

Miami-Dade County Public Schools

International Studies Charter Middle School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	18
Positive Culture & Environment	21
Budget to Support Goals	22

International Studies Charter Middle School

2480 SW 8TH ST, Miami, FL 33135

<http://ischs.dadeschools.net>

Demographics

Principal: Alina Lopez

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2021

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	61%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	English Language Learners Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (86%) 2017-18: A (80%) 2016-17: A (80%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here .	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	18
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	22

International Studies Charter Middle School

2480 SW 8TH ST, Miami, FL 33135

<http://ischs.dadeschools.net>

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2020-21 Title I School	2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Middle School 6-8	No	60%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	Yes	81%

School Grades History

Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		A	A	A

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

International Studies Charter School was established to serve the needs of the community by offering a multi-lingual, multi-literate, and multi-cultural curriculum, preparing students to be thoughtful, educated members of a global society.

Provide the school's vision statement.

International Studies Charter School teaches students to think and behave as citizens of the world, make decisions with integrity, and graduate with a sense of purpose.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Lopez, Alina	Principal	Mrs. Alina Lopez is the school's principal. She is responsible for the daily operations and budget of the school. She also oversees the implementation of curriculum by the faculty, and keeps a keen eye on data trends in the school. In her capacity as the school's leader she meets on a regular basis with her administrative team to discuss school, personnel, and/or stakeholder concerns.
Perez, Elizabeth	ELL Compliance Specialist	Ms. Elizabeth Perez serves as administrative support. She oversees school discipline. She is also the school testing chairperson and ELL program coordinator. As a result of her varied roles, she works closely with the faculty in several capacities: to support their disciplinary efforts, to train and oversee them in administering state and national examinations, and to provide them with strategies to support the school's ELL population.
Quintana, Veronica	Administrative Support	Ms. Veronica Quintana is our Administrative Assistant. She is the principal's "right hand" in overseeing the day-to-day at the school. She oversees the instructional leader of each content area, as well as the school's administrative support and student services team. She is directly responsible for ensuring that the school remains in compliance with all district, state, and federal guidelines.
Ball-Llovera, Kelly	Instructional Coach	Mrs. Kelly Ball-Llovera is the school's instructional coach. She meets regularly with teachers to offer instructional support, strategies, and provide curriculum resources. She also meets with department instructional leaders to ensure department goals are being met and reviews department data trends with teachers. Ms. Ball-Llovera assists teachers with designing instructional decisions based on assessment data and utilizes the coaching model (planning, demonstrating, providing feedback) with teachers at the school.
Davalos, Javier	Teacher, K-12	Mr. Javier Davalos is the English Language Arts department instructional leader. He works closely with English Language Arts department teachers to ensure department goals are met and provide resources. He also reviews the English Language Arts data trends and curriculum resources needed for the department, and ensures proper implementation of teaching strategies to support learners at all levels.
cobo, jose	Teacher, K-12	Mr. Jose Cobo is the Mathematics instructional leader (department chairperson). He works closely with math department teachers to ensure department goals are met. He also reviews school data trends and curriculum needs for the department, and sees to the proper implementation of teaching strategies to support learners at all levels.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Thursday 7/1/2021, Alina Lopez

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

16

Total number of students enrolled at the school

319

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

3

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

5

Demographic Data**Early Warning Systems****2021-22****The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 9/29/2021

2020-21 - As Reported**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	105	108	106	0	0	0	0	319
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	3	0	0	0	0	16
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	4

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	0	0	0	0	12

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

2020-21 - Updated**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level														Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	105	108	106	0	0	0	0	319	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	3	0	0	0	0	16	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	0	0	0	0	12

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2021			2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				94%	58%	54%	88%	56%	53%
ELA Learning Gains				77%	58%	54%	70%	56%	54%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				78%	52%	47%	65%	52%	47%
Math Achievement				95%	58%	58%	91%	56%	58%
Math Learning Gains				84%	56%	57%	70%	56%	57%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				84%	54%	51%	79%	55%	51%
Science Achievement				87%	52%	51%	82%	52%	52%
Social Studies Achievement				97%	74%	72%	97%	73%	72%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2021					
	2019	84%	58%	26%	54%	30%
Cohort Comparison						
07	2021					
	2019	84%	56%	28%	52%	32%
Cohort Comparison		-84%				
08	2021					
	2019	97%	60%	37%	56%	41%
Cohort Comparison		-84%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2021					
	2019	84%	58%	26%	55%	29%
Cohort Comparison						
07	2021					
	2019	85%	53%	32%	54%	31%
Cohort Comparison		-84%				
08	2021					
	2019	99%	40%	59%	46%	53%
Cohort Comparison		-85%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
08	2021					
	2019	85%	43%	42%	48%	37%
Cohort Comparison						

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	68%	-68%	67%	-67%
CIVICS EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	90%	73%	17%	71%	19%

HISTORY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
ALGEBRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	99%	63%	36%	61%	38%
GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	0%	54%	-54%	57%	-57%

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

The progress monitoring data utilized for English Language Arts and mathematics courses was the i-Ready diagnostic assessment 2020-2021 data for the fall, winter, and spring. In addition, the progress monitoring data provided for civics and 8th grade science was the Mid-Year assessments for the winter and state-wide assessments for the spring.

Grade 6				
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	54%	54%	62%
	Economically Disadvantaged			
	Students With Disabilities			
	English Language Learners			
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	33%	52%	70%
	Economically Disadvantaged			
	Students With Disabilities			
	English Language Learners			

Grade 7				
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	42%	55%	53%
	Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	17%	28%	33%
	Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
Civics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students		63%	81%
	Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

Grade 8				
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	42%	54%	50%
	Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	18%	33%	49%
	Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			
Science	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students		60%	60%
	Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners			

Subgroup Data Review

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
ELL	74	68	68	78	55	61	53	82	57		
HSP	83	64	65	84	53	57	71	82	72		
WHT	78	66	67	83	50	64	68	79	73		
FRL	84	67	71	83	49	56	70	79	72		
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
ELL	85	68	71	92	72	79	74	89	75		
HSP	93	76	76	96	86	88	88	96	75		
WHT	93	85	81	89	77	75	92		96		
FRL	92	73	74	94	82	83	87	96	75		

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
ELL	65	64	59	81	67	82			67		
HSP	90	69	67	92	71	77	87	97	82		
WHT	82	71	58	89	67	92	61	94	77		
FRL	87	68	62	91	70	78	81	96	80		

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	73
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	100
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	732
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	98%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	70
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	

Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	70
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	70
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	70
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Across all grade levels, the school outperformed the district and state. According to the 2018 and 2019 subgroup data, there was an increase in all the core content areas in almost all subgroups. The only subgroup that showed a decrease from 2018 to 2019 was the white math learning gains lowest 25% subgroup. The math learning gains lowest 25% for the white subgroup population dropped from 92% to 75%. In addition, the middle school acceleration dropped for Hispanics and FRL students. Based on previous state assessment data, ELA achievement has been trending downward since 2018 (93%) to present (83%).

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The area in which the school has identified as a critical need based on data is math learning gains (the school made learning gains of 52%). This is an opportunity for improvement given that this was a decrease from the previous testing in 2019 (the school made 84% learning gains). After analyzing the data, the school identified the overall learning gains of students in English Language Arts as another critical need for improvement. Although the students had a proficiency level of 83%, the overall learning gains were at 65%. Based on previous state assessment data, there was a drop of 12 percentage points (from 77% in 2019 to 65% in 2021) in this population.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

There were multiple contributing factors to the English Language Arts and math learning gains categories dropping. The COVID-19 pandemic made it increasingly difficult to scaffold/target the students that needed targeted intervention. There were also changes in instructional personnel which contributed to i-Ready not being implemented with fidelity throughout the school year. Throughout the school year, the English Language Arts and math departments will meet on a regular basis to review and assess data trends across assessments and learning tools to ensure consistency and fidelity. Lastly, there were no external motivational tools implemented to ensure student engagement with online components.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

The data component in 2019 with the greatest improvement was math learning gains which increased 14 percentage points from 70% in 2018 to 84% in 2019. However, in 2020-2021 this component made a decrease of 32 percentage points from 84% in 2019 to 52% in 2021. Based on the 2020-2021 data components, the area that showed most improvement was the middle school acceleration. There was a decrease of 8 percentage points between tested years (from 80% in 2019 to 72% in 2021). Overall, there was a decrease in school data components and middle school acceleration had the least percentage point decrease in 2020-2021.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

One of the contributing factors to the middle school acceleration was the school counselor that provided consultation in the identification of gifted and talented students when appropriate through the use of districtwide and academic student performance. The school counselor also assisted in identifying middle school students for dual enrollment opportunities through Doral College and/or Miami Dade College as well as Advanced Placement courses. The International Studies Charter Middle School's acceleration programs are designed to shorten the path to a traditional 4-year college degree by providing opportunities for students to begin earning high school and college credits starting in middle school. AP teachers attended PLC's and professional developments to review and discuss best practices for these courses. Industry certifications are earned through career and

technical education programs and courses such as Adobe Photoshop and Microsoft. Another goal at International Studies Charter Middle School is to have middle school students take and pass two different language AP assessments by high school.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Several strategies should be implemented to accelerate learning. First, monitoring online programs such as i-Ready with fidelity to ensure effective use of program. Second, walkthroughs should be conducted to support teachers. Walkthroughs should provide specific feedback with strengths as well as opportunities for improvement. Specifically, data-informed instruction should be evident during walkthroughs. Additionally, after-school tutoring will be offered to strengthen and support academic skills. This year, the school will develop engagement strategies such as a reward system for both teachers and students to encourage the use of i-Ready.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

The Professional Learning Support Team developed whole-group professional development workshops aligned to i-Ready data-driven and engagement strategies in the beginning and middle of the school year. Prior to the commencement of the school year, assigned department members have trained the faculty on writing and critical reading strategies as applicable in all subject areas. Teachers will continue to use what they have learned to continue to inform their instruction using i-Ready data. ELA and math teachers will add strategies to their toolbox, such as using the variety of resources offered on i-Ready toolbox that have pre-planned lessons at their disposal according to student need. Administration will also conduct walkthroughs with specific feedback from October to February before state testing begins. Instructional leaders will meet with their departments and review data as a team throughout the school year.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

After school tutoring and incentive programs will be offered throughout the school year. Informal as well as formal observations will be conducted throughout the year to provide instructional support and coaching. Instructional leaders will meet to review the incentives, data, and engagement strategies are being implemented and discuss how to increase their efficacy.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: The area in which the school has identified as a critical need based on data is math Learning Gains (the school made learning gains of 52%). This is an opportunity for improvement given that this was a decrease from the previous testing in 2019 (the school made 84% learning gains). Strengthening student performance on the Math exams (and specifically learning gains) can support student learning and performance across all disciplines to some extent. As such, the school will focus on the skills involved in this area to boost student achievement.

Measurable Outcome: The school aims to increase Math Learning Gains by at least five percentage points, from 52% to 57%.

Monitoring: Through data analysis and the use of i-Ready throughout the year.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome: jose cobo (jcobo@ischs.net)

Evidence-based Strategy: The school will utilize i-Ready to monitor student progress and provide supports. The Math instructional leader (Mr. Cobo) and the administration will ensure that the program is being used by all teachers correctly and consistently. Apart from this, there will be a training on differentiated instruction to help teachers learn new strategies for reaching all learners effectively.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: I-Ready is a thoroughly vetted and reliable program to monitor student progress in Math. The school has used it for several years, and has had success with students. We expect that, when implemented fully again, we will see stronger student performance. Apart from this, it is well-documented that differentiated instruction is an effective technique to increase learning and student achievement. If all Math teachers implement differentiated instructional strategies in their classes we expect students to perform better overall and have higher rates of success.

Action Steps to Implement

The Math instructional leader will oversee the implementation of i-Ready by all teachers in the department. During department meetings the team will review and discuss data findings and work together to identify areas of concern and work on targeted plans to meet student needs.

Person Responsible: jose cobo (jcobo@ischs.net)

The instructional coach will review math department lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs to ensure that differentiated instruction and the i-Ready program are being implemented in all math classes with fidelity.

Person Responsible: Kelly Ball-Llovera (kmaes@ischs.net)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus	After analyzing the data, the school identified the overall learning gains of students in ELA as a critical need. Although the students had a proficiency level of 83%, the overall learning gains were at 65%.
Description and Rationale:	
Measurable Outcome:	The school aims to increase ELA Learning Gains by at least five percentage points, from 65% to 70%.
Monitoring:	The ELA Department head along with the department will use tools like i-Ready to monitor gains throughout the year.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Javier Davalos (jdavalos@ischs.net)
Evidence-based Strategy:	The English-Language Arts department chairperson will work closely with his department to implement strategies to support all learners. Beyond this, there is a school-wide initiative to have writing across the curriculum throughout the school year. Prior to the commencement of the school year, assigned department members (overseen by the principal and Mr. Davalos) have trained the faculty on writing and critical reading strategies as applicable in all subject areas.
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	Given the increasing amounts of evidence-based reading on ELA assessments, focusing on writing across all curriculum encourages students to think about all disciplines critically as they write. This initiative will support the teaching strategies of the ELA department and reinforce the skills students are taught in their ELA classes. Writing requires critical thinking and analysis of source material, which can lead to stronger reading and writing performance.

Action Steps to Implement

The English Language Arts leader will oversee the implementation of i-Ready by all teachers in the department. During department meetings the team will review and discuss data findings and work together to identify areas of concern and work targeted plans to meet student needs.

Person Responsible Javier Davalos (jdavalos@ischs.net)

The instructional coach will review ELA department lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs to ensure that differentiated instruction and the i-Ready program are being implemented in all ELA classes with fidelity.

Person Responsible Kelly Ball-Llovera (kmaes@ischs.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the [SafeSchoolsforAlex.org](https://www.safeschoolsforalex.org), compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

International Studies Charter School has been categorized with a "low" overall ranking when compared to all schools in Florida. The school incident ranking number is 181 out of 553 schools. ISCS incident rank data details shows that the school ranked "very low" for property and violent incidents. In addition, the school incident rank data details also displays that ISCS ranked "very high" for drug/public order incidents, specifically tobacco. As part of the school discipline plan, administration and security will conduct frequent safety checks to ensure that facilities are being utilized appropriately. Administration and staff will continue to monitor suspicious student activity to ensure that students understand that the use of tobacco products are not tolerated.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Parents, family, and community members play an essential role in the success at International Studies Charter School (ISCS). They are welcomed into our school with an orientation prior to the start of our school year. The orientation serves as a primer for explaining our school's history, culture, mission, and vision. The parents meet our administrative team and faculty and set yearly goals. Student ambassadors and several staff members also attend and answer any questions parents may have about the school.

Further, the Parent-Teacher-Student Organization (PTSO) and the partner consulate organizations (French, Italian, Spanish) join to support the school. If a parent cannot attend orientation, or are unable to join PTSO, they can check the school website for updates, as well as our other social media channels to receive updates from the school. These channels are frequently updated and parents are always encouraged to join.

When a student joins the ISCS family, they become part of a strong culture of a teachers who provide "in-house" tutoring for free in all disciplines. Students, parents, and teachers who feel that a child needs emotional support has access to guidance counselors when needed. ISCS will also implement a social-emotional learning curriculum. During our homeroom block, students participate in various character education activities. The social-emotional learning curriculum will support character traits that our school want to further develop in our students and will engage students in college and career readiness activities. In addition, the ISCS employs a full-time college advisor who assists families in navigating with dual enrollment opportunities.

ISCS also has strong community connections. The school works closely with two college partners (Miami Dade College and Doral College) to offer students dual enrollment opportunities, as well as access to cultural/academic extracurricular activities. ISCS partakes in various events open to families and the community, for example, the Hispanic Heritage Expo, Italian Heritage Expo, French Heritage Expo, Taste of Diversity Bash, Honor Roll Breakfast, and the Sixth & Twelfth Grade Breakfast. Other extracurricular clubs, such as the National Junior Honor Society, Green Club, Student Government Association, and Key Club, provides opportunities for students to lead a variety of community service projects. These events will continue to take place per CDC guidelines.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

ISCS teachers promote a positive school culture within their classrooms. They ensure that students are learning in a safe space while fostering a nurturing classroom environment. ISCS teachers also utilize professional learning opportunities as a powerful tool to promote a positive school culture. The Parent-Teacher-Student Organization (PTSO) and the partner consulate organizations (French, Italian, Spanish) join to support the school through activities and recognition. Instructional leaders build inclusive teams amongst their teachers and transform school culture by facilitating collaboration and encouraging innovation among their departments. The Leadership Team promotes a positive school culture and environment at ISCS by building relationships with parents, students, staff, and community stakeholders. At ISCS, we have a student-centered school culture. School leaders strategically provide opportunities for student leadership opportunities and engage students in various non-traditional roles. School data is utilized to develop service learning clubs that enhances students' social and leadership skills. Students participate in various school functions and support each other throughout the year.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math				\$5,000.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	6400	500-Materials and Supplies	6045 - International Studies Charter Middle School	Other		\$5,000.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA				\$5,000.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22
	6500	500-Materials and Supplies	6045 - International Studies Charter Middle School	Other		\$5,000.00
Total:						\$30,000.00