Broward County Public Schools

Sunrise High School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	20
Positive Culture & Environment	21
1 OSILIVE GUILUIE & EIIVII OIIIIIEIIL	21
Budget to Support Goals	21

Sunrise High School

424 W SUNRISE BLVD, Ft Lauderdale, FL 33311

www.sunrisehigh.com

Demographics

Principal: Charmayne Joseph

Start Date for this Principal: 8/18/2021

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served	High School
(per MSID File)	9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	49%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2020-21: No Grade 2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	20
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	21

Sunrise High School

424 W SUNRISE BLVD, Ft Lauderdale, FL 33311

www.sunrisehigh.com

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2020-21 Title I School	2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 9-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	Yes	%
School Grades History		
Year Grade	2012-13	2011-12

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission statement at Sunrise High School is to provide all students with an innovative, personalized blended learning environment that will ensure our learners fulfill their potential, both academically and socially. Our goal is to motivate, educate and graduate students in order to cultivate lifelong learners.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision at Sunrise High School is based on all students in our learning community, given the right tools, to be successful as the students succeed and exceed their expectations'. Sunrise High School provides the students with flexibility to adapt and differentiate instruction. We consistently involve students in the learning process and providing them with the hands on strategies to empower them for a successful future.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Curtis, Marie	Principal	 Abides by and assist in implementation of all policies and procedures developed by Edison Learning and the local school and other governmental agencies. Responsible for daily operation, hiring and management of the school staff members. Utilizes the strategic plan, district goals, district policy, and the approved school improvement plan to guide both personal leadership and the work of the school staff. Abides by and assist in implementation of all policies and procedures developed by Edison Learning. Conducts Formal and Informal evaluations based upon job description, goals and objectives specific to the school or the position, student achievement data, and the performance of the Principal. Develops and sustains focus on a shared mission and clear vision for improvement of learning and teaching. Ongoing collaborative learning and team building strategies with staff members. Professional Development (zoom) to advance learning and COVID19 safety precautions in our learning environment. Leads the development, implementation and evaluation of a data-driven plan for increasing student achievement, including the use of multiple student data element. Manages the budget and expenditures in cooperation with Edison Learning to meet financial obligations.
Antalan, Darius	School Counselor	 Abides by and assist in implementation of all policies and procedures developed by Edison Learning and the local school and other governmental agencies. Responsible for daily operation, hiring and management of the school staff members. Utilizes the strategic plan, district goals, district policy, and the approved school improvement plan to guide both personal leadership and the work of the school staff. Abides by and assist in implementation of all policies and procedures developed by Edison Learning. Conducts Formal and Informal evaluations based upon job description, goals and objectives specific to the school or the position, student achievement data, and the performance of the Principal. Develops and sustains focus on a shared mission and clear vision for improvement of learning and teaching. Ongoing collaborative learning and team building strategies with staff members. Professional Development (zoom) to advance learning and COVID19 safety precautions in our learning environment. Leads the development, implementation and evaluation of a data-driven plan for increasing student

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		achievement, including the use of multiple student data element. • Manages the budget and expenditures in cooperation with Edison Learning to meet financial obligations.
Calmell, Merlanis	Teacher, ESE	 Prepares for and conducts Parent and student conferencing according to school policy. Delivers student instruction in modalities instituted by school and assigned program (may include but are not limited to: Individual or Group Direct Synchronous Instruction, Learning Support, Life Skills Support, Itinerant Support, Resource Room Support, co-teaching, writing lesson plans, telephone conferencing and instruction, Parent Learning Opportunities). Collects data and appropriate work samples. Plans and conducts appointments with parents as needed. Assists regular education teachers with specially designed instruction when necessary. Participates at monthly outings encouraged. Provides support for ESE student achievement in the general class through training teachers and assisting with implementation of school inclusion plans. Arranges for and assists with training for inclusionary practices.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 8/18/2021, Charmayne Joseph

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

1

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

1

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

6

Total number of students enrolled at the school

400

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

4

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

3

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					(Gra	ade	L L	eve	əl				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Saturday 10/16/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						G	rac	le I	Lev	el				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	66	92	241	430
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	40	55	145	259
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	59	83	217	387
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	62	86	226	403
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25	34	73	137
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	34	31	27	98

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						G	rad	e L	eve	el				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	30	48	102	188

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	15	20	62	101
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	3	19	31

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level										Total			
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	66	92	241	430
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	40	55	145	259
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	59	83	217	387
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	62	86	226	403
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25	34	73	137
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	34	31	27	98

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	30	48	102	188

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	15	20	62	101
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	3	19	31

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Grada Component		2021			2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement					57%	56%		58%	56%
ELA Learning Gains					52%	51%		54%	53%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					45%	42%		47%	44%
Math Achievement					51%	51%		49%	51%
Math Learning Gains					44%	48%		45%	48%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					43%	45%		46%	45%
Science Achievement					66%	68%		64%	67%
Social Studies Achievement					71%	73%	·	70%	71%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2021					
	2019	0%	57%	-57%	55%	-55%
Cohort Co	mparison					
10	2021					
	2019	3%	53%	-50%	53%	-50%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				

MATH								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		

SCIENCE									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison			

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	5%	67%	-62%	67%	-62%
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	20%	67%	-47%	70%	-50%
		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	4%	61%	-57%	61%	-57%
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	2%	56%	-54%	57%	-55%

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

FAIR - grade 9-12 Studi Island - grade 9-12 HMH - grade 9-12

		Grade 9		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 10		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 11		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

		Grade 12		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Biology	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
US History	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD										44	
ELL										82	7
BLK										50	11
HSP										90	
FRL										54	11

		2019	SCHOO	L GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD				6						41	9
BLK	4	42		2	55		4	34		29	3
FRL	5	33		2	46		4	31		35	3
		2018	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	16
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	65
Total Components for the Federal Index	4
Percent Tested	21%

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	44
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	45
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	

Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	

A cion Studente	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	15
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	90
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	16
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

No activities were entered for this section.

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Positive reinforcement in the classroom via email, phone calls, etc.

Spirit Week

Mentoring

Celebrate Academic Acheivement

Celebrate Cultural Holidays

Greet them at the door when they enter

Building Personal Relationships

College and Career Prep (CTE/Test Prep)

Student Government Council (Student Voice)

Professional Development

Parent/Teacher Association (PTA)

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Teachers - Mentors, Facilitators, Motivators, Setting classroom expectation

Students - Student Council, Clubs (i.e. LGBT, Book club, Men of Tomorrow Club, etc.)

Parents - PTA, Advocate, Volunteer, Sponsors

Volunteers - Advocate, Volunteer, Sponsor

Board Member - Advocate, Sponsor, Advise

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

\$0.00	Total: