Broward County Public Schools

Coral Cove Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	18
Positive Culture & Environment	20
Budget to Support Goals	20

Coral Cove Elementary School

5100 SW 148TH AVE, M IR Amar, FL 33027

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Stephanie Saban

Start Date for this Principal: 9/29/2021

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	Yes
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	77%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: B (61%) 2017-18: A (63%) 2016-17: A (65%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	<u>LaShawn Russ-Porterfield</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For	or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
<u> </u>	
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	18
·	
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	20

Coral Cove Elementary School

5100 SW 148TH AVE, M IR Amar, FL 33027

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Gr (per MSID I		2020-21 Title I Schoo	I Disadvant	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	School	Yes		53%
Primary Servio (per MSID I		Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		94%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		В	В	Α

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Coral Cove Elementary and its Stakeholders, is to ensure that all students receive a quality education within a safe and secure learning environment.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision at Coral Cove Elementary, is that every student will get "A RARE" experience. They will Achieve at high levels with Relevant, Aligned, Rigorous, and Engaging curriculum.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Saban , Stephanie	Principal	The School Principal will effectively perform the performance responsibilities using the following knowledge, skills and abilities to: provide instructional leadership for all educational programs at the school; prepare and manage the school's budget and manage and inventory the school's assets; to read, interpret, follow and enforce the State Board Rules, Code of Ethics, School Board policies, and other state and federal laws; use effective interview techniques, coaching procedures, and evaluation procedures; enforce collective bargaining agreements; use effective public speaking skills, group dynamics, and interaction and problem solving skills; maintain a sensitivity to multicultural issues; perceive the impact of a decision on other components of the organization; communicate effectively, both orally and in writing, and through use of technology; and analyze and use data. The School Principal will need knowledge of current educational trends and research. Knowledge and understanding of the unique needs and characteristics of school system.
Gonzalez, Ana	Instructional Coach	Bringing evidence-based practices into classrooms by working with teachers and other school leaders through professional development and coaching.
Escobar, Diana	Reading Coach	Bring evidence-based practices into classrooms by working with teachers and other school leaders through professional development and coaching.
Lee, LaQuita	Assistant Principal	To assist the principal in providing vision and leadership to develop, administer and monitor high quality educational programs that optimize the human and material resources, including time and space, available for a successful and safe school program for students, staff and community.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 9/29/2021, Stephanie Saban

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

2

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

3

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

24

Total number of students enrolled at the school

511

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	69	72	82	104	76	91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	494
Attendance below 90 percent	15	10	15	14	12	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	79
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	23	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	4	8	7	9	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	ı				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	3	2	5	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 9/29/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Number of students enrolled

Attendance below 90 percent

One or more suspensions

Course failure in ELA

Course failure in Math

Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment

Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Students with two or more indicators

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator Grade Level Total
Retained Students: Current Year
Students retained two or more times

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement				68%	59%	57%	66%	56%	56%	
ELA Learning Gains				63%	60%	58%	64%	57%	55%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				35%	54%	53%	52%	51%	48%	
Math Achievement				77%	65%	63%	76%	62%	62%	
Math Learning Gains				70%	66%	62%	66%	60%	59%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				50%	53%	51%	47%	47%	47%	
Science Achievement				64%	46%	53%	69%	49%	55%	

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	65%	60%	5%	58%	7%
Cohort Cor	nparison					
04	2021					
	2019	69%	62%	7%	58%	11%
Cohort Cor	nparison	-65%				
05	2021					
	2019	65%	59%	6%	56%	9%
Cohort Cor	Cohort Comparison				•	

			MATH	ł		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	70%	65%	5%	62%	8%
Cohort Con	Cohort Comparison					
04	2021					
	2019	76%	67%	9%	64%	12%

			MATH	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Cohort Con	nparison	-70%				
05	2021					
	2019	81%	64%	17%	60%	21%
Cohort Comparison		-76%				

			SCIENC	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019	63%	49%	14%	53%	10%
Cohort Comparison						

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

iReady Progress Monitoring for all grades

			Grade 1		
		Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	English Language Arts	All Students	42	52	65
E		Economically Disadvantaged	33.3	37.8	51.2
		Students With Disabilities	40	25	33.3
		English Language Learners	20	51.9	27.3
		Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
		All Students	32	41	59
	Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	28.6	33.3	51.2
		Students With Disabilities	40	12.5	33.3
		English Language Learners	20	8.3	36.4

		Grade 2				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring		
	All Students	39	55	62		
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	26	42	46.9		
	Students With Disabilities	29.4	17.6	12.5		
	English Language Learners	7.1	21.4	50		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring		
	All Students	21	46	58		
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	14	28.6	43.8		
	Students With Disabilities	17.6	11.8	25		
	English Language Learners	35.7	46.2	57.1		
Grade 3						
		Grade 3				
	Number/% Proficiency	Grade 3 Fall	Winter	Spring		
	Proficiency All Students		Winter 60	Spring 63		
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall				
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	Fall 46	60	63		
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall 46 42.9	60 55.1	63 56		
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language	Fall 46 42.9 12.5	60 55.1 29.4	63 56 25		
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	Fall 46 42.9 12.5 12.5	60 55.1 29.4 31.3	63 56 25 40		
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall 46 42.9 12.5 12.5 Fall	60 55.1 29.4 31.3 Winter	63 56 25 40 Spring		
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	Fall 46 42.9 12.5 12.5 Fall 17	60 55.1 29.4 31.3 Winter 30	63 56 25 40 Spring 42		

		Grade 4		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	41	52	51
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	33.3	44.4	45.3
	Students With Disabilities	18.8	25	18.8
	English Language Learners	7.7	15.4	23.1
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	21	38	55
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	18.5	33.3	47.9
	Students With Disabilities	25	25	46.2
	English Language Learners	0	7.7	38.5
		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	46	52	69
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	45	42.5	
	Students With Disabilities	23.1	15.4	
	English Language Learners	0	0	
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	28	52	61
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	28.9	33.3	48.6
	Students With Disabilities	16.7	15.4	20
	English Language Learners	0	16.7	33.3
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	17	44	55	21	20		31				
ELL	45	57		40	37		54				
BLK	48	63		41	26		54				
HSP	57	58	62	49	32	31	66				
WHT	67			56							
FRL	44	47	54	38	24	23	37				
		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	32	38	27	40	52	50	33				
ELL	66	61	39	74	73	52	71				
ASN	65	64		76	64						
BLK	60	58	35	71	68	50	55				
HSP	73	66	39	80	74	52	69				
WHT	79	71		83	62		71				
FRL	59	57	31	69	65	50	49				
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	38	56	52	41	48	50	43				
ELL	52	58	45	73	70	54					
ASN	72	54		92	69						
BLK	56	64	62	66	60	52	53				
HSP	67	63	48	79	66	50	70				
WHT	87	77		82	73		92				
FRL	57	61	53	71	63	50	64				

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	49
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	49
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	394
Total Components for the Federal Index	8

ESSA Federal Index	
Percent Tested	96%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	31
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	47
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	46
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	51
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index White Students	62

White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	62
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	39
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%

ESE and ELL students need additional reinforcements in order to ensure their academic success.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Based off the progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments data our Tier 3 students need additional reinforcement which will be targeted in small groups.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

The contributing factors to this need for improvement are the pandemic and students not being physically in school for their learning. Actions that would need to be taken to address this need for improvement are academic reinforcement that will be targeted in small groups.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments that showed the most improvement were English Language Arts/Reading.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The contributing factors to this improvement were the implementation of academic reinforcement and differentiated instruction during small groups. New actions the school took in this area was focusing on providing students with differentiated and effective small group instruction.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Strategies that will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning is the continued academic reinforcement during small group instruction.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

The professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders is PLC, Professional Learning Committees.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond are the continued support and implementation of academic reinforcement in small group instruction.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

	#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math				
	Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Based on FSA scores and progress monitoring, it is evident that students a need of extra academic reinforcement in math.			
	Measurable Outcome:	The percentage of Math students sccoring proficient of higher in Math will increase from 30% to 50% by the end of May 2022 as measured by iReady diagnostic 3.			
	Monitoring:	This Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome through progress monitoring using iReady.			
	Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Stephanie Saban (stephanie.saban@browardschools.com)			
	Evidence-based Strategy:	The evidence-based strategy being used to implement this Area of Focus is daily, differentiated small group instruction.			
	Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	The rationale for selecting this specific strategy are that is an effective way to reinforce academic support for students.			

Action Steps to Implement

Progress Monitoring throughout the school year using iReady Diagnostic Assessments.

Person Responsible Stephanie Saban (stephanie.saban@browardschools.com)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Based on FSA scores and progress monitoring, it is evident that students are in need of extra academic reinforcement in ELA, specifically our students with disabilities population.

Measurable Outcome:

The percentage of ELA students sccoring proficient of higher in ELA will increase from 30% to 50% by the end of May 2022 as measured by iReady diagnostic 3.

Monitoring:

This Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome through progress

monitoring using iReady.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based

The evidence-based strategy being used to implement this Area of Focus is daily, differentiated small group instruction. Strategy:

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

The rationale for selecting this specific strategy are that is an effective way to reinforce academic support for students.

Action Steps to Implement

Progress Monitoring throughout the school year using iReady Diagnostic Assessments.

Person Responsible Stephanie Saban (stephanie.saban@browardschools.com)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

The discipline data of the school compared to across the state ranks us as moderate, ranking us 781 out of 1,395. The primary area of concern for our school is where we ranked very high, property incidents. The secondary area of concern is where we ranked high, which is drug/public order incidents. The school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data by using every reasonable effort to correct student behavior through resources provided by the school at the lowest level possible and support students in their learning of enhancing a positive school environment. The school will also be referring students with serious or chronic behavior issues to the school's Collaborative Problem Solving Tema (CPST) for interventions and monitoring of behavior.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

The school addresses building a positive school culture and environment by encouraging and following our five guiding principles. The guiding principles being: be responsible, respectful, kind, safe and inclusive. Students are encouraged to exhibit these principles on a daily basis and are recognized when observed following them.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

The stakeholders are teachers, staff and administration. Their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school is demonstrating the guiding principles and being role models for the students to emulate. Their role is also to recognize students who are seen exhibiting our guiding principles and reminding students to be responsible, respectful, kind, safe and inclusive.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A. Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math					\$9,000.00	
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22	
	3120	500-Materials and Supplies	2011 - Coral Cove Elementary School	Other Federal		\$4,000.00	
			Notes: iReady MAFS workbooks to provide supplemental, on grade level consumables for students.				
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2011 - Coral Cove Elementary School	Other Federal		\$5,000.00	
			Notes: ELO for students at risk with certified teachers October- November and January-March.				
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA			\$67,000.00		
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22	

Broward - 2011 - Coral Cove Elementary School - 2021-22 SIP

				Total:	\$76,000.00	
	Notes: ELO for students at risk with certified teachers October- November and January-March.					
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2011 - Coral Cove Elementary School	Other Federal	\$5,000.00	
			Notes: iReady LAFS workbooks to provide supplemental, on grade level consumables for students.			
	5100	590-Other Materials and Supplies	2011 - Coral Cove Elementary School	Other Federal	\$4,000.00	
			Notes: ESSER teacher to provide intensive reading instruction for K-5 students in grades K-5.			
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2011 - Coral Cove Elementary School	Other Federal	\$58,000.00	