Miami-Dade County Public Schools

North Gardens High School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	20
Positive Culture & Environment	22
Budget to Support Goals	22

North Gardens High School

4692F NW 183RD ST, Miami Gardens, FL 33055

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Laura De Ferre IR A

Start Date for this Principal: 8/30/2021

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	27%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2020-21: No Grade 2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	for more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	20
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	22

North Gardens High School

4692F NW 183RD ST, Miami Gardens, FL 33055

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2020-21 Title I School	2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 9-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	Yes	%
School Grades History		
Year Grade	2012-13	2011-12

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of North Gardens High School is to help at risk students earn a standard high school diploma and prepare for post secondary success.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of North Gardens High School is to provide quality education to all students regardless of their life circumstances, recognizing that at risk students have different needs, learn at different rates, and have diverse learning styles which cause many of these at risk students to drop out of school.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		Providing instructional leadership, contractual accountability, and day-to-day leadership of educational and operational activities of the school
		2. Recruiting, hiring, and retaining highly qualified school staff
		3. Leading all initiatives to ensure school meets defined instructional goals
		4. Collecting and analyzing school data as the basis for monitoring and improving the school's measurable outcomes and contractual obligations with a focus on a cycle of
		continuous improvement.
		5. Monitoring and evaluating staff performance systematically and regularly provide staff feedback and develop professional growth plans when necessary. Follow through with progressive discipline when expectations are not met.
		6. Leading staff to accomplish the defined accountability measures to include contractual obligations and federal, state, and district requirements.
Fernandez, Daniel	Principal	7. Fostering effective communication and relationships with all internal and external stakeholders which would include the company's mission and vision, performance results, school activities, and other information pertinent to the individual stakeholder groups:
		• Staff
		• Students
		• Parents
		School district personnel
		Charter School Board of Directors
		Referring schools
		District representatives
		Community partners
		Other stakeholders as identified

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Monday 8/30/2021, Laura De Ferre IR A

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

11

Total number of students enrolled at the school

311

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	40	80	187	311		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	10	17		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	7		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	eve	1				Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	30	98	135

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 8/31/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	109	100	104	322		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	6	16	79	112		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	9	15		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	5		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	25	153	179		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	74	177	257		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						G	rad	e L	eve	el				Total
inuicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	105	121

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	109	100	104	322		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	6	16	79	112		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	9	15		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	5		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	25	153	179		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	74	177	257		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level										Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	105	121

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Grada Component		2021			2019			2018		
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement					59%	56%		59%	56%	
ELA Learning Gains					54%	51%		56%	53%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					48%	42%		51%	44%	
Math Achievement					54%	51%		51%	51%	
Math Learning Gains					52%	48%		50%	48%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					51%	45%		51%	45%	
Science Achievement					68%	68%		65%	67%	
Social Studies Achievement					76%	73%		73%	71%	

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

	ELA									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				
09	2021									
	2019	0%	55%	-55%	55%	-55%				
Cohort Com	nparison									
10	2021									
	2019	20%	53%	-33%	53%	-33%				
Cohort Com	nparison	0%								

	MATH								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison			
00171107									
				SCIENCE					

SCIENCE									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison			

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	12%	68%	-56%	67%	-55%
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	17%	71%	-54%	70%	-53%
<u> </u>		ALGEB	RA EOC	'	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	63%	-63%	61%	-61%
<u> </u>		GEOME	TRY EOC	<u>'</u>	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					

	GEOMETRY EOC								
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2019	5%	54%	-49%	57%	-52%				

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

Upon entering the School's program, students are tested and placed in an appropriate initial reading intervention. The school uses a screening assessment to determine the students' strengths and gaps. The assessment is the General Assessment of Instructional Needs (GAIN).

Ongoing progress monitoring in the curriculum occurs daily at the classroom level and schoolwide. The progress monitoring is recorded in each student's individual success plan. In addition, accurate progress monitoring meeting notes and dates will be recorded in the progress monitoring log in the school's data management system "STARS".

		Grade 9		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	3	4.04	4.07
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	0	2.5	2.5
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 10		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	.22	3.8	4.03
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	.03	3.74	3.78
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 11		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	07	5.24	5.3
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	13	4.4	4.52
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 12		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	43	5.65	6.08
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	21	4.35	4.56
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

Subgroup Data Review

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD										14	
ELL										24	6
BLK										22	36
HSP	•									23	10
FRL										24	23

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD											
ELL										3	
BLK				5				17		8	
HSP								13		6	
FRL										5	
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	13
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	52
Total Components for the Federal Index	4
Percent Tested	71%

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities					
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	14				
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%					
English Language Learners					
Federal Index - English Language Learners					

Todard mack English Edingdage Eddiners	10				
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%					
Native American Students					

Native American Students					
Federal Index - Native American Students					
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				

Native American Students	
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	29
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	17
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	24
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Reading has been the lowest performance data component. The student population at North Gardens is behind at least two grade levels and have still not passed the state assessment.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Reading illustrates the greatest need for improvement being that only 40% showed learning gains compared to 50% in 2018-2019.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Students were learning from home due to the pandemic. This negatively impacted issue for Direct Instruction.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Performance in mathematics showed the most improvement since it came in at 55% compared to the 40% in ELA.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Engagement and attendance for Direct Instruction was higher on average than the reading.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Weekly, daily and monthly interventions will be implemented to address the deficiencies noted on state assessments and progress monitoring.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Professional development days will consist of sharing best practices and progress monitoring to address students' academic needs.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Continuous monitoring of standards within state assessments and progress monitoring resources will be used to guide instruction.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus
Description

Reading showed learning gains of only 40%. Most students come in two

and Rationale: grade levels below in reading.

Measurable Outcome:

North Gardens High School will focus on increasing student gains in reading by 3

percentage points as reflected on the School Improvement Rating (SIR).

Monitoring: Reading Coach, Advisory Teachers and Administration will be monitoring results of state assessments, weekly and bi-weekly standards assessments.

Person

responsible for monitoring

Daniel Fernandez (dfern06@dadeschools.net)

outcome:

Evidencebased

Level 1 and 2 students will receive direct and differentiated instruction to increase

Strategy: achievement levels.

Rationale for

Evidencebased Strategy: Students who are deficient in reading will receive differentiated instruction to address their individual needs. Targeting deficiencies and reviewing test taking strategies will

help increase proficiency which will lead to higher results on assessments.

Action Steps to Implement

Reading Coach will identify "Bubble Students" who are underperforming in reading and have yet to pass the state's reading assessment.

Person

Responsible

Daniel Fernandez (dfern06@dadeschools.net)

Reading Coach will enroll those students in Reading plus and monitor their progress along with Advisory Teachers.

Person

Responsible

Daniel Fernandez (dfern06@dadeschools.net)

Reading Coach will communicate list of identified students to Administration.

Person

Responsible

Daniel Fernandez (dfern06@dadeschools.net)

Reading Coach will provide those students Direct and Differentiated Instruction and, will inform Assistant Principal of students who are not attending due to truancy and/or behavioral issues.

Person

Responsible

Daniel Fernandez (dfern06@dadeschools.net)

Assistant Principal will communicate with students (and their parents) that are not participating and place them on an Academic Contract (if need be).

Person

Responsible

Daniel Fernandez (dfern06@dadeschools.net)

Reading Coach and Assistant Principal will encourage students to sign up for the ACT/SAT on Fridays.

Person

Responsible

Daniel Fernandez (dfern06@dadeschools.net)

Reading Coach will continue to monitor student progress in Reading Plus and Direct Instruction groups and provide appropriate feedback until students test.

Person Responsible

Daniel Fernandez (dfern06@dadeschools.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Students with truancy issues will be placed on attendance contracts and monitored for improvement.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Parents are encouraged to come in and meet with the students' Advisory Teachers. Parents of new student are given a tour of the school. They receive weekly Progress Reports via email regarding their child's academic progress. Each parent is contacted by phone at least once per month with feedback about their child. Whenever a student is absent, parents/guardians are contacted. Our administration and teachers are available by phone and in person during the school hours. We encourage all contact with parents. The Principal, Family Support Specialist, and Career Coach provide opportunities for other programs to partner with the school to provide necessary services to students and their families.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Local businesses such as the McDonald's across the road have a strong relationship with North Gardens High School. They have the Principal's contact information and are encouraged to communicate.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	\$0.00					
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
			7068 - North Gardens High School			\$0.00
					Total:	\$0.00