

Broward County Public Schools

Riverland Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	19
Positive Culture & Environment	25
Budget to Support Goals	25

Riverland Elementary School

2600 SW 11TH CT, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33312

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Oslay Gil

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2011

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	Yes
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: C (49%) 2017-18: C (47%) 2016-17: C (49%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here .	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	19
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	25

Riverland Elementary School

2600 SW 11TH CT, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33312

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2020-21 Title I School	2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Elementary School PK-5	Yes	87%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	96%

School Grades History

Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		C	C	C

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Riverland Elementary School is that all students will be instructed by a highly qualified teacher, who will meet the present needs of the students and work collaboratively with parents/guardians so that students become college and career ready. All students will demonstrate at least one year's worth of growth. Program offerings such as the World Languages program are directly aligned to the school's vision statement. Additionally, high expectations in all classrooms and content areas are held by administration and teachers to meet the needs of all students through individualized, quarterly achievement goals, and individualized instruction through innovative programs such as the Digital 5 Initiative, the balanced literacy model for literacy instruction, and a 90-minute block of math instruction infused with individualized center-based performance tasks to build automaticity, fluency, and critical thinking skills. Further evidence of the school's program offerings alignment to the vision and mission statements include Riverland Elementary School's magnet program not only being recognized as the second highest rated elementary school magnet program in the district, but also students' abilities showcased at an exemplary level by taking home gold medals in nearly all categories at the annual World Languages Competition held by the Innovative Programs Department.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of Riverland Elementary School is to provide a world-class education to all students, preparing them to live, work, and compete in a global economy.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Gil, Oslay	Principal	<p>The duties and responsibilities of the principal include supervising the daily operations of the school ensuring compliance with all local, state and federal guidelines. Additionally, Mr. Gil implements instructional curricular programs to meet the individual needs of students at Riverland Elementary. Moreover, he is charged with recruiting, hiring and retaining highly qualified teachers and providing the appropriate professional development to further develop the staff.</p>
Familia, Katherine	Assistant Principal	<p>As assistant principal, Mrs. Familia's duties and responsibilities include supporting Mr. Gil in the daily operations of the school ensuring compliance with all local, state and federal guidelines. Additionally, she will support the implementation of instructional curricular programs to meet the individual needs of students at Riverland Elementary. Moreover, she is charged with supporting Mr. Gil's efforts in the recruitment, hiring and retention of highly qualified teachers and providing the appropriate professional development to further develop the staff.</p>
Montiel, Peggy	Other	<p>The role of the ESE Specialist is to provide information and support to students, families, and professionals about local resources and support groups. The ESE Specialist works with general education teachers regarding their role and responsibility to students with disabilities (SWD) and the gifted. The ESE Specialist maintains all records, test data, Educational Plans (EP), for gifted students, and Individual Education Plans (IEP) for the students with disabilities at the school.</p>
Galloway, Ashley	Magnet Coordinator	<p>The responsibilities of Ashley Galloway as curriculum facilitator and magnet coordinator are to collaborate with teachers</p>

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		and provide support with planning, implementing lessons, and providing resources. Moreover, I am responsible for coordinating and planning our magnet open house to provide parents with information regarding our magnet program.
	School Counselor	As the school counselor, Ms. Doering, aligns her Annual School Counseling Plan (ASCP) with the American School Counselor Association (ASCA) Model and the School Principal's vision. She continues to build relationships with her staff and school community to maximize her ability to serve students. She is responsible for the utilization of BASIS to review academic, behavioral, and attendance data to identify students in need of school counseling services in addition to supporting the needs of our most fragile students. Based on data, Ms. Doering conducts small group counseling sessions and conducts classroom school counseling lessons to each class. Ms. Doering serves as the RtI Manager and the Testing Coordinator.
Ahkin Chin Tai, Leonora	Reading Coach	As the literacy coach, Ms. Chin Tai is responsible for working collaboratively with both the leadership team and teachers. Her responsibilities include classroom-based modeling, one-on-one support, observing instructional delivery and providing feedback to enhance instruction, facilitating teachers' requests for professional development as well as monitoring students' progress based on Florida's state standards.
Smith, Quana	Instructional Coach	As the curriculum facilitator, Ms. Smith collaborates with the leadership team as well as team leaders to provide a comprehensive approach to implementing curriculum and instruction.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Friday 7/1/2011, Oslay Gil

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

6

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

18

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

24

Total number of students enrolled at the school

483

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

7

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

9

Demographic Data**Early Warning Systems****2021-22**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level														Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Number of students enrolled	48	63	102	73	84	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	447	
Attendance below 90 percent	4	7	16	6	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	4	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	19	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	1	12	29	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	6	18	17	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 9/20/2021

2020-21 - As Reported**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	60	97	85	98	78	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	499
Attendance below 90 percent	31	47	40	26	35	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	198
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	4	1	6	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

2020-21 - Updated**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	63	94	88	101	83	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	511
Attendance below 90 percent	25	38	35	37	41	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	202
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	4	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	19	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	6	18	17	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	4	1	6	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2021			2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				46%	59%	57%	48%	56%	56%
ELA Learning Gains				45%	60%	58%	49%	57%	55%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				46%	54%	53%	50%	51%	48%
Math Achievement				62%	65%	63%	56%	62%	62%
Math Learning Gains				61%	66%	62%	50%	60%	59%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				41%	53%	51%	41%	47%	47%
Science Achievement				39%	46%	53%	35%	49%	55%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	47%	60%	-13%	58%	-11%
Cohort Comparison						
04	2021					
	2019	54%	62%	-8%	58%	-4%
Cohort Comparison		-47%				
05	2021					
	2019	31%	59%	-28%	56%	-25%
Cohort Comparison		-54%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	58%	65%	-7%	62%	-4%
Cohort Comparison						
04	2021					
	2019	65%	67%	-2%	64%	1%
Cohort Comparison		-58%				
05	2021					
	2019	50%	64%	-14%	60%	-10%
Cohort Comparison		-65%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019	34%	49%	-15%	53%	-19%
Cohort Comparison						

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

The ELA and Mathematics data below was compiled as a result of the administration of the i-Ready Diagnostic. The Diagnostic was administered in the Fall, Winter and Spring. The Science data includes standards based district assessments and the Statewide Science Assessment.

Grade 1				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	66	55	42
	Economically Disadvantaged	63	56	39
	Students With Disabilities	40	20	2
	English Language Learners	54	36	13
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	51	52	52
	Economically Disadvantaged	50	53	53
	Students With Disabilities	20	40	40
	English Language Learners	32	28	44
Grade 2				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	28	28	35
	Economically Disadvantaged	27	28	34
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	20
	English Language Learners	15	7	14
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	21	16	14
	Economically Disadvantaged	22	17	12
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	29
	English Language Learners	11	13	13

Grade 3				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	34	34	51
	Economically Disadvantaged	31	34	51
	Students With Disabilities	9	14	32
	English Language Learners	15	14	26
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	9	16	27
	Economically Disadvantaged	6	13	23
	Students With Disabilities	5	14	14
	English Language Learners	8	3	0
Grade 4				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	21	17	16
	Economically Disadvantaged	21	16	17
	Students With Disabilities	0	6	6
	English Language Learners	5	0	12
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	11	12	20
	Economically Disadvantaged	12	13	21
	Students With Disabilities	6	6	13
	English Language Learners	0	0	6

Grade 5				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	24	29	29
	Economically Disadvantaged	27	34	39
	Students With Disabilities	12	6	18
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	13	31	52
	Economically Disadvantaged	16	34	58
	Students With Disabilities	0	18	25
	English Language Learners	8	8	17
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students	19	26	24
	Economically Disadvantaged	21	28	28
	Students With Disabilities	8	12	7
	English Language Learners	0	6	0

Subgroup Data Review

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	19	27		19	21		8				
ELL	24	37		31	26	36	17				
BLK	26	25		24	41	20	16				
HSP	37	50	45	35	34		31				
FRL	28	34	36	26	37	38	26				
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	20	39	43	21	42	25	20				
ELL	45	43	45	60	62	53	38				
BLK	46	49	64	60	60	38	30				
HSP	46	40	32	66	63	50	43				
FRL	45	44	47	61	61	41	36				

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	11	26	24	13	25	25	8				
ELL	45	48	59	51	39	46	6				
BLK	41	39	38	48	43	25	24				
HSP	56	61	61	68	59	60	45				
WHT	40	29		43	33						
FRL	47	50	50	55	48	41	33				

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	34
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	4
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	46
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	269
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	94%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	21
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	31
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	24
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	41
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	34
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Riverland Elementary demonstrated a 2% decrease in the overall proficiency in ELA from 2018 to 2019, 48 to 46. Moreover, there was a 4% decrease in the percentage of students demonstrating overall learning gains and lowest quartile learning gains, 49 to 45 and 50 to 46 respectively. Furthermore, the Diagnostic 2 i-Ready Data demonstrated a decrease in predicted proficiency of 10% in third grade, 11% in fourth grade and 6% in fifth grade. Additionally, 57% of our Students with Disabilities were considered at risk, 36% placed in Tier 2, and 7% placed in Tier 1. Furthermore, 82% of our Students with Disabilities were off track, 15% borderline, and 3% on track as demonstrated through the Benchmark Assessment System. The COVID-19 pandemic has contributed significantly to the current educational gaps. Thus, we are currently mitigating significant learning gaps in both ELA and Mathematics. Our progress monitoring tools, to include i-Ready, indicate a decline in student proficiency and learning gains across subgroups.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Based on our data, the greatest decline was evident in the overall learning gains, lowest quartile learning gains as well the predicted proficiency and the learning gains of our students with disabilities. The COVID-19 pandemic has contributed significantly to the current educational gaps .

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

The greatest gap when compared to the state average for 2019 was evident in English Language Arts learning gains with a gap of 13%. In addition to chronic absenteeism, our leadership team and teachers identified teacher expertise and comfort in the content area as contributions to this gap. The COVID-19 pandemic has contributed significantly to the current educational gaps .

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

The most significant improvement was noted in a 6% increase in Mathematics achievement when compared to 2018.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Our greatest area of concern is chronic absenteeism followed by the percentage of students scoring an achievement level 1 in English Language Arts and Mathematics. As per our data these areas have a direct correlation.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

1. Increasing the percentage of students who demonstrate learning gains in English Language Arts
2. Increasing the percentage of students in our lowest quartile who demonstrate learning gains in English

Language Arts

3. Increasing the percentage of students who demonstrate learning gains in English

Language Arts

4. Increase student attendance

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Riverland Elementary School will continue to support the development and growth of teachers' instructional practice with the provision of professional development as it relates to the differentiation of instruction, the provision of strategic small group instruction and the implementation of appropriate interventions.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Teachers will participate in lesson studies, professional learning communities and continued professional development opportunities. Students will be provided with additional support via extended learning opportunities. Students will continue to receive the opportunity to participate in extended learning opportunities after school.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Riverland Elementary had a 4% decrease in English Language Arts learning gains from 2018 to 2019, also demonstrating a 13% gap between our school and the state. Moreover, 2021 FSA and current diagnostic results reveal a significant decrease in student reading proficiency and learning gains.
Measurable Outcome:	By June 2022 Riverland Elementary will increase the English Language Arts learning gains from 45% to 55%.
Monitoring:	The area of focus will be monitored through progress monitoring assessments. Riverland Elementary School will participate in six week instructional cycles followed by Collaborative Progress Monitoring Conversations.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Osley Gil (osley.gil@browardschools.com)
Evidence-based Strategy:	Riverland Elementary will continue to implement the four literacy instructional contexts within balanced literacy during the 120 minute English Language Arts block. This school year we will continue to give special attention to and designating specific times to small group guided reading where teachers will differentiate instruction to meet the individual needs of students. Accordingly, we have also planned and begun to deliver professional development centered around the successful implementation of small group guided reading. We will be engaging in a Guided Reading professional learning community book study. Additional instructional materials will be purchased to support the instructional program. Moreover, ESSER funding sources will be utilized to create two teaching positions. These teachers will provide intensive small group instruction to students not meeting adequate yearly progress.
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	Although we were able to maintain our overall proficiency in English Language Arts, there was a significant decrease in learning gains. Our data reflects the need to provide strategic instructional supports during small group guided reading instruction to address the academic deficiencies of students in order to maintain their instructional momentum towards proficiency. Moreover, our current data, post virtual learning, indicates a significant decrease in student reading proficiency.

Action Steps to Implement

Disaggregate and analyze student data to determine curricular and instructional needs

Person Responsible Osley Gil (osley.gil@browardschools.com)

Establish meeting dates for quarterly data chats

Person Responsible Katherine Familia (katherine.familia@browardschools.com)

Provide professional development relevant to balanced literacy to include the interactive read aloud, shared reading and small group guided reading

Person Responsible Leonora Ahkin Chin Tai (leonora.ahkin-chin-tai@browardschools.com)

Provide ongoing support and feedback to teachers on the implementation of the literacy block.

Person Responsible Osley Gil (osley.gil@browardschools.com)

Provide opportunities for teachers, via a small group guided reading professional learning communities, to reflect and discuss best practices

Person Responsible Katherine Familia (katherine.familia@browardschools.com)

#2. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Chronic absenteeism prevents students from receiving the full benefits of our instructional program. For the 2019-2020 school year, 24.18% of our students were chronically absent. Moreover, 15% of the students chronically absent are students with disabilities, who only met 32% of our Federal Index

Measurable Outcome: By June 2022, Riverland Elementary overall chronically absent percentage will decrease from 24.18% to 15%.

Monitoring: Student attendance will be monitored by teachers, the Leadership Team and the Collaborative Problem Solving Team. Should concerns arise, interventions will be provided as needed.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome: Leonora Ahkin Chin Tai (leonora.ahkin-chin-tai@browardschools.com)

Evidence-based Strategy: Furthermore, we will ensure that these students are in the Response to Intervention process and are receiving emotional, behavioral and academic supports. We will hold quarterly data chats to monitor student progress

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: The students who are identified as chronically absent make up 15% of our students with disabilities.

Action Steps to Implement

Identify students chronically absent

Person Responsible Peggy Montiel (tonya.montiel@browardschools.com)

Review students presently in Rtl and ensure that all students have been referred

Person Responsible Peggy Montiel (tonya.montiel@browardschools.com)

Ensure the provision of emotional, behavioral and academic supports and interventions as needed

Person Responsible Peggy Montiel (tonya.montiel@browardschools.com)

Provide quarterly incentives for students with perfect attendance

Person Responsible Quana Smith (quana.smith@browardschools.com)

#3. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	During the 2018-2019 our Students with Disabilities were rated at 32% FPPI. According to our 2019-2020 i-Ready Data 57% of our Students with Disabilities were considered at risk, 36% Tier 2, and 7% tier 1. Furthermore, 82% of our Students with Disabilities were off track, 15% borderline, and 3% on track. The Spring 2021 i-Ready Diagnostic revealed that 17% of our Students with Disabilities in third through fifth grade. were proficient. This data supports the critical need for continuous improvement in the instruction of our Students with Disabilities.
Measurable Outcome:	By June of 2022, our Students with Disabilities will be rated at or about 41% FPPI.
Monitoring:	Student progress will be monitored via the i-Ready Diagnostic assessments, and Go Math progress monitoring assessments. Moreover, Riverland Elementary School will participate in six week instructional cycles followed by Collaborative Progress Monitoring Conversations.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Peggy Montiel (tonya.montiel@browardschools.com)
Evidence-based Strategy:	Riverland Elementary will continue to implement the four literacy instructional contexts within balanced literacy during the 120 minute English Language Arts block. This school year we will be giving special attention to and designating specific times to small group guided reading where teachers will differentiate instruction to meet the individual needs of students. Accordingly, we have also planned and begun to deliver professional development centered around the successful implementation of small group guided reading. Subsequently, we will be engaging in a Guided Reading professional learning community book study.
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	Although there was an overall increase of 11 points in the English Language Arts proficiency rate for the subgroup of Students with Disabilities, our data reflects the need to provide strategic instructional supports during small group guided reading instruction to address the academic deficiencies of students in order to continue building on the foundational skills. Moreover, we have identified the need to address unfinished learning in the area of math. Thus, students will require remediation relevant to necessary mathematical prerequisite skills.

Action Steps to Implement

Disaggregate and analyze student data to determine curricular and instructional needs

Person Responsible Oslay Gil (oslay.gil@browardschools.com)

Provide professional development relevant to the implementation of an effective Mathematics block. This will include the provision of whole group and small group instruction with opportunities for remediation, reteaching, guided and independent practice.

Person Responsible Leonora Ahkin Chin Tai (leonora.ahkin-chin-tai@browardschools.com)

Provide professional development relevant to small group instruction, differentiation of instruction and centers

Person Responsible Quana Smith (quana.smith@browardschools.com)

Provide ongoing support and feedback to teachers on the implementation of small group guided reading and math remediation and reteach

Person Responsible Leonora Ahkin Chin Tai (leonora.ahkin-chin-tai@browardschools.com)

Provide opportunities for teachers, via small group guided reading professional learning communities, to reflect and discuss best practices

Person Responsible Leonora Ahkin Chin Tai (leonora.ahkin-chin-tai@browardschools.com)

#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

The 2021 Mathematics FSA and 2021 diagnostic results revealed a significant decline in projected proficiency rates in third through fifth grades.

Measurable Outcome:

By the end May 2021, the Mathematics proficiency rate will increase from 19% to 30%.

Monitoring:

Student progress will be monitored via the i-Ready Diagnostic assessments, and Go Math progress monitoring assessments. Moreover, Riverland Elementary School will participate in six week instructional cycles followed by Collaborative Progress Monitoring Conversations.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Oslay Gil (oslay.gil@browardschools.com)

Evidence-based Strategy:

A designated 60 minute Math block will continue to be implemented. The Math block will facilitated the strategic provision of instruction through the implementation of whole group instruction, independent practice, small group instruction and math centers. Moreover, ESSER funding sources will be utilized to create two teaching positions. These teachers will provide intensive small group instruction to students not meeting adequate yearly progress.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

We have identified the need to address unfinished learning in the area of math. The implementation of the Math block will facilitate the provision of strategic instruction, providing opportunities for guided practice, independent practice, remediation, reteaching and enrichment through the differentiation of instruction. This will facilitate the remediation relevant to necessary mathematical prerequisite skills.

Action Steps to Implement

Disaggregate and analyze student data to determine curricular and instructional needs

Person Responsible

Oslay Gil (oslay.gil@browardschools.com)

Provide professional development relevant to small group instruction, differentiation of instruction and centers

Person Responsible

Quana Smith (quana.smith@browardschools.com)

Provide ongoing support and feedback to teachers on the implementation of small group guided reading and math remediation and reteach

Person Responsible

Katherine Familia (katherine.familia@browardschools.com)

Provide opportunities for teachers, via small group guided reading professional learning communities, to reflect and discuss best practices

Person Responsible

Leonora Ahkin Chin Tai (leonora.ahkin-chin-tai@browardschools.com)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the [SafeSchoolsforAlex.org](https://www.safeschoolsforalex.org), compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Riverland Elementary is considered very low risk. Our discipline data indicates a majority of local events with the highest number of referrals for disobedience/insubordination. We are implementing Zones of Regulation school wide. Additionally, we will continue to implement ten minutes of mindfulness followed by sustained silent reading. It is our goal to set the expectations for academic success and the development of a safe culture and environment conducive to academic excellence.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Riverland Elementary School builds positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students through effective communication, advocating for the involvement of all stakeholders in school events and shared decision making. Our school communicates via the school website, parent links, newsletters, Canvas, Virtual Counselor and conferences. We advocate for involvement in school events such as meet and greet, open house, literacy night, and family nights.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

We will continue to collaborate with all stakeholders to ensure the provision of a positive culture and environment for all students and staff. The leadership team including, the principal, assistant principal, instructional coaches, ESE specialist, school counselor, social worker and team leaders will continue to positively engage students in positive school events to include counseling, mindfulness and culturally relevant activities. We will partner with our parents and community stakeholders to ensure that we continue to meet the needs of all families and students.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA				\$20,367.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	2110		0151 - Riverland Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$2,500.00
			<i>Notes: Title I funds have been allocated to conduct Extended Learning Opportunities.</i>			
	3336		0151 - Riverland Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$17,867.00
			<i>Notes: Title I funds have been allocated to purchase instructional materials to supplement the literacy program.</i>			
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Student Attendance				\$0.00
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: Students with Disabilities				\$0.00
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math				\$2,500.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	2110		0151 - Riverland Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$2,500.00
			<i>Notes: Title I funds have been allocated to conduct Extended Learning Opportunities.</i>			
Total:						\$22,867.00