Focus?

Data was not collected to provide progress monitoring. Plans for progress monitoring included addressing reading and math deficiencies. Instructional staff did not provide progress monitoring data.

#### Based on ESSA subgroup progress monitoring, which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Ensure instructional staff provide progress monitoring data and support.

#### What area is in the greatest need of improvement? What specific component of this area is most problematic? What is your basis (data, progress monitoring) for this conclusion?

Data collection. The administration of relevant assessments for progress monitoring data is needed. Teacher buy-in to data monitoring process is required.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Unable to assess based on lack of relevant data.

#### What strategies need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Assess, review, and planning in all subject areas.

**Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.**

Training in the following areas are needed:

1. Data collection for progress monitoring
2. Progress monitoring
3. Student assessment

### **Part III: Planning for Improvement**

**Areas of Focus:**

**#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Based on the lack of progress monitoring assessment data in math, students need to be assessed and monitored for progress.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

100% of students will be assessed in mathematics upon entry to the program during the 2021-22 school year.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Lead teacher will monitor checklist to monitor assessments of students in math. Assessment results will be shared with instructional coaches to ensure fidelity of assessment and development of progress monitoring plans.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Mary Connors (112254@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

I-Ready assessments for middle school students  
Edgenuity assessments for high school students

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Students must be assessed in order to provide progress monitoring.

**Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Provide pre assessments

**Person Responsible**

Mary Connors (112254@dadeschools.net)

Share assessment data with math coach

**Person Responsible**

Mary Connors (112254@dadeschools.net)

Math coach supports development of progress monitoring plan

**Person Responsible**

Enrique Antonini  
(eantonini@dadeschools.net)

Complete post assessment

**Person Responsible**

Mary Connors (112254@dadeschools.net)

**Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

The overall index and that of Hispanic students was implicated in the last testing period. Improving math performance will necessarily impact Hispanic students who are deficient in math.

**#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Based on the lack of progress monitoring assessment data in ELA, students need to be assessed and monitored for progress.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

100% of students will be assessed in ELA upon entry to the program during the 2021-22 school year.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Lead teacher will monitor checklist to monitor assessments of students in ELA. Assessment results will be shared with instructional coaches to ensure fidelity of assessment and development of progress monitoring plans.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Mary Connors (112254@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

I-Ready assessments for middle school students  
Edgenuity assessments for high school students

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Students must be assessed in order to provide progress monitoring.

**Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Provide pre assessments

**Person Responsible**

Mary Connors (112254@dadeschools.net)

Share assessment data with reading coach

**Person Responsible**

Mary Connors (112254@dadeschools.net)

Reading coach supports development of progress monitoring plan

**Person Responsible**

Joella Nortelus (nortelus@dadeschools.net)

Complete post assessment

**Person Responsible**

Mary Connors (112254@dadeschools.net)

**Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

The overall index and that of Hispanic students was implicated in the last testing period. Improving ELA performance will necessarily impact Hispanic students who are deficient in ELA skills.

**#3. Leadership specifically relating to Managing Accountability Systems****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Pre and post assessments have not been administered with fidelity.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

100% of students will be assessed in mathematics and ELA upon entry to the program during the 2021-22 school year.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Lead teacher will monitor checklist to monitor assessments of students in math. Assessment results will be shared with instructional coaches to ensure fidelity of assessment and development of progress monitoring plans.

Administrator will verify assessment progress

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Alberto Iber  
(albertoiber@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

I-Ready assessments for middle school students  
Edgenuity assessments for high school students

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.  
Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Students must be assessed in order to provide progress monitoring.

**Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Review assessment checklists for enrolled and withdrawn students to ensure assessments are administered with fidelity.

**Person Responsible**

Alberto Iber  
(albertoiber@dadeschools.net)

Provide training, as needed, in assessments.

**Person Responsible**

Alberto Iber  
(albertoiber@dadeschools.net)

**Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

The overall index and that of Hispanic students was implicated in the last testing period. Improving accountability will necessarily improve instruction for Hispanic students.



## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Communicate with program staff regularly.

Communicate with parents, as appropriate, on a regular basis.

Provide monthly student progress reports to program administration and parents.

Invite parents to trainings at Title I Neighborhood Resource Centers.

### Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Program staff and administration

parents

students

teachers

instructional coaches

administration