

2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
Positive Culture & Environment	15
Budget to Support Goals	15

Hardee - 7004 - Hardee Virtual Franchise - 2021-22 SIP

Hardee Virtual Franchise

1009 N 6TH AVE, Wauchula, FL 33873

www.hardee.k12.fl.us

Demographics

Principal: Melanie Henderson

Start Date for this Principal: 8/12/2017

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	
(1	Combination School KG-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	3%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
School Grades History	2017-18: No Grade
	2016-17: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Informatio	n*
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hardee County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	15

Llanda a Mintural Enamalais a 7004 0004 00 010

Hardee Virtual Franchise 1009 N 6TH AVE, Wauchula, FL 33873 www.hardee.k12.fl.us School Demographics School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) Combination School KG-12 No % Primary Service Type (per MSID File) Charter School Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)	Hardee -	- 7004 - Hardee Virtual Franchise - 2021-2	22 SIP
www.hardee.k12.fl.us School Demographics 2020-21 Economically School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) 2020-21 Title I School 2020-21 Economically Combination School KG-12 No % Primary Service Type (ner MSID File) Charter School 2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white	I	Hardee Virtual Franchise	
School Demographics School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) 2020-21 Title I School 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) Combination School KG-12 No % Primary Service Type (per MSID File) Charter School 2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white	10	009 N 6TH AVE, Wauchula, FL 33873	
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)2020-21 Title I School2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)Combination School KG-12No%Primary Service Type (per MSID File)Charter School2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white		www.hardee.k12.fl.us	
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)2020-21 Title I SchoolDisadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)Combination School KG-12No%Primary Service Type (per MSID File)Charter School2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white	School Demographics		
KG-12 No % Primary Service Type (per MSID File) Charter School 2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white)		2020-21 Title I School	Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate
(ner MSID File) Charter School (Reported as Non-white		No	%
		Charter School	(Reported as Non-white
K-12 General Education No %	K-12 General Education	No	%
School Grades History	School Grades History		
Year 2020-21 Grade			2020-21

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hardee County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

"Provide all students a high-quality education in a nurturing and creative environment to develop responsible citizens".

Provide the school's vision statement.

"Empower and inspire all students for success"

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Henderson, Melanie	Principal	Oversee the registration, student grades, and student progress of the school.
Rivas, Kristen	Administrative Support	

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Saturday 8/12/2017, Melanie Henderson

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

77

Total number of students enrolled at the school

32

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					(Gra	ade	e Lo	eve	əl				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	1	2	2	1	0	2	3	3	2	10	2	4	32
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indiaatar						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Date this data was collected or last updated Tuesday 9/28/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Number of students enrolled		
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA		
Course failure in Math		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment		
The number of students with two or more early warning ind	icators:	
Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Indicator Students with two or more indicators	Grade Level	Total
Students with two or more indicators	Grade Level	Total
	Grade Level Grade Level	Total Total
Students with two or more indicators The number of students identified as retainees:		

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indiantar					(Gra	ade	e Le	eve	el				Total
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	1	3	0	0	3	6	6	2	8	8	4	3	11	55
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indiantan						Gr	ade	e Le	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total								
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0									

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	eve					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2021			2019			2018		
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	50%					61%			60%
ELA Learning Gains	50%					59%			57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile						54%			52%
Math Achievement	27%					62%			61%
Math Learning Gains	36%					59%			58%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile						52%			52%
Science Achievement	47%					56%			57%
Social Studies Achievement						78%			77%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
06	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
07	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
08	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
09	2021					
	2019	0%	40%	-40%	55%	-55%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
10	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
05	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•	
06	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•	
07	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	Cohort Comparison				<u> </u>	
08	2021					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				

	SCIENCE									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				
05	2021									
	2019									
Cohort Cor	nparison									
08	2021									
	2019	0%	36%	-36%	48%	-48%				
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			•					

	BIOLOGY EOC										
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State						
2021											
2019	0%	67%	-67%	67%	-67%						
		CIVIC	S EOC								
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State						
2021											
2019											

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	50%	-50%	70%	-70%
		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	53%	-53%	61%	-61%
		GEOME	TRY EOC	· · · ·	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					

Subgroup Data Review

	2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20		
HSP	30												
WHT	42			27									
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS				
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18		
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17		

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index							
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)							
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	42						
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO						
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target							
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency							
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	210						
Total Components for the Federal Index	5						

ESSA Federal Index	
Percent Tested	80%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N1/A
	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	
· ·	
Black/African American Students	N/A
Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	
Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students	N/A
Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students	N/A 30
Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A 30
Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	N/A
Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students	N/A 30

Hardee - 7004 - Hardee Virtual Franchise - 2021-22 SIP

Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	35
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

The subgroups represented in the total scores are Hispanic, white, and economically disadvantaged. In the area of English Language Arts, 11 out of 22 students, or 50%, scored AL 3 and above. The only subgroup included for ELA was the white group with 5 out of 12 students, or 42%, scoring AL 3 and above. In the area of Mathematics, 6 out of 22 students, or 27%, scored AL 3 and above. For the Hispanic subgroup, 3 out of 10, or 30%, scored AL 3 and above. For the white subgroup, 3 out of 11, or 27%, scored AL 3 and above.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

There is no 2019 school grade data to compare.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

There is no 2019 school grade data to compare.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

There is no 2019 school grade data to compare.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

There is no 2019 school grade data to compare.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

There is no 2019 school grade data to compare.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

There is no 2019 school grade data to compare.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

There is no 2019 school grade data to compare.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. ESSA Su	bgroup specifically relating to Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Only 50% of students scored AL 3 or above in ELA. In ELA, the white subgroup showed 41% of students scoring AL 3 and above. Only 27% of students scored AL 3 and above in Mathematics. In the Hispanic subgroup, 30% were 3 and above. In the white subgroup, 27% were 3 and above. There is no prior data to review, as this is the first school grade ever assigned to the virtual school.
Measurable Outcome:	The school will have all subgroups at 51% or higher in both ELA and Mathematics.
Monitoring:	The school principal will monitor assessment data for the 21-22 FSA Assessments.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Melanie Henderson (mhenderson@hardee.k12.fl.us)
Evidence- based Strategy:	The principal will conduct data chats with all students as they enroll for the school year. All assessment scores from prior year will be reviewed as well as learning gains goals for the upcoming school year.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	According to John Hattie, "student reported grades" has an effect size of 1.44. Having the students review their scores and set goals for the future assessments could improve their scores.
Action Steps	to Implement

A data chat will be held with each student upon enrollment.

Person Responsible Melanie Henderson (mhenderson@hardee.k12.fl.us)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

There is not enough data reported to include this area the reporting.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Parent and family engagement is a centerpiece of the FLVS district franchise model in Hardee District Schools. It is integral to improving student academic achievement. Parent and family engagement is also outlined in the Parent Commitment Agreement which every parent must sign when enrolling their child. Each virtual student must have a designated learning coach. This is a parent or other responsible adult designated by the parents. The learning coach works with the student, under the guidance of a Florida-certified professional teacher. All parents, guardians, and learning coaches must be familiar with their child's progress on a day-to-day basis.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Stakeholders involved in promoting a positive culture and environment are students, parents, learning coaches, teachers, and the principal. Students and their representatives are primarily responsible for their learning. However, teachers check in frequently with their students. Monthly check-ins ensure that students are comfortable with their content and on track to finish. DBAs upon the completion of modules also provide a time for collaboration between the students, families, and teachers.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subg	\$0.00			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22

			7004 - Hardee Virtual Franchise			\$0.00
Total:					\$0.00	