**School District of Osceola County, FL** 

# Lincoln Marti Charter Schools(Osceola Campus)



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
	_
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	18
·	
Positive Culture & Environment	21
Budget to Support Goals	21

# Lincoln Marti Charter Schools(Osceola Campus)

2244 FORTUNE RD, Kissimmee, FL 34744

www.lincoln-marti.com/charters/

Start Date for this Principal: 9/24/2021

## **Demographics**

## **Principal: S IR Alin Albert Torres**

2019-20 Status Active (per MSID File) School Type and Grades Served **Combination School** (per MSID File) KG-8 **Primary Service Type** K-12 General Education (per MSID File) 2020-21 Title I School Yes 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate 36% (as reported on Survey 3) 2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented **English Language Learners** (subgroups with 10 or more students) **Hispanic Students** (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an **Economically Disadvantaged** asterisk) Students 2018-19: No Grade **School Grades History** 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information\* SI Region Central **Regional Executive Director Lucinda Thompson Turnaround Option/Cycle** N/A Year **Support Tier** 

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Osceola County School Board.

**ESSA Status** 

Last Modified: 3/13/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 3 of 21

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Table of Contents**

4
6
9
18
0
21
_

# Lincoln Marti Charter Schools(Osceola Campus)

2244 FORTUNE RD, Kissimmee, FL 34744

www.lincoln-marti.com/charters/

## **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2020-21 Title I School	2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Combination School KG-8	Yes	67%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	Yes	97%
School Grades History		
Year Grade		2020-21

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Osceola County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Lincoln-Marti Charter School Osceola Campus mission is to provide the best quality education and instill in our students values that will make them better citizens, better workers and better human beings to contribute for the progress of our society.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

At Lincoln-Marti we believe that the quality of any nation, state, city, community and family must be judged by the preparation and advancement of the individuals who comprise them.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Mercado, Liza	Teacher, K-12	Lead teacher: provides academic support to teachers. Assists the administration in bi-weekly leadership team meeting topics and development of the agenda.
Imbert, Lucrecia	Principal	Oversee the school's day-to-day operations, including handling disciplinary matters, conducting classroom observations, managing a budget, and hiring teachers and other personnel. Develops and monitors the SIP. Logistics, schedules, teacher and staff evaluations, and public relations are also major responsibilities.

## Demographic Information

#### Principal start date

Friday 9/24/2021, S IR Alin Albert Torres

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Total number of students enrolled at the school

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

## **Demographic Data**

## **Early Warning Systems**

2021-22

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	17	20	23	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	
Attendance below 90 percent	8	8	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

In dia stan	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

## Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 9/24/2021

## 2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	19	23	14	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## The number of students identified as retainees:

la dia stan	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

## 2020-21 - Updated

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	19	23	14	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level								Total				
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Grada Component	2021			2019			2018		
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement					56%	61%		58%	60%
ELA Learning Gains					57%	59%		58%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					55%	54%		52%	52%
Math Achievement					52%	62%		52%	61%
Math Learning Gains					55%	59%		54%	58%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					49%	52%		50%	52%
Science Achievement					49%	56%		54%	57%
Social Studies Achievement					75%	78%		71%	77%

## **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					<u>=</u>
	2019	0%	51%	-51%	58%	-58%
Cohort Cor	nparison		·			
04	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
06	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%	·			
07	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			<u>'</u>	
08	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	0%	54%	-54%	62%	-62%
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	Cohort Comparison					
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
06	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
07	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			· ·	
08	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%	'		· ·	

	SCIENCE									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				
05	2021									
	2019									
Cohort Com	nparison									
08	2021									
	2019									
Cohort Com	nparison	0%								

	BIOLOGY EOC								
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2021									
2019									
		CIVIC	S EOC						
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2021									
2019									

HISTORY EOC								
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State			
2021								
2019								
		ALGEE	BRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State			
2021								
2019								
		GEOME	TRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State			
2021								
2019								

## **Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments**

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

Progress monitoring tools include formal and informal assessments.

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	52%	82%	96%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	30%	70%	74%

		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	28%	42%	93%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	0%	86%	93%
		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	13%	50%	50%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	0%	36%	38%

		Grade 4		
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall	Winter	Spring
		Grade 5		
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	Number/% Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall	Winter	Spring

		0		
		Grade 6		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
		Grade 7		
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Civics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 8		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

# Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
ELL											
HSP											
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
ELL											
HSP											
FRL											
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

## **ESSA Data Review**

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.				
ESSA Federal Index				
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)				
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	67			
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO			
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0			
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	67			
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	67			
Total Components for the Federal Index				
Percent Tested				
Subgroup Data				
Students With Disabilities				
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities				
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%				
English Language Learners				
Federal Index - English Language Learners	67			
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%				
Native American Students				
Federal Index - Native American Students				
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Asian Students				
Federal Index - Asian Students				
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Black/African American Students				
Federal Index - Black/African American Students				
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%				

Hispanic Students				
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	67			
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Multiracial Students				
Federal Index - Multiracial Students				
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Pacific Islander Students				
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students				
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%				
White Students				
Federal Index - White Students				
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%				

## **Analysis**

#### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

## What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

2020-2021 FSA Assessment data demonstrates a 50% proficiency in ELA and 38% in Mathematics.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The greatest need for improvement are in both ELA and Mathematics, this is evident in the 2020-2021 FSA ELA and Mathematics Assessments.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

One of the major contributing factors last year was the pandemic, our school had a high percentage of students attending school remotely which had a significant impact in the Reading and Mathematics data.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

There were minimal areas of progress based on the assessments. iReady AP1 and AP2 were the assessments used to monitor the students' progress.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

New actions will be taken to ensure an increase in student performance.

## What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

The strategies that need to be implemented are on-going progress monitoring, interventions and small group instruction. Furthermore, now that students are all attending school physically, this will enable the effective implementation of all the necessary strategies in order to ensure that student overcome the learning gaps in Mathematics and Reading.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers will receive the adequate training through professional development opportunities that will be offered throughout the school year. The professional development will be tailed to the current data.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Additional services will include Early Bird Tutoring, pull-out interventions, small group instruction, extended learning tutoring and academic camps.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Areas of Focus:

#### #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

An important area of focus for the 2021-2022 SIP is to increase ELA Proficiency on the 2022 FSA ELA Assessments.

Measurable Outcome:

**Monitoring:** 

The following are the goals for this school year: increase ELA overall proficiency from

50% to 60%.

The school will administer the I-READY Diagnostic assessment three times during the

year. Students will be assessed by the grade level reading standards using the

Standards Mastery assessments in the I-READY program.

Person responsible for monitoring

Lucrecia Imbert (lucrecia.imbert@osceolaschools.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

The school will administer the I-READY Diagnostic assessment three times during the year. Students will be assessed by the grade level reading standards using the Standards Mastery assessments in the I-READY program.

Rationale for Evidence-based

**Evidence-based** Ongoing progress monitoring to tailor instruction to students' academic needs.

Strategy:

outcome:

## **Action Steps to Implement**

The administration will monitor the usage of the I-Ready program. Administrative - teacher data chats will be conducted at the conclusion of each diagnostic assessment. Interventions will be provided to the students.

Person Responsible

Lucrecia Imbert (lucrecia.imbert@osceolaschools.net)

#### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus **Description** and Rationale:

Another area of focus for the 2021-2022 SIP is to Increase Mathematics Proficiency on the 2022 FSA Mathematics Assessments.

Measurable Outcome:

The following are the goals for this school year: increase Mathematics overall proficiency from 38% to 50%.

The school will administer the I-READY Diagnostic assessment during the three administration periods of the school year. Students will be assessed by the grade level **Monitoring:** mathematics standards using the Standards Mastery assessments in the I-READY

program.

Person responsible for monitoring

Lucrecia Imbert (lucrecia.imbert@osceolaschools.net)

Evidencebased Strategy:

outcome:

Ongoing progress monitoring through the I-READY Program. Consistent assessment of the Mathematics standards through Standards Mastery (I-Ready).

Rationale for Evidence-

One of the lowest domains on the FSA was Mathematics proficiency.

Strategy:

based

## **Action Steps to Implement**

The administration will monitor the usage of the I-Ready program. Administrative - teacher data chats will be conducted at the conclusion of each diagnostic assessment. Interventions will be provided to the students.

Person Responsible

Lucrecia Imbert (lucrecia.imbert@osceolaschools.net)

### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the SafeSchoolsforAlex.org, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

n/a

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

## Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Lincoln-Marti Charter School Osceola Campus mission is to provide the best quality education and instill in our students values that will make them better citizens, better workers and better human beings.

The school strongly believes that the main factor on promoting academic success is by consistently having ongoing communication with all stakeholders when it comes to school-wide data and school improvement strategies. Our utmost goal is to ensure all students are provided with a challenging education which will prepare them to be successful in the real world.

Lincoln Marti Charter School Osceola Campus is a Title I school, therefore we conduct physical and/or remote parent / student workshops on a monthly basis focusing on important topics including but not limited to: Accessing the electronic grade book portal, Code of Student conduct, statewide assessments, Reading strategies, Truancy, migrant resources etc.

# Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

The leadership team comprised of school principal and lead teachers will focus on maintaining and enhancing a positive school culture. Our utmost goal is to provide a safe, nurturing and encouraging atmosphere while exposing all students to a rigorous academic curriculum. Students in our school are cognizant that they aren't just a 'number' and know that each of us part of the leadership team have an open door policy for our students. Maintaining ongoing parent communication is vital to the students academic success.

## Part V: Budget

## The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A. Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA		\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00