

2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	17
Positive Culture & Environment	18
Budget to Support Goals	18

# Bridgeprep Academy Of St.Cloud

3691 OLD CANOE CREEK RD, St. Cloud, FL 34769

www.bridgeprepstcloud.com

Demographics

# Principal: Leyssa Helgeson

Start Date for this Principal: 9/16/2021

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	Yes
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	19%
<b>2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Osceola County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	17
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	18

Osceola - 0131 - Bridgeprep Academy - 2021-22 SIP

# Bridgeprep Academy Of St.Cloud

## 3691 OLD CANOE CREEK RD, St. Cloud, FL 34769

### www.bridgeprepstcloud.com

**School Demographics** 

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2020-21 Title I School	<b>2020-21 Economically</b> <b>Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)
Combination School KG-8	No	52%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	<b>2018-19 Minority Rate</b> (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	Yes	87%
School Grades History		
Year Grade		2020-21
School Board Approval		

This plan is pending approval by the Osceola County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

**Position Title** 

Provide the school's vision statement.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name

**Job Duties and Responsibilities** 

#### **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Thursday 9/16/2021, Leyssa Helgeson

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Total number of students enrolled at the school

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

**Demographic Data** 

#### Early Warning Systems

#### 2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level	Tota
Number of students enrolled		
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA		
Course failure in Math		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment		
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency		
The number of students with two or more early warning indi	cators:	
Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Students with two or more indicators		
The number of students identified as retainees:		
Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Retained Students: Current Year		
Students retained two or more times		
Date this data was collected or last updated Pending		
Pending 20-21 - As Reported	lv warning indicator:	
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear		Tota
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator	ly warning indicator: Grade Level	Tota
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled		Tota
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent		Tota
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled		Tota
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions		Tota
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA		Tota
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math		Tota
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	Grade Level	Tota
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	Grade Level	Total
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	Grade Level	
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment The number of students with two or more early warning indi Indicator	Grade Level	
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment The number of students with two or more early warning indi Indicator Students with two or more indicators	Grade Level	
Pending 20-21 - As Reported The number of students by grade level that exhibit each ear Indicator Number of students enrolled Attendance below 90 percent One or more suspensions Course failure in ELA Course failure in Math Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment The number of students with two or more early warning indi Indicator Students with two or more indicators The number of students identified as retainees:	Grade Level	Total

### 2020-21 - Updated

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Number of students enrolled		
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA		
Course failure in Math		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment		
The number of students with two or more early warning inc	dicatore	
Indicator	Grade Level	Total
		Total
Indicator		Total
Indicator Students with two or more indicators		Total Total
Indicator Students with two or more indicators The number of students identified as retainees:	Grade Level	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2021		2019			2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement					56%	61%		58%	60%
ELA Learning Gains					57%	59%		58%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					55%	54%		52%	52%
Math Achievement					52%	62%		52%	61%
Math Learning Gains					55%	59%		54%	58%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					49%	52%		50%	52%
Science Achievement					49%	56%		54%	57%
Social Studies Achievement					75%	78%		71%	77%

## Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

	ELA							
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		
03	2021							
	2019							
Cohort Co	mparison							
04	2021							
	2019							
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•			
05	2021							
	2019							
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•			
06	2021							
	2019							
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•			
07	2021							
	2019							
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			· ·			
08	2021							
	2019							
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			- · · ·			

			MATH	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Corr	nparison					
04	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Corr	nparison	0%				
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Corr	nparison	0%				
06	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Corr	nparison	0%				
07	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Corr	nparison	0%				
08	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Corr	nparison	0%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2021					

	SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison	
	2019						
Cohort Com	nparison						
08	2021						
	2019						
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison 0%						

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		CIVIC	SEOC	· · ·	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		HISTO	RY EOC	· · · · · ·	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
		Grade 4		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
		Grade 6		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 7		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Civics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 8		
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

# Subgroup Data Review

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

## ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	N/A
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	
Total Components for the Federal Index	
Percent Tested	
Subgroup Data	

#### Analysis

#### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Areas of Focus:

No activities were entered for this section.

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

# Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

Total:

\$0.00