**Lake County Schools** 

# Rimes Early Learning & Literacy Center



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	11
Positive Culture & Environment	14
Budget to Support Goals	15

# **Rimes Early Learning & Literacy Center**

3101 SCHOOLVIEW ST, Leesburg, FL 34748

https://rel.lake.k12.fl.us

## **Demographics**

**Principal: Dominique Ward** 

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-2
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	Yes
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2020-21: No Grade
	2018-19: No Grade
School Grades History	2017-18: No Grade
	2016-17: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more info	ormation, <u>click here</u> .

## **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Lake County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	11
Title I Requirements	0
•	
Budget to Support Goals	15

## **Rimes Early Learning & Literacy Center**

3101 SCHOOLVIEW ST, Leesburg, FL 34748

https://rel.lake.k12.fl.us

#### **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2020-21 Title I School	2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Elementary School PK-2	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	%
School Grades History		
Year Grade	2011-12	2010-11

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Lake County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission at Rimes Early Learning and Literacy Center is to provide every student opportunities to excel socia

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

A dynamic, progressive and collaborative learning community embracing change and diversity where every studeneeded to succeed in post secondary education and the workplace.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school lead

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Ward, Dominique	Principal	Dominique Ward serves as the instructional leader for the school. She words to establish for effective instructional practices that support the learning needs of all students. The leadership team, grade-level learning communities, school-wide learning communities community agencies to ensure support for the school and the needs of all students. To of the Literacy Coach, Curriculum Resource Teacher, ESE School Specialist, Mental I teacher. The leadership team meets weekly to review instructional and social/emotion Shared-decision making and collaborative leadership are utilized at every level to ensupport.
Dickinson, Mary	Instructional Coach	Mary R. Dickinson (Literacy Coach) provided instructional support for the school. She modeling, side-by-side coaching, professional development, intervention support, tech assessment support. She also serves as our Title I contact, TEAM expert, MTSS facili Mary attends PLC's and all SAC meetings.
Gilbert, Stephanie	Curriculum Resource Teacher	Stephanie Gilbert (Curriculum Resource Teacher) provided instructional and technolo provides math support through classroom demonstrations, side-by-side coaching, proformative assessment support. She also serves as our tech con, coordinator for attended school tutoring and mentors new teachers. Stephanie serves as the SAC Chair.
Vachon, Melanie	Other	Melanie Vachon (ESE School Specialist) coordinates educational placement and apprentiate through compliance and professional development activities. She works cleeducation teachers to ensure academic and social success for our students with disalest
Judd, Cathy		

#### **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Wednesday 7/1/2020, Dominique Ward

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note:* Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

22

Total number of students enrolled at the school

270

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

8

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

4

**Demographic Data** 

#### **Early Warning Systems**

#### 2021-22

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

					(	Grad	de L
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Number of students enrolled	57	55	35	0	0	0	0
Attendance below 90 percent	12	11	7	0	0	0	0
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in ELA	5	3	1	0	0	0	0
Course failure in Math	2	5	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						0	Grade	e Lev	⁄el
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Students with two or more indicators	5	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						G	rade	e Lev	rel
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Retained Students: Current Year	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 8/12/2021

#### 2020-21 - As Reported

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						Gra	de L	evel
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Number of students enrolled	48	39	31	0	0	0	0	0
Attendance below 90 percent	11	11	2	0	0	0	0	0
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						C	Grade	e Lev	⁄el
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

In all a store						C	ade	e Lev	⁄el
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### 2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						Gra	de L	evel
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Number of students enrolled	48	39	31	0	0	0	0	0
Attendance below 90 percent	11	11	2	0	0	0	0	0
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Le						e Lev	/el	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level								/el
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elemen combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Campanant	2021			2019			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement					58%	57%	
ELA Learning Gains					57%	58%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					49%	53%	
Math Achievement					60%	63%	
Math Learning Gains					56%	62%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					39%	51%	
Science Achievement					54%	53%	

## **Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments**

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

i-Ready Reading and Math Data

		Grade 1	
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter
	All Students	6%	20%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	6%	20%
	Students With Disabilities	0%	0%
	English Language Learners	0%	0%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter
	All Students	6%	20%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	6%	20%
	Students With Disabilities	6%	25%
	English Language Learners	25%	20%
		Grade 2	
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter
	All Students	18%	43%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	18%	43%
English Language Arts	•	18% 0%	43% 0%
English Language Arts	Disadvantaged		
English Language Arts	Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	0%	0%
English Language Arts	Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	0% 0%	0% 0%
English Language Arts  Mathematics	Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency	0% 0% Fall	0% 0% Winter
	Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	0% 0% Fall 9%	0% 0% Winter 37%
	Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	0% 0% Fall 9% 9%	0% 0% Winter 37%

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applications

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

I-Ready end of year reading data showed that students performed lowest in phonics in grades K and 1 and vo end of year math data showed that students performed lowest in Numbers & Operations in grade 1 and Algeb

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the

Overall, first graders' reading and math results showed a steep decline from previous years.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be improvement?

The contributing factors may have been from Covid-19 and lack of intensive interventions.

## What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the mos

According to i-ready end of year reading data, the students performed well with the domain: Phonological Awa Comprehension. I-Ready end of year math data showed good performance in Geometry.

#### What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in thi

This was the first year our school began the SIPPS program which provides a structured literacy approach to explicit instructional routines focused on phonological awareness. Additionally, the teachers have been buildir the past couple of years.

#### What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

The K-2 students will need a lot of support from push in staff during classroom instruction as well as during int an additional VE teacher specifically for Kindergarten students. Also, the enrichment teachers will be schedule throughout the week. Our literacy coach will provide Leveled Literacy Instruction during intervention time as w

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the profess that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Wit & Wisdom PD, Fundations PD and Geodes PD.
SIPPS (Systematic Instruction in Phonological Awareness, Phonics, and Sight Words) PD
Number Talks PD
Thinking Maps PD
i-Ready PD

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of imbeyond.

School-wide PBIS training and implementation to support social-emotional learning for all students (PK-2nd grundlearning by Doing" - PLC Process

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### **Areas of Focus:**

#### #1. Leadership specifically relating to Leadership Development

Area of

Collaboration with administration, staff and faculty to build trust and implement a unified vision. The s Focus defined process for shared leadership among all staff for monitoring

Description

student achievement and aligning strategies and activities to meet student needs.

and Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

At least 4 parents will participate in our SAC for 21-22 school year to develop trust and a unified visi

Monitoring:

SAC meeting attendance will be monitored and parents will be actively invited to join our SAC.

Person

responsible

for

Dominique Ward (wardd2@lake.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased

Leadership development will be used by including more stakeholders in decision making process, w unified vision.

Strategy: Rationale

for

Evidencebased Strategy:

The relationship between ethical leaders and the stakeholders in their work is that the ethical leader important and takes their needs into account.(https://www.enotes.com/homework-help/what-relation leaders-436049#:~:text=The%20relationship%20between%20ethical%20business,of%20stakeholde

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Social media, Class Tag, and School Messenger callouts will be utilized to recruit families to join Rimes' SAC.

Person

Responsible

Dominique Ward (wardd2@lake.k12.fl.us)

#### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Data from common assessments will be used in common planning discussions to determine maintervention. Increase awareness of instructional impact by implementing common and formativafter a lesson will increase student performance on specific skills an standards.

Measurable Outcome:

60% of K-2 students will perform at or above grade level in reading, according to iReady Reading

**Monitoring:** Weekly collaborative planning meeting discussions

Person

responsible for monitoring outcome:

Dominique Ward (wardd2@lake.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based

Strategy:
Rationale for

We are using common and formative assessments as well as instructional strategies learned to instruction to increase our students academic progress.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

We believe using common and formative assessments as well as instructional strategies will ednecessary to provide effective and appropriate instruction to ensure our student's mastery of statements.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

1. Common Planning Assessments will be created by grade level teams during common planning times.

- 2. During common planning times, grade level teams will analyze data to determine need for intervention or acceler
- 3. Classroom teachers will provide intervention/acceleration to all students using guided instruction and collaborative

Person Responsible

Dominique Ward (wardd2@lake.k12.fl.us)

Area of Focus Description and (

Classroom disruptions reduce student achievement due to time being ta manage student behavior.

Rationale:

All Students will demonstrate at least 75% of school-wide behavior expe

Monitoring:

Measurable Outcome:

Student behavior will be monitored using Skyward discipline entries.

Person responsible for monitoring

outcome:

Dominique Ward (wardd2@lake.k12.fl.us)

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

PBIS, which includes restorative practices, will be implemented school-v

**Rationale for Evidence-based** 

Strategy:

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Provide PBIS Professional Development to all staff.

Person Responsible Cathy Judd (juddc@lake.k12.fl.us)

Implement PBIS school-wide.

Person Responsible Dominique Ward (wardd2@lake.k12.fl.us)

Meet monthly with PBIS PLC to analyze data.

Person Responsible Dominique Ward (wardd2@lake.k12.fl.us)

Provide PBIS PD as needed throughout school year.

Person Responsible Cathy Judd (juddc@lake.k12.fl.us)

#### **#4.** Instructional Practice specifically relating to Differentiation

Area of Focus
Description
and Rationale:

Our SWD students will use math tools, such as Rekeneks and TouchPoint Math curriculum to co provide concrete ways for students to bring meaning to abstract mathematical ideas. They help s relate new concepts to what they have already learned. They assist students with solving problem

Measurable Outcome:

50% K-2 SWD students will be at or above grade level, according to iReady in Spring 2022.

Monitoring:

Learning walks will be completed during math intervention time.

Person

responsible for monitoring

Mary Dickinson (dickinsonm@lake.k12.fl.us)

outcome:

Evidence-

**based** Hands-on and visual mathematics will be used in small group math instruction/intervention with s **Strategy:** 

Rationale for

Hands-on and visual mathematics increases a student's ability to conceptualize number sense. Small group instruction is an effective way to differentiate instruction and meet the needs of our splanning and responds to informal and formal data collected from various sources, which help te

Evidencebased Strategy:

profile on students' interests, abilities and challenges

Action Steps to Implement

VE teachers (Gray and Simmons) will join their grade levels common planning meetings to determine need for inter

Person

Responsible Dominique Ward (wardd2@lake.k12.fl.us)

#### **Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities**

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state at areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture an through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Our data was not reported for year of 2019-2020, which is what the SafeSchoolsforAlex site shows. According to PBIS data, Rimes had 26.2 ODR/100 students, while the state median was 14.9/100 students disruption offenses this year by establishing a school-wide PBIS to improve student behavior and reduced to the state of the state

#### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a cultur high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement stra school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include community colleges and universities, social services, and business partr

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various st formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improve

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

At Rimes, building and sustaining a positive culture and environment is a top priority to build on the success of our sand emotionally. Rimes continuously strives to strengthen school climate and promote a positive school culture and stakeholders are involved. This will be achieved through the implementation of its Positive Behavioral Intervention a

An important piece of sustaining a positive culture is celebrating the success of our students, staff, and families alone environment at Rimes is fostered by implementing a PBIS system. This is one way we can celebrate our student's a behavioral successes. Students are rewarded in various ways for following school wide expectations. This assists in addressing appropriate behavior while enhancing student's social and emotional skills. Teachers use a variety of structices to build strong classroom communities and relationships with and among their students. These relationships rigorous work that students take part in a Rimes throughout the school year. Additionally, the emphasis on building a demonstrates the staff's desire to foster and expand collaboratively and collegiality.

One way to acknowledge the success of our students is to hand out Penguin STAR tickets for following the schoolw students are "caught" being a STAR Penguin and receive a ticket, they can redeem their tickets at the Penguin STA items are available for students to choose from that act as a reinforcement reminder and positive recognition for follow expectations at Rimes.

#### Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

All faculty will be trained and expected to implement PBIS in classrooms and throughout school campus. Families we expectations and rewards, as well as be given the opportunity to complete surveys used to solicit parent input.

The School Advisory Council (SAC) at Rimes will continue to collaborate and build relationships between all stakeholders planning, reviewing, and making suggestions to assist with instruction improvement. All parents, staff, and communi Rime's SAC. Parent surveys are also used to solicit parent input. Comments from parents and all other stakeholders monthly meeting minutes.

Rimes will continue to collaborate and build relationships with community organizations, such as, local churches, but restaurants and community clubs and groups to promote a positive relationship between school and community cult providing for areas of need that can (facilitate) maintain an environment for learning and safety. It can also offer sup of community among students, faculty and families. The collaboration and resources that stakeholders make available equity, availability and unification.

## Part V: Budget

#### The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Leadership: Leadership Development							
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction							
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Early Warning Systems							
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source					
	5100	590-Other Materials and Supplies	0421 - Rimes Early Learn & Literacy	School Improvemen Funds					
			Notes: edible and tangible reinforcers to support PBIS						
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Differentiation							