St. Johns County School District

# **Gaines Alternative At Hamblen**



2021-22 Ungraded Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the Ungraded SIP	4
School Information	5
Needs Assessment	7
Planning for Improvement	12
R.A.I.S.E	0
Positive Culture & Environment	0

### **Gaines Alternative At Hamblen**

1 CHRISTOPHER ST, St Augustine, FL 32084

www-gats.stjohns.k12.fl.us

#### **Demographics**

**Principal: Craig Davis** 

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2021

2021-22 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Function (per accountability file)	
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 5-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	69%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
School Improvement Rating History	
DJJ Accountability Rating	2023-24: No Rating

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the St. Johns County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

A Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) is a requirement for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) ungraded schools pursuant to 1001.42 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and for DJJ schools receiving a rating of Unsatisfactory pursuant to Sections 1003.51 and 1003.52, F.S. and Rule 6A-1.099813, F.A.C.

CSI schools can be designated as such in 2 ways:

- 1. Have a graduation of 67% or lower; or
- 2. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

DJJ Unsatisfactory Ratings are based on percentages by program type:

Prevention and Intervention: 0%-50%

• Nonsecure Programs: 0%-59%

Secure Programs: 0%-53%

SIP Plans for Ungraded CSI schools and DJJ schools receiving an Unsatisfactory rating must be approved by the district and reviewed by the state.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The School Improvement Plan (SIP) provides schools and Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) the opportunity to identify the academic and priority goals along with strategies for each school. School leadership teams may refine their SIP annually to define their school's academic and priority goals to increase student achievement.

Schools and LEAs are strongly encouraged to collaborate in the development and implementation of this plan.

#### **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The Gaines Alternative School provides an alternative to expulsion through temporary removal of students for Level Four infractions of the St. Johns County School District's Student Code of Conduct. Gaines also serves students awaiting adjudication of off-campus felonies. Gaines serves both categories of students by continuing academic instruction and providing therapeutic support.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

The Gaines Alternative School will provide an academically sound, physically safe, and therapeutically supportive learning environment for students who have committed Level Four infractions of the St. Johns County School District's Student Code of Conduct and for students who are awaiting adjudication of off-campus felonies.

# Briefly discuss the population unique to your school and the specific supports provided to meet the mission and vision.

The student population includes students who have committed Level Four infractions of the St. Johns County School District's Student Code of Conduct and those who are awaiting adjudication of off-campus felonies. Among these students are those who have special needs that are addressed via supports established through their Individual Education Plans (IEP) via Exceptional Student Education (ESE) teachers. Students otherwise receive individualized academic and therapeutic support as needed through their teachers, a school counselor, a district mental health counselor, and an instructional coach.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Davis, Craig	Principal	
Norwich, Melisa	Assistant Principal	
Lo, Tiffany	Instructional Coach	
Ceaser, Amy	School Counselor	
Rule, Kristopher	Dean	

#### Is education provided through contract for educational services?

No

If yes, name of the contracted education provider.

#### **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Thursday 7/1/2021, Craig Davis

Number of teachers with professional teaching certificates?

3

Number of teachers with temporary teaching certificates?

1

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school.

4

Total number of students enrolled at the school.

64

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

0

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

1

**Demographic Data** 

#### **Early Warning Systems**

#### 2021-22

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator						G	rad	e L	eve	el		Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	8	17	19	16	0	75
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	5	12	13	11	0	50
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	7	16	18	16	0	71
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	3	14	13	8	0	46
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	3	6	2	0	19
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	5	15	15	12	0	58

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

In diagram						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	l				Tatal
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	4	5	0	0	16
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 8/25/2021

#### 2020-21 - Updated

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Component		2021			2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement					74%	56%		67%	56%
ELA Learning Gains					60%	51%		59%	53%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					50%	42%		52%	44%
Math Achievement					73%	51%		66%	51%
Math Learning Gains					58%	48%		55%	48%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					55%	45%		52%	45%
Science Achievement					86%	68%		78%	67%
Social Studies Achievement					88%	73%		81%	71%

# **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
06	2021					
	2019	0%	74%	-74%	54%	-54%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
07	2021					
	2019	0%	72%	-72%	52%	-52%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
80	2021					
	2019	0%	71%	-71%	56%	-56%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			<u> </u>	
09	2021					
	2019	30%	75%	-45%	55%	-25%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			· '	
10	2021					
	2019	25%	74%	-49%	53%	-28%
Cohort Co	mparison	-30%			<u>'</u>	

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
06	2021					
	2019	0%	74%	-74%	55%	-55%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
07	2021					
	2019	0%	80%	-80%	54%	-54%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
08	2021					
	2019	45%	78%	-33%	46%	-1%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019					

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Cohort Com	nparison					
08	2021					
	2019	45%	72%	-27%	48%	-3%
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	87%	-87%	67%	-67%
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	90%	-90%	71%	-71%
•		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	88%	-88%	70%	-70%
		ALGE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	42%	79%	-37%	61%	-19%
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	81%	-81%	57%	-57%

# Subgroup Data Review

	2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
WHT											
FRL											
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

# **ESSA Data Review**

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.	
ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	0
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	0
Total Components for the Federal Index	1
Percent Tested	
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
E I II I OLI I MEIL DE LESSE	

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Nether Assessed on Ottoday to	

Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	14/7
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

# Analysis

#### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

Reflect on the Areas of Focus from the previous school year. What progress monitoring was in place for low performing ESSA subgroups related to the Areas of Focus?

Based on ESSA subgroup progress monitoring, which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

What area is in the greatest need of improvement? What specific component of this area is most problematic? What is your basis (data, progress monitoring) for this conclusion?

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

What strategies need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

**Areas of Focus:** 

#### #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

#### **Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Students' academic progress in ELA should continue while they are assigned to Gaines Alternative School in order to ensure a successful transition back to their home-zone school.

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Learning gains of the lowest 25% will reach 50% or increase by 2% if above 50%.

#### **Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Teachers will continually monitor student progress in ELA (via Apex), providing support as needed and providing weekly progress reports to parents. Entry and withdrawal grades will be used to gauge success. Achieve 3000 data will also be used to monitor progress.

#### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

#### **Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

The Gaines team will function as a professional learning community, meeting weekly to troubleshoot student performance concerns.

Tiffany Lo (tiffany.lo@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

#### Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Ongoing team collaboration in supporting student progress is a research-based strategy for improving student success. The PLC model is a district-wide initiative for strengthening student learning.

#### **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

The Gaines team will function as a PLC to foster student progress.

#### Person Responsible

Melisa Norwich (norwicm@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Remediation will be provided as needed.

#### Person Responsible

Melisa Norwich (norwicm@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Weekly progress reports will be sent to parents, with follow-up calls to parents of those students at risk of not achieving a learning gain.

#### Person Responsible

Melisa Norwich (norwicm@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

#### **Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

#### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

#### **Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Students' academic progress in math should continue while they are assigned to Gaines Alternative School in order to ensure a successful transition back to their home-zone school.

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Learning gains of the lowest 25% will reach 60% or increase by 2% if above 60%.

#### Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Teachers will continually monitor student progress in math (via Apex), providing support as needed and providing weekly progress reports to parents. Entry and withdrawal grades will be used to gauge success.

#### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

#### **Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Tiffany Lo (tiffany.lo@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

The Gaines team will function as a professional learning community, meeting weekly to troubleshoot student performance concerns.

#### Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Ongoing team collaboration in supporting student progress is a research-based strategy for improving student success. The PLC model is a district-wide initiative for strengthening student learning.

#### **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

The Gaines team will function as a PLC to foster student progress.

#### Person Responsible

Melisa Norwich (norwicm@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Remediation will be provided as needed.

#### Person Responsible

Melisa Norwich (norwicm@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Weekly progress reports will be sent to parents, with follow-up calls to parents of those students at risk of not achieving a learning gain.

#### Person Responsible

Melisa Norwich (norwicm@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

#### **Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

#### #3. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Early Warning Systems

#### **Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

#### Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

#### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

#### **Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

#### Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

#### **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### **Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

#### #4. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

#### **Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

#### Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

#### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

#### **Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

#### Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

#### **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### **Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

#### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Last Modified: 5/5/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 16 of 16