

2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	20
Positive Culture & Environment	22
Budget to Support Goals	0

## **Pine Crest Elementary School**

405 W 27TH ST, Sanford, FL 32773

http://www.scps.k12.fl.us/schools/schoolinfopage.cfm?schoolnumber=0141

Demographics

## Principal: Ryan Gard Harrold

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2021

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	Yes
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	92%
<b>2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: C (42%) 2017-18: D (38%) 2016-17: D (40%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	N/A
Support Tier	N/A
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, click here.

## **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Seminole County School Board.

### SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	20
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Seminole - 0141 - Pine Crest Elementary School - 2021-22 SIP

## **Pine Crest Elementary School**

405 W 27TH ST, Sanford, FL 32773

## http://www.scps.k12.fl.us/schools/schoolinfopage.cfm?schoolnumber=0141

## **School Demographics**

School Type and Gra (per MSID F		2020-21 Title I School	Disadvant	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary So PK-5	chool	Yes		87%
<b>Primary Servic</b> (per MSID F	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General Ec	lucation	No		84%
School Grades Histor	ry			
Year Grade	2020-21	<b>2019-20</b> C	<b>2018-19</b> C	<b>2017-18</b> D
School Board Approv	/al			

This plan is pending approval by the Seminole County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of the Seminole County Public Schools is to ensure that all students acquire the knowledge, skills, and attitudes to be productive citizens.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Pine Crest School of Innovation would like all students to be: Effective Communicators who will use verbal, written, artistic and technological forms of communication to give, send, and receive information. Inspired Learners who are accountable for demonstrating, assessing, and directing their present and lifelong intellectual growth. Productive Workers who perform collaboratively and independently to create quality products and services that reflect personal pride and responsibility. Responsible Citizens who have a global and multi-cultural perspective, and who take the initiative for improving the quality of life for self and others. Resourceful Thinkers who independently and creatively strive to solve complex problems through reflection, risk-taking, and critical evaluation.

### School Leadership Team

### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Gard- Harrold, Ryan	Principal	Employ and monitor a decision-making process that is based on vision, mission, values, and improvement priorities using facts and data. Lead and support a learning organization focused on student success, quality instructional practices based on state's adopted student academic standards and the district's adopted curricula. Collaboratively develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs and assessments. Recruit, retain and develop an effective and diverse faculty and staff. Manage the organization, operations, and facilities in ways that maximize the use of resources to promote a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning environment.
Trahan, Dustin	Assistant Principal	Support Principal in Math & Science Curricula Implementation, Student Behavior Management and Magnet School Assistance Program implementation. Lead and support a learning organization focused on student success, quality instructional practices based on state's adopted student academic standards and the district's adopted curricula. Collaboratively develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs and assessments. Manage the organization, operations, and facilities in ways that maximize the use of resources to promote a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning environment.
Argrett, Cherlottla	Science Coach	Provide teachers and administrators with on-site, on-going professional development related to disciplinary literacy; modeling best practices; assisting teachers in analyzing student performance data for differentiated instruction; supporting school-wide progress
Glenn, Erika	Other	Provide teachers and administrators with on-site, on-going professional development related to innovative instruction and Computer Science integration; modeling best practices; assisting teachers in differentiated instruction; supporting school-wide progress; monitoring programs, content knowledge and resources, specifically aligned to content, and resulting in an increase in teaching and learning proficiency.
Pearson, Heather	Instructional Coach	Provide teachers and administrators with on-site, on-going professional development related to disciplinary literacy; modeling best practices; assisting teachers in analyzing student performance data for differentiated instruction; supporting school-wide progress; monitoring programs, content knowledge and resources, specifically aligned to content, and resulting in an increase in teaching and learning proficiency.
Richka, Michelle	Instructional Coach	Provide teachers and administrators with on-site, on-going professional development related to disciplinary literacy; modeling best practices; assisting teachers in analyzing student performance data for differentiated instruction; supporting school-wide progress; monitoring programs, content knowledge

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		and resources, specifically aligned to content, and resulting in an increase in teaching and learning proficiency.
Giacomo, Sheila	Attendance/ Social Work	Collaborate with school personnel, parents, community agencies, and/or students to promote optimal learning outcomes for all students. Implement individual, group, or system-level interventions that are scientifically proven to promote positive social, emotional, behavioral, and independent functioning outcomes. Support student attendance by managing truancy referrals that adhere to SCPS procedures for truancy.
Granger, Shronda	Assistant Principal	Support Principal in ELA Curricula Implementation and Teacher / Student Data Analysis. Lead and support a learning organization focused on student success, quality instructional practices based on state's adopted student academic standards and the district's adopted curricula. Collaboratively develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs and assessments.
Taveras- Ortiz, Rachel	Instructional Coach	Provide teachers and administrators with on-site, on-going professional development related to disciplinary literacy; modeling best practices; assisting teachers in analyzing student performance data for differentiated instruction; supporting school-wide progress; monitoring programs, content knowledge and resources, specifically aligned to content, and resulting in an increase in teaching and learning proficiency.
Ellis, Jennifer	Instructional Coach	Provide teachers and administrators with on-site, on-going professional development related to disciplinary literacy; modeling best practices; assisting teachers in analyzing student performance data for differentiated instruction; supporting school-wide progress; monitoring programs, content knowledge and resources, specifically aligned to content, and resulting in an increase in teaching and learning proficiency.

## Demographic Information

## **Principal start date**

Thursday 7/1/2021, Ryan Gard Harrold

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

8

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school** 60

**Total number of students enrolled at the school** 604

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year. 7

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year. 3

**Demographic Data** 

## Early Warning Systems

## 2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					Gra	de L	eve	əl						Total
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	107	92	95	111	98	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	606
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	2	9	13	4	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
Course failure in Math	0	1	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	3	31	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	88
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	3	40	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	12	26	29	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	118

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	3	7	7	20	7	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 8/30/2021

## 2020-21 - As Reported

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	70	102	111	87	111	118	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	599
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Course failure in Math	0	3	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	14	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	12	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiaatar		Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	2	9	12	6	25	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

## 2020-21 - Updated

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	70	102	111	87	111	118	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	599
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Course failure in Math	0	3	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	14	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	12	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
mucator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	2	9	12	6	25	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	86
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sobool Grade Component		2021			2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement				33%	67%	57%	30%	63%	56%	
ELA Learning Gains				51%	61%	58%	40%	58%	55%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				59%	51%	53%	51%	47%	48%	
Math Achievement				33%	70%	63%	33%	68%	62%	
Math Learning Gains				42%	66%	62%	44%	62%	59%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				45%	50%	51%	36%	46%	47%	
Science Achievement				30%	62%	53%	31%	66%	55%	

## Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	25%	67%	-42%	58%	-33%
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019	26%	65%	-39%	58%	-32%
Cohort Co	mparison	-25%			· · ·	
05	2021					
	2019	41%	64%	-23%	56%	-15%
Cohort Co	mparison	-26%			· · ·	

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	27%	71%	-44%	62%	-35%
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019	31%	72%	-41%	64%	-33%
Cohort Co	mparison	-27%				
05	2021					
	2019	31%	65%	-34%	60%	-29%
Cohort Cor	mparison	-31%			•	

	SCIENCE												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison							
05	2021												
	2019	25%	62%	-37%	53%	-28%							
Cohort Cor	mparison												

## Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

iReady Diagnostic Assessments were utilized to progress monitor\*:

• ELA – Grades 1-5

• Mathematics – Grades 1-5

In Grade 5 Science, teacher based formative assessments were used for progress monitoring. Data is unavailable for these assessments.

\*Reported data is based upon having 10 or more students in the subgroup. Less than 10 students will be reported as 0.

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	12/14%	21/23%	34/34%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	10/13%	18/22%	28/33%
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	1/6%	3/17%	6/33%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	7/8%	12/14%	31/32%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	7/9%	10/12%	27/32%
	Students With Disabilities	0	1/7%	4/25%
	English Language Learners	0	0	05/28%
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students	Fall 17/17%	Winter 21/19%	Spring 33/28%
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged			
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	17/17%	21/19%	33/28%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With	17/17% 15/16%	21/19% 19/18%	33/28% 29/27%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language	17/17% 15/16% 1/4%	21/19% 19/18% 1/4%	33/28% 29/27% 1/4%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	17/17% 15/16% 1/4% 2/6%	21/19% 19/18% 1/4% 3/9%	33/28% 29/27% 1/4% 3/9%
	ProficiencyAll StudentsEconomicallyDisadvantagedStudents WithDisabilitiesEnglish LanguageLearnersNumber/%ProficiencyAll StudentsEconomicallyDisadvantaged	17/17% 15/16% 1/4% 2/6% Fall	21/19% 19/18% 1/4% 3/9% Winter	33/28% 29/27% 1/4% 3/9% Spring
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	17/17% 15/16% 1/4% 2/6% Fall 12/12%	21/19% 19/18% 1/4% 3/9% Winter 19/17%	33/28% 29/27% 1/4% 3/9% Spring 28/24%

		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	12/15%	17/20%	29/29%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	11/14%	16/19%	27/30%
	Students With Disabilities	1/6%	1/6%	2/12%
	English Language Learners	1/6%	2/13%	3/18%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	11/13%	26/30%	42/43%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	10/13%	25/30%	40/44%
	Students With Disabilities	1/6%	1/6%	1/6%
	English Language Learners	3/19%	3/19%	5/28%
		Grade 4		
	Number/% Proficiency	Grade 4 Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students		Winter 20/20%	Spring 22/21%
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall		
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	Fall 13/14%	20/20%	22/21%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall 13/14% 11/13%	20/20% 17/18%	22/21% 19/19%
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency	Fall 13/14% 11/13% 1/3% 2/11% Fall	20/20% 17/18% 1/3% 2/10% Winter	22/21% 19/19% 1/3% 2/10% Spring
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	Fall 13/14% 11/13% 1/3% 2/11%	20/20% 17/18% 1/3% 2/10%	22/21% 19/19% 1/3% 2/10%
	ProficiencyAll StudentsEconomicallyDisadvantagedStudents WithDisabilitiesEnglish LanguageLearnersNumber/%ProficiencyAll StudentsEconomicallyDisadvantaged	Fall 13/14% 11/13% 1/3% 2/11% Fall	20/20% 17/18% 1/3% 2/10% Winter	22/21% 19/19% 1/3% 2/10% Spring
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	Fall         13/14%         11/13%         1/3%         2/11%         Fall         11/12%	20/20% 17/18% 1/3% 2/10% Winter 16/16%	22/21% 19/19% 1/3% 2/10% Spring 22/21%

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	7/7%	16/16%	23/21%
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	7/7%	16/16%	21/21%
1	Students With Disabilities	0	1/3%	2/6%
	English Language Learners	0	1/5%	2/11%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	10/10%	23/23%	33/30%
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	9/10%	22/23%	31/30%
	Students With Disabilities	2/6%	3/10%	1/3%
	English Language Learners	0	3/16%	5/25%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	0	0	0
Science	Economically Disadvantaged	0	0	0
	Students With Disabilities	0	0	0
	English Language Learners	0	0	0

## Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	13	36	50	3	20	20	5				
ELL	20	26		18	17	20	5				
BLK	18	33	54	15	18						
HSP	25	33		21	23	20	11				
MUL	45			36							
WHT	49	52		33	24		30				
FRL	26	37	50	20	22	25	12				
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	18	40	58	20	38	42	25				
ELL	19	56	64	28	43	46	31				
BLK	26	44	62	22	43	52	27				

		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS					
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18			
HSP	30	56	71	28	37	44	27							
MUL	45			64										
WHT	46	52		50	38	33	36							
FRL	31	50	63	32	42	50	29							
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS													
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17			
SWD	25	43	39	23	40	38	23							
ELL	18	42	57	21	35	31	18							
BLK	18	42	58	21	44	50	24							
HSP	33	44	50	32	42	33	21							
MUL	47			41										
WHT	40	37		47	47		48							
FRL	29	41	54	31	42	35	27							

## ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index		
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)		
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	32	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES	
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	6	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	60	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	254	
Total Components for the Federal Index	8	
Percent Tested	98%	
Subgroup Data		
Students With Disabilities		
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	24	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES	
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%		
English Language Learners		
Federal Index - English Language Learners	24	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES	

English Language Learners	
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	23
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	27
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	41
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	38
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
	· · ·

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	32
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

### Analysis

### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

The performance of African-American students and students with disabilities across all grade levels and content areas is a concerning trend.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The achievement and learning gains of African-American students and students with disabilities demonstrates the greatest need for improvement.

# What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Factors contributing to the low performance of African-American students and students with disabilities in ELA and Math proficiency and learning gains include disruption in instructional continuity due to the pandemic that further widened gaps in students' foundational skills. Actions to support improvement in these areas will include frequent formative progress monitoring with target support and acceleration in identified areas of need.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Progress monitoring data reflects improvement for ELA and mathematics at all grade levels.

# What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

.Deliberate monitoring of specific student groups contributed to this improvement. Actions included focus on the monitoring of the lowest 30% of students, acceleration of high level 1 and high level 2 and level 3 students along with standards-based tutoring.

#### What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

high level 2 and level 3 students, more frequent common formative assessment to gather progress monitoring data and highly structured professional learning community discussions using this data to collaborate on strategies to accelerate student learning.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Professional development will be focused on the development of highly effective professional learning communities and how school-based leaders can foster the growth and development of teacher collaboration for student success.

# Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Additional services dedicated to student acceleration include social emotional learning support for students and families, data driven tutoring and acceleration support; and expanded use of SCPS early warning tracking and MTSS based support.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

## Areas of Focus:

### **#1. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups**

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Increasing academic achievement of African-American students and students with disabilities. ESSA Federal Percent of Points Index indicates this is a high priority need and focusing on the success of these students will reduce achievement gaps and prepare these students for future academic success.
Measurable Outcome:	Increase achievement and learning gains for African-American students and students with disabilities.
Monitoring:	This area of focus will be monitored through classroom walk throughs, review of progress monitoring data and through data chats with professional learning communities.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Ryan Gard-Harrold (ryan_gard-harrold@scps.k12.fl.us)
Evidence- based Strategy:	Lessons aligned to Florida Standards at the appropriate level of complexity with ongoing feedback loops between leadership and teachers, students and teachers and student with students and PLCs focused on data, instructional planning and student evidence of learning.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	Standards based lessons differentiated to meet the needs of these specific student groups and data driven deliberate action planning will improve achievement and learning gains for our students. This strategy is aligned to having high expectations for all learners and teachers.

## **Action Steps to Implement**

Student owned progress monitoring Low 30% Monitoring High Level 1 and High Level 2 Monitoring Low Level 3 Acceleration Collaborative Data Driven PLCs Tutoring See SCPS School Improvement Plan for additional details

Person Responsible Ryan Gard-Harrold (ryan\_gard-harrold@scps.k12.fl.us)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA			
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Improving Reading/ELA instruction for all students. FSA achievement data reflects that less than 50% of students scored a level 3 or above on the 2021 FSA.		
Measurable Outcome:	The measurable outcome will be an increase in the percentage of students scoring level 3 or above on the spring 2022 FSA.		
Monitoring:	This area of focus will be monitored through strategic, data aligned PLC planning and collaboration, common formative assessment data, DRA and iReady outcomes.		
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Ryan Gard-Harrold (ryan_gard-harrold@scps.k12.fl.us)		
Evidence-based Strategy:	Research reflects a 0.47 effect size for small group learning.		
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	By working with students in small groups, teachers can provide targeted lessons and feedback to quickly accelerate student learning through both differentiation in the core and intervention.		
Action Steps to Implement			

Developing highly collaborative PLCs strategically focused on the use of formative assessment data. Utilizing results of DRA and iReady diagnostics to design reading acceleration support for students. Utilizing SCPS Early Warning/MTSS systems to support interventions.

Reading walk-throughs focused on identifying standards-based and differentiated whole group instruction and small group instruction.

Utilizing pacing calendars and research based instructional materials and practices in 90-minute block. Utilizing additional research-based intervention curriculum for tier 2 and 3 students.

See Seminole County Public Schools' School Improvement Plan for additional details.

 Person
 Ryan Gard-Harrold (ryan\_gard-harrold@scps.k12.fl.us)

## •

## Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Pine Crest Elementary has demonstrated a decrease in the rate of incidents per 100 from 2018-19 (2.1) to 2019-20 (0.7), which is lower than the state average of 1.0 per 100. We have implemented the Restorative Practices as a preventative measure related to building student-teacher relationships, de-escalation of conflict leading to a decrease of behavior incidents and discipline referrals. Our PBS Lead team continues to review discipline data weekly and address students' social emotional needs through school based and supplemental counseling services.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

## Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

We have adopted a school-wide PBS system of expectations and procedures that addresses building a positive school culture and environment by emphasizing relationship building and restorative practices. We embody our 4 Cs: Collaborative, Compassionate, Courageous, and Creative to promote positive student experiences.

# Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

The students of Pine Crest Elementary School participate in many different counseling groups throughout the school year that are provided by our school counselors and social worker. Plne Crest maintains a full time behavior support teacher, counselor and social worker. In addition, outside agencies work with our students to provide the support needed to continue their emotional and behavioral development. Pine Crest Elementary School works with Seminole State College to provide mentors for our students-in-need. For the last three years, the University of Central Florida graduate Psychology students provide individual counseling services for students and their families. With these programs in motion, the goal is to ultimately provide the students with the life skills and tools to be positive productive citizens.