**Marion County Public Schools** 

# **Evergreen Elementary School**



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	15
Positive Culture & Environment	16
Budget to Support Goals	16

# **Evergreen Elementary School**

4000 W ANTHONY RD, Ocala, FL 34475

[ no web address on file ]

# **Demographics**

**Principal: Ashley Kemp** 

Start Date for this Principal: 4/20/2024

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Closed: 2021-12-07
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2020-21: C (45%)
	2018-19: D (39%)
School Grades History	2017-18: D (35%)
	2016-17: D (36%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	<u>Cassandra Brusca</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more inf	formation, <u>click here</u> .

# **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Marion County School Board.

Last Modified: 4/20/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 3 of 16

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

# Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	16

# **Evergreen Elementary School**

4000 W ANTHONY RD, Ocala, FL 34475

[ no web address on file ]

# **School Demographics**

School Type and Gr (per MSID I		2020-21 Title I Schoo	l Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	School	No		%
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade	С	D	D	D

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Marion County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

# **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Evergreen Elementary will work with all stakeholders to create a safe and supportive environment where all can learn.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

To build a culture where all students seize opportunities, problem solve, and make positive contributions to society.

# School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name

**Position Title** 

**Job Duties and Responsibilities** 

## **Demographic Information**

## Principal start date

Pending, Ashley Kemp

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Total number of students enrolled at the school

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

**Demographic Data** 

#### **Early Warning Systems**

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Number of students enrolled

Attendance below 90 percent

One or more suspensions

Course failure in ELA

Course failure in Math

Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment

Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment

Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Students with two or more indicators

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator Grade Level Total
Retained Students: Current Year

Students retained two or more times

# Date this data was collected or last updated

Pending

# 2020-21 - As Reported

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					G	rade	e Le	vel						Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	4	57	38	49	41	41	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	260
Attendance below 90 percent	0	40	24	39	21	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	141
One or more suspensions	0	2	3	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Course failure in ELA	0	3	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Course failure in Math	0	2	4	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	5	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
illuicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	7	5	11	8	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	Le	ve	l				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	1	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# 2020-21 - Updated

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					G	rade	e Le	ve	ı					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	4	57	38	49	41	41	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	260
Attendance below 90 percent	0	40	24	39	21	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	141
One or more suspensions	0	2	3	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Course failure in ELA	0	3	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Course failure in Math	0	2	4	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	5	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	7	5	11	8	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	1	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## **School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	37%			22%	47%	57%	35%	46%	56%		
ELA Learning Gains	48%			42%	56%	58%	37%	44%	55%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				55%	52%	53%	33%	37%	48%		
Math Achievement	47%			33%	51%	63%	30%	49%	62%		
Math Learning Gains	70%			54%	58%	62%	38%	46%	59%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				47%	49%	51%	34%	35%	47%		

School Grade Component		2021			2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State		
Science Achievement	23%			23%	47%	53%	35%	51%	55%		

## **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	22%	44%	-22%	58%	-36%
Cohort Con	nparison					
04	2021					
	2019	12%	49%	-37%	58%	-46%
Cohort Con	nparison	-22%				
05	2021					
	2019	28%	45%	-17%	56%	-28%
Cohort Con	nparison	-12%				

			MATH	I		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	34%	49%	-15%	62%	-28%
Cohort Con	nparison					
04	2021					
	2019	29%	54%	-25%	64%	-35%
Cohort Con	nparison	-34%			•	
05	2021					
	2019	36%	45%	-9%	60%	-24%
Cohort Con	Cohort Comparison					

			SCIEN	CE			
Grade	Year School		District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison	
05	2021						
	2019	24%	44%	-20%	53%	-29%	
Cohort Comparison							

# **Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments**

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
		Grade 4		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language			
	Learners			
	Learners Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

# Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	14			29							
BLK	37	56		33	63		7				
HSP	26			63							
WHT	41			65							
FRL	32	45		44	73		19				
		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	18	35		14	44						
ELL	21	50		35	54						
BLK	14	36	50	25	46	43	15				
HSP	32	55		36	57		27				
WHT	26	44		48	72		31				

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
FRL	22	40	54	31	52	50	25				
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	9	22	26	10	27	23					
ELL	9	31		23	29						
BLK	18	25	32	11	24	32	19				
HSP	47	47		44	51		44				
MUL	53	60		53							
WHT	51	43		46	43	30	35				
FRL	32	34	35	26	34	35	33				

# **ESSA Data Review**

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	45
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	225
Total Components for the Federal Index	5
Percent Tested	99%

# **Subgroup Data**

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	22
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	

Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	39
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	45
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Trumber of Consecutive Tears Facilic Islander Students Subgroup Below 3270	
White Students	
	53
White Students	53 NO
White Students Federal Index - White Students	
White Students  Federal Index - White Students  White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	
White Students  Federal Index - White Students  White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students  Federal Index - White Students  White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%  Economically Disadvantaged Students	NO

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

## Areas of Focus:

No activities were entered for this section.

## Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

# Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

Total: \$0.00
---------------