

2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	19
Positive Culture & Environment	21
Budget to Support Goals	22

Broward - 0121 - Hollywood Central Elem. School - 2021-22 SIP

Hollywood Central Elementary School

1700 MONROE ST, Hollywood, FL 33020

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Delicia Decembert

Start Date for this Principal: 9/17/2021

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	Yes
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	95%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: C (44%) 2017-18: C (47%) 2016-17: C (45%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	19
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	22

Broward - 0121 - Hollywood Central Elem. School - 2021-22 SIP

Hollywood Central Elementary School

1700 MONROE ST, Hollywood, FL 33020

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Gra (per MSID F		2020-21 Title I School	Disadvant	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary So PK-5	chool	Yes		70%
Primary Servic (per MSID F	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General Ed	ucation	No		79%
School Grades Histor	у			
Year Grade	2020-21	2019-20 C	2018-19 C	2017-18 C
School Board Approv	val			

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Hollywood Central Elementary school and community is to deliver a quality education to all students by providing a safe, orderly, and caring environment, while offering well planned learning opportunities and stressing high but individualized expectations.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Hollywood Central Elementary School vision statement is aligned to the following guiding principles: We believe every student has the right to a quality education.

We believe every student can learn, but in different ways and at different times.

We believe a safe, orderly, and caring environment is necessary for learning.

We believe every student has the right to be treated with respect.

We believe every student's achievement will rise to the level of expectation.

We believe quality education results from a partnership that is shared among the home, school, and community.

We believe the ultimate success of democracy is dependent upon the quality of public education. We believe students should be taught to "learn how to learn."

We believe that to meet the challenges of change, risks must be taken.

We believe that all student and staff should have experiences that develop interpersonal skills and sensitivity in working with others of diverse backgrounds and abilities.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Decembert, Delicia	Principal	The job duties and responsibilities of the Principal of Hollywood Central Elementary School (Delicia Decembert) is established for the purpose of promoting and maintaining high student achievement by providing curricular and instructional leadership, maintaining overall school site operations; receiving, distributing and communication information to enforce school, District and State policies; maintaining a safe school environment; coordinating site activities and communicating information to staff, students, parents, and community members.
Heverly, Kelly	Assistant Principal	The job duties and responsibilities of Assistant Principal (Kelly Heverly) of Hollywood Central Elementary is to assist the building principal in organizing and fostering a positive, safe environment that is conducive to best meeting the needs of all students, staff, and parents. This includes responsibilities as: leading, directing, counseling, and supervising a variety of personnel and programs; creating effective parent, teacher, child communications, supporting, encouraging, mentoring, and evaluating staff; fostering teamwork between teachers and among staff and parents, and managing budget items.
Eutsey, Lisa	Instructional Coach	The Literacy Coach/Instructional Coach will support K-5 staff in the implementation of the site reading plan and program. The Literacy Coach will work directly with teachers at Hollywood Central by providing classroom-based demonstrations, collaborative, and one-on-one support, and facilitating teacher inquiry and related professional development. The Literacy Coach will also focus on enhancing teachers' ability to provide instruction that builds students' sense of engagement in the ownership of leadership. Moreover, the Literacy Coach works with administrations and teachers to collect and analyze data, interpret, and use it to guide instructional decisions.
	School Counselor	Ms. LaBruto offers individual counseling to help students resolve personal or interpersonal problems. They may also offer small group counseling to help students enhance listening and social skills, learn to empathize with others, and find social support through healthy peer relationships.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Friday 9/17/2021, Delicia Decembert

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

1

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

4

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school 21

Total number of students enrolled at the school 335

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year. 2

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					G	rade	Lev	/el						Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	48	66	81	59	65	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	385
Attendance below 90 percent	23	21	30	20	29	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	139
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	3	16	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	2	16	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	4	7	18	15	14	14	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	144

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	l				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 9/29/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indiantar	Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantan						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	l				Tatal
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	48	66	81	59	65	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	385
Attendance below 90 percent	23	21	30	20	29	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	139
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	3	16	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	2	16	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					G	Grad	e L	eve	el					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	3	1	11	10	14	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar						Gr	ade	e Le	ve					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				43%	59%	57%	43%	56%	56%
ELA Learning Gains				59%	60%	58%	59%	57%	55%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				56%	54%	53%	52%	51%	48%
Math Achievement				39%	65%	63%	47%	62%	62%
Math Learning Gains				47%	66%	62%	48%	60%	59%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				32%	53%	51%	34%	47%	47%
Science Achievement				31%	46%	53%	49%	49%	55%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	37%	60%	-23%	58%	-21%
Cohort Corr	nparison					
04	2021					
	2019	44%	62%	-18%	58%	-14%
Cohort Corr	parison	-37%			· · ·	
05	2021					
	2019	45%	59%	-14%	56%	-11%
Cohort Corr	nparison	-44%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	31%	65%	-34%	62%	-31%
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019	38%	67%	-29%	64%	-26%
Cohort Co	mparison	-31%				
05	2021					
	2019	44%	64%	-20%	60%	-16%
Cohort Co	mparison	-38%			•	

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019	30%	49%	-19%	53%	-23%
Cohort Com	nparison					

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

The progress monitoring tool(s) that were used to compile the data below for Hollywood Central is the iReady Online Program. This online program helps students' teachers(s) to determine students' needs, personalize their learning, and monitor progress throughout the school year. iReady allows the teachers to meet the students exactly where they are which assists in providing the data to increase the students; learning gains. Moreover, the iReady Diagnostic were also used to as an adaptive assessment that adjusts its questions to suit the students' needs.

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	66	66	66
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	31.4%	33.3%	35.9%
	Students With Disabilities	55.6%	55.6%	77.8%
	English Language Learners	45.5%	30%	8.3%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	66	66	66
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	33.3%	20%	36.1%
	Students With Disabilities	62.5%	55.6%	66.7%
	English Language Learners	44.4%	7.7%	16.7%
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Grade 2 Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students		Winter 81	Spring 81
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall		
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	Fall 81	81	81
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall 81 25%	81 36%	81 46%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency	Fall 81 25% 14.3% 0% Fall	81 36% 12.5% 20% Winter	81 46% 25% 29.4% Spring
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	Fall 81 25% 14.3% 0%	81 36% 12.5% 20%	81 46% 25% 29.4%
	ProficiencyAll StudentsEconomicallyDisadvantagedStudents WithDisabilitiesEnglish LanguageLearnersNumber/%ProficiencyAll StudentsEconomicallyDisadvantaged	Fall 81 25% 14.3% 0% Fall	81 36% 12.5% 20% Winter	81 46% 25% 29.4% Spring
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	Fall 81 25% 14.3% 0% Fall 81	81 36% 12.5% 20% Winter 81	81 46% 25% 29.4% Spring 81

		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	59	59	59
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	32.4%	45.9%	55.6%
	Students With Disabilities	33.3%	30%	50%
	English Language Learners	37.5%	22.2%	50%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	59	59	59
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	12.5%	16.2%	28.6%
	Students With Disabilities	0%	30%	30%
	English Language Learners	37.5%	11.1%	36.4%
		Grade 4		
	Number/% Proficiency	Grade 4 Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students		Winter 65	Spring 65
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall		
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	Fall 65	65	65
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall 65 30.6%	65 36.8%	65 52.8%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency	Fall 65 30.6% 11.1% 16.7% Fall	65 36.8% 0% 23.1% Winter	65 52.8% 33.3% 40% Spring
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	Fall 65 30.6% 11.1% 16.7%	65 36.8% 0% 23.1%	65 52.8% 33.3% 40%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall 65 30.6% 11.1% 16.7% Fall	65 36.8% 0% 23.1% Winter	65 52.8% 33.3% 40% Spring
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	Fall 65 30.6% 11.1% 16.7% Fall 65	65 36.8% 0% 23.1% Winter 65	65 52.8% 33.3% 40% Spring 65

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	66	66	66
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	20.5.%	25.6%	39.5%
	Students With Disabilities	20%	20%	14.3%
	English Language Learners	20%	20%	31.3%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	66	66	66
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	17.1%	27.5%	34.2%
	Students With Disabilities	6.7%	26.7%	23.1%
	English Language Learners	7.1%	20%	26.7%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science	Economically Disadvantaged	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Students With Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A
	English Language Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A

Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	19	27		28	19		25				
ELL	40	32		19	9		17				
BLK	30	42		16	15		31				
HSP	35	25		18	10		24				
WHT	31	31		24	8		15				
FRL	31	29	18	16	14		24				
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	15	56	57	28	36	9					
ELL	36	61	57	34	49	43	26				
BLK	26	39	50	10	31	25	6				
HSP	37	58	59	40	51	38	23				

		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
WHT	70	76		60	56		65				
FRL	38	55	55	35	48	31	23				
		2018	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	19	27		26	9						
ELL	24	54	50	33	45	47	23				
BLK	29	58	64	33	39	10	29				
HSP	33	56	62	39	48	50	43				
WHT	70	64		70	57		68				
FRL	37	55	52	42	46	41	45				

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	26
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	6
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	70
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	210
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	90%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	24
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
	31
English Language Learners	31 YES

Broward - 0121 - Hollywood Central Elem. School - 2021-22 SIP

Native American Students						
Federal Index - Native American Students						
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%						
Asian Students						
Federal Index - Asian Students						
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%						
Black/African American Students						
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	27					
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES					
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%						
Hispanic Students						
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	30					
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%						
Multiracial Students						
Federal Index - Multiracial Students						
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%						
Pacific Islander Students						
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students						
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%						
White Students						
Federal Index - White Students	22					
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%						
Economically Disadvantaged Students						
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	29					
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%						

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

After reflecting on the Early Warning System (EWS) from Part 1(D), the potential areas of concern are: Number of students with attendance below 90% and the number of students in Grades 3-5 who earned a Level 1 in ELA or Math.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

After analyzing the data, the data component that showed the greatest gap when compared to the state average is Math Achievement (39%) and Math Learning Gains (47%) from the 2019 school year. The contributing factor(s) that had the greatest gap when compared to the state average is the lack of high quality Tier 1 instruction in mathematics standards based instruction and a change of teachers in specific grade levels.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

After analyzing the data, the contributing factors to this need for improvement that showed the greatest decline is the area of Math Lowest 25 Percentile of Students. The contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance is the lack of high quality Tier 1 instruction in mathematics standard based instruction from the prior year due to a change of instructional staff in specific grade levels. The new actions that Hollywood Central would need to be taken to address this need for improvement is implementing quality Tier 1 Standard-Based Instruction in the areas ELA, Math, and Science.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

After analyzing the data, the data component that showed the most improvement is the ELA Lowest 25 Percentile student group.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The new actions Hollywood Central incorporated in this area is by creating an ELA Interventionist position that identifies struggling students at the beginning of the school year who have reading deficiencies in one or more areas of reading. The ELA Interventionist implements high quality standard based instruction and strategies to boost student achievement in the area of ELA while also using evidence and research-based instructional materials.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

1. Engaging in productive and effective professional learning communities (PLCs) by implementing coaching tools such as Analyzing Student Work (ASW).

 Creating a Progress Monitoring Calendar and Standards-Based Mastery Check Database that provides instant student performance data using school wide grade level formative assessments.
 Weekly, Monthly, and Daily Classroom Walk-throughs to determine if strong TIER 1 instruction is taking place in all subject-areas school wide. 4. Instructional staff will attend professional development in areas that showed the greatest decline from the prior school year.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders are:

Reading Horizons- In this course, teachers/support facilitators providing intensive interventions to students with identified deficiencies in phonological awareness and decoding will gain an overall understanding of using a Structured Literacy Approach to deliver phonics instruction following the scope and sequence.

ELA Instructional Materials-Part 1/2-

In this professional learning course, participants will receive an introduction of the features of the 2021 adopted core reading instructional materials related to program structure, texts, spiral design behind the instructional framework, lesson structure, assessments, and the teacher resource system. Participants will be oriented to several high quality resources that address whole group instruction, small group instruction, and independent practice.

Effective Small Group Instr for Math K-5-

Participants will recognize the importance of using formative assessment data in the decision-making process to identify student math deficits and then apply this knowledge to effectively select instructional strategies that will support student learning.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Benchmark Assessment System-

This one-day professional learning course will target intermediate level (grades 3-5) classroom teachers and literacy coaches, providing comprehensive training on administration and data analysis of the Benchmark Assessment System (BAS) to support differentiated instructional decision-making. The BAS is a compatible running record assessment that provides formative data for monitoring the reading progress of students. Emphasis will be placed on the analysis of quantitative and qualitative data to plan differentiated reading instruction that meets the targeted needs of students.

Effective Small Group Instr for Math K-5-

Participants will recognize the importance of using formative assessment data in the decision-making process to identify student math deficits and then apply this knowledge to effectively select instructional strategies that will support student learning.

BEST Things to Know-Deeper Dive K-5-

Teachers learn the major similarities and differences between the MAFS and LAFS and the new Florida BEST Standards for English Language Arts and Mathematics. In addition, participants will explore various resources available to them for planning high quality, standards aligned instruction.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA						
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	In analyzing the data, Black/African-American students performed well below other subgroups. Focusing on the instructional strategies, standards-based formatives, and consistent progress monitoring will support their learning gains.					
Measurable Outcome:	subarouns. Focusing on the instructional strategies, standards-based formatives, and					
Monitoring:	This Area of Focus will be monitored by having frequent data chats that identifies Black/ African-American students by monitoring their performance based on the formative assessments.					
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Delicia Decembert (delicia.decembert@browardschools.com)					
Evidence- based Strategy:	Embedded High Quality Instruction-The goal or purpose of this best practice is to use student academic and/or behavior information to better identify students with learning disabilities or behavior needs in order to give students the necessary supportive interventions that will maximize their full potential and learning. Implementation of iReady, Acaletics Math Program, Benchmark Advance, and Go Math/Think Central will provide common standards-based formative assessments. Students will participate in targeted reading interventions. School-wide PLC's focusing on the CARE (Curriculum, Assessment, Remediation, and Enrichment) while anlayzing grade-level common assessments.					
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	Classroom teachers can increase students' ELA/Math success throughout the primary and intermediate grades by implementing high-quality instruction. which refers to the utilization of both research validated instructional practices and core reading and math programs such as iReady, Acaletics Math Program, Benchmark Advance, and Go Math/Think Central evidence-based programs. Implementing high quality instructional allows teachers to rule inadequate instruction as a reason for poor performance.					

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Action Steps to Implement

1. Providing all students with differentiated instructional based on each students' learning needs.

2. Ensuring teachers are utilizing effective grouping procedures such as: small groups, paired instruction, independent work, and one-on-one instruction.

3. Monitoring school wide grade level common assessments K-5.

4. Identifying students with (SWD) that should not be on grade level standards based on previous formatives and summative assessments.

5. Ensuring all classroom teachers are given the opportunity to attend professional development that will strengthen TIER 1 instruction in all academic areas.

Person

Responsible Delicia Decembert (delicia.decembert@browardschools.com)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Hollywood Central will continue to positive, safe and supportive school climate that fosters safety; promotes a supportive academic, disciplinary, and physical environment; and encourages and maintains respectful, trusting, and caring relationships throughout the school community. Creating a positive, safe and supportive school environment requires schools to identify a framework for understanding school climate, measure the climate to identify areas for improvement, and develop a strategy to implement improvements. Positive school climates improves student achievement, teacher retention, and mental health, among other beneficial outcomes.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Building a positive environment in individual classrooms throughout Hollywood Central is a matter of cultivating and maintaining positive relationships. It takes commitment and consistency from the whole team-administrators, teachers, and support staff.

Building Strong Relationships- Teachers needs to have the time to talk to their students in and out of the classroom. The goal should be for every adult in the building to maintain a high rate of positive interactions with students and to show genuine interest in their lives, their activities, their goals and their struggles.
 Teach Social Skills- Behavior should treated like academics, and students should be taught the skills they need to executed desired behaviors. These behaviors and values include honest, sensitivity, concern and respect for others, a sense of humor, reliability, and so on. Together with the staff, teachers should identify the social skills you want your students to have and the step-by-step routines to teach them.
 Clarify Classroom Rules-Classroom rules communicate your expectations to your students. They tell students this is the positive environment you deserve. This is the standard of behavior we know you can achieve.

4. Be Role Models-Observing the actions of others influences how they respond to their environment and cope with unfamiliar situations.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Stakeholder typically refers to anyone who is invested in the welfare and success of a school and its students, including administrators, teachers, staff members, students, parents, families, community members, local business leaders, and elected officials such as school board members. The stakeholders play an important role in managing schools. They are the partners of the school leaders in making the schools conducive to teaching and learning. They are also responsible for the achievement of the learning outcomes through their active participation in school activities, programs and projects.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA				\$2,385.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	6400	100-Salaries	0121 - Hollywood Central Elem. School	Title, I Part A	335.0	\$2,385.00
	Notes: Extended Learning Opportunities for Students in Grades 3, 4, 5					
Total:					\$2,385.00	