

2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	16
Positive Culture & Environment	17
Budget to Support Goals	17

Hillsborough - 6649 - Valrico Lake Advantage Academy - 2021-22 SIP

# Valrico Lake Advantage Academy

13306 BOYETTE RD, Riverview, FL 33569

[ no web address on file ]

Demographics

# Principal: Samantha Chaney

Start Date for this Principal: 5/8/2024

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School KG-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	30%
<b>2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (73%) 2017-18: A (66%) 2016-17: A (73%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	17

Hilleborough 6640 Valrico Lako Advantago Acadomy 2021 22 SIR

Hillsborough - 6649 - Valrico Lake Advantage Academy - 2021-22 SIP							
Valrico Lake Advantage Academy							
13306 BOYETTE RD, Riverview, FL 33569							
		[ no web address on file ]					
School Demographic	S						
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)2020-21 Title I School2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)							
Elementary S KG-5	chool	No		23%			
-	Primary Service Type (per MSID File)2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)						
K-12 General Education Yes 67%							
School Grades History							
Year Grade	2020-21	<b>2019-20</b> A	<b>2018-19</b> A	<b>2017-18</b> A			

#### School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Provide the school's vision statement.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name Position Title

**Job Duties and Responsibilities** 

Demographic Information

#### Principal start date

Pending, Samantha Chaney

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Total number of students enrolled at the school

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Demographic Data

#### Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level	Tota
Number of students enrolled		
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA		
Course failure in Math		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment		
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency		
The number of students with two or more early warning inc	dicators:	
Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Students with two or more indicators		
The number of students identified as retainees:		
Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Retained Students: Current Year		
Students retained two or more times Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported		
Date this data was collected or last updated	rly warning indicator:	
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported	rly warning indicator: Grade Level	Tota
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea		Tota
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea Indicator		Tota
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea Indicator Number of students enrolled		Total
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent		Tota
Date this data was collected or last updated         Pending         20-21 - As Reported         The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea         Indicator         Number of students enrolled         Attendance below 90 percent         One or more suspensions		Tota
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA		Total
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math		Tota
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	Grade Level	Tota
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	Grade Level	Total
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	Grade Level	
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment The number of students with two or more early warning inconstants Indicator	Grade Level	
Date this data was collected or last updated         Pending         20-21 - As Reported         The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea         Indicator         Number of students enrolled         Attendance below 90 percent         One or more suspensions         Course failure in ELA         Course failure in Math         Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment         Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment         The number of students with two or more early warning incomplete         Students with two or more indicators	Grade Level	
Date this data was collected or last updated         Pending         20-21 - As Reported         The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ea         Indicator         Number of students enrolled         Attendance below 90 percent         One or more suspensions         Course failure in ELA         Course failure in Math         Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment         Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment         The number of students with two or more early warning incomposition         Students with two or more indicators         The number of students identified as retainees:	Grade Level dicators: Grade Level	Total

#### 2020-21 - Updated

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Number of students enrolled		
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA		
Course failure in Math		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment		
The number of students with two or more early warning inc	dicators:	
Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Students with two or more indicators		
The number of students identified as retainees:		
Indicator	Grade Level	Total

Retained Students: Current Year

Students retained two or more times

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Component	2021		2019			2018			
School Grade Component	School District State School District State		State	School	District	State			
ELA Achievement				81%	52%	57%	72%	52%	56%
ELA Learning Gains				73%	55%	58%	60%	52%	55%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				69%	50%	53%	51%	46%	48%
Math Achievement				78%	54%	63%	79%	55%	62%
Math Learning Gains				77%	57%	62%	69%	57%	59%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				52%	46%	51%	52%	44%	47%
Science Achievement				84%	50%	53%	78%	51%	55%

#### Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

	ELA							
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		
03	2021							
	2019	80%	52%	28%	58%	22%		
Cohort Corr	nparison							
04	2021							
	2019	79%	55%	24%	58%	21%		
Cohort Corr	parison	-80%						
05	2021							
	2019	84%	54%	30%	56%	28%		
Cohort Corr	nparison	-79%			·			

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	69%	54%	15%	62%	7%
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019	79%	57%	22%	64%	15%
Cohort Co	mparison	-69%			· · ·	
05	2021					
	2019	89%	54%	35%	60%	29%
Cohort Co	mparison	-79%				

	SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison	
05	2021						
	2019	84%	51%	33%	53%	31%	
Cohort Com	parison				·		

## Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 3							
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring					
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners								
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring					
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners								
Grade 4									
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring					
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners								
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring					
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners								

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

# Subgroup Data Review

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	41			38							
ELL	72	60		67	50		30				
ASN	83	74		85	84		70				
BLK	67	55		58	45		64				
HSP	80	83		59	54		68				
MUL	74	70		58	60		70				
WHT	74	73	57	68	63	44	71				
FRL	59	57		44	43		57				
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	44	58	45	39	47	18					
ELL	70	73		63	87						

		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
ASN	86	70		95	91		90				
BLK	81	65		81	83						
HSP	78	72	60	72	75	42	81				
MUL	79	76		86	88						
WHT	82	75	76	77	73	57	86				
FRL	71	66	77	69	66	45	75				
		2018	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS	-	<u>.</u>
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	59	67	58	59	58	42	58				
ELL	55			70							
ASN	78	73		89	91		100				
BLK	70	50		91	83						
HSP	68	55	56	71	64	41	75				
MUL	64	39		75	61						
WHT	73	63	51	79	66	50	75				
FRL	66	56		59	41	27	73				

## ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index				
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)				
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	64			
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO			
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1			
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	75			
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index				
Total Components for the Federal Index				
Percent Tested				
Subgroup Data				
Students With Disabilities				
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	40			
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%				

Hillsborough - 6649 - Valrico Lake Advantage Academy - 2021-22 SIP

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	59
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	I
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	79
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	58
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	69
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	66
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	64
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	52
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

#### Analysis

#### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

### Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

No activities were entered for this section.

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

## Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

Total:

\$0.00