

2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

| School Demographics            | 3  |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
| School Information             | 7  |
| Needs Assessment               | 10 |
| Planning for Improvement       | 19 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 23 |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 23 |

# Sandalwood High School

2750 JOHN PROM BLVD, Jacksonville, FL 32246

http://www.duvalschools.org/sandalwood

Demographics

# **Principal: Saryn Hatcher**

Start Date for this Principal: 7/31/2017

| <b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)                                                                                                                           | Active                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| School Type and Grades Served<br>(per MSID File)                                                                                                                | High School<br>9-12                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)                                                                                                                         | K-12 General Education                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| 2020-21 Title I School                                                                                                                                          | Yes                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 2020-21 Economically<br>Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate<br>(as reported on Survey 3)                                                                                   | 66%                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b><br>(subgroups with 10 or more students)<br>(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an<br>asterisk) | Students With Disabilities<br>English Language Learners<br>Asian Students<br>Black/African American Students<br>Hispanic Students<br>Multiracial Students<br>White Students<br>Economically Disadvantaged<br>Students |
| School Grades History                                                                                                                                           | 2018-19: A (67%)<br>2017-18: A (70%)<br>2016-17: A (69%)                                                                                                                                                              |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf                                                                                                                             | ormation*                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| SI Region                                                                                                                                                       | Northeast                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Regional Executive Director                                                                                                                                     | Cassandra Brusca                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle                                                                                                                                         | N/A                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Year                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Support Tier                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| ESSA Status                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information             | 7  |
| Needs Assessment               | 10 |
| Planning for Improvement       | 19 |
| Title I Requirements           | 0  |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 23 |

# Sandalwood High School

2750 JOHN PROM BLVD, Jacksonville, FL 32246

#### http://www.duvalschools.org/sandalwood

**School Demographics** 

| School Type and Gr<br>(per MSID F    |          | 2020-21 Title I School | Disadvan            | Economically<br>taged (FRL) Rate<br>ted on Survey 3) |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| High Scho<br>9-12                    | ol       | Yes                    |                     | 63%                                                  |
| <b>Primary Servic</b><br>(per MSID F | • •      | Charter School         | (Reporte            | Minority Rate<br>ed as Non-white<br>Survey 2)        |
| K-12 General Ec                      | lucation | No                     |                     | 60%                                                  |
| School Grades Histo                  | ry       |                        |                     |                                                      |
| Year<br>Grade                        | 2020-21  | <b>2019-20</b><br>A    | <b>2018-19</b><br>A | <b>2017-18</b><br>A                                  |
| School Board Approv                  | val      |                        |                     |                                                      |

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

To provide educational excellence in every classroom, for every student, every day.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Every student is inspired and prepared for success in college or a career and life.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name                | Position<br>Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities                                                                                                                                               |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hatcher,<br>Saryn   | Principal              | Manage the operations of the school. Responsible for ensuring the school runs smoothly, and remains safe, while providing an excellent learning environment for all students. |
| Barney,<br>Linda    | Dean                   |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Copley,<br>Suzanne  | Teacher,<br>K-12       |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Groeschel,<br>Amy   | Assistant<br>Principal |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Lakatos,<br>Aaron   | Assistant<br>Principal |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Galjour,<br>Melissa | Instructional<br>Coach |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Motley,<br>Rhonda   | Assistant<br>Principal |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Thrower,<br>Jordan  | Teacher,<br>K-12       | Biology Teacher                                                                                                                                                               |
| Holloway,<br>Brian  | Assistant<br>Principal | AP                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Haines,<br>Margaret | Teacher,<br>K-12       | Teacher                                                                                                                                                                       |

#### Demographic Information

#### Principal start date

Monday 7/31/2017, Saryn Hatcher

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

3

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

22

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school** 188

**Total number of students enrolled at the school** 2,664

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year. 15

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year. 15

**Demographic Data** 

#### Early Warning Systems

#### 2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator                                                | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |    |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----|-------|
| indicator                                                | Κ           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 950 | 720 | 644 | 60 | 2374  |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78  | 77  | 54  | 8  | 217   |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 98  | 22  | 15 | 265   |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22  | 11  | 10  | 6  | 49    |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  |       |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | vel |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
|                                      | Κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAT |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | ve | I |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                           | Κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Saturday 7/31/2021

#### 2020-21 - As Reported

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indiantar                                 | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |       |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Indicator                                 | κ           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | Total |
| Number of students enrolled               | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 950 | 720 | 644 | 640 | 2954  |
| Attendance below 90 percent               | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78  | 77  | 54  | 8   | 217   |
| One or more suspensions                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 98  | 96  | 78  | 402   |
| Course failure in ELA                     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60  | 76  | 44  | 8   | 188   |
| Course failure in Math                    | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ad | e Lo | evel |     |     |     | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Indicator                            | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6  | 7  | 8    | 9    | 10  | 11  | 12  | TOLAT |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0    | 330  | 296 | 167 | 138 | 931   |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | ve | l |    |    |    | Tatal |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                           | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

#### 2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                                 | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |       |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| indicator                                 | Κ           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | Total |
| Number of students enrolled               | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 950 | 720 | 644 | 640 | 2954  |
| Attendance below 90 percent               | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78  | 77  | 54  | 8   | 217   |
| One or more suspensions                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 98  | 96  | 78  | 402   |
| Course failure in ELA                     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60  | 76  | 44  | 8   | 188   |
| Course failure in Math                    | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     | Total |     |       |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| indicator                            | Κ | 1           | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11    | 12  | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 296 | 167   | 138 | 931   |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indiastor                           |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    | Total |    |       |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-------|----|-------|
| Indicator                           | κ | 1           | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11    | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0     | 0  |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0     | 0  |       |

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Grada Component      | 2021   |          |       |        | 2019     |       |        | 2018     |       |  |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component      | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |  |
| ELA Achievement             |        |          |       | 51%    | 47%      | 56%   | 55%    | 47%      | 56%   |  |
| ELA Learning Gains          |        |          |       | 46%    | 48%      | 51%   | 49%    | 49%      | 53%   |  |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  |        |          |       | 37%    | 42%      | 42%   | 40%    | 42%      | 44%   |  |
| Math Achievement            |        |          |       | 67%    | 51%      | 51%   | 79%    | 51%      | 51%   |  |
| Math Learning Gains         |        |          |       | 65%    | 52%      | 48%   | 73%    | 55%      | 48%   |  |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile |        |          |       | 65%    | 47%      | 45%   | 76%    | 50%      | 45%   |  |
| Science Achievement         |        |          |       | 73%    | 65%      | 68%   | 66%    | 61%      | 67%   |  |
| Social Studies Achievement  |        |          |       | 82%    | 70%      | 73%   | 79%    | 67%      | 71%   |  |

#### Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

|             |          |        | ELA      |                                   |       |                                |
|-------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade       | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 09          | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|             | 2019     | 49%    | 48%      | 1%                                | 55%   | -6%                            |
| Cohort Corr | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 10          | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|             | 2019     | 49%    | 48%      | 1%                                | 53%   | -4%                            |
| Cohort Corr | nparison | -49%   |          |                                   |       |                                |

|       | MATH |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |
|-------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |  |  |

| SCIENCE |      |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |
|---------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Grade   | Year | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |  |

|      |        | BIOLO    | GY EOC                      |       |                          |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2021 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 | 69%    | 67%      | 2%                          | 67%   | 2%                       |
|      |        | CIVIC    | S EOC                       |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2021 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
|      |        | HISTO    | RY EOC                      | •     |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2021 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 | 81%    | 68%      | 13%                         | 70%   | 11%                      |
|      |        | ALGEB    | RA EOC                      |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2021 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 | 53%    | 57%      | -4%                         | 61%   | -8%                      |
|      |        | GEOME    | TRY EOC                     |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2021 |        |          |                             | 1     |                          |

|      | GEOMETRY EOC |          |                             |       |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | School       | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 74%          | 61%      | 13%                         | 57%   | 17%                      |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

#### Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

PMA 3 for all grade level subject assessments. Grade 9 Mathematics is covering Algebra 1. Grade 10 Mathematics is covering Geometry.

|                          |                               | Grade 9 |        |        |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |         |        | 55%    |
| English Language<br>Arts | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |         |        | 4%     |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |         |        | 38%    |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |         |        | 9%     |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |         |        | 40%    |
| Mathematics              | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |         |        | 63%    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |         |        | 38%    |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |         |        | 4%     |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |         |        | 84%    |
| Biology                  | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |         |        | n/a    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |         |        | 100%   |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |         |        | 100%   |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |         |        | n/a    |
| US History               | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |         |        | n/a    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |         |        | n/a    |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |         |        | n/a    |

|                          |                               | Grade 10 |        |        |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall     | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |          |        | 38%    |
| English Language<br>Arts | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |          |        | 66%    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |          |        | 10%    |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |          |        | 5%     |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall     | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |          |        | 49%    |
| Mathematics              | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |          |        | 83%    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |          |        | 33%    |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |          |        | 47%    |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall     | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |          |        | 57%    |
| Biology                  | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |          |        | 60%    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |          |        | 58%    |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |          |        | 66%    |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall     | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |          |        | 77%    |
| US History               | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |          |        | 75%    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |          |        | 0%     |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |          |        | 31%    |

|                          |                               | Grade 11 |        |        |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall     | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students<br>Economically  |          |        | n/a    |
| English Language<br>Arts | Disadvantaged                 |          |        | n/a    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |          |        | n/a    |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |          |        | n/a    |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall     | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |          |        | n/a    |
| Mathematics              | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |          |        | n/a    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |          |        | n/a    |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |          |        | n/a    |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall     | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |          |        | 41%    |
| Biology                  | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |          |        | n/a    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |          |        | 39%    |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |          |        | 66%    |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency       | Fall     | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students                  |          |        | 62%    |
| US History               | Economically<br>Disadvantaged |          |        | 50%    |
|                          | Students With<br>Disabilities |          |        | 44%    |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners  |          |        | 50%    |

|                          |                                                                                                                               | Grade 12   |         |                                    |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|------------------------------------|
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency                                                                                                       | Fall       | Winter  | Spring                             |
| English Language<br>Arts | All Students<br>Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students With<br>Disabilities                                                |            |         | n/a<br>n/a<br>n/a                  |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners<br>Number/%                                                                                      | <b>-</b> " | NA (* 1 | n/a                                |
| Mathematics              | Proficiency<br>All Students<br>Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students With<br>Disabilities<br>English Language<br>Learners | Fall       | Winter  | Spring<br>n/a<br>n/a<br>n/a<br>n/a |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency                                                                                                       | Fall       | Winter  | Spring                             |
| Biology                  | All Students<br>Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students With<br>Disabilities<br>English Language<br>Learners                |            |         | 47%<br>60%<br>n/a<br>50%           |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency                                                                                                       | Fall       | Winter  | Spring                             |
| US History               | All Students<br>Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students With<br>Disabilities                                                |            |         | 57%<br>75%<br>n/a                  |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners                                                                                                  |            |         | 50%                                |

## Subgroup Data Review

|                      | 2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups ELA<br>Ach |                                           | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2019-20 |
| SWD                  | 32                                        | 45        | 42                | 47           | 49         | 46                 | 39          | 54         |              | 89                      | 46                        |
| ELL                  | 23                                        | 35        | 28                | 56           | 58         | 68                 | 37          | 49         |              | 93                      | 80                        |
| ASN                  | 52                                        | 42        | 41                | 56           | 50         | 57                 | 56          | 82         |              | 98                      | 97                        |
| BLK                  | 30                                        | 32        | 26                | 36           | 39         | 39                 | 39          | 57         |              | 94                      | 77                        |
| HSP                  | 39                                        | 40        | 37                | 58           | 55         | 67                 | 52          | 74         |              | 96                      | 78                        |

| 2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |                                           |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2019-20 |
| MUL                                       | 56                                        | 47        | 40                | 60           | 48         |                    | 43          | 62         |              | 88                      | 86                        |
| WHT                                       | 57                                        | 43        | 31                | 63           | 61         | 63                 | 66          | 84         |              | 93                      | 83                        |
| FRL                                       | 36                                        | 34        | 23                | 51           | 52         | 51                 | 45          | 63         |              | 91                      | 75                        |
|                                           | 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| Subgroups ELA<br>Ach.                     |                                           | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2017-18 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2017-18 |
| SWD                                       | 33                                        | 37        | 35                | 56           | 54         | 63                 | 46          | 52         |              | 95                      | 49                        |
| ELL                                       | 24                                        | 39        | 37                | 60           | 64         | 59                 | 54          | 63         |              | 92                      | 77                        |
| AMI                                       | 36                                        | 50        |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| ASN                                       | 62                                        | 46        | 16                | 79           | 73         | 92                 | 80          | 85         |              | 98                      | 91                        |
| BLK                                       | 39                                        | 41        | 38                | 59           | 64         | 58                 | 59          | 72         |              | 94<br>92                | 82<br>80                  |
| HSP                                       | 43                                        | 46        | 39                | 64           | 61         | 56                 | 66          | 79         |              |                         |                           |
| MUL                                       | 57                                        | 41        | 37                | 70           | 66         |                    | 83          | 87         |              | 94                      | 87                        |
| WHT                                       | 61                                        | 51        | 39                | 73           | 68         | 73                 | 85          | 89         |              | 97                      | 90                        |
| FRL                                       | 43                                        | 42        | 36                | 65           | 63         | 68                 | 68          | 72         |              | 93                      | 79                        |
|                                           |                                           | 2018      | SCHOO             | OL GRAD      | E COMF     | ONENT              | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2016-17 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2016-17 |
| SWD                                       | 38                                        | 47        | 37                | 65           | 69         | 69                 | 45          | 55         |              | 97                      | 58                        |
| ELL                                       | 21                                        | 38        | 32                | 74           | 82         | 84                 | 40          | 50         |              | 94                      | 81                        |
| ASN                                       | 62                                        | 50        | 47                | 88           | 79         | 85                 | 71          | 85         |              | 100                     | 92                        |
| BLK                                       | 44                                        | 44        | 35                | 73           | 69         | 64                 | 48          | 69         |              | 98                      | 83                        |
| HSP                                       | 45                                        | 41        | 32                | 75           | 70         | 79                 | 60          | 75         |              | 99                      | 89                        |
| MUL                                       | 72                                        | 58        | 60                | 84           | 91         |                    | 75          | 79         |              | 100                     | 90                        |
| WHT                                       | 63                                        | 55        | 50                | 84           | 74         | 81                 | 78          | 86         |              | 96                      | 91                        |
| FRL                                       | 48                                        | 45        | 38                | 78           | 72         | 75                 | 58          | 74         |              | 95                      | 87                        |

#### ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

| ESSA Federal Index                                                              |     |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)                                                    |     |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students                                            | 56  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students                                    | NO  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target                                    | 0   |  |  |  |  |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 43  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index                                       | 620 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Components for the Federal Index                                          | 11  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Tested                                                                  | 91% |  |  |  |  |

| Subgroup Data                                                                  |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Students With Disabilities                                                     |     |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities                                     | 49  |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?             | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%      |     |
| English Language Learners                                                      |     |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners                                      | 52  |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%       |     |
| Native American Students                                                       |     |
| Federal Index - Native American Students                                       |     |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?               | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%        |     |
| Asian Students                                                                 |     |
| Federal Index - Asian Students                                                 | 60  |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                         | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%                  |     |
| Black/African American Students                                                |     |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students                                | 47  |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% |     |
| Hispanic Students                                                              |     |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students                                              | 58  |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                      | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%               |     |
| Multiracial Students                                                           |     |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students                                           | 59  |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                   | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%            |     |
|                                                                                |     |
| Pacific Islander Students                                                      |     |

| Pacific Islander Students                                                          |    |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                  |    |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%           |    |  |  |  |  |
| White Students                                                                     |    |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Index - White Students                                                     | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                             |    |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%                      |    |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students                                                |    |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students                                | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        |    |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% |    |  |  |  |  |

#### Analysis

#### Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

All subgroups and core content areas maintained or showed slight declines from prior year. All data components affected by COVID, attendance, and shifting from DVHR to B&M throughout the year.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Algebra 1 and English-10th grade showed greatest needs for improvement based on 2019 state assessments.

# What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

1. COVID and student lack of attendance (shifts from DVHR and B&M)

2. New staff in both of these content areas, Algebra and ELA 10th, in first years of teaching and staff changes mid-year causing class instability.

3. PMA's given too early in curriculum/year before all content was taught in Algebra and ELA. Actions to be taken for improvement would be

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Numbers in both Biology and US History either maintained or showed improvement.

# What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Contributing factors and actions taken include: 1. AVID strategies being used in the classroom daily.

- 2. 1:1 technology usage.
- 3. Teach-led small group pull-outs to support struggling students.
- 4. Student tutorials to allow students to teach each other and retain information.

#### What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

- 1. AVID strategies implemented in classrooms daily.
- 2. Use of PMA, EEA, and baseline data to drive instruction.
- 3. Teacher-led small groups pull-outs to help struggling students.
- 4. Technology platforms: Achieve3000, Algebra Nation, etc.

# Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

- 1. AVID Lunch and Learn PD for AVID strategies in core content areas.
- 2. PLCs to assess PMA, EEA, and baseline data to plan instruction.
- 3. District PD offered for technology platforms used in subject areas.
- 4. ESOL PD from District

# Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

PBIS team working with administration to monitor discipline data that correlates with student low academic performance. Restorative justice implementation to support habitual behavior issues to insure they are in the classroom as much as possible to receive continued instruction.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

## **#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction**

|                                                        | har radice specifically relating to clandards-anglied instruction                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Area of<br>Focus<br>Description<br>and<br>Rationale:   | A slight majority of classrooms are implementing standards aligned instruction with<br>appropriate level of student tasks/experiences. However, there seems to be a disconnect<br>between what tasks are being completed by<br>students, and their understanding of the assessment resulting in a drop in proficiency. In<br>dveloping the teachers with implementing standards-based instruction it is important that<br>we provide training on how to utilize the Learning Arc to decrese the academic deficit. This<br>will enable teachers to plan effective lessons using the item specifications and ALDS to<br>make sure the learning task are aligned of the standard.<br>Title 1 funds will be utilized as an additional layer of support to students by funding the<br>following positions (Mathematics, Reading, Science, Language Arts, Dean of Students).<br>The additional positions will assist in ensuring student growth and ultimate proficiency. A<br>Dean of Students and Graduation Coach will be funded with Title 1 to improve student<br>engagement in the classroom and reduce classroom distractions while providing college<br>bound culture of success.<br>Science<br>Mathematics<br>Language Arts<br>Reading<br>Math<br>Dean of Students<br>Title 1 funds will also be used to purchase additional student laptops and carts to be used<br>during<br>instruction as well as testing to help students access the district approved blended learning<br>platforms<br>and to enhance overall instructional delivery.<br>We will also use Title 1 funds to purchase additional classroom supplies including, but not<br>limited<br>to copier paper, pencils, highlighters, and materials for teacher/student use in improving<br>student achievement. |
| Measurable<br>Outcome:                                 | A vast majority of Sandalwood's core content classes will show progress in implemenitng<br>standards-based planning procedures that produce products of aligned instruction. as<br>evident using the Walkthrough Tool.<br>-Biology increase in proficiency<br>-Mathematics increase in proficiency<br>-Reading increase in proficiency<br>-Inproved attendance<br>-Reduction of disciplinary infractions                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Monitoring:                                            | Continue to conduct Standards-Based walkthroughs with administration and academc coaches weekly. Weekly leadership meetings reports on progress monitoring.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Person<br>responsible<br>for<br>monitoring<br>outcome: | Saryn Hatcher (hatchers@duvalschools.org)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |

| Evidence-<br>based<br>Strategy:                     | To deliver standards-based instrution by unpacking standards, utilizing learning arcs, item specs, and ALDS to enhance teachers ability to create and deliver lessons aligned to the learning arcs. Common planning will show assessments and learning tasks for student mastery. Weekly data discussions will show evidence of strategies used. |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rationale<br>for<br>Evidence-<br>based<br>Strategy: | We will ensure students are getting standards-aligned grade appropriate instruction by conducting daily walkthroughs, so they are prepared for state assessments, and exhibit mastery/ understanding of the standard.                                                                                                                            |

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Ms. Deangelico (Math Lead), Ms. Galjour (Reading Coach), and Ms. Elkins (Lead U.S. History) will facilitate professional development for teachers during pre-planning on the Learning Arc in core accountability areas. Implement a PD room that outlines our Standards-Based Focus Board. Create a student-lead Standards Based Writing Research class that will assist teachers in creating written standards required for walkthroughs.

Person

Responsible Saryn Hatcher (hatchers@duvalschools.org)

| Area of<br>Focus<br>Description<br>and<br>Rationale:   | Allow stakeholders to develop an effective and consistent system of buy-in and responsibility of school improvement efforts. Create an environment that supports teachers and students in developing the whole child in order to support student learning and improvement in all core subjects to include student engagement. Based on the 5 Essentials survey, the three weakest areas included: Supportive environment, safety and positive teacher-student relationships of trust. |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Measurable<br>Outcome:                                 | According to 5-Essential Survey, increase stakeholder participation in overall school improvement efforts by 10% at the end of the first semester                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Monitoring:                                            | Leadership team will discuss culture and responsiveness with staff during monthly staff meetings. A brief survey or exit ticket witll be created at the end of PLC meetings.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Person<br>responsible<br>for<br>monitoring<br>outcome: | Saryn Hatcher (hatchers@duvalschools.org)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Evidence-<br>based<br>Strategy:                        | A consistent school-wide system such as PBIS that focuses on attendance, behavior, culture and academic progress will result in more time in class, and greater student achievement.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Rationale<br>for<br>Evidence-<br>based<br>Strategy:    | When looking at our attendance and academic success data, absenteeism has a major impact on student achievement. therefore we need to target students to adjust behavior and attendance to improve academics. The Dean of Student Services, Graduation Coach and Guidance Counselor will lead monitor warning systems on a weekly basis. Small group meetings will take place to evaluate students potential for high school success.                                                 |
|                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

#### Action Steps to Implement

Create a school PBIS team that meets bi-weekly and provide reports for the Principal's staff newsletter. Team will include three classroom teachers and the Dean of Student Services, Guidance Counselor and Graduation Coach.

Person

**Responsible** Timothy Moses (mosest1@duvalschools.org)

Attend all Shared Decision Making meetings and colloborate with teachers on school-based decisions.

Person Responsible Saryn Hatcher (hatchers@duvalschools.org)

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Secondary areas of concern include the number of drug offenses on campus. We will provide more opportunities for students to inform adults of possible drug pocession on campus. Increase number of searches throughout the day to include tardy students.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

#### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Increase stakeholder engagement & participation:

- 1). School Advisory Council (SAC) & Parent Teacher Student Assoc. (PTSA) meetings will be held monthly to involve parents in the coordination and improvement of school activities.
- 2). Annual Title I Meeting it will be placed on the school website, communicated through
- School Messenger & hard copies available in our Parent Resource Room.
- 3). Provide technology resources for parents to include tablets, laptops & interactive
- equipment on campus increasing communication to stakeholders.
- 4). Student work centers will be created in the Media Center for students to have access to technology
- 5). Lending libraries on campus where parents/students can check out self-help books.
- 6). Social Media campaign to communicate to Parents/Students additional resources available for school improvement and overall readiness.
- 7. Add Parent Liaison position to increase engagement.
- 8. Provide a safe learning environment free from drugs and violence.

# Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Principal - Marketing & Promotion signage around campus Title I Parent Liaison - Contacts parents and invites them on campus

Social Media Coordinator - Promotes all events via media

Sandalwood Court - Ambassador program provides host/hostesses on campus for tours

## Part V: Budget

#### The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A.          | Areas of Focus: Instructiona               | \$4,500.00                       |                                |     |            |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|------------|
|   | Function Object |                                            | Budget Focus                     | Funding Source                 | FTE | 2021-22    |
|   | 3374            | 310-Professional and<br>Technical Services | 2371 - Sandalwood High<br>School | School<br>Improvement<br>Funds |     | \$4,500.00 |

|   |        |                                                              | Notes: Teacher training by instructional coaches on literacy and standards building skills and assessment alighment for standards. |            |  |  |
|---|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Community Involvement |                                                                                                                                    |            |  |  |
|   |        |                                                              | Total:                                                                                                                             | \$4,500.00 |  |  |