**Duval County Public Schools** 

# Palm Avenue Excep. Student Center



2021-22 Ungraded Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## **Table of Contents**

| School Demographics                      | 3  |
|--|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the Ungraded SIP  | 4  |
| i dipose and oddine of the originated on |    |
| School Information                       | 5  |
| Needs Assessment                         | 7  |
| Planning for Improvement                 | 12 |
| R.A.I.S.E                                | 0  |
| Positive Culture & Environment           | 0  |

# Palm Avenue Excep. Student Center

1301 W PALM AVE, Jacksonville, FL 32254

http://www.duvalschools.org/palmavenue

#### **Demographics**

**Principal: Michael Alexander** 

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2009

| 2021-22 Status<br>(per MSID File)   | Active  |
|---|---|
| School Function (per accountability file)   | ESE   |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)   | High School<br>6-12   |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)   | Special Education   |
| 2020-21 Title I School  | Yes   |
| 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)   | 63%   |
| 2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities Black/African American Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
|   | 2021-22: Maintaining  |
|   | 2020-21: Commendable  |
| School Improvement Rating History   | 2018-19: Maintaining  |
|   | 2017-18: Commendable  |
|   | 2016-17: No Rating  |
| DJJ Accountability Rating   | 2023-24: No Rating  |

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

A Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) is a requirement for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) ungraded schools pursuant to 1001.42 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and for DJJ schools receiving a rating of Unsatisfactory pursuant to Sections 1003.51 and 1003.52, F.S. and Rule 6A-1.099813, F.A.C.

CSI schools can be designated as such in 2 ways:

- 1. Have a graduation of 67% or lower; or
- 2. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

DJJ Unsatisfactory Ratings are based on percentages by program type:

Prevention and Intervention: 0%-50%

Nonsecure Programs: 0%-59%Secure Programs: 0%-53%

SIP Plans for Ungraded CSI schools and DJJ schools receiving an Unsatisfactory rating must be approved by the district and reviewed by the state.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The School Improvement Plan (SIP) provides schools and Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) the opportunity to identify the academic and priority goals along with strategies for each school. School leadership teams may refine their SIP annually to define their school's academic and priority goals to increase student achievement.

Schools and LEAs are strongly encouraged to collaborate in the development and implementation of this plan.

#### **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Palm Avenue Exceptional Student Center is to provide students with educational, enrichment and real-life experiences in a safe and respectful environment that will equip them with the knowledge and skills necessary to become active, responsible and productive members of their community.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Palm Avenue Exceptional Student Center is an educational community that continuously challenges each student to obtain the knowledge and skills necessary to become independent members of their community.

Briefly discuss the population unique to your school and the specific supports provided to meet the mission and vision.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name                  | Position Title         | Job Duties and Responsibilities   |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---|
| Alexander,<br>Michael | Principal              | Providing instructional leadership and professional development, managing faculty and staff, creating a positive culture and climate, developing partnerships with community and parents, implementing district's strategic plan. |
| Bartlett,<br>Michelle | Assistant<br>Principal | Providing instructional leadership and professional development, managing faculty and staff, creating a positive culture and climate, developing partnerships with community and parents, implementing district's strategic plan. |
| Gibson, Jodi          | School<br>Counselor    | Provide suppport to teachers with IEP development and compliance.   |
| Byrd, Steven          | Instructional<br>Coach | Provide teachers support with instruction and behavior managment strategies.  |

Is education provided through contract for educational services?

No

If yes, name of the contracted education provider.

#### **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Wednesday 7/1/2009, Michael Alexander

Number of teachers with professional teaching certificates?

0

Number of teachers with temporary teaching certificates?

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school.

25

Total number of students enrolled at the school.

203

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

3

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

3

**Demographic Data** 

#### **Early Warning Systems**

#### 2021-22

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator  | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |     |       |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|-----|-------|
| mulcator   | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11    | 12  | TOtal |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 10 | 19 | 13 | 18    | 131 | 203   |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1     | 3   | 7     |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0   |       |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0   |       |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0   |       |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0   |       |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0   |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0   |       |
|  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0   |       |
|  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0   |       |
|  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0   |       |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator                             | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    | Total |       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| Indicator                           | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12    | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 9/15/2021

#### 2020-21 - Updated

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                                     | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    | Total |       |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------|-------|
| indicator                                     | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12    | Total |
| Number of students enrolled                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 10 | 19 | 13 | 18 | 131   | 203   |
| Attendance below 90 percent                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 3     | 7     |
| One or more suspensions                       | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |
| Course failure in ELA                         | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |
| Course failure in Math                        | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator                             | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    | Total |       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| Indicator                           | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12    | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |

#### Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component      |        | 2021     |       |        | 2019     |       | 2018   |          |       |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|--|
| School Grade Component      | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |  |  |
| ELA Achievement             |        |          |       |        | 47%      | 56%   |        | 47%      | 56%   |  |  |
| ELA Learning Gains          |        |          |       |        | 48%      | 51%   |        | 49%      | 53%   |  |  |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  |        |          |       |        | 42%      | 42%   |        | 42%      | 44%   |  |  |
| Math Achievement            |        |          |       |        | 51%      | 51%   |        | 51%      | 51%   |  |  |
| Math Learning Gains         |        |          |       |        | 52%      | 48%   |        | 55%      | 48%   |  |  |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile |        |          |       |        | 47%      | 45%   |        | 50%      | 45%   |  |  |
| Science Achievement         |        |          |       |        | 65%      | 68%   | ·      | 61%      | 67%   |  |  |
| Social Studies Achievement  |        |          |       |        | 70%      | 73%   |        | 67%      | 71%   |  |  |

#### **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

|           |          |        | ELA      |                                   |       |                                |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade     | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 06        | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Co | mparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 07        | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 80        | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 09        | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 10        | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0%     |          |                                   | •     |                                |

|            |          |        | MATH     | ł                                 |       |                                |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade      | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 06         | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 07         | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Com | nparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |

|                   | MATH |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |
|-------------------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Grade             | Year | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |  |
| 08                | 2021 |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |
|                   | 2019 |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |      | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |

|            |          |        | SCIEN    | CE                                |       |                                |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade      | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 08         | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |

|          |        | BIOLO    | GY EOC                         |               |                          |
|----------|--------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Year     | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District    | State         | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2021     |        |          |                                |               |                          |
| 2019     |        |          |                                |               |                          |
|          |        | CIVIC    | S EOC                          |               |                          |
| Year     | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District    | State         | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2021     |        |          |                                |               |                          |
| 2019     |        |          |                                |               |                          |
|          |        | HISTO    | RY EOC                         |               |                          |
| Year     | School | District | School District Minus District |               | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2021     |        |          |                                |               |                          |
| 2019     |        |          |                                |               |                          |
| <u>'</u> |        | ALGEE    | RA EOC                         | •             |                          |
| Year     | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District    | State         | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2021     |        |          |                                |               |                          |
| 2019     | _      |          | _                              |               |                          |
|          |        | GEOME    | TRY EOC                        |               |                          |
| Year     | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District    | Minus State I |                          |
| 2021     |        |          |                                |               |                          |
| 2019     |        |          |                                |               |                          |

## Subgroup Data Review

|   |             | 2021      | SCHO              | DL GRAD      | E COMF     | PONENT             | S BY SU     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
|---|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2019-20 |
| SWD                                       | 42          | 64        | 83                | 54           | 51         |                    | 15          | 71         |              | 91                      |                           |
| BLK                                       | 44          | 58        |                   | 68           | 63         |                    | 9           | 77         |              |                         |                           |
| WHT                                       | 36          | 82        |                   | 36           |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| FRL                                       | 44          | 62        |                   | 50           | 45         |                    | 27          | 77         |              |                         |                           |
| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |             |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2017-18 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2017-18 |
| SWD                                       | 26          | 38        | 58                | 56           | 56         | 100                | 48          | 50         |              | 79                      |                           |
| BLK                                       | 30          | 45        |                   | 58           | 67         |                    | 56          | 60         |              |                         |                           |
| WHT                                       | 20          | 32        |                   | 62           | 52         |                    |             | 45         |              |                         |                           |
| FRL                                       | 24          | 43        |                   | 55           | 54         |                    | 47          | 57         |              |                         |                           |
|   |             | 2018      | SCHO              | OL GRAD      | E COMF     | ONENT              | S BY SU     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2016-17 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2016-17 |

#### **ESSA Data Review**

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

| ESSA Federal Index  |     |
|---|-----|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)  |     |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students  | 52  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students                                    | NO  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target                                    | 0   |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency |     |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index                                       | 472 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index  | 9   |
| Percent Tested  | 90% |

# Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities 52 Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%

| English Language Learners   |  |
|---|--|
| Federal Index - English Language Learners                         |  |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? |  |

| English Language Learners  |     |
|--|-----|
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%       |     |
| Native American Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Native American Students                                       |     |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?               | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%        |     |
| Asian Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Asian Students   |     |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                         | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%                  |     |
| Black/African American Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students                                | 53  |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% |     |
| Hispanic Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students  |     |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                      | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%               |     |
| Multiracial Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students   |     |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                   | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%            |     |
| Pacific Islander Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students                                      |     |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%       |     |
| White Students   |     |
| Federal Index - White Students   | 51  |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                         | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%                  |     |

| Economically Disadvantaged Students  |    |
|--|----|
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students                                | 51 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% |    |

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

Reflect on the Areas of Focus from the previous school year. What progress monitoring was in place for low performing ESSA subgroups related to the Areas of Focus?

List programs Palm Ave. uses here.

Based on ESSA subgroup progress monitoring, which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Students demonstrated the most improvement in Math.

What area is in the greatest need of improvement? What specific component of this area is most problematic? What is your basis (data, progress monitoring) for this conclusion?

Benchmark assessments and 2019 state assessments demonstrate the greatest need for improvement is ELA (Reading), because the majority of Palm Avenue's students are non readers who struggle with comprehension.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Student with severe to moderate disabilities acquire knowledge and skills through repetition. Exposing them to content/standards through various stimuli and learning activities increases their retainment of information. The curriculum guides for content areas allow students to receive instruction repeatedly for a duration of time so they can understand content.

#### What strategies need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Continue to use small group learning centers, differentiated activities visual representation, technology and response prompting strategies to expose and help students retain content.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers will receive professional development in Visual Strategies, Systematic Instruction, Differentiated Instruction and Implementing Technology and Assistive Technology.

#### Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Areas of Focus:

#### #1. Other specifically relating to Title I

# Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Use of Assistive Technology (Go Talks) to allow students who are nonverbal or who have limited expressive communication to participate in learning activities and demonstrate knowledge of content.

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

#### **Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

#### **Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

# Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

By the end of the school year, Palm Avenue will use Assistive Technology (Go Talks) to increase the participation of students who nonverbal or who have limited expressive communication in learning activities by 90%

Classroom observations, Assitive Technology Guide and Assitive Technology meetings.

[no one identified]

Use Assitive Technology to increase active participation thorugh systematic insruction.

Teachers demonstrate difficulty involving students with limited expressive communication in learning activities, because of their inability to give a verbal respone. Incorporaiting Assistive Technology during instruction will give students a voice to express their thoughts. Collaboaretion with Speech Pathologist, Occupational Therapist, Parents (IEP Team). Individual Educaiton Plan.

#### **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### **Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

#### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

# Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Palm Avenue has developed a Pacing Guide for all courses that focuses on the Access Points and the district's Curriculum Guide. The Pacing Guide ensures we meet the standard that are on the Florida State Altenative Assessment. In addition, Palm Avenue has developed a benchmark assessments that align with the standards in the Pacing Guide. Based on benchmark assessemnts and the FSAA results, focusing on standard-aligned instruction is a critical need at Palm Avenue.

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

By the end of the school year, Palm Avenue teachers will use standard-aligned instrcution to increase students benchmark scores by 5% across all grade levels.

#### **Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Administrators will perfrom classroom observations and check lesson plans during observations to ensure all lessons are following the pacing guide and are focused on the stadnards. Administrators will also evaluate the Benchmark Assessment scores taken throughout the school year, prior to the FSAA to determine if/what standards need to be retaught during the review weeks already built into the pacing guide.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

#### **Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based Standard- bastrategy being implemented for this instruction. Area of Focus.

Michael Alexander (alexanderm2@duvalschools.org)

# Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Standard- based alighnment, which creates standards based instruction.

Duval County has provided professional development and follow up on standard based alignment. Palm Avenue does common planning to collaborate on the learning arc and unpacking the standards. The school expectation is that teacher follow the pacing guide to ensure standard based instruciton.

#### **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### **Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

#### #3. Other specifically relating to 5 essentials

#### **Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

#### **Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

#### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

#### **Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

#### Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

In reviewing 5 essential data, Palm Avenue data in the area of Collective Responsibility was weak.

By the end of the school year Palm Avenue will increase it's score in the area of Collective Responsibility by 25 points.

Review 5 Essential data in this area during common planning. Utilize 5 essential resources with teachers to create discussion and monitor progress in this area.

Michelle Bartlett (bartlettm@duvalschools.org)

Collective responsibility in a school team exists when two conditions are examined, clarified, and adopted as the foundation for the shared mission of a school:

- 1. We believe that all kids can learn at thier cognitive level.
- 2. We make the collective commitment to ensure learning occurs for every student.
- 5 Essentials survey data indicated some teachers did not share a strong sense of responsibility for student development, school improvement, and professional growth.

#### **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### **Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

#### **Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

#### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Data from 5 essestials survey is reviewed and analyzed. The principal present data to the faculty and staff and implement activities from 5 essential survey in area of improvement.

The principal do kudos to staff members in weekly reminders.

Palm Avenue does employee of the month to recognize faculty and staff for their hard work.

Palm Paws are distributed to staff members for their hard work and dedication to the school.

# Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Palm Avenue believes in involving stakeholders in all aspects of the school environment that are applicable to our students. The PTA and SAC Boards have the responsibility for advising/ assisting in the development, implementation, and evaluation of the various school plans, including the SIP and FPEP. All parents are given the opportunity to review the plans and offer their input prior to approval. In addition, a survey will be given annually to all families seeking their input on activities, training, and materials they need to help in meeting their child's learning needs. Results of parent surveys will be reviewed by the PTA and SAC to determine needed changes. During the PTA Board and SAC meeting when the FPEP and/or SIP are reviewed; their feedback along with the input from parents will help determine how the parental involvement funds will be spent. The dates and times of all meetings, training, and workshops will be presented to parents through the school calendar, newsletter, school's website, robocalls, emails and all other school advertisements.