

2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	16
Positive Culture & Environment	19
Budget to Support Goals	20

Dade - 6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School - 2021-22 SIP

# Miami Community Charter Middle School

18720 SW 352ND ST, Florida City, FL 33034

www.mccedu.org

Demographics

## Principal: Stephany Papili

Start Date for this Principal: 9/28/2021

Middle School 6-8 K-12 General Education Yes 92% udents With Disabilities* glish Language Learners* ack/African American Students* spanic Students* onomically Disadvantaged udents*
Yes 92% udents With Disabilities* glish Language Learners* ack/African American Students* spanic Students* onomically Disadvantaged udents*
92% udents With Disabilities* glish Language Learners* ack/African American Students* spanic Students* onomically Disadvantaged udents*
udents With Disabilities* glish Language Learners* ack/African American Students* spanic Students* onomically Disadvantaged udents*
glish Language Learners* ack/African American Students* spanic Students* onomically Disadvantaged udents*
2018-19: B (54%) 2017-18: C (43%) 2016-17: C (44%)
ation*
Southeast
LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
N/A

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	20

Dade - 6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School - 2021-22 SIP

## Miami Community Charter Middle School

18720 SW 352ND ST, Florida City, FL 33034

#### www.mccedu.org

#### **School Demographics**

School Type and Gra (per MSID F		2020-21 Title I School	Disadvant	Economically aged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Middle Scho 6-8	lool	Yes		98%
<b>Primary Servic</b> (per MSID F	••	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General Ec	lucation	Yes		98%
School Grades Histor	ry			
Year Grade	2020-21	<b>2019-20</b> B	<b>2018-19</b> B	<b>2017-18</b> C
School Board Approv	/al			

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

At MCCS (Miami Community Charter School), our faculty is committed to empowering our students through mentorship to be held accountable by teaching them to embrace responsibility, demonstrate mutual respect, and engage in open communication. Our continuous collaboration of all stakeholders will provide a safe and nurturing environment which promotes students' social-emotional and academic growth. Students will feel secure in embracing new challenges by identifying their individual strengths, motivating them through goals, and celebrating their victories. Through our endeavors and dedication to community service, our students will achieve their full potential and become productive members of society.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

As life long learners, MCCS students will take ownership to transform obstacles into opportunities for a better community.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Papili, Stephany	Principal	
Rieumont, Mildrelis	Assistant Principal	
Mejia, Raquel	Assistant Principal	
Qureshi, Wajida	Instructional Coach	
Marquez, Abinel	School Counselor	
Lindsay , Novelette	Dean	
Hazelton, Caroline	Instructional Coach	
Rodriguez, Lianet	Instructional Coach	
Saavedra, Ruben	Instructional Technology	
	Other	
Mitchell, Michelle	Instructional Coach	

#### Demographic Information

#### Principal start date

Tuesday 9/28/2021, Stephany Papili

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school** 15

**Total number of students enrolled at the school** 315

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year. 2

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year. 3

**Demographic Data** 

#### Early Warning Systems

#### 2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Grade Level											Total			
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	101	106	108	0	0	0	0	315
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	15	22	0	0	0	0	46
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	1	0	0	0	0	12
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	38	32	0	0	0	0	113
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	44	38	0	0	0	0	142
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	80	38	0	0	0	0	202
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						(	Grad	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	83	80	44	0	0	0	0	207
The number of students identified as re	tain	ees	:											

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 9/29/2021

#### 2020-21 - As Reported

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	104	113	0	0	0	0	314
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	4
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	25	33	0	0	0	0	77
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	29	29	0	0	0	0	82
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						C	Grad	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	39	49	0	0	0	0	114

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### 2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator							Gra	de Le	vel					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	104	113	0	0	0	0	314
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	4
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	25	33	0	0	0	0	77
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	29	29	0	0	0	0	82
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level										Total		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	0	0	26	39	49	0	0	0	0	114

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar	Grade Level										Total			
Indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Component		2021			2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				42%	58%	54%	38%	56%	53%
ELA Learning Gains				55%	58%	54%	50%	56%	54%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				52%	52%	47%	46%	52%	47%
Math Achievement				42%	58%	58%	34%	56%	58%
Math Learning Gains				61%	56%	57%	46%	56%	57%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				64%	54%	51%	48%	55%	51%
Science Achievement				29%	52%	51%	41%	52%	52%
Social Studies Achievement				64%	74%	72%	50%	73%	72%

#### Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2021					
	2019	41%	58%	-17%	54%	-13%
Cohort Corr	nparison					
07	2021					
	2019	39%	56%	-17%	52%	-13%
Cohort Corr	parison	-41%				
08	2021					
	2019	41%	60%	-19%	56%	-15%
Cohort Corr	nparison	-39%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2021					
	2019	34%	58%	-24%	55%	-21%
Cohort Co	mparison					
07	2021					
	2019	28%	53%	-25%	54%	-26%
Cohort Co	mparison	-34%				
08	2021					
	2019	31%	40%	-9%	46%	-15%
Cohort Co	mparison	-28%			•	

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
08	2021					
	2019	2%	43%	-41%	48%	-46%
Cohort Com	nparison					

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	64%	68%	-4%	67%	-3%
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	61%	73%	-12%	71%	-10%

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
		ALGEB	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	75%	63%	12%	61%	14%
· · · · ·		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	68%	54%	14%	57%	11%

#### Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

#### Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

I-Ready Reading and General Mathematics, Performance Matters (Topic and District Based Assessments).

		Grade 6		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	13%	23%	28%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	18%	24%	37%

		Grade 7		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	24%	36%	43%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	5%	10%	11%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Civics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners		54%	

		Grade 8		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	43%	50%	52%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	3	10	50%
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Science	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	0%	11%	

## Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD		22	33		21	31					
ELL	24	31	42	15	20	41	7	35	28		
BLK	29	24		14	17		9				
HSP	33	36	39	18	19	39	28	43	53		
FRL	33	34	36	18	19	38	27	43	52		
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	20			20							
ELL	23	50	49	32	55	58	6	50	52		
BLK	52	63		24	67				64		
HSP	40	55	51	44	61	62	29	67	75		
FRL	42	55	50	41	61	64	30	64	75		

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
ELL	15	46	39	20	45	42	16	32	24		
BLK	36	38		20	29			55	38		
HSP	38	51	48	35	47	54	41	50	38		
FRL	38	49	44	33	46	52	42	52	39		

#### ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

Dade - 6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School - 2021-22 SIP

Asian Students				
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Black/African American Students				
	10			
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	19			
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Hispanic Students				
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	33			
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Multiracial Students				
Federal Index - Multiracial Students				
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Pacific Islander Students				
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students				
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%				
White Students				
Federal Index - White Students				
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	32			
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%				
	<b>I</b>			

### Analysis

#### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

There is an increase in proficiency from AP1/Baseline to AP2/Mid-Year, however, data does not correlate to the FSA/EOC Data. According to Performance Matters and I-Ready, the highest academic growth was in the area of Geometry and 8th Grade ELA/Reading. The area with the least growth was in Mathematics.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The area with the greatest need of improvement according to progress monitoring is Science and according to the state assessment, it is in Mathematics.

# What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

The contributing factor to this need for improvement was the lack of engagement and participation due to remote learning. The new actions that need to the taken to address this need for improvement include active learning such as the use of manipulatives.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

According to Performance Matters and I-Ready the highest academic growth was in the area of Geometry and 8th Grade ELA. However, according to the FSA/EOC, there were no academic areas that demonstrated improvement.

# What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Some of the contributing factors to this improvement were the use and practice of vocabulary terminology, the use of elevation strategies, scaffolding, and implementing higher-order questioning skills.

#### What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Strategies including differentiated instruction, rigorous instruction, thinking deeply, and applying their knowledge into creating, developing, problem-solving, experimenting, and investigating new ideas will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning.

# Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

New BEST Standards, HMH (new MS ELA curriculum). I-Ready Data Based PD (Reading and Mathematics), district based PDs and trainings (Mathematics Icads), MS ELA through ESOL /ESE PDs.

# Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Some additional services that will be implemented to ensure the sustainability of improvement are after-school tutoring services provided by one-on-one tutoring services.

#### Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	If instruction is increased in content areas including ELA/Reading, Mathematics (Algebra 1 & Geometry), Science (8th Grade and Biology), and Social Studies (Civics) then students' achievement will improve. The practice of aligning learning to standards also helps to ensure higher level of student achievement, and guides teachers in the process of assessment. Teachers follow standards-based instruction to ensure that their students meet the demands targeted.
Measurable Outcome:	Student overall achievement in English Language Arts/Reading, Mathematics (General, Algebra 1 & Geometry), Science (8th Grade & Biology), and Social Studies (Civics) will increase by 12 percentage points from 33% to 45%.
Monitoring:	This Area of Focus will be monitored by ongoing data progress including performance platforms such as Performance Matters (Topic/District-Based Assessments) and I-Ready Reading and Mathematics.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Stephany Papili (spapili7@dadeschools.net)
Evidence- based Strategy:	Effective Learning Environments Observation Tool (ELEOT) and Rigor Index Marzano's Taxonomy and Depth of Knowledge) will continue to be implemented in order to increase the level of rigor in instruction.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	The practice of aligning learning to standards also helps to ensure higher level of student achievement, and guides teachers in the process of assessment. Teachers follow standards based instruction to ensure that their students meet the demands targeted. Marzano's Taxonomy and Depth of Knowledge are both scales of cognitive demands to align standards with assessments.

#### Action Steps to Implement

1. Teachers will be provided with professional development opportunities pertaining to Rigor, Planning, and Digging Deep in B.E.S.T Standards, Read180 Data-Driven Instruction, ESOL/ESE Professional Development Trainings, and Mathematics Icads.

Person

**Responsible** Stephany Papili (spapili7@dadeschools.net)

Implementation of IReady Reading online instruction.

Person Responsible Raquel Mejia (rmejia1@mccsedu.org)

Implementation of IReady Mathematics online instruction.

Person Responsible Mildrelis Rieumont (mrieumont@mccsedu.org)

Ellevation in Class for ELL instruction as well as ELL PD.

Person Responsible Mildrelis Rieumont (mrieumont@mccsedu.org)

Ongoing progress monitoring.

Person Responsible Raquel Mejia (rmejia1@mccsedu.org)

Topic/District Based Assessments using Performance Matters Assessments using iReady Standards Mastery.

#### Person Responsible Mildrelis Rieumont (mrieumont@mccsedu.org)

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Based on the SafeSchoolsforAlex.org website, there is one data reported. School culture and environment will be monitored through the role of the Dean and the implementation of RTi B. Core Values and Class Expectations are in place and are continuously monitored.

#### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

#### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

A positive school culture and environment reflects a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect, and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment is critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners. Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

# Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

To ensure that the students' social-emotional needs are being met, the school employs a Dean of Students and a guidance counselor to address the counseling, mentoring, and any other guidance services that the students may need.

Principal – Stephany Papili Assistant Principals and Instructional Leaders - Mildred Rieumont (Math & SS) and Raquel Mejia (ELA/ ESE) Instructional Leader- (ESOL)- Mrs Auster

Instructional Leader-World Language-Lianet Rodriguez

Instructional Leader-Digital Literacy-Ruben Saavedra

Instructional Leader-Science-Wajida Qureshi

Technology Specialist – Marcos Padron

School Psychologist – Brenda Johnson

Speech-Language Pathologist – Ms. McCalla

Guidance Counselor (Mental Health)– Abinel Marquez

Mental Health Coordinator- Barbara Martin

Dean of Students – Novelette Lindsay

School Guardian- Julian Valladares

Jila Rezaie - Executive Director

Guidance Counselor (Mental Health):

The guidance counselor supports learning through the provision of discussion forums around such key issues as effective program design, through effective assessment strategies and interpersonal relations for our students. The guidance counselor leads many student support services meetings and facilitates the productive outcome that enhances the learning environment for many of our students. The guidance counselor impacts both the affective and affective domains of learning school wide.

## Part V: Budget

#### The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruction	\$285,665.05			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	7730	100-Salaries	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	General Fund		\$44,931.54
	·		Notes: Civics			
	7730	100-Salaries	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	General Fund		\$24,263.50
	•		Notes: ELA Teacher			
	7730	100-Salaries	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	General Fund		\$48,333.79
	•		Notes: ELA/ELL Teacher			
	7730	100-Salaries	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	General Fund		\$44,931.54
	•		Notes: Math Teacher			
	7730	100-Salaries	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	General Fund		\$17,847.26
			Notes: MH Math Teacher			
	7730	100-Salaries	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	General Fund		\$27,248.99
			Notes: MH Science Teacher			
	6500	519-Technology-Related Supplies	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	Other Federal		\$24,482.71

		Notes: I-Ready Reading and Mathem	atics Online and Workbooks			
5900	500-Materials and Supplies	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	General Fund	\$1,953.12		
•		Notes: Civics Workbooks				
5900	500-Materials and Supplies	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	General Fund	\$44,465.20		
•		Notes: HMH ELA Instructional Curricu	ılum			
5900	500-Materials and Supplies	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	General Fund	\$4,207.40		
•		Notes: General Mathematics, Algebra 1, and Geometry Workbooks				
3376	310-Professional and Technical Services	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	General Fund	\$3,000.00		
· · ·	Notes: Learning Science B.E.S.T ELA and Mathematics PD Training.					
		•	Total:	\$285,665.05		