

Miami-Dade County Public Schools

# Hialeah Miami Lakes Senior High



## 2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## Table of Contents

---

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>School Demographics</b>                | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>     | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>School Information</b>                 | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>Needs Assessment</b>                   | <b>12</b> |
| <b>Planning for Improvement</b>           | <b>22</b> |
| <b>Positive Culture &amp; Environment</b> | <b>31</b> |
| <b>Budget to Support Goals</b>            | <b>31</b> |

## Hialeah Miami Lakes Senior High

7977 W 12TH AVE, Hialeah, FL 33014

<http://schoolsites.schoolworld.com/schools/hialeah/>

### Demographics

**Principal: Juan Ram IR Ez C**

Start Date for this Principal: 1/7/2020

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>2019-20 Status</b><br>(per MSID File)   | Active  |
| <b>School Type and Grades Served</b><br>(per MSID File)  | High School<br>9-12   |
| <b>Primary Service Type</b><br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education  |
| <b>2020-21 Title I School</b>  | Yes   |
| <b>2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b><br>(as reported on Survey 3)  | 100%  |
| <b>2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b><br>(subgroups with 10 or more students)<br>(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities<br>English Language Learners<br>Black/African American Students<br>Hispanic Students<br>White Students*<br>Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| <b>School Grades History</b>   | 2018-19: B (61%)<br>2017-18: B (61%)<br>2016-17: B (55%)  |
| <b>2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*</b>  |   |
| <b>SI Region</b>   | Southeast   |
| <b>Regional Executive Director</b>   | <a href="#">LaShawn Russ-Porterfield</a>  |
| <b>Turnaround Option/Cycle</b>   | N/A   |
| <b>Year</b>  |   |
| <b>Support Tier</b>  |   |
| <b>ESSA Status</b>   |   |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <a href="#">click here</a> .   |   |

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at [www.floridacims.org](http://www.floridacims.org).

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

---

## Table of Contents

---

|                                       |           |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b> | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>School Information</b>             | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>Needs Assessment</b>               | <b>12</b> |
| <b>Planning for Improvement</b>       | <b>22</b> |
| <b>Title I Requirements</b>           | <b>0</b>  |
| <b>Budget to Support Goals</b>        | <b>31</b> |

## Hialeah Miami Lakes Senior High

7977 W 12TH AVE, Hialeah, FL 33014

<http://schoolsites.schoolworld.com/schools/hialeah/>

### School Demographics

| School Type and Grades Served<br>(per MSID File) | 2020-21 Title I School | 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate<br>(as reported on Survey 3) |
|--|------------------------|--|
| High School<br>9-12                              | Yes                    | 89%  |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)          | Charter School         | 2018-19 Minority Rate<br>(Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)               |
| K-12 General Education                           | No                     | 98%  |

### School Grades History

| Year  | 2020-21 | 2019-20 | 2018-19 | 2017-18 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Grade |         | B       | B       | B       |

### School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

### SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

**Provide the school's mission statement.**

We are committed to building a community of creative and analytical thinkers through rigorous and relevant academic choices and diverse educational opportunities designed to prepare our students to meet the demands of our ever-changing world.

**Provide the school's vision statement.**

We will empower our students to become confident, self-directed, life-long learners, and proactive members of society.

### School Leadership Team

**Membership**

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name                       | Position Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities  |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Robinson, Angel            | Assistant Principal | The assistant principals are directly responsible to the principal. Each assistant principal is assigned specific duties by the principal; however, general duties include student control, attendance, contact with the community, supervision of personnel, safety, transportation, property control, budget, student records, scheduling, articulation, curriculum development, supervision of all aspects of cafeteria, written reports, security, and classroom observations. Also included are such duties as monitoring school records, maintaining open communications with department chairs, and other responsibilities as directed by the principal |
| Sosa, Lilibet              | Assistant Principal | The assistant principals are directly responsible to the principal. Each assistant principal is assigned specific duties by the principal; however, general duties include student control, attendance, contact with the community, supervision of personnel, safety, transportation, property control, budget, student records, scheduling, articulation, curriculum development, supervision of all aspects of cafeteria, written reports, security, and classroom observations. Also included are such duties as monitoring school records, maintaining open communications with department chairs, and other responsibilities as directed by the principal |
| Machado Dominguez, Marissa | Teacher, K-12       | Ms. Machado Dominguez is our PLST Digital Innovator, Social Studies teacher, and Lead Magnet Teacher for HML. The role of the PLST is to support the development and implementation of a high-quality site-based professional development plan that promotes a school culture of professional growth and collective responsibility for student learning, enhances educators' professional growth and effectiveness, positively impacts student achievement.  |
| Gondar, Ismary             | Teacher, K-12       | Ms. Gondar is the Lead Mentor for our PLST, Geometry Teacher, and Mathematics Department Head. The role of the PLST is to support the development and implementation of a high-quality site-based professional development plan that promotes a school culture of professional growth and collective responsibility for student learning, enhances educators' professional growth and effectiveness, positively impacts student achievement.   |
| Daniels, Tosha             | Math Coach          | The math coach is responsible to lead the math common planning to create meaningful lessons that are aligned to the SIP goals. The math coach also provides in-classroom support to all teachers in the math department. The math coach is also part of the school's data team that is responsible for disaggregating and analyzing school-wide data to drive instruction.   |
| Bryson-Prieto, Gina        | Teacher, K-12       | Ms. Bryson is HML's PLST Content Expert and Social Studies Teacher. The role of the PLST is to support the development and implementation of a high-quality site-based professional development plan that promotes a school culture of professional growth and collective responsibility for student learning, enhances educators' professional growth and effectiveness, positively impacts student achievement.  |



| Name          | Position Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities  |
|---------------|---------------------|--|
| Chong, Yuan   | Teacher, K-12       | PLST Professional Development Liaison who ensures adequate and current professional development is provided to HML Faculty. Mr. Chong is also the Science Fair Liaison and takes additional responsibilities as a school lead. The role of the PLST is to support the development and implementation of a high-quality site-based professional development plan that promotes a school culture of professional growth and collective responsibility for student learning, enhances educators' professional growth and effectiveness, positively impacts student achievement.   |
| Ramirez, Juan | Principal           | Each principal shall perform such duties as may be assigned by the superintendent pursuant to the rules of the school board. Such rules shall include, but not to be limited to, rules relating to administrative responsibility, instructional leadership of the educational program of the school to which the principal is assigned, submission of personnel recommendations to the superintendent, administrative responsibility for records and reports, and student suspensions.   |
| Ramirez, Juan | Assistant Principal | The assistant principals are directly responsible to the principal. Each assistant principal is assigned specific duties by the principal; however, general duties include student control, attendance, contact with the community, supervision of personnel, safety, transportation, property control, budget, student records, scheduling, articulation, curriculum development, supervision of all aspects of cafeteria, written reports, security, and classroom observations. Also included are such duties as monitoring school records, maintaining open communications with department chairs, and other responsibilities as directed by the principal |

## Demographic Information

### Principal start date

Tuesday 1/7/2020, Juan Ram IR Ez C

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

41

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

39

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school**

87

**Total number of students enrolled at the school**

1,430

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

4

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

3

### Demographic Data

## Early Warning Systems

### 2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator  | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     | Total |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
|  | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  |       |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 428 | 336 | 310 | 342 | 1416  |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 136 | 93  | 118 | 463   |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25  | 60  | 51  | 51  | 187   |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56  | 79  | 71  | 44  | 250   |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 107 | 95  | 107 | 414   |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 103 | 88  | 101 | 408   |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 251   |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----|-------|
|                                      | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12 |       |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 121 | 123 | 86 | 479   |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------|
|                                     | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5  | 2  | 0  | 27 | 34    |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3  | 7  | 10 | 30    |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 6/30/2021

### 2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                                     | Grade Level | Total |
|---|-------------|-------|
| Number of students enrolled                   |             |       |
| Attendance below 90 percent                   |             |       |
| One or more suspensions                       |             |       |
| Course failure in ELA                         |             |       |
| Course failure in Math                        |             |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment  |             |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment |             |       |

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                            | Grade Level | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Students with two or more indicators |             |       |

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

| Indicator                           | Grade Level | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Retained Students: Current Year     |             |       |
| Students retained two or more times |             |       |

**2020-21 - Updated**
**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator                                     | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     | Total |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
|   | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10  | 11  | 12  |     |       |
| Number of students enrolled                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 374 | 361 | 348 | 359 | 1442  |
| Attendance below 90 percent                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 94  | 113 | 112 | 457   |
| One or more suspensions                       | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |
| Course failure in ELA                         | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62  | 52  | 52  | 0   | 166   |
| Course failure in Math                        | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81  | 73  | 45  | 0   | 199   |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 94  | 103 | 68  | 376   |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 88  | 102 | 90  | 386   |

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |    |     | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|
|                                      | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12 |     |       |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 121 | 123 | 86 | 479 |       |

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------|
|                                     | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5  | 2  | 0  | 27 | 34    |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3  | 7  | 10 | 30    |

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component      | 2021   |          |       | 2019   |          |       | 2018   |          |       |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
|                             | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement             |        |          |       | 47%    | 59%      | 56%   | 48%    | 59%      | 56%   |
| ELA Learning Gains          |        |          |       | 54%    | 54%      | 51%   | 53%    | 56%      | 53%   |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  |        |          |       | 42%    | 48%      | 42%   | 41%    | 51%      | 44%   |
| Math Achievement            |        |          |       | 42%    | 54%      | 51%   | 47%    | 51%      | 51%   |
| Math Learning Gains         |        |          |       | 51%    | 52%      | 48%   | 54%    | 50%      | 48%   |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile |        |          |       | 48%    | 51%      | 45%   | 58%    | 51%      | 45%   |
| Science Achievement         |        |          |       | 68%    | 68%      | 68%   | 71%    | 65%      | 67%   |
| Social Studies Achievement  |        |          |       | 73%    | 76%      | 73%   | 70%    | 73%      | 71%   |

### Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

**NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.**

| ELA               |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade             | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 09                | 2021 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|                   | 2019 | 42%    | 55%      | -13%                       | 55%   | -13%                    |
| Cohort Comparison |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
| 10                | 2021 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|                   | 2019 | 47%    | 53%      | -6%                        | 53%   | -6%                     |
| Cohort Comparison |      | -42%   |          |                            |       |                         |

| MATH  |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |

| SCIENCE |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|---------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade   | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |

| <b>BIOLOGY EOC</b>  |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| <b>Year</b>         | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School Minus District</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School Minus State</b> |
| 2021                |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| 2019                | 66%           | 68%             | -2%                          | 67%          | -1%                       |
| <b>CIVICS EOC</b>   |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| <b>Year</b>         | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School Minus District</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School Minus State</b> |
| 2021                |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| 2019                |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| <b>HISTORY EOC</b>  |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| <b>Year</b>         | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School Minus District</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School Minus State</b> |
| 2021                |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| 2019                | 70%           | 71%             | -1%                          | 70%          | 0%                        |
| <b>ALGEBRA EOC</b>  |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| <b>Year</b>         | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School Minus District</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School Minus State</b> |
| 2021                |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| 2019                | 38%           | 63%             | -25%                         | 61%          | -23%                      |
| <b>GEOMETRY EOC</b> |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| <b>Year</b>         | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School Minus District</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School Minus State</b> |
| 2021                |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| 2019                | 38%           | 54%             | -16%                         | 57%          | -19%                      |

### Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

**Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.**

The data used was the MYA Assessment for each of the subject areas below as indicated in the SIP companion guide.

| Grade 9               |                            |      |        |        |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------|--------|--------|
| English Language Arts | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 30.3   | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 30.6   | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 17.1   | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 2.3    | 0      |
| Mathematics           | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 47.6   | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 45.4   | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 31.4   | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 41.3   | 0      |
| Biology               | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 0      | 0      |
| US History            | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 0      | 0      |

| Grade 10              |                            |      |        |        |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------|--------|--------|
| English Language Arts | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 43.2   | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 56.6   | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 23.3   | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 29.7   | 0      |
| Mathematics           | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 35     | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 33.3   | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 25     | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 40.5   | 0      |
| Biology               | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 11.5   | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 12.6   | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 3.4    | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 2.3    | 0      |
| US History            | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 0      | 0      |

| Grade 11              |                            |      |        |        |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------|--------|--------|
| English Language Arts | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 0      | 0      |
| Mathematics           | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 0      | 0      |
| Biology               | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 0      | 0      |
| US History            | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 73.1   | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 73.3   | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 50     | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 66.7   | 0      |



| Grade 12              |                            |      |        |        |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------|--------|--------|
| English Language Arts | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| Mathematics           | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
| Biology               | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
| US History            | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | English Language Learners  | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Number/% Proficiency       | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|                       | All Students               | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Economically Disadvantaged | 0    | 0      | 0      |
|                       | Students With Disabilities | 0    | 0      | 0      |

## Subgroup Data Review

| 2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |
|---|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                                 | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| SWD                                       | 37       | 48     | 29          | 31        | 34      | 34           | 58       | 63      |           | 97                | 29                  |
| ELL                                       | 21       | 39     | 31          | 17        | 25      | 38           | 41       | 55      |           | 97                | 77                  |
| BLK                                       | 19       | 25     | 19          | 10        | 26      | 44           | 42       | 63      |           | 98                | 54                  |
| HSP                                       | 41       | 43     | 31          | 23        | 27      | 39           | 56       | 62      |           | 99                | 69                  |
| FRL                                       | 35       | 38     | 26          | 18        | 26      | 39           | 54       | 61      |           | 98                | 64                  |

| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |
|---|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                                 | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD                                       | 35       | 47     | 44          | 46        | 59      | 54           | 49       | 64      |           | 95                | 46                  |
| ELL                                       | 25       | 47     | 45          | 33        | 50      | 46           | 52       | 54      |           | 92                | 95                  |
| BLK                                       | 36       | 49     | 41          | 46        | 58      | 57           | 66       | 67      |           | 98                | 78                  |
| HSP                                       | 49       | 54     | 42          | 41        | 50      | 47           | 69       | 73      |           | 96                | 85                  |
| WHT                                       | 71       | 73     |             | 36        | 45      |              |          |         |           | 100               | 100                 |
| FRL                                       | 43       | 52     | 41          | 42        | 51      | 47           | 66       | 70      |           | 96                | 82                  |
| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |
| Subgroups                                 | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| SWD                                       | 35       | 45     | 31          | 45        | 54      | 53           | 59       | 73      |           | 97                | 39                  |
| ELL                                       | 15       | 43     | 40          | 38        | 56      | 59           | 45       | 42      |           | 94                | 75                  |
| BLK                                       | 36       | 42     | 26          | 33        | 49      | 50           | 68       | 64      |           | 87                | 64                  |
| HSP                                       | 50       | 55     | 45          | 50        | 55      | 61           | 71       | 72      |           | 95                | 80                  |
| WHT                                       | 75       | 53     |             | 64        | 73      |              |          |         |           | 90                |                     |
| FRL                                       | 45       | 52     | 41          | 45        | 54      | 58           | 69       | 69      |           | 93                | 76                  |

### ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

| ESSA Federal Index  |     |
|---|-----|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)  |     |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students  | 47  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students                                    | NO  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target                                    | 1   |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 48  |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index                                       | 518 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index  | 11  |
| Percent Tested  | 94% |
| Subgroup Data   |     |
| Students With Disabilities  |     |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities                                      | 46  |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%       |     |
| English Language Learners   |     |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners                                       | 44  |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?               | NO  |

| English Language Learners  |     |
|--|-----|
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%       |     |
| Native American Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Native American Students                                       |     |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?               | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%        |     |
| Asian Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Asian Students   |     |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                         | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%                  |     |
| Black/African American Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students                                | 40  |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% |     |
| Hispanic Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students  | 49  |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                      | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%               |     |
| Multiracial Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students   |     |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                   | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%            |     |
| Pacific Islander Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students                                      |     |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%       |     |
| White Students   |     |
| Federal Index - White Students   |     |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                         | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%                  |     |

| Economically Disadvantaged Students  |    |
|--|----|
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students                                | 46 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% |    |

## Analysis

### Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

In reviewing the 2019 data, there was a downwards trend for Geometry proficiency during topic assessments and ultimately a decrease in the Geometry EOC assessment. Math lowest quartile and reading lowest quartile also decreased. Our English Language Learners were the subgroup with the lowest numbers in ELA. Economically disadvantaged students and students with disabilities performed the best in Social Studies, while their lowest performance was also in ELA. In a positive note, Science and Social studies continue to sustain their high averages across subgroups. Additionally, ELA 10th grade saw an increase for the past three years.

According to the 2021 data, content areas such as Social Studies and Science were able to retain success despite the COVID-19 pandemic and the learning loss experienced in other content areas such as ELA and Math. Progress monitoring assessments (MYA) for 2021 show that English Language Learners and students with disabilities continue to do their best in content areas Social Studies and Science. In addition, 9th graders scored lower in ELA than 10th grade students.

#### What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Based on the 2019 administration of the Geometry EOC, the results indicate a significant decrease of 18 percentage points in proficiency from 48% to 30% compared to 2017-2018.

Based on the 2019 Algebra EOC, there was an overall decrease of three (3) percentage points in math learning gains from 54% to 51% compared to 2017-2018.

Based on the 2019 Algebra EOC, there was an overall decrease of ten (10) percentage points in math lowest quartile from 58% to 48% compared to 2017-2018.

Based on the 2021 administration of the 9th grade ELA FSA, our scores dropped to twenty five (25) percent proficiency, which has a difference of twenty-six (26) percentage points from the district.

Based on the 2021 administration of the 10th grade ELA FSA, there was an overall decrease to thirty-four (34) proficiency, compared to the district's fifty-two percent (52) proficiency.

Based on the 2021 administration of the Geometry EOC, the school's scores dropped to fifteen-percentage point proficiency (15) which is twenty-six percentage points lower than the district's forty-one (41) percent.

Based on the 2021 administration of the Algebra EOC, the school dropped to twenty-seven (27)

percent proficiency, which is nineteen percentage points lower than the district's average of forty-six (46).

**What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?**

Intensive Math was removed from the schedule during the 2018-2019 school year. The loss of instructional time for mathematics had a negative effect on student performance. In addition, the loss of instructional personnel exacerbated the drop in student performance in mathematics.

There are several explanations that showcase why student performance dropped significantly during the 2020-2021 school year. There was uncertainty, loss of instructional time during opening of schools due to the failed K12 launch, and multiple quarantines that forced teachers and students to switch from in-person to on-line learning. Additionally, student absences increased and MSO student participation and engaged were issues that we never contended with before.

In order to address the needs for improvement, a focus should be placed in student-centered instruction, interventions, and student attendance.

**What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?**

Based on the 2019 administration of the FSA ELA there was an overall increase among the L25 with an increase of one (1) percentage points from 41% to 42% compared to 2017-2018

Based on the 2019-2020 School Data Maps, 74% percent of teachers agree that progress monitoring is utilized throughout the school year to ensure students receive appropriate remediation or enrichment compared to 2017-2018.

Based on the 2018-2019 administration of the U.S History EOC, overall proficiency increased three (3) percentage points from 70% to 73% compared to the 2017-2018 school year.

Based on the 2018-2019 administration of the GR 10 FSA ELA, the overall proficiency increased three (3) percentage points from 46% to 49% compared to the 2017-2018 school year.

Based on the 2018-2019 administration of the GR 9 FSA ELA, learning gains increased three (3) percentage points from 50% to 53% compared to the 2017-2018.

Based on the 2020-2021 administration of the US History EOC, the school was able to obtain a proficiency of sixty-percent (60), which is one point above the district average of fifty-nine percent (59).

**What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

Contributing factors to the 2019 data are data-driven instruction and differentiated instruction. Common planning and collaboration among teachers proved effectiveness for student engagement and learning cross-curriculum. The effective use of curriculum and district resources maximized student learning and achievement.

The contributing factors to the 2021 sustained improvement of Social Studies lies in a veteran team of teachers who teach bell to bell, hold high academic expectations, and collaborate and plan together.

**What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?**

In order to accelerate learning of the 2021-2022 school year, a Professional Learning Community will be created to focus on teacher practice and support. Additionally, a focus on student centered-instruction, interventions, and differentiated instruction, will also ensure that all student needs are met.

**Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.**

Through the Professional Learning Support Team and Miami Learns grant, our teachers will receive professional development on student-centered instruction, differentiated instruction, and engagement.

**Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.**

Additional services provided will include hourly interventionists and mental health support that will directly go to students. Through the Miami Learns Grant, our PLST will continue to provide opportunities for teacher improvement and growth via professional development, mentoring, and more.

## **Part III: Planning for Improvement**

### **Areas of Focus:**

**#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement**

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:** According to 2021 data, there was a decrease in almost all subject areas of 10 or more points. That can be in part, attributed, to low student engagement and out of the classroom. Our students' academic performance in formative assessments declined by ten percentage points over our state tested content areas. We also experienced an increase in absenteeism throughout the school year.

**Measurable Outcome:** Student engagement (the capacity by which students make an internal investment in their educational growth) will increase by at least twenty (20) percentage points as evidenced by School Culture data student responses for the 2021-2022 school year.

**Monitoring:** The Leadership Team will conduct monthly walkthroughs to ensure student engagement is evident. Data Analysis of district and teacher-generated assessments of ELL and SWD students will be reviewed during monthly Leadership Team meetings to observe progress toward the 20% increase. The leadership team will conduct quarterly data chats with teachers (by department) and determine if engagement strategies need to be altered. The Leadership Team will follow-up with regular walkthroughs to ensure student engagement continually takes place and necessary adjustments occur. Another method of monitoring will involve a quarterly survey of student engagement. Students will receive an initial (baseline) survey asking them to rate engagement in their classroom which will then be given on a quarterly basis thereafter

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based Strategy:** Within the Targeted Element of Instructional Delivery and Engagement, our school will focus on the evidence-based strategy of: Interactive Learning Environment. Interactive Learning Environment will assist in accelerating the learning gains of our SWD and ELL students as it is a systematic approach of instruction to meet the students' needs. Instructional Delivery and Student Engagement will allow students to interact with visual aids/scaffolds that support the acquisition or assimilation of pre-requisite skills, academic vocabulary, and instructional/metacognitive processes. It will be monitored through the use of data trackers (PowerBI) to drive instructional planning.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:** If students are engaged in their assignments and have to complete activities, use manipulatives, or do lab experiments, they take a more active role in their learning. Through doing so, students are more invested in the process and therefore engagement increases.

**Action Steps to Implement**

During Opening of Schools in August 19, the Professional Learning Support Team will lead a professional development based on Student Engagement through the use of Technology focusing on the needs of our SWD and ELL students.

**Person Responsible** Marissa Machado Dominguez (m\_dominguez@dadeschools.net)

Teachers will use technology to increase engagement through programs such as Padlet and FlipGrid, where student-centered responses and instruction is evident. (August 30 -October 11, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

During monthly faculty and department meetings, teachers will review student survey and assessment data, and share best practices for engaging our diverse learners, specifically our SWD and ELL students. Additionally, exemplar lesson plans that contain student engagement strategies will be shared. (September 14 - October 11)

**Person Responsible** Gina Bryson-Prieto (gmbryson@dadeschools.net)

Teachers in need of additional support will be provided pedagogical tools and coaching cycles by coaches/PLST members. (September to October 11, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (pr7131@dadeschools.net)

Teachers will explore technology resources and strategies to increase student engagement and performance through evidence-based writing and Edpuzzle professional development. (November 1 - December 17, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Ismary Gondar (igondar@dadeschools.net)

Teachers will use EdPuzzle and/or Perusall in their instructional delivery as a tool to increase student engagement in metacognition, as evidenced by exemplar lesson plans. (November 1 - December 17, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

Teachers will use EdPuzzle and/or Perusall in their instructional delivery as a tool to increase student engagement in metacognition, as evidenced by exemplar lesson plans. (January 31 - April 29).

**Person Responsible** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

Teachers will present exemplar lessons in Faculty Meetings that showcase the use of EdPuzzle and/or Perusall. (January 31 - April 29).

**Person Responsible** Robert Mayrovitz (rmayrovitz@dadeschools.net)



**#2. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance**

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Area of Focus Description and Rationale:</b>   | The 2020-21 SIP data revealed that 18% of students have 31 or more absences. This percentage is far higher than the district's average, which is only 10%. Additionally, 40% of our students have 16 or more absences. Poor student attendance negatively impacts student academic performance.  |
| <b>Measurable Outcome:</b>                        | If we successfully implement the target element of Student Attendance, our students will be present daily and receive engaging, quality instruction that contributes to improvement in their performance. By implementing these incentives, the number of students with 31 or more absences for 2021-22 will decrease by eight percentage points.  |
| <b>Monitoring:</b>                                | The School Leadership Team will work with and monitor the Attendance Review Committee's (ARC) processes and incentives. The Attendance Review Committee, composed of Community Involvement Specialist, Attendance Clerk, Coaches, and teachers, will create a plan of action. The goal of this plan is to incentivize student attendance, create events to engage families in their children's education, and put systems in place to monitor students with two or more Early Warning Indicators. Additionally, the ARC will consistently work with truant students and families to identify root issues. The progress and reports of student attendance concerns will be shared with the School Leadership Team on a bi-weekly basis. |
| <b>Person responsible for monitoring outcome:</b> | Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)   |
| <b>Evidence-based Strategy:</b>                   | Our school will focus on the evidence-based strategy of attendance initiatives and recognition. Attendance initiatives will allow the ARC and LT to motivate and recognize students who are present. Students will be recognized through the use of gift cards, and certificates, and tweeted. Additionally, students receive quarterly recognitions through the Stellar Trojan initiative.  |
| <b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:</b>     | Attendance initiatives will not only recognize students with good attendance but also motivate other students who see the events and recognition to improve theirs. Students need extrinsic motivation first which will be accomplished via incentives.  |

**Action Steps to Implement**

Create an Attendance Review Committee process and system composed of teachers and staff, which through monitoring, will positively impact student attendance. (August 20, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

Attendance committee along with teachers will plan intervention strategies and action steps to support the reduction in absenteeism for the identified students. (September 8 to October 11, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

ARC will create Stellar Trojan first quarter event, where students with great attendance will be rewarded with a certificate of recognition, website shout-out, and other incentives. (November 1 - December 17, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Angel Robinson (301648@dadeschools.net)

ARC will have truancy meetings with stakeholders, as needed throughout the school year, and will use tracker mechanism to ensure all students receive additional services needed. (September through October 11, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (jramirez53@dadeschools.net)

ARC will track and recognize first-block teachers with perfect attendance. (November 1 - December 17, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

Designate an area to recognize student attendance by grade level in the school building. (November 1 - December 17, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

Designate an area to recognize perfect student attendance in the main building. (January 31 - April 29, 2022).

**Person Responsible** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

Enter students monthly into a perfect attendance raffle for gift card. (January 31 - April 29, 2022).

**Person Responsible** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

**#3. Leadership specifically relating to Instructional Leadership Team**

Based on qualitative data from the School Climate survey and the SIP survey and review of the Core Leadership Competencies, Hialeah-Miami Lakes SHS leadership team will use the Targeted Element of Instructional Leadership Team.

**Area of Focus**

Although there was an increase of 20% points of how teachers perceive the staff morale over a two year period (from 1819 to 2021), staff members did not feel that staff morale was high during the 2020-2021 school year.

**Description and Rationale:**

An 80% of staff members expressed the morale was great (compared to 60 in the 1819 year). However there is a 20% of the staff that feels the morale of the school needs to be improved; therefore, our goal for this year is to increase school staff morale by empowering teachers and staff to be leaders, innovators, risk-takers and designers of new ways to approach challenges while also encouraging and promoting school spirit through activities and participation in all of the school traditions.

A high morale working environment can only lead to better academic results and healthier relationships.

**Measurable Outcome:**

If we successfully implement the Targeted Element of Instructional Leadership Team, our teacher's morale will increase. This will be realized through empowering teachers and their involvement in a variety of school traditions and activities that continuously promotes school spirit. The percentage of teachers who disagree with the school climate survey results question " I feel staff morale is high at my school " will decrease by at least 5% during the 2021-2022 school year.

**Monitoring:**

If we successfully implement the Targeted Element of Instructional Leadership Team, our teacher's morale will increase. This will be realized through empowering teachers and their involvement in a variety of school traditions and activities that continuously promotes school spirit. The percentage of teachers who disagree with the school climate survey results question " I feel staff morale is high at my school " will decrease by at least 5% during the 2021-2022 school year.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Juan Ramirez (pr7131@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based Strategy:**

Within the Targeted Element of Instructional Leadership Team, we will focus on the evidence-based strategy of: Empowering Teachers and Staff and school Spirit, Pride and Branding. By empowering teachers and involving all stakeholders into revitalizing our school Spirit and bringing old and unique Trojan school traditions back, we hope to increase teachers' morale.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:**

Involving all stakeholders will assist in integrating the talent of teachers and students within the building to increase school spirit and increase teacher morale. Involving the leadership team and empowering teachers to becoming risk takers and innovators will provide the support the teachers need to feel appreciated and engaged. Throughout this process the leadership team will increase teacher morale, empower teachers and staff and revitalize the school.

**Action Steps to Implement**

Create the Trojan Spirit Team (student-based), that will help with decorating, preparing, and distributing Trojan Pride throughout our School. (August 23 - September 17, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (pr7131@dadeschools.net)

During August, teachers and staff will be provided opportunities to step up to lead via committees, sponsoring clubs, or coaching sports. (August 16-20, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (jramirez53@dadeschools.net)

During the first week of school, the Athletics and Activities department will re-start (adhering to COVID-19 restrictions) as many as possible of our high school activities and tradition to increase school spirit and involvement. (August and September, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (jramirez53@dadeschools.net)

The PLST to create meaningful Professional Development in order to empower our staff into becoming teacher leaders, risk takers and innovators through the creation of a Professional Learning Community. (September 17 -October 11, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Yuan Chong (chong001@dadeschools.net)

During the opening of schools meeting (August 23rd), staff members collaborated in team activities to help build camaraderie and improve our school culture. Staff members had team tasks and competitions. Specific department meetings will be used to have school-wide team building activities that help improve our school culture and morale. (August, 2021 - October 11, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (pr7131@dadeschools.net)

Invite all stakeholders (including teachers, alumni, staff and community members) to a team-building event as a way to reconnect to Trojan traditions. (November 1 - December 17, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (jramirez53@dadeschools.net)

Utilize social media out campaign (website, twitter, Instagram, and Facebook) to showcase the Trojan Pride and branding process. (November 1 - December 17, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (pr7131@dadeschools.net)

Utilize social media out campaign (website, twitter, Instagram, and Facebook) to showcase the Trojan Pride and branding process. (January 31 - April 29, 2022).

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (jramirez53@dadeschools.net)

Invite all stakeholders (including teachers, alumni, staff and community members) to a team-building event as a way to reconnect to Trojan traditions. (January 31 - April 29, 2022).

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (pr7131@dadeschools.net)

**#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Differentiation**

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:** The student proficiency levels in 9th and 10th grade ELA, as well as Algebra and Geometry EOC dropped by double digit percentage points. We are not meeting the unique needs of all learners therefore it is evident that we must improve our ability to differentiate instruction based on the levels of the students we serve. We will provide the scaffolding necessary for the L25/L35 subgroups to retain grade-level content in order to improve our students' learning gains and accelerate them towards proficiency.

**Measurable Outcome:** If we successfully implement Differentiation, then learning gains for our L25 students will increase by a minimum of 10 percentage points as evidenced by the 2022 State Assessments.

**Monitoring:** The Leadership Team will conduct data chats with teachers as topic assessments results are received, so as to adjust groups based on current data in real time, and follow-up with regular walkthroughs to ensure quality instruction is taking place. Administrators will review bi-weekly lesson plans (while participating in our common planning sessions) for indication of differentiated instruction that focuses on the L25/L35 students. Data Analysis of formative assessments of L25 students will be reviewed monthly to observe progress. We will create an online tracker to monitor OPM data on a bi-weekly basis. This data will be analyzed during Leadership Team meetings to ensure students are demonstrating growth on remediated standards. Extended learning opportunities will be provided to those students who are not showing growth on OPMs.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:** Angel Robinson (301648@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based Strategy:** Within the Targeted Element of Differentiation, our school will focus on the evidence-based strategy of: Data-Driven Instruction. Data-Driven instruction will assist in accelerating the learning gains of our L25/L35s as it is a systematic approach of instruction to meet the students' needs. Data-Driven instruction will be monitored through the use of data trackers to drive instructional planning and data driven conversations to include OPMs.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:** Data-Driven Instruction will ensure that teachers are using relevant, recent, and aligned data to plan lessons that are customized to student needs. Teachers will continually make adjustments to their instruction, plans, and instructional delivery as new data becomes available.

**Action Steps to Implement**

During Opening of Schools, the PLST will lead a professional development on Data Desegregation to ensure that teachers understand where incoming students are. (August 19, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Yuan Chong (chong001@dadeschools.net)

Reading and ELA department will plan together weekly in order to create meaningful DI lessons to be executed through the reading classes (September 7 to October 11, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Angel Robinson (301648@dadeschools.net)

Algebra and Geometry teachers will plan student-centered DI lessons to meet student deficiencies weekly. (September 7 to October 11, 2021)

**Person Responsible** Tosha Daniels (toshap@dadeschools.net)

Science and Social Studies departments will plan to remediate low-performing benchmarks through the use of bell ringers and ability-specific assignments (September 7 to October 11, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Lilibet Sosa (lsosa@dadeschools.net)

Administrators will conduct weekly walkthroughs and review student work products and lesson plans to ensure fidelity of DI lessons (Ongoing). (September 7 to October 11, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (pr7131@dadeschools.net)

Implement Teacher Driven Observations (TDO) between reading and ELA departments for teachers to work cohesively to target student deficiencies.(November 1 to December 17, 2021).

**Person Responsible** Angel Robinson (301648@dadeschools.net)

Administration and teachers will use the PAIR (Problem, Analysis, Intervention, Response) protocol to conduct MYA data chats (January 31 - April 29, 2022).

**Person Responsible** Angel Robinson (301648@dadeschools.net)

Administration and teachers will use data monitoring to ensure fidelity of DI lessons in mathematics and reading. (January 31 - April 29, 2022).

**Person Responsible** Juan Ramirez (jramirez53@dadeschools.net)

### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the [SafeSchoolsforAlex.org](https://www.safeschoolsforalex.org), compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

**Hialeah-Miami Lakes Senior High School ranked #419 out of 505 as it related to violence incidents, which is a secondary area of concern. In addition, drug and public order incidents were ranked as #445 out of 505 which is a primary area of concern. In order to monitor these areas, there will be increased visibility and accessibility of staff members and administration, an Attendance Review Committee that focuses on building positive student attendance and a Trojan Spirit Team that will engage students in more positive activities.**



## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Our strengths within school culture lies in building positive relationships with all stakeholders and providing a safe learning environment that ensures both emotional and physical safety for students and faculty. There are various ways that we build a positive school culture and environment at Hialeah-Miami Lakes Senior High School. Stellar Trojans is designed to recognize students using the VALUES MATTER initiative including attendance, uniform compliance, school and classroom rules, and respect during each grading period. Students selected received certificates, gift cards, and masks.

Teachers will also nominate students for "Do the Right Thing" monthly, where students get a pizza, a t-shirt, and a certificate of recognition. At Hialeah-Miami Lakes Senior we use a lot of social media to promote activities which again shows a positive angle for our school. The learning conditions of our classrooms are all conducive to learning. Inviting classroom that are clean and organized are evident throughout the building.

### Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Various stakeholders are involved in the quest to promote and sustain a positive school culture, including faculty and staff, non-instructional personnel including custodians and cafeteria, parents, and community members. Their roles are to continuously exude HML pride and spirit, support our students and their growth inside and outside of their classrooms, focusing on the whole child.

In addition, our Activities Director, Club Sponsors, and Athletic Directors, along with Assistant Principals and others, will create a plan to host fun activities for both students and staff. Team building activities will take place throughout the school year.

The SGA will be tasked with planning, organizing and executing activities that improve student spirit and pride in school. Such activities will boost the student body involvement and increase ownership of our school.

## Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

|        |        |  |        |
|--------|--------|--|--------|
| 1      | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Student Engagement | \$0.00 |
| 2      | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Student Attendance  | \$0.00 |
| 3      | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Leadership: Instructional Leadership Team  | \$0.00 |
| 4      | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Differentiation    | \$0.00 |
| Total: |        |  | \$0.00 |