The School District of Lee County

Lee County Jail



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

Needs Assessment	3
rpose and Outline of the SIP hool Information eds Assessment inning for Improvement sitive Culture & Environment	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	19
Positive Culture & Environment	23
Budget to Support Goals	23

Lee County Jail

2266 2ND ST, Fort Myers, FL 33901

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: James Buchanon

Start Date for this Principal: 9/9/2021

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 7-Adult
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2020-21: No Grade
	2018-19: No Grade
School Grades History	2017-18: No Grade
	2016-17: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	1
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more infor	mation, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Lee County School Board.

Last Modified: 5/4/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 3 of 23

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	19
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Title I Requirements	0
·	
Budget to Support Goals	23

Lee County Jail

2266 2ND ST, Fort Myers, FL 33901

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served		2020-21 Economically
-	2020-21 Title I School	Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate
(per MSID File)		(as reported on Survey 3)

High School 7-Adult

No

%

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)

Charter School

2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)

Alternative Education

No

%

School Grades History

Year

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Lee County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Lee County Jail is committed to providing an education that allows each student to reach his/her highest potential

Provide the school's vision statement.

Lee County Jail's vision is to provide students a quality and comprehensive education that will assist them in their quest to become productive members of our society.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Buchanon, James	Principal	Monitor school's programs and progress.
Williams, Herman	Administrative Support	
Henderson, Harriett	School Counselor	Supports teachers and students to ensure academic progress.
Portier, Zaida	Administrative Support	Support administration.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Thursday 9/9/2021, James Buchanon

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

2

Total number of students enrolled at the school

5

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

2

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator			Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total			
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 9/9/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Number of students enrolled

Attendance below 90 percent

One or more suspensions

Course failure in ELA

Course failure in Math

Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment

Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Students with two or more indicators

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total

Retained Students: Current Year

Students retained two or more times

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Grade Level												
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2021		2019			2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement					55%	56%		55%	56%
ELA Learning Gains					49%	51%		50%	53%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					37%	42%		42%	44%
Math Achievement					50%	51%		54%	51%
Math Learning Gains					45%	48%		43%	48%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					43%	45%		43%	45%
Science Achievement					62%	68%		70%	67%
Social Studies Achievement					67%	73%		66%	71%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
07	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison					
80	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
09	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
10	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				

	MATH								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison			
07	2021								
	2019								
Cohort Com	nparison								
08	2021								
	2019								
Cohort Comparison 0%									

	SCIENCE								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison			
08	2021								
	2019								
Cohort Con	nparison								

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		HISTO	RY EOC	•	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
<u> </u>		ALGEB	RA EOC	'	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

		Grade 7		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Civics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 8		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 9		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 10		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 11		
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall	Winter	Spring

		Grade 12		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade Adult		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	

ESSA Federal Index				
Total Components for the Federal Index				
Percent Tested				
Subgroup Data				

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Students enrolled in Lee County Jail attend school for 1 day to 9 months, they have an option to attend school, the majority of the students are level 1 and have a disability. The data does indicate a need to examine the impact Sheriff's policy to allow the student to make the decision to attend school and the impact this decision have on students' academic performance as compared to students enrolled in public schools.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

This will be the first year in measuring the percentile gains of students in each level, each sub group and instructional attendance.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

N/A

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

- 1. Meet with instructional coaches
- 2. Review the data
- 3. Identify the students needing assistance
- 4. Design an individual plan for the students
- 5. Set aside time for students to receive the individual instructions

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Other specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Students attendance is impacted by their choice to receive educational services and by the Lee County Sheriffs department established medical and disciplinary lock down policy.

Measurable Outcome:

Students will attend school a minimum of four hours per week.

Monitoring: Collaborating with Sheriff's staff to allow students having elected to receive educational services, attend classes a minimum of four hours per week.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Collaborate with the Lee County Sheriff's department and with instructors to implement different strategies for behavior challenged students in order to attend a minimum of four hours of academic time per week.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Meet with Sheriff's representative to work out a plan for attendance.
 Provide allowable incentives to students to increase attendance

3. Plan will be monitored every 45 days

Action Steps to Implement

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#2. Other specifically relating to Social and Emotional Learning

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Students are placed on disciplinary lock down due to inappropriate behavior.
Measurable Outcome:	Reduce the number of students placed on disciplinary lock down by 50% by the end of SY '21-'22.
Monitoring:	Work with Sheriff's staff and the instructors to work with students on improving their behavior.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)

Develop a behavioral intervention plan.

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

Evidence-based Strategy:

- 1. Train instructors and sheriff's staff in SEL.
- Provide an engaging learning environment
- 3. Monitor student's attendance report every 45 days
- 4. Implement and monitor the behavioral intervention plan

Person Responsible James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)

#3. Other specifically relating to Students Reading Level

Area of

Focus
Description

and

The majority of students enrolling at the Lee Count Jail are below 2 or more grade levels in Reading. Their cohorts have graduated.

Rationale:

By the end of SY '19-'20, Level 1 and 2 students shall gain the same average amount or more percentile points on STAR as their grade level by June 2020. Last year, the average percentile gain for current Level 1 seventh graders was 084; eighth graders was 1.21;

Measurable Outcome:

percentile gain for current Level 1 seventh graders was .084; eighth graders was 1.21; ninth graders was .12 and tenth graders was .71. The average percentile gain for Level 2 seventh graders was .75; eighth graders was .97; ninth graders was .44 and tenth graders

was 1.08

Tutoring small group and differentiated instruction. Incorporating regular reading fluency check points.

Monitoring:

Encourage independent reading for pleasure.

Teachers to implement 3 of Hattie's high yielding strategies for professional development.

Person responsible

for

James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

Rationale for

Evidencebased

The majority of the students are below proficient in Reading

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Administer STAR assessment regularly
- 2. Incorporate reading and writing strategies in all instructional areas
- 3. Provide constructive feedback monitored every 45 days
- 4. Train teachers on Hattie's high yielding strategies
- 5. Provide various leveled, high interest reading texts

Person Responsible

James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)

#4. Other specifically relating to Proficient Students

Area of

Focus

Description and

Although the majority of students are Level 1 and 2, Level 3, 4 and 5 students attending need challenging activities provided to continue learning gains.

Rationale:

Level 3 students shall gain the same average amount or more percentile points on STAR

Measurable Outcome:

as their grade level by June 2020. Last, year, the average percentile gain for current Level 3 seventh graders was .49; eighth graders was .88; ninth graders was .49 and tenth

graders was 1.05

Provide opportunities for differentiated instruction

Monitoring: Provide rigorous materials at various levels

Small group or possible individual one on one tutoring

Person responsible

for James Buchanor

James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)

monitoring outcome: Evidence-

based Strategy: Rationale for

Evidencebased

Ensure students stay with current group level

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Provide professional development on differentiated instruction techniques
- 2. Monitor instruction and provide coaching
- 3. Provide opportunity for instructors collaboration via PLCs
- 4. Introduce 3 of Hattie's high yielding strategies
- 5. Monitor every 45 days via formative and STAR

Person

Responsible Ja

James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)

#5. Other specifically relating to Math Levels

Area of Focus

Description The majority of students are below grade level for Math

and

Rationale:

Level 1 and 2 students shall gain the same average amount or more percentile points on

STAR by June 2020. Last year, the average percentile gain for current Level 1 seventh graders was 1.17; eight graders was .23; ninth graders was .32 and tenth graders was .16. The average percentile gain for Level 2 seventh graders was 1.78; eight graders was .97;

ninth graders was .06 and tenth graders was .30

Monitoring:

Measurable

Outcome:

Person responsible

for James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased

Provide coaching to assist with tutoring, modeling, and small and large group instruction. Provide professional development in Mathematical strategies and curriculum resources.

Strategy: Rationale

for

Evidence- The majority of the students are below proficient in Math

based Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Provide opportunity for instructors collaboration via PLCs
- 2. Monitor instruction via lesson plans review and observations
- 3. Review data from progress monitoring and develop an action plan

Person

Responsible

James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Other: Student Attendance	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Other: Social and Emotional Learning	\$0.00
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Other: Students Reading Level	\$0.00
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Other: Proficient Students	\$0.00
5	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Other: Math Levels	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00