

2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	17
Positive Culture & Environment	20
Budget to Support Goals	21

Duval - 0321 - Marine Science Education Center - 2021-22 SIP

## **Marine Science Education Center**

1347 PALMER ST, Atlantic Beach, FL 32233

http://www.duvalschools.org/msec

Demographics

## **Principal: James Ledford**

Start Date for this Principal: 7/28/2021

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	31%
<b>2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2020-21: No Grade
	2018-19: No Grade
School Grades History	2017-18: No Grade
	2016-17: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more info	rmation, <u>click here</u> .

## **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	17
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	21

Duval - 0321 - Marine Science Education Center - 2021-22 SIP

Marine Science	<b>Education Center</b>

1347 PALMER ST, Atlantic Beach, FL 32233

#### http://www.duvalschools.org/msec

#### **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2020-21 Title I School	2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 9-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	<b>2018-19 Minority Rate</b> (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	No	%
School Grades History		
Year Grade		<b>2015-16</b> F
School Board Approval		

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

To provide educational excellence in every classroom, for every student, every day.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Every Student is inspired and prepared for success in high school, college or a career, and life.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Ledford, James Dean	Principal	Instructional Leader, Oversee all activities held on campus
Shoemaker, Nathan	Assistant Principal	Lesson Plans, Facilities, Scheduling, Graduation Rate

#### Demographic Information

#### **Principal start date**

Wednesday 7/28/2021, James Ledford

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

4

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

#### Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

4

Total number of students enrolled at the school

18

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

0

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

#### **Demographic Data**

#### Early Warning Systems

#### 2021-22

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indiantan						Gra	ade	e Lo	eve	əl				Total
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	18
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	I				Total
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level														
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	18		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 7/28/2021

#### 2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indiantan						Gra	ade	e Le	eve	el				Tatal
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### 2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						Gra	ade	e Le	eve	el				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	82
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	50
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	13
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	32
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	19
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indiantar	Grade Level										Total			
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	37

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement					47%	56%		47%	56%
ELA Learning Gains					48%	51%		49%	53%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					42%	42%		42%	44%
Math Achievement					51%	51%		51%	51%
Math Learning Gains					52%	48%		55%	48%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					47%	45%		50%	45%
Science Achievement					65%	68%		61%	67%
Social Studies Achievement					70%	73%		67%	71%

## Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison				•	
10	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%			•	

	MATH								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison			

SCIENCE								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		

		BIOLC	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		CIVIC	CS EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		ALGEB	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		GEOME	TRY EOC	· · · ·	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

ELA - ACT, SAT, FSA and GED Math - ACT, SAT, EOC and GED

		Grade 9		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0

		Grade 10		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0

		Grade 11		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0

		Grade 12		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	96	96	96
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	96	96	96
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
Biology	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
US History	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0

## Subgroup Data Review

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
WHT											
FRL											

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
		2018	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

## ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.	
ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	0
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	0
Total Components for the Federal Index	1
Percent Tested	
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	

Duval - 0321 - Marine Science Education Center - 2021-22 SIP

Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	0
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	_
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

## Analysis

## **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Students are able to earn mastery level of the standards of Algebra and ELA - 96%

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Math will need to be emphasized to do different concordant assessments being used.

# What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

New Assessments

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Math

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

New teacher - math certificated

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Bringing in another instruction that is ELA certified

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Continue to build individual student plans

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

2 core content teachers will be provided.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#### **#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction**

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	The vast majority of Marine Science Center core content classes were below average when observing Assessing Student Learning. Students were not given a chance to determine mastery of the standards. Most of the lessons were not aligned to the learning arc and many tasks were not aligned to FSA standards. This indicates most of our students are not given a chance to perform at grade leve.
Measurable Outcome:	The vast majority of the Marine Science Center's core content classes will be above average around Assessment of Student Learning
Monitoring:	Through walkthroughs and PLCs
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	James Dean Ledford (ledfordj@duvalschools.org)
Evidence- based Strategy:	Facilitate and monitor PLC and common planning sessions that result in instructional delivery that ensures students are exposed to standards aligned instruction, tasks and assessments.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	MSC should ensure students are receiving the opportunity to show mastery of the standard. Students task should be on the standard. This will provide students with success when faced with the assessments designed by the state, along with the following year's progression of standards.

#### Action Steps to Implement

Train lead teacher on the standard walkthrough form - specifically in the assessing student learning category.

#### Person

Responsible James Dean Ledford (ledfordj@duvalschools.org)

Train teachers to use common planning procedures that enable teachers to build lessons and create tasks that are aligned to the standards.

#### Person

Responsible Nathan Shoemaker (shoemakern@duvalschools.org)

Provide training for teachers during PLCs that allow them to obtain information needed to produce a product during common planning.

### Person

Responsible Nathan Shoemaker (shoemakern@duvalschools.org)

Teachers will collaborate and work with each other during common planning lead by the following individual;

John King

#### Person

Responsible Nathan Shoemaker (shoemakern@duvalschools.org)

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Our next area of focus is around building teacher influence and taking a collective responsibility among staff members. We believe this will improve through leadership development. Every student will benefit across all curriculum as the faculty influences each other in a positive manner to improve their overall instructional deliveries. Building leadership among the faculty will allow them to take more of a collective responsibility of the overall environment and academic needs of the school.
Measurable Outcome:	We would like to show an increase in the following areas; Building Teacher Influence Collective Responsibility
Monitoring:	Through surveying the faculty.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Nathan Shoemaker (shoemakern@duvalschools.org)
Evidence- based Strategy:	Using department heads more around decision making that affects specific departments. Ensuring Professional Learning Communities are being utilized in all areas. Identifying teachers that can lead Professional Development sessions during common planning times.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	Using lead teachers to lead will help provide examples of teachers working with administration to improve specific areas of their departments. Ensuring professional learning communities are being utilized in all areas will give all subject areas the tools to assist each other and grow as educators. During common planning is when teachers have the most influence on each other. Identifying teachers that can lead professional development will bring more collective responsibility as teachers will buy-in more learning from colleagues.

#### Action Steps to Implement

Set up schedule for department meetings throughout the year. Create agendas and submit them to administration prior to the meeting.

Person Responsible Nathan Shoemaker (shoemakern@duvalschools.org)

Ensure all teachers have common planning among specific courses. Set up norms for each professional learning community that will enable them to create a positive and productive common planning meetings.

Person Responsible Nathan Shoemaker (shoemakern@duvalschools.org)

Monitor lesson deliveries through all subject areas and speak with teachers regarding presenting best practices.

Person

Responsible Nathan Shoemaker (shoemakern@duvalschools.org)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Continue working with students on meeting graduation requirements through individual student plans.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

#### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

1. When the students first visit the school the administrator has a conference with the student and the parents to describe the purpose of the PBD program. At this time the student's academic strength and weakness are discussed. We also evaluate why the student was not successful in a traditional school.

2. The administrator passes on the conference information to the teacher so they can start to have ideas of how to build a relationship with the student.

3. We do numerous activities to learn about the students' cultures. We celebrate student's birthdays, have classroom discussions about social backgrounds. We also do team building activities that involve the students and the staff.

4. Once a month we have a student faculty lunch for the school that is prepared by the students and faculty.

- 5. At the end of the first nine weeks we have a dinner for all students and their parents.
- 6. We celebrate all holidays by having a student faculty lunch.

7. The perceptions of teachers, students, and parents regarding school culture and environment are assessed using survey information. This feedback

provides direction for strengthening specific areas of the school culture and environment. The school is open 1.5 hours before school starts and all students are welcome to be at school early. The school is also is open for students to stay after school. The students can bring in food and eat there breakfast here at the school. The administrator has an open door policy, and teachers and staff who are dedicated to the students.

# Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

The school also has a partnership with the Beaches Resource Center which provides free counseling for students in need.

The students have a set schedule of class times and break times, this helps to minimize the number distractions throughout the day. The academic teacher and the vocational teacher communicate daily, to set up a daily schedule to minimize daily distractions. We also have a very precise set of rules and consequences that are covered by the staff at the beginning of the year. At the end of each day the staff discusses any problems they have. We also have a relationship with organizations that donate food to the school for the students

## Part V: Budget

### The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1 III.A. Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction			
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Leadership: Leadership Development	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00