Miami-Dade County Public Schools

South Dade Senior High School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|---------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| i dipose and Galinie of the Gil | |
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 11 |
| Planning for Improvement | 20 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 29 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 29 |

South Dade Senior High School

28401 SW 167TH AVE, Homestead, FL 33030

http://sdhs.dadeschools.net/

Demographics

Principal: Jay De Armas C

Start Date for this Principal: 4/27/2016

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|---|--|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | High School 9-12 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2020-21 Title I School | Yes |
| 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Black/African American Students Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: B (57%) 2017-18: C (53%) 2016-17: C (46%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info | ormation* |
| SI Region | Southeast |
| Regional Executive Director | <u>LaShawn Russ-Porterfield</u> |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For | or more information, click here. |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| | |
| School Information | 7 |
| | |
| Needs Assessment | 11 |
| | · - |
| Planning for Improvement | 20 |
| | - |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| • | - |
| Budget to Support Goals | 29 |

South Dade Senior High School

28401 SW 167TH AVE, Homestead, FL 33030

http://sdhs.dadeschools.net/

School Demographics

| School Type and Gr (per MSID I | | 2020-21 Title I Schoo | l Disadvant | Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3) |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------|--|
| High Scho 9-12 | pol | Yes | | 89% |
| Primary Servio (per MSID I | • • | Charter School | (Reporte | Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2) |
| K-12 General E | ducation | No | | 95% |
| School Grades Histo | ry | | | |
| Year | 2020-21 | 2019-20 | 2018-19 | 2017-18 |
| Grade | | В | В | С |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The administration, faculty, staff, parents, and community of South Dade Senior High School are responsible for

providing our diverse student body with a clean and safe environment and the discipline, integrity, knowledge,

and skills necessary to succeed and become productive members of an increasingly complex society.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of South Dade High School is to provide a safe, nurturing, and meaningful educational environment,

which challenges students to achieve success, academic excellence, and autonomy in our everchanging

technology-driven world. We strive to create productive members of a multicultural society who demonstrate

good citizenship, social responsibility, and a lifelong love of learning, guaranteeing that no child is left behind.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| De Armas, Jay | Principal | Provide leadership in developing, implementing and supporting school wide efforts; encouraging positive school culture and addressing students' academic and social-emotional needs. |
| Crary, John | Assistant Principal | Under the direction of the principal, serves as an educational leader and assist the principal in the planning, coordination, and directing of activities and programs related to curriculum and school grade. |
| Garcia, Melissa | Assistant Principal | Under the direction of the principal, serves as an educational leader and assist the principal in the planning, coordination, and directing of activities and programs related to school operations. |
| Miller, Artis | Assistant Principal | Under the direction of the principal, serves as an educational leader and assist the principal in the planning, coordination, and directing of activities and programs related to facility management. |
| Granada, Daniel | Math Coach | Support teachers in planning, delivering, and assessing quality Math instruction. Plans, models, and co-teach effective lessons with teachers. Assist teachers with classroom organization, material, and learning activities that support learning targets and objectives. |
| Smith, Gina | Reading Coach | Support teachers in planning, delivering, and assessing quality Reading instruction. Plans, models, and co-teach effective lessons with teachers. Assist teachers with classroom organization, material, and learning activities that support learning targets and objectives. |
| Wilson, Deborak | Teacher, K-12 | Responsible for appropriately planning and implementing the designated curriculum while implementing effective classroom management practices. |
| Delgado, Elena | Teacher, K-12 | Responsible for appropriately planning and implementing the designated curriculum while implementing effective classroom management practices. In addition, responsible for effective implementation of our IB program. Serve as PD Liaison. |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 4/27/2016, Jay De Armas C

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

53

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

66

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

83

Total number of students enrolled at the school

2,933

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

12

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

13

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAT |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 675 | 837 | 756 | 661 | 2929 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 379 | 296 | 248 | 1160 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 299 | 275 | 160 | 811 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 283 | 263 | 155 | 774 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 254 | 203 | 195 | 834 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 235 | 166 | 162 | 743 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 256 | 0 | 0 | 636 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Lo | evel | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 423 | 352 | 281 | 1290 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 11 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 30 | 15 | 10 | 67 |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 6/30/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | Total |
|---|-------------|-------|
| Number of students enrolled | | |
| Attendance below 90 percent | | |
| One or more suspensions | | |
| Course failure in ELA | | |
| Course failure in Math | | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| | Indicator | Grade Level | Total |
|--|-----------|-------------|-------|
|--|-----------|-------------|-------|

Students with two or more indicators

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | lotai |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Retained Students: Current Year | | |
| Students retained two or more times | | |

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 886 | 866 | 722 | 756 | 3230 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 374 | 292 | 248 | 265 | 1179 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 | 272 | 158 | 2 | 727 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 258 | 154 | 2 | 694 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 202 | 192 | 195 | 845 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 164 | 160 | 222 | 779 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | Total | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Indicator | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 349 | 276 | 216 | 1258 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | Total | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|----|-------|
| Indicator | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 11 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 15 | 9 | 31 | 84 |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Grada Component | | 2021 | | | 2019 | | | 2018 | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement | | | | 37% | 59% | 56% | 38% | 59% | 56% |
| ELA Learning Gains | | | | 44% | 54% | 51% | 45% | 56% | 53% |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | | | | 37% | 48% | 42% | 31% | 51% | 44% |
| Math Achievement | | | | 45% | 54% | 51% | 37% | 51% | 51% |
| Math Learning Gains | | | | 53% | 52% | 48% | 49% | 50% | 48% |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | | | | 47% | 51% | 45% | 48% | 51% | 45% |
| Science Achievement | | | | 57% | 68% | 68% | 54% | 65% | 67% |
| Social Studies Achievement | | | | 67% | 76% | 73% | 64% | 73% | 71% |

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 09 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 38% | 55% | -17% | 55% | -17% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | | | | | |
| 10 | 2021 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 33% | 53% | -20% | 53% | -20% |
| Cohort Con | Cohort Comparison | | | | | |

| | | | | MATH | | |
|-------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |

| | SCIENCE | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | | | | |

| | | BIOLO | GY EOC | | |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2021 | | | | | |
| 2019 | 54% | 68% | -14% | 67% | -13% |
| | | CIVIC | S EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2021 | | | | | |
| 2019 | | | | | |
| | | HISTO | RY EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2021 | | | 2.0000 | | |
| 2019 | 65% | 71% | -6% | 70% | -5% |
| Į. | | ALGE | BRA EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2021 | | | | | |
| 2019 | 48% | 63% | -15% | 61% | -13% |
| | | GEOME | TRY EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2021 | | | | | |
| 2019 | 40% | 54% | -14% | 57% | -17% |

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

Power Bi, Performance Matters

| | | Grade 9 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 37.2 | 0 |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 36.5 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 22.4 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 8.3 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 46.0 | 0 |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 45.9 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 32.9 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 30.8 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Biology | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| US History | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | Grade 10 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 34.4 | 0 |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 49.3 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 21.1 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 14.1 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 40.2 | 0 |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 29.4 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 19.4 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 33.9 | 0 |
| Biology | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 34.8 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 21.1 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| US History | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | Grade 11 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Biology | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 68.4 | 0 |
| US History | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 68 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 56.9 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 36.1 | 0 |

| | | Grade 12 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| English Language Arts | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematics | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Biology | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Number/% Proficiency | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| US History | Economically Disadvantaged | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Students With Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Subgroup Data Review

| | 2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 | | |
| SWD | 16 | 25 | 16 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 37 | 40 | | 95 | 18 | | |
| ELL | 12 | 33 | 30 | 21 | 30 | 29 | 61 | 25 | | 89 | 53 | | |
| BLK | 32 | 37 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 24 | 65 | 45 | | 94 | 29 | | |
| HSP | 33 | 39 | 31 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 72 | 48 | | 92 | 51 | | |
| MUL | 58 | 50 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| WHT | 37 | 40 | 33 | 26 | 18 | | 67 | 56 | | 95 | 48 |
| FRL | 31 | 37 | 28 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 71 | 47 | | 92 | 46 |
| | 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 22 | 40 | 38 | 29 | 45 | 35 | 42 | 41 | | 90 | 74 |
| ELL | 17 | 37 | 38 | 37 | 52 | 51 | 39 | 46 | | 72 | 92 |
| BLK | 32 | 40 | 33 | 37 | 47 | 37 | 54 | 60 | | 88 | 91 |
| HSP | 37 | 45 | 38 | 47 | 55 | 50 | 56 | 68 | | 88 | 93 |
| WHT | 53 | 49 | 40 | 52 | 57 | | 77 | 71 | | 93 | 94 |
| FRL | 35 | 43 | 38 | 44 | 53 | 47 | 57 | 65 | | 89 | 92 |
| | | 2018 | SCHO | DL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| SWD | 18 | 32 | 28 | 18 | 46 | 53 | 32 | 44 | | 81 | 65 |
| ELL | 10 | 35 | 30 | 19 | 47 | 49 | 22 | 37 | | 36 | 97 |
| BLK | 30 | 41 | 31 | 21 | 42 | 47 | 41 | 49 | | 80 | 78 |
| HSP | 38 | 45 | 31 | 40 | 50 | 49 | 56 | 66 | | 78 | 87 |
| WHT | 58 | 56 | 40 | 48 | 60 | | 78 | 81 | | 86 | 88 |
| FRL | 36 | 45 | 31 | 36 | 48 | 47 | 53 | 62 | | 77 | 86 |

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

| This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021. | | |
|---|-----|--|
| ESSA Federal Index | | |
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | | |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 42 | |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO | |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 3 | |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 31 | |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 464 | |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 11 | |
| Percent Tested | 92% | |
| Subgroup Data | | |
| Students With Disabilities | | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 30 | |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | | |

| Students With Disabilities | | | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | |
| English Language Learners | | | | | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 38 | | | | |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | |
| Native American Students | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | | | | | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | |
| Asian Students | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | | | | | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | |
| Black/African American Students | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 38 | | | | |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | |
| Hispanic Students | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 43 | | | | |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | |
| Multiracial Students | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | 54 | | | | |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | |
| Pacific Islander Students | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | | | | | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | |

| White Students | |
|--|----|
| Federal Index - White Students | 47 |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 41 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | |

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

2019 data findings:

ELL students demonstrated significant increases in both ELA and Math Proficiency between 2018 and 2019. The black student population increased achievement in all state-measured categories except ELA Learning Gains.

2021 data findings:

All students experienced serious regression in Math Proficiency, Learning Gains, and Learning Gains in the lowest 25%.

ELL students demonstrated significant decreases in Math, ELA and US History proficiency. Lerning gains among this subgroup were also negatively impacted.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

2019 data findings:

The 2018-19 10th Grade ELA Proficiency Rate decreased by 3 percentage points from 2017-18, going from 36% to 33%, respectively; however, progress monitoring from the 2020-21 school year indicated proficiency above 36%.

2021 data findings:

All students experienced serious regression in Math Proficiency, Learning Gains, and Learning Gains in the lowest 25%.

ELL students demonstrated significant decreases in Math, ELA and US History proficiency. Lerning gains among this subgroup were also negatively impacted.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

2019 data findings:

Misalignment and limited communication between Reading and ELA classes contributed to multiple standards being addressed at the same time. With the implantation of the BEST standards, instruction across these two areas will be aligned.

2021 data findings:

In order to address deficiencies in math, there is a concerted effort to increase differentiated

instruction in order to address a variety of learning gaps for our students. In addition, we are planning on hiring additional interventionists in both Math and Reading in order to provide supplemental instruction and remediation.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

2019 data findings:

Progress monitoring data indicated that overall Math proficiency would remain neutral compared to 2019 data, a significant achievement given the difficulties associated with teaching during a pandemic.

2021 data findings:

Biology Proficiency increased by 14 percentage points from 57% to 71%.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

2019 data findings:

Contributing factors to this improvement include correct student placement, common planning, robust targeted interventions, and data chats with both staff and students. In terms of new actions, the school will expand access to in-person interventions, as well as targeted remediation for those students with an intensive math class.

2021 data findings:

We have implemented a pre-biology for those students entering 10th grade reading significantly below grade level in order to provide them with a "Research"-type class that will lay a strong foundation when they take Biology the following year.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Establish common vision amongst all stakeholders to focus on student achievement and increased opportunities for all. Restructuring interventions across all content areas to ensure increased effectiveness.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

The school will provide a variety of Professional Development opportunities, including sessions addressing: Growth Mindset, Differentiated Instruction, Schoology 101 and 102, BEST Standards, and Data Disaggregation and Analysis.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

The additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustained improvement include Increased MTSS oversight, more impactful department meetings that focus on data, mini-PDs during faculty meetings.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Using observed qualitative data, our students, particularly those on MSO, experienced a high level of disengagement in their learning during the 2020-2021 school year. There is a concern that teachers may have developed certain habits during this time and decreased their focus on actively engaging students. This disengagement led to a 4 percentage point drop in ELA Proficiency, and a 21 percentage point decrease in Math proficiency.

Measurable Outcome:

Since student engagement is directly correlated with student achievement, there should be an increase across all performance monitoring data. The 2020-21 school year resulted in the lowest observable student engagement, and thus the performance monitoring data should be viewed as the absolute floor. If we are successful, our data should outpace the performance monitoring data from 2019. Using mini-assessment data during the first grading period and beyond, we should perform at or above the district average in each content area.

Instructional coaches and the administrative team will ensure that student engagement strategies are integrated into all faculty and departmental meetings, as well as collaborative planning. In addition, the leadership team should observe teachers employing engagement strategies from the Framework for Effective Instruction during classroom walkthroughs and formal observations.

Person responsible for

Monitoring:

monitoring outcome:

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

Evidencebased Strategy: We will utilize the evidence-based strategy of Student Engagement, using departmental and school-wide collaboration to provide instructional support that highlights specific student engagement strategies. During departmental and faculty meetings, select teachers will present strategies that work in their classroom, allowing professionals to share best practices and celebrate successes. In addition, collaborative planning will regularly include a focus on the specific engagement strategies and checks for understanding that will be employed.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Instructional support will provide the specific steps necessary for the implementation of student engagement strategies. Collaborative planning will allow a venue for teachers to reflect on their own practices and celebrate the student engagement strategies of others in their content area. Checks for understanding will be a continuous "look for" during classroom walkthroughs, as it is both an engagement strategy and a tool for dtat collection.

Action Steps to Implement

8/19 During the Opening of School Mandatory Professional Development Day, the APC and coaches will lead a PD session exploring high-impact student engagement strategies and how to adapt them to meet the safety needs of students. This interactive session will highlight the need to re-engage students after more than a year of distance learning.

Person
Responsible
Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

8/23-10/28 Each Departmental Meeting will highlight a specific teacher to demonstrate a student engagement strategy for their particular content area. These presentations will provide departments the opportunity to learn best practices and develop a culture of collaboration and celebration.

Person Responsible

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

8/31 Create a calendar of faculty meetings for departments to present specific student engagement strategies that they have discussed during their departmental meetings. As a result of these presentations, teachers will reflect on their own practices and explore new ways to engage students.

Person

Responsible

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

8/31 Create an online repository for teachers to contribute activities and strategies that have proven to increase student engagement. Highlight specific strategies from this resource to teachers in a bi-weekly email blast. As a result of these presentations, teachers will reflect on their own practices and explore new ways to engage students.

Person Responsible

Daniel Granada (dgranada@dadeschools.net)

11/1 - 12/17 Continue to implement showcases of specific student engagement strategies in both Departmental and Faculty Meetings. As a result of these presentations, teachers will reflect on their own practices and explore new ways to engage students.

Person

John Crary (johnbcrary@dadeschools.net)

11/9 and 12/14 The curriculum council will select a "Master Engagement" teacher for the month from across all departments. This teacher will be highlighted in the monthly Faculty Meeting and receive a small incentive from the SLT. As a result, teachers will feel recognition for their efforts to engage students in meaningful learning experiences.

Person

Responsible Jay

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Collaborative Planning

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Based on observed qualitative data, it was evident that the lack of collaborative planning had a negative effect on student academic achievement during the 2020-2021 school year. There is need for effective collaboration between teachers to contribute to student success. The lack of collaborative planning contributed to a 4 percentage point drop in ELA Proficiency, and a 21 percentage point decrease in Math proficiency. Meanwhile, in Biology which had active collaboration among teachers, we experienced a 14 percentage point increase in Proficiency.

Measurable Outcome:

Due to significant learning loss during the 2020-2021 school year, there was an assumed learning loss in all academic areas. Our goal in student proficiency is to meet or exceed student achievement from the 2018-2019 school year. During the first grading period and beyond, the instructional leadership team will analyze district mini-assessments, as well as the built-in progress monitoring tools in the new ELA and Reading programs to ensure we are on target to meet our goal.

Monitoring:

Instructional coaches and the administrative team will ensure that strategies introduced during collaborative planning are being implemented in the classrooms. Data from topic test will be used to monitor class progress, and the data will be shared and discussed during data chats.

Person responsible

for monitoring

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

outcome:

Evidencebased Within the Targeted Element of Collaborative Planning, our school will focus on the evidence-based strategy of: Collaborative Data Chats. Data chats will assist in sharing resources and strategies to assist teachers with low performance on specific benchmarks. This will ensure that teachers are receiving the appropriate support to improve student performance on formative assessments.

Rationale

Strategy:

for Evidencebased Strategy: By conducting collaborative data chats teachers will implement their targeted instruction to increase student performance. This will lead to an increase in student performance and staff morale.

Action Steps to Implement

8/31 Create a school wide collaborative planning schedule. Administration will join those collaborative planning that are in greatest need of improving student outcomes (as measured by formative data). As a result of these sessions, teachers will revise and refine lessons and instructional strategies.

Person Responsible

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

8/31 Create a calendar of data chats that is directly related to the district's assessment calendar. These sessions will occur in the Principal's office and may be whole grade level or individual. Teachers will reflect on how to best increase performance in the areas that students are struggling.

Person Responsible

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

9/15 Establish WhatsApp groups for each content area in order to provide opportunities for collaboration beyond regularly scheduled common planning sessions. This informal channel will allow teachers to explore new ideas and get real time feedback on plans/ideas.

Person Responsible

Daniel Granada (dgranada@dadeschools.net)

8/19-10/28 Hold several professional development sessions (one during Opening of Schools) focused on data-driven instruction with a particular emphasis on Differentiated Instruction and how it looks given the pandemic guidelines. Teachers will develop work arounds to address students' needs in our current reality.

Person

Responsible Gina Smith (grsmith@dadeschools.net)

11/2 Conduct Departmental trainings on using PowerBI for effective grouping and differentiated instruction, as well as MTSS interventions. As a result, teachers will feel comfortable using PowerBI as a tool to track student progress and need for remediation, particularly those students in the L25.

Person Responsible

Daniel Granada (dgranada@dadeschools.net)

11/1-12/17 Continue to conduct data chats following the district's assessment calendar. These sessions will occur in the Principal's office and may be whole grade level or individual. Teachers will reflect on how to best increase performance in the areas that students are struggling.

Person Responsible

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

#3. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Teacher Attendance

Area of
Focus
Description
and
Rationale:

Based on the data review, our school will implement the Targeted Element of Teacher Attendance. Through our data review, we noticed that 50% of teachers were absent for more than five days during the 2020-21 school year. We recognize the need to tailor our attendance initiatives and improve in making connections with staff to ensure attendance is consistently high.

Measurable Outcome:

If we successfully implement the Targeted Element of Teacher Attendance, our students will receive quality instruction that will contribute to improved student outcomes. With consistent monthly teacher incentives, the percentage of teachers missing more than five days will decrease by 5 percentage points by June 2022. We will track these data every grading period, and 90% of teachers should have 1 or less absence.

Monitoring:

The Leadership Team will review the monthly attendance reports and conduct follow up conversations with staff members exhibiting poor attendance. Administrators will include teacher attendance data when conducting departmental data chats. Administrators will also be monitoring in order to celebrate those teachers that have perfect attendance.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

Evidencebased Strategy: Within the Targeted Element of Teacher Attendance, our school will focus on the evidence-based strategy of: Attendance Initiatives. Attendance Initiatives will assist in narrowing the absence gap amongst our teachers. Teachers absences will be monitored on a monthly basis to prevent a pattern of excessive absences.

Rationale

for Evidencebased Strategy: Attendance Initiatives will assist in decreasing the number of teacher absences. The initiatives will provide the Leadership Team with a systematic approach to identify attendance issues, root causes, and rewards.

Action Steps to Implement

8/23-10/31 The Principal's secretary will provide monthly reports on teacher attendance. The Assistant Principal over each content area will have honest conversations with any teacher demonstrating attendance issues in order to understand how to support the teacher and improve his/her attendance.

Person Responsible

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

8/19 The Department Chairs will work with their respective departments to establish a staff appreciation event (Random Acts of Staff Appreciation). Each department will be assigned a particular month, and they will internally design and execute a staff appreciation event. Curriculum Council will be used to discuss upcoming events and opportunities for support if needed. As a result of these events, staff will feel valued and celebrated for their efforts.

Person Responsible

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

8/23 - 10/28 Teachers with perfect attendance for the month will be highlighted by the Principal during monthly faculty meetings. Small incentives will be provided to these teachers when they are announced at each meeting. As a result of these events, staff will feel valued and celebrated for their efforts.

Person Responsible

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

8/23 - 10/28 Student services will provide SEL opportunities for teachers in order to address potential mental health issues that could be adversely impacting teachers' attendance. As a result, teachers will receive services that contribute to their overall well-being.

Person
Responsible Veronica Rivera (veronicarivera@dadeschools.net)

11/1 - 12/17 The social media manager will highlight teachers with perfect attendance on Tuesdays during the #TeacherTuesday social media posts. As a result, teachers will feel recognition for their commitment to consistent attendance.

Person
Responsible
John Crary (johnbcrary@dadeschools.net)

11/2 - 12/17 During monthly faculty meetings, in addition to highlighting teachers with perfect attendance for the 9 weeks, teachers with perfect attendance for the year will be entered into a raffle for gift cards. As a result, staff will feel valued for their continued efforts to show up to SDSHS everyday.

Person
Responsible [no one identified]

#4. Leadership specifically relating to Specific Teacher Feedback

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Based on qualitative data from the School Climate survey and the SIP survey and review of the Core Leadership Competencies, we want to use the Targeted Element of Specific Teacher Feedback. Teachers in the building felt there was a misalignment between the frequency of walkthroughs and the specific feedback that was collected from the walk throughs. Clear channels of communication are paramount to developing trust between Leadership and staff; therefore timely feedback is an essential practice.

Measurable Outcome:

If we successfully implement the Targeted Element of Specific Teacher Feedback, our teachers will continuously be engaged in self-reflection and examination of their own pedagogy; thus becoming more aware of their opportunities for self-improvement and growth. As a result, the number of staff members that "Agree" or "Strongly Agree" with the statement, "I feel teacher evaluations are used to improve teacher performance" will increase on both in-house quarterly surveys, as well as the annual climate survey.

Monitoring:

The Leadership Team will use instructional coaches, teacher leaders, and department heads to monitor the frequency of physical feedback. By involving instructional coaches and department heads we hope to create a positive, supportive, and reflective community of professionals.

Person responsible

for monitoring

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

outcome:

Within the Targeted Element of Specific Teacher Feedback, we will focus on the evidencebased strategy of: Generate Momentum to Accomplish School and Community Goals. Through positive framing of feedback, we will increase staff morale and generate

Evidencebased Strategy:

momentum towards goals.

Rationale

for Evidencebased Strategy: By involving Leadership Teams we will assist in building and developing a positive school culture. Throughout this process the Leadership Team will create buy in and foster a positive attitude to our staff.

Action Steps to Implement

8/23 -10/28 The school leadership will provide immediate feedback to teachers when walking classrooms. This feedback includes, but not limited to, notes, emails, quick conversations, and even gestures. As a result, teachers will be able to reflect immediately on their pedagogy.

Person Responsible

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

8/23 - 10/28 Administrators will relay pertinent information regarding teachers' strengths and areas for improvement during the weekly coaches meeting. Coaches will use the information to schedule their coaching cycles. As a result, teachers will receive targeted support to help improve academic achievement.

Person Responsible

Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

8/23-10/28 Upon the discovery of staff or department-wide trends, the administration and coaches will develop mini-PDs to address the issues during either faculty or departmental meetings. As a result, the entire staff will be able to review their current practices and address possible blind spots.

Person Responsible Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

8/23-10/28 Staff Shout Outs during the daily announcements will focus on a teacher and his/her practices that are high-impact and effective. As a result, teachers will feel valued for their efforts in the classroom and strive to continuously improve.

Person
Responsible
Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

11/23 The APC will develop a Jotform that will incorporate the details from the Framework of Effective Instruction as well as text boxes for more explicit feedback. Administrators and coaches will use the form to provide immediate feedback to teachers regarding walkthroughs. As a result, teachers will have the opportunity to immediately reflect on their practices and find resources to assist their efforts in growth.

Person
Responsible
John Crary (johnbcrary@dadeschools.net)

12/15 - MYA data chats will incorporate data collected from the Jotform in order to identify instructional practices that are leading the student achievement. These conversations will highlight high-impact strategies that have been observed in teachers' classrooms in order to promote increased usage across departments. As a result, teachers will have additional strategies to employ when targeting specific groups of students.

Person
Responsible
Jay De Armas (dearmasj@dadeschools.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

South Dade Senior High School's percentage of students with 2 or more referrals was 4% for the 2020-2021 school year. The district average for the same period was 1.42%. In order to decrease this rate, the Leadership team will record and monitor infractions and apply the progressive discipline plan accordingly. This past year, the progressive discipline plan for tardiness, uniform violations, and repeat violations was rarely enforced due to increased flexibility for students during the pandemic.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Our Strengths within School Culture are in Relationships and Support, Care and Connections. Our school creates

experiences throughout the year to engage with parents and families and ensures they have necessary information to support their children. We provide opportunities for both staff and students with consistent feedback to promote the growth through self-reflection. We also provide events for magnet programs, departments and clubs to showcase their common interest through the "Magnet Showcase Friday".

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

The stakeholders involved in building a positive school culture and environment are the Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches, Teacher Leaders and Counselors (our School Leadership Team). The Principal's role is to monitor and oversee all the school's initiatives and respond to concerns with morale by planning Team-building and morale boosting activities. The Assistant Principals will monitor the mentorship programs and assist in ensuring all information is shared with stakeholders in a timely manner. Teacher leaders and instructional coaches assist in providing and responding to feedback from stakeholders. All stakeholders are responsible for making specific efforts to connect and build relationships with students, parents, and families.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Student Engagement | \$0.00 |
|---|--------|--|--------|
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Collaborative Planning | \$0.00 |
| 3 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Teacher Attendance | \$0.00 |
| 4 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Leadership: Specific Teacher Feedback | \$0.00 |
| | | Total: | \$0.00 |