St. Johns County School District

# Picolata Crossing Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	16
Positive Culture & Environment	18
Budget to Support Goals	0

# **Picolata Crossing Elementary School**

2675 PACETTI RD, St Augustine, FL 32092

http://www-pce.stjohns.k12.fl.us

## **Demographics**

Principal: Brian Morgan

Start Date for this Principal: 8/25/2021

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	23%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (72%) 2017-18: A (71%) 2016-17: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	<u>Cassandra Brusca</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo	or more information, click here.

## **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the St. Johns County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
•	
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

## **Picolata Crossing Elementary School**

2675 PACETTI RD, St Augustine, FL 32092

http://www-pce.stjohns.k12.fl.us

## **School Demographics**

School Type and Gr (per MSID I		2020-21 Title I School	l Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	School	No		21%
Primary Servio (per MSID I		Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		29%
School Grades Histo	ry			
Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		A	Α	Α

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the St. Johns County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### Part I: School Information

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Picolata Crossing Elementary School will inspire good character and a passion for lifelong learning in all students, creating educated and caring contributors to the world.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

All students will be provided an exceptional education that leads to a well-rounded individual who demonstrates good character, leadership, and critical thinking.

## School Leadership Team

### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name

**Position Title** 

**Job Duties and Responsibilities** 

## **Demographic Information**

## Principal start date

Wednesday 8/25/2021, Brian Morgan

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

7

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

5

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Total number of students enrolled at the school

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

**Demographic Data** 

#### **Early Warning Systems**

#### 2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					Grad	e Lev	/el							Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	133	138	122	134	126	130	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	783
Attendance below 90 percent	6	9	5	6	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
One or more suspensions	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	eve					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	2	2	1	4	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 8/25/2021

## 2020-21 - As Reported

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indiantar	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	118	114	127	118	117	146	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	740	
Attendance below 90 percent	8	0	4	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	
One or more suspensions	2	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	2	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	2	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	1	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	8	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	1	2	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

## 2020-21 - Updated

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	118	114	127	118	117	146	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	740	
Attendance below 90 percent	8	0	4	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	
One or more suspensions	2	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	2	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	2	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	1	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	8	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	l				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	1	2	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel			Total		
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## **School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021	2021		2019			2018		
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement				78%	75%	57%	74%	72%	56%	
ELA Learning Gains				73%	67%	58%	70%	59%	55%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				61%	59%	53%	63%	50%	48%	
Math Achievement				80%	77%	63%	77%	77%	62%	
Math Learning Gains				74%	69%	62%	75%	67%	59%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				58%	59%	51%	67%	58%	47%	
Science Achievement				78%	72%	53%	68%	68%	55%	

## **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	74%	78%	-4%	58%	16%
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019	81%	77%	4%	58%	23%
Cohort Co	mparison	-74%				
05	2021					
	2019	78%	76%	2%	56%	22%
Cohort Co	mparison	-81%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	79%	82%	-3%	62%	17%
Cohort Con	nparison					
04	2021					
	2019	76%	82%	-6%	64%	12%
Cohort Con	nparison	-79%				
05	2021					
	2019	85%	80%	5%	60%	25%
Cohort Con	nparison	-76%				

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019	77%	73%	4%	53%	24%
Cohort Com	parison				•	

## **Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments**

## Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

i-Ready data.

Fall = percent of students Early on Grade Level or above.

Winter = percent of students Mid On Grade Level or above.

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	24 0	30 11	
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With	18	17	
	Disabilities English Language Learners	0	6	
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	41	32	
7 11 10	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	21	25	
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	24	24	
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	7	19	

		Grade 3						
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring				
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	71 36	44 21					
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring				
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged	30	18					
	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	11	10					
Grade 4								
		Grade 4						
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring				
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged		Winter 34	Spring				
	Proficiency All Students Economically	Fall		Spring				
	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners  Number/% Proficiency	Fall  13  Fall	34 10 Winter	Spring Spring				
	Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/%	Fall 51 13	34 10					

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language	54 37	38 21	
	Learners Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	42 11	25 7	
Science	Number/% Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall	Winter	Spring

# Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	39	41	21	36	43	36	52				
BLK	48			30							
HSP	69			67			58				
MUL	72			61							
WHT	71	57	29	67	43	40	73				
FRL	48			53	40		43				
		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	44	53	50	53	58	44	19				
BLK	50	50		50	90						
HSP	79	69		81	77		79				
MUL	81	36		75	64						

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
WHT	80	77	64	82	73	58	78				
FRL	62	75	65	67	75	68	64				
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	40	54	58	42	71	76	21				
HSP	77	68		74	68						
MUL	67			50							
WHT	75	71	66	80	75	72	71				
FRL	61	61	61	66	75	65	62				

## **ESSA Data Review**

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	51
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	359
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	99%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	38
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	

Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	39
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	65
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	67
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	54
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	37
	37 YES

## **Analysis**

## **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:** 

Measurable Outcome:

Monitoring:

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

**Action Steps to Implement** 

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

## #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:** 

Measurable Outcome:

Monitoring:

Person responsible for monitoring outcome: [no one identified]

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

**Action Steps to Implement** 

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

## #3. Culture & Environment specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

**Monitoring:** 

Person responsible for monitoring outcome: [no one identified]

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

**Action Steps to Implement** 

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

## #4. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:** 

Measurable Outcome:

Monitoring:

Person responsible for monitoring outcome: [no one identified]

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

**Action Steps to Implement** 

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

## Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.