**Miami-Dade County Public Schools** 

# **Holmes Elementary School**



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## **Table of Contents**

| School Demographics            | 3  |
|--------------------------------|----|
|                                |    |
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
|                                |    |
| School Information             | 6  |
|                                |    |
| Needs Assessment               | 12 |
|                                |    |
| Planning for Improvement       | 20 |
| Docitive Culture & Environment | 32 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 32 |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 32 |

## **Holmes Elementary School**

1175 NW 67TH ST, Miami, FL 33150

http://holmes.dadeschools.net

## **Demographics**

Principal: Launa Fuller

Start Date for this Principal: 8/13/2020

| 2019-20 Status<br>(per MSID File)   | Active  |
|---|---|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)   | Elementary School<br>PK-5   |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education  |
| 2020-21 Title I School  | Yes   |
| 2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)   | 100%  |
| 2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students* |
| School Grades History   | 2018-19: C (42%)<br>2017-18: D (35%)<br>2016-17: C (49%)  |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info  | ormation*   |
| SI Region   | Southeast   |
| Regional Executive Director   | LaShawn Russ-Porterfield  |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle   | N/A   |
| Year  |   |
| Support Tier  |   |
| ESSA Status   |   |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F   | or more information, click here.  |

## **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Table of Contents**

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
|--------------------------------|----|
|                                |    |
| School Information             | 6  |
|                                |    |
| Needs Assessment               | 12 |
|                                |    |
| Planning for Improvement       | 20 |
|                                |    |
| Title I Requirements           | 0  |
| Rudget to Support Cools        | 22 |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 32 |

Last Modified: 5/3/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 33

## **Holmes Elementary School**

1175 NW 67TH ST, Miami, FL 33150

http://holmes.dadeschools.net

## **School Demographics**

| School Type and G<br>(per MSID    |          | 2020-21 Title I School | Disadvar | 1 Economically<br>ntaged (FRL) Rate<br>orted on Survey 3) |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|---|
| Elementary S<br>PK-5              | School   | Yes                    |          | 96%   |
| <b>Primary Servi</b><br>(per MSID | • •      | Charter School         | (Repor   | <b>9 Minority Rate</b> ted as Non-white n Survey 2)       |
| K-12 General E                    | ducation | No                     |          | 100%  |
| School Grades Histo               | ory      |                        |          |   |
| Year                              | 2020-21  | 2019-20                | 2018-19  | 2017-18   |
| Grade                             |          | С                      | С        | D   |

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

At Holmes Elementary School our mission for the 2021-2022 school year is to produce successful lifelong learners. During this mission we will incorporate strategies that create a culture of high expectations in a strong educational atmosphere that is positive, safe and engaging for all students.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Our vision at Holmes Elementary School for the 2021-2022 school year is to develop well-rounded students who aspire to achieve their full potential. This vision, shared by all, will strengthen instructional capacity, incorporate meaningful parent engagement, and unify the relationship between our school and all stakeholders.

## School Leadership Team

### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name                 | Position<br>Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities   |
|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| Fuller,<br>Launa     | Principal              | Ms. Launa Fuller, is the principal of Holmes Elementary School. Her duties and responsibilities include overseeing all aspects of day-to-day building operations. These task include but are not limited to curriculum, student achievement, payroll, personnel and safety. Her leadership style is one that empowers all members of her staff. Her leadership team members understand the task and are willing and ready to help move Holmes Elementary School to the next level.  |
| Johnson,<br>Cliffina | Assistant<br>Principal | Ms. Johnson is the Assistant Principal. Her responsibilities include: Principal's Designee Overseeing all grade levels Attendance (Student/Staff) Gradebook Manager Curriculum & Instruction / (All Grade Level Chairs) ESOL Fire Drills (Emergency Preparedness) Free & Reduced Meal Program Gradebook Manager IPEGs Evaluations PTSA / Parent Involvement Master Schedule (SIP) School Improvement Plan School Support Personnel Property Control Technology Textbooks Inventory Title I Testing Chairperson Other responsibilities assigned by the Principal |
| Cash, Carol          | Reading<br>Coach       | Ms. Carol Cash is our Instructional Literacty Coach (Intermediate Grades) Her duties and responsibilities include: Articulation Common Planning Sessions (Weekly) Curriculum & Instruction Interventions Master Schedule Monitoring Student Achievement School-wide Data Trackers Gradebook Mgr (B/U) (SIP) School Improvement Plan Textbooks Future Educators of America   |
| Harris,<br>D'Andrea  | Math Coach             | Support the development of high quality/effective math instruction at school site; observe and coach developing math teachers to improve instructional  |

| Name                   | Position<br>Title   | Job Duties and Responsibilities   |
|------------------------|---------------------|---|
|                        |                     | planning, teaching practice, and the use of data, assessment, and instructional technology.  Work with various teams (administrators, teachers, leaders) to facilitate analysis of data provided by diagnostics, common assessments, and formative assessments.  Help teacher teams develop both school wide and classroom intervention plans.  |
| Dawkins,<br>Matthew    | Teacher,<br>ESE     | Mr. Dawkins is our ESE teacher for intermediate grades. Some of his additional duties include: Behavior Plans/Contracts Discipline ESE (IEPs) Master Schedule Monitoring Student Achievement (Data) ESE (SIP) School Improvement Plan SSTs/Staffings/RTi Process Student Services SCAMs (Discipline)  |
| Ferguson,<br>Jessica   | Teacher,<br>ESE     | Ms. Ferguson is our ESE instructor for primary grades. Her duties and responsibilites include assisting teachers and students with ESE accomodations as well as assiting LEA with referral of primary students for MTSS process.  |
| Cherazard,<br>Sherrill | School<br>Counselor | Ms. Cherazard is our Success Coach. She is responsible for meeting with students to assist them with dealing with emotional issues and providing them with first daily counseling as needed. Other responsibilites include BabyBull Pride (Weekly) Bully Free Zone Classroom Sessions Counseling Sessions (Weekly logs) New Teacher Buddy System Parental Involvement/PTSA Professional Development (SIP) School Improvement Plan Student Recognition United Way SCAMs (Student Services) Values Matter |
| Rey,<br>Tangela        | School<br>Counselor | Ms. Rey is our Guidance Counselor. Her main responsibilities include: Attendance (iAttend) Activities & Assemblies Committees LEA/ESE (IEPs) Fieldtrips Interns/Field Experience Students   |

| Name                                   | Position<br>Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities  |
|--|------------------------|--|
|  |                        | Morning Announcements Parental Involvement/PTSA (SIP) School Improvement Plan SSTs/Staffings/RTi Process Student Recognition Student Services United Way   |
| Jackson-<br>Houghteling,<br>Jacqueline | Teacher,<br>PreK       | Ms. Jackson-Houghtelin is our UTD Stewart.   |
| Davis-<br>Wright,<br>Nashay            | Instructional<br>Coach | Ms. Davis-Wright is our Instructional Literacy Coach for primary grades K-2.  Her responsibilities include: Articulation Common Planning Sessions (Weekly) Curriculum & Instruction Interventions Master Schedule Monitoring Student Achievement School-wide Data Trackers (SIP) School Improvement Plan Textbooks |

## **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Thursday 8/13/2020, Launa Fuller

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

4

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

6

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

18

Total number of students enrolled at the school

303

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

2

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

## **Demographic Data**

## **Early Warning Systems**

2021-22

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator  | Grade Level |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator  | K           | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 21          | 49 | 42 | 47 | 48 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 265   |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 8           | 25 | 18 | 23 | 17 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 115   |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0           | 0  | 7  | 5  | 6  | 2  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 20    |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0           | 0  | 1  | 3  | 0  | 5  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 9     |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 6  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 6     |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 6  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 6     |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0           | 13 | 27 | 33 | 24 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 122   |

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |   | Gra | ade | Le | vel |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
|                                      | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5   | 6   | 7  | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 10  | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 23    |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| lu dia stan                         | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                           | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 4     |

## Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 7/27/2021

### 2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Number of students enrolled

Attendance below 90 percent

One or more suspensions

Course failure in ELA

Course failure in Math

Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment

Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Students with two or more indicators

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Retained Students: Current Year

Students retained two or more times

## 2020-21 - Updated

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                                     | Grade Level |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |       |
|---|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                                     | K           | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled                   | 53          | 47 | 52 | 58 | 61 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 334   |
| Attendance below 90 percent                   | 24          | 18 | 24 | 19 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 133   |
| One or more suspensions                       | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Course failure in ELA                         | 0           | 6  | 5  | 10 | 2  | 5  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 28    |
| Course failure in Math                        | 0           | 1  | 3  | 0  | 5  | 4  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 13    |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment  | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 6  | 6  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 12    |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 6  | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 20    |

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |    |    |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |       |  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| Indicator                            | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |  |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 6 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 39    |  |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |    |    |       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                           |             | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10    | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0  | 0  |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0  | 0  | 6     |

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component      | 2021   |          |       | 2019   |          |       | 2018   |          |       |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| School Grade Component      | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement             |        |          |       | 30%    | 62%      | 57%   | 22%    | 62%      | 56%   |
| ELA Learning Gains          |        |          |       | 50%    | 62%      | 58%   | 40%    | 62%      | 55%   |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  |        |          |       | 50%    | 58%      | 53%   | 56%    | 59%      | 48%   |
| Math Achievement            |        |          |       | 45%    | 69%      | 63%   | 34%    | 69%      | 62%   |
| Math Learning Gains         |        |          |       | 54%    | 66%      | 62%   | 35%    | 64%      | 59%   |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile |        |          |       | 44%    | 55%      | 51%   | 45%    | 55%      | 47%   |
| Science Achievement         |        |          |       | 21%    | 55%      | 53%   | 15%    | 58%      | 55%   |

## **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

|            |          |        | ELA      |                                   |       |                                |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade      | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 03         | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     | 30%    | 60%      | -30%                              | 58%   | -28%                           |
| Cohort Con | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 04         | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     | 25%    | 64%      | -39%                              | 58%   | -33%                           |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -30%   |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 05         | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     | 25%    | 60%      | -35%                              | 56%   | -31%                           |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -25%   |          |                                   |       |                                |

|            | MATH              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |
|------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Grade      | Year              | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |  |  |
| 03         | 2021              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |
|            | 2019              | 48%    | 67%      | -19%                              | 62%   | -14%                           |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Cor | Cohort Comparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 04         | 2021              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |
|            | 2019              | 35%    | 69%      | -34%                              | 64%   | -29%                           |  |  |  |  |

|           | MATH     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade     | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -48%   |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05        | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | 2019     | 40%    | 65%      | -25%                              | 60%   | -20%                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -35%   |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |

|            |          |        | SCIEN    | CE                                |       |                                |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade      | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 05         | 2021     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     | 18%    | 53%      | -35%                              | 53%   | -35%                           |
| Cohort Con | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |

## **Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments**

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

During the 2020-2021 school year, the monitoring tool used for all students in grades Kindergarten through fifth was the results from iReady AP1, Ap2 and AP3. In fifth grade science the monitoring tool used was the Science mid-year assessessment.

|                          |   | Grade 1 |        |        |
|--------------------------|---|---------|--------|--------|
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency   | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students  | 27      | 27     | 34     |
| English Language<br>Arts | Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners | 27      | 27     | 34     |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency   | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students  | 23      | 18     | 34     |
| Mathematics              | Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners | 23      | 18     | 34     |

|                          |   | Ouncile O                |                    |                          |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
|                          |   | Grade 2                  |                    |                          |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency   | Fall                     | Winter             | Spring                   |
|                          | All Students  | 19                       | 28                 | 37                       |
| English Language<br>Arts | Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners   | 19                       | 28                 | 37                       |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency   | Fall                     | Winter             | Spring                   |
|                          | All Students  | 15                       | 26                 | 41                       |
| Mathematics              | Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners   | 15                       | 26                 | 41                       |
|                          |   |                          |                    |                          |
|                          |   | Grade 3                  |                    |                          |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency   | <b>Grade 3</b> Fall      | Winter             | Spring                   |
|                          | Proficiency All Students  |                          | Winter<br>30       | Spring<br>43             |
| English Language<br>Arts | Proficiency  All Students  Economically  Disadvantaged  | Fall                     |                    |                          |
|                          | Proficiency All Students Economically   | Fall<br>28               | 30                 | 43                       |
|                          | Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language  | Fall<br>28               | 30                 | 43                       |
|                          | Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners  Number/% Proficiency  All Students | Fall<br>28<br>15         | 30<br>21           | 43<br>36<br>20           |
|                          | Proficiency  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners  Number/% Proficiency               | Fall<br>28<br>15<br>Fall | 30<br>21<br>Winter | 43<br>36<br>20<br>Spring |

|                          |  | Grade 4 |        |        |
|--------------------------|--|---------|--------|--------|
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students   | 12      | 23     | 17     |
| English Language         | Economically Disadvantaged   | 12      | 23     | 17     |
| Arts                     | Students With Disabilities English Language Learners                   | 33      | 20     | 17     |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students   | 14      | 28     | 43     |
| Mathematics              | Economically Disadvantaged   | 14      | 28     | 43     |
|                          | Students With Disabilities   | 17      | 20     | 17     |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners   |         |        |        |
|                          |  | Grade 5 |        |        |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students   | 13      | 24     | 40     |
| English Language<br>Arts | Economically Disadvantaged   | 13      | 24     | 40     |
| Alts                     | Students With Disabilities   |         |        | 20     |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners   |         |        |        |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students   | 14      | 26     | 37     |
| Mathematics              | Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities                  | 14      | 26     | 37     |
|                          | English Language<br>Learners   |         |        |        |
|                          | Number/%<br>Proficiency  | Fall    | Winter | Spring |
|                          | All Students   |         | 57     |        |
| Science                  | Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language |         | 57     |        |
|                          | Learners   |         |        |        |

## Subgroup Data Review

|           |             | 2021      | SCHO              | DL GRAD      | E COMF     | PONENT             | S BY SU     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2019-20 |
| SWD       |             |           |                   | 13           |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| BLK       | 22          | 27        | 27                | 34           | 20         | 10                 | 22          |            |              |                         |                           |
| HSP       | 21          |           |                   | 29           |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| FRL       | 22          | 30        | 38                | 33           | 19         | 15                 | 23          |            |              |                         |                           |
|           |             | 2019      | SCHO              | OL GRAD      | E COMF     | ONENT              | S BY SU     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2017-18 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2017-18 |
| SWD       | 4           | 26        | 50                | 8            | 39         | 40                 |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| ELL       | 40          | 55        |                   | 20           | 45         |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| BLK       | 30          | 50        | 52                | 46           | 56         | 43                 | 19          |            |              |                         |                           |
| HSP       | 33          | 64        |                   | 40           | 50         |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| FRL       | 30          | 50        | 50                | 45           | 54         | 44                 | 21          |            |              |                         |                           |
|           |             | 2018      | SCHO              | OL GRAD      | E COMF     | ONENT              | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2016-17 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2016-17 |
| SWD       | 4           | 36        | 50                |              | 6          | 10                 |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| ELL       | 21          | 55        |                   | 43           | 36         |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| BLK       | 24          | 40        | 58                | 34           | 35         | 46                 | 17          |            |              |                         |                           |
| HSP       | 10          | 50        |                   | 45           | 43         |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| FRL       | 22          | 40        | 56                | 34           | 35         | 45                 | 15          |            |              |                         |                           |

## **ESSA Data Review**

| ESSA Federal Index  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students  | 26  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students                                    | YES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target                                    | 4   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index                                       | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Components for the Federal Index  | 7   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Tested  | 96% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroup Data   |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students With Disabilities  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities                                      | 7   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Students With Disabilities   |     |
|--|-----|
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?             | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%      |     |
| English Language Learners  |     |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners                                      |     |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%       |     |
| Native American Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Native American Students                                       |     |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?               | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%        |     |
| Asian Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Asian Students   |     |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                         | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%                  |     |
| Black/African American Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students                                | 23  |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% |     |
| Hispanic Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students  | 25  |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                      | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%               |     |
| Multiracial Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students   |     |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                   | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%            |     |
| Pacific Islander Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students                                      |     |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%       |     |

| White Students   |     |  |
|--|-----|--|
| Federal Index - White Students   |     |  |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                             | N/A |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%                      |     |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students  |     |  |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students                                | 26  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | YES |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% |     |  |

### **Analysis**

#### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

## What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

After reviewing the 2019 FSA data, we found that "Students with Disabilities" was the most concerning subgroup. Based on the results, only 4% of students in this subgroup were proficient. In comparison to other grade levels, the 2019 FSA data also shows that fourth grade had the smallest amount of proficient students with the least amount of learning gains. The emerging trend form 2021 FSA Spring Assessment data showed that there were regression in the area of reading and mathematics. When analyzing the grade 3-5 data from the 2019 data results to the 2021 data results, it was revealed that fifth grade mathematics, had the largest decrease in all accountable areas - proficiency, learning gains, and L25 learning gains. When analyzing reading data from the 2021 FSA Spring Assessment, fourth grade proficiency (15%) was significant lower than the reading proficiency in third grade (26%) and in fifth grade (27%).

## What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

A review of the 2019 FSA Spring results shows that ELA is the greatest area in need of improvement. Based off progress monitoring, only 27% of students in grades 3 - 5 were proficiency in ELA.

A review of the 2021 FSA Spring data showed that mathematics was the subject area with the most significant decrease - specifically in the accountable areas of 'learning gains" and "L25 learning gains." The mathematics accountable area of "proficiency" decreased by 12 percentage points, "learning gains" decreased by 35 percentage points, and "L25 learning gains" decreased by 29 percentage points. Therefore there is a need of improvement in the mathematics' instruction and practices.

## What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Contributing factors that led to ELA being the greatest area in need of improvement included:

- 1. Limited amount of student engagement in accountability grade levels
- 2. Teacher capacity
- 3. More than 50% of students performing 2 or more grade levels below grade level expectation
- 4. Limited exposure to vocabulary and phonics resources

New factors needed to be taken to address this need for improvement includes:

- 1. Incorporating strategies to increase student engagement in all grades
- 2. Provide meaningful in-house professional development (ongoing)
- 3. More intense focus on Tier 2 students
- 4. Deliberate instruction of Tier 2 Intervention Resources

## What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

According to the 2019 FSA results, it is evident that mathematics was the area of most improvement. Based off progress monitoring, 42% of students in grades 3rd - 5th were proficient and fifty-three of these students made learning gains.

## What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Contributing factors that led to Mathematics being the area of most improvement included:

- 1. Teachers attended weekly collaborative planning session
- 2. Instructional Math Coach capacity/ability/years of experience
- 3. Student accountable talk

New actions taken in the area of Mathematics included:

- 1. Mini Professional Development opportunities during collaborative planning sessions
- 2. White-boarding increased student engagement
- 3. Differentiated Instruction with fidelity

## What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Strategies implemented in order to accelerate learning in Mathematics will include:

- 1. Increased Student Engagement
- 2. Checking for understanding
- 3. Monitoring of more meaningful collaborated planning sessions
- 4. Continued building capacity of Instructional Math Coach (new)

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

The professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders will start with a school-wide Professional Development Calendar. This calendar will be comprised of results from our needs assessment survey sent to all staff members.

Additional professional development provide will include:

- 1. iReady PD
- 2. ELA Resources
- 3. Intervention
- 4. IPEGS
- 5. Math Best Practices
- 6. Science Labs

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

For the 2021-2022 school year, additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond will begin with creating a culture of high expectations, building positive learning environments, building capacity of instructional delivery, providing strategic support to both primary and intermediate grade levels across all contents.

Creating a culture of high expectations by:

- 1. Discussing Student Code of Conduct during opening of school grade level orientations.
- 2. Meeting with each grade level once per semester to discuss our Bully Free school zone
- 3. Continuing real time communication with all stakeholders
- 4. Using all forms of communication to increase parent engagement and support
- 5. Attending and monitoring collaborative planning sessions from planning stages to delivery of instruction.

Building a positive learning environment by:

- 1. Providing weekly, monthly and quarterly incentives for students based on performance
- 2. Providing monthly recognition opportunities for faculty and staff members
- 3. Providing and monitoring a clean and safe learning environment

Building capacity of instructional delivery by:

- 1. Effective use of Instruction Literacy Coaches (primary/intermediate)
- 2. Effective use of Interventionists (primary/intermediate)
- 3. Providing all necessary resources and materials in a timely manner
- 4. Homogenous grouping of sub-groups in ELA classes to increase push-in support.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

## #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement

Based on the results from the 2019-2020 SIP AP summary, the percentage of learning gains for students when compared to Tier 1 Watch/T2/T3 schools was 9% less in grade four, 6% less in grade three and only 3% higher in grade five. The 2021 FSA results

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

showed that students regressed in all accountable categories in reading and mathematics. When comparing the 2019 FSA results to the 2020 FSA result, reading proficiency decreased from 30% to 22% and mathematics proficiency decrease from 45% to 33%. Research has proven that there is a direct correlation to engagement and an increase in student achievement. Therefore, engaging students in the learning process increases their attention and focus, motivates them to practice higher-level critical thinking skills, and promotes meaningful learning experiences. If we successfully implement student engagement as a school-wide area of focus we anticipate a 10% point increase in overall reading and mathematics proficiency.

Measurable Outcome:

If we successfully implement Student Engagement as a strategy, then students that are one or more grade-levels below will increase by a minimum of 10 percentage points.

In order to meet the desired outcome of this area of focus, student engagement will be monitored through:

- 1. Weekly administrative walkthroughs,
- 2. Weekly iReady usage, Monitoring:
  - 3. Student performance on school-wide data tracker,
  - 4. Use of resources during Tier 2 Intervention
  - 5. Use of resources in whole group and small group instruction

Person responsible

for Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased

The evidence based strategy being implemented for this are of focus is: "Ongoing Progress Monitoring" through the use of school-wide trackers.

Strategy: Rationale

for Evidencebased

Strategy:

Within the Targeted Element of Student Engagement our school will focus on the evidencebased strategy of progress monitoring which will support with monitoring the effectiveness of instruction and making data-driven instructional decisions.

**Action Steps to Implement** 

Action Step 1:

Administrative Walkthroughs will be conducted to monitor student engagement in each class K-5.

Date(s): 09/01/21 - 10/11/21

Person Responsible

Launa Fuller (pr2501@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 2:

Collaborative planning will take place to discuss best strategies during whole group instruction across all curriculums K-5 to increase student engagement.

Date(s): 08/20/21 - 10/11/21

Person
Responsible Carol Cash (carolcash@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 3:

Individualized white boards utilized during DI, intervention as well as during whole group to increase student engagement and check for understanding.

Date(s): 09/01/21 - 10/11/21

Person

Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

Responsible

Action Step 4:

Curriculum team will meet monthly with all grade levels per subject area to share engagement strategies and best-practices.

Date(s): 09/13/21 - 10/11/21

Person

Responsible Carol Cash (carolcash@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 5:

Highly engaged classes Kg-5th across all curriculums will be recognized weekly, spotlighted on school social media pages and morning announcements, highligting best practices to be shared during monthly faculty meeting. The increase of positive data trends will be discussed and aligned to increased student engagement.

Date(s): 11/01/21 - 12/17/21

Person

Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

Responsible

Action Step 6:

In order to share schoolwide expectations, on select Wednesdays, teachers will be giving the opportunity to conduct classroom walkthroughs of model classes. Teacher leaders in the building will also share some of their engagement best practices and it's alignment to increased positive data trends with the faculty and staff during faculty/grade-level meetings.

Date(s): 11/01/21 - 12/17/21

Responsible

Person

Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 7:

Every Friday will be Fun (Engagement) Fridays. The School Leadership Team will conduct walkthroughs to highlight and monitor the classes with the most engagement. Pictures and videos of "student engagement" will be shared in the school's group chat and social media accounts.

Date(s): 01/30/22 - 04/29/22

Person Responsible

Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 8:

We will continue the efforts of teachers been giving the opportunity to conduct classroom walkthroughs of model classes.

Date(s): 01/30/22 - 04/29/22

Person Responsible

Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

#### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Professional Learning

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Based on qualitative data from the School Climate survey and the SIP survey and review of the Core Leadership Competencies, we will focus on the targeted area of Professional Learning Community. Teacher responses showed a 4% point increase from the 2019 - 2020 to the 2020 - 2021 school year.

## Measurable Outcome:

If we are successful with the Professional Learning element, there will be an increase in school offered professional developments combined with the encouraging of teachers to attend District offered professional developments as well. This will be realized when teachers attend one or more PD's than they attended the previous year by the end of the 2021-2022 school year.

The area of Professional Learning Community will be monitored by ensuring that the School Leadership Team (SLT) with the teachers' feedback will create a Professional Development Plan (calendar). SLT will work with the PD Liaison to ensure that teachers' are receiving deliberate trainings. Administrators will ensure that teachers turnkey information what they learned in PD's, return to the school to transmit practical knowledge to colleagues which can be implemented school wide just not in that teachers classroom.

Person responsible for

Monitoring:

Sherrill Cherazard (scherazard@dadeschools.net)

monitoring outcome: Evidence-

The strategy that will be implemented for Professional Learning Community will be Job-Embedded Professional Development (JEPD).

Strategy: Rationale

based

for

Focusing on job-embedded professional development as an evidence-based strategy will ensure teachers are receiving trainings that is aligned to their professional needs as well as the needs of their students.

based Strategy:

Evidence-

## **Action Steps to Implement**

Action Step 1:

Provide quality in-house professional development during Opening of Schools mandatory Professional Development Day across all contents.

Date: 8/18/2021

Person
Responsible Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 2:

Send members of the ESE Team to ESE Bootcamp to turnkey information pertaining to successfully referring students through MTSS process.

Date(s): 8/3/2021 - 8/20/2021

Person
Responsible Matthew Dawkins (mjdawkins@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 3: Provide opportunity at every faculty meetings for teachers to present best practices or information obtained from professional development attended District wide.

Date(s): 08/13/21 - 10/11/21

Person Responsible

Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 4: Offer in-house mini professional development opportunities on the last Wednesday of every other month beginning in October 2021 that covers ongoing development of newly adopted McGraw-hill resources, SEL strategies., and IPEGs.

Date(s): 10/13/21 - 10/11/21

Person

Responsible

Sherrill Cherazard (scherazard@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 5: PD Liaison and Assistant Principal will create a PD Survey to assist with developing trainings and a schoolwide PD calendar that is tailored to teachers' needs.

Date(s): 11/01/21-12/17/21

Person

Responsible

Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 6: The leadership team will continue to provide opportunity at faculty meetings for teachers to present best practices or information obtained from professional development attended district-wide.

Date(s): 11/01/21 - 12/17/21

Person

Responsible

Carol Cash (carolcash@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 7: Staff will be provided the opportunity to turnkey what they learned at various Professional Development during collaborative planning. Additionally, the Professional Development Liaison will monitor evidence of PDs by conducting walkthroughs in search of "look-fors" from given PDs.

**Person** 

Responsible

Sherrill Cherazard (scherazard@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 8. The PD Liaison and administrative team will continue to refer staff members to on-going professional developments to further their professional growth which will build capacity within the school.

Date(s) 1/31/22-4/29/22

Person

Responsible

Sherrill Cherazard (scherazard@dadeschools.net)

Last Modified: 5/3/2024

#### #3. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports

Area of Focus Description and

Based on the data review, our school will implement the Targeted Positive Behavior Intervention and Support as an area of focus. The data indicated that students feel safe at school, yet there are other areas of concern that they have pertaining to safety. The data from the Student School Climate Survey shows that 71% of the students identify "Bullying"

**Rationale:** is a problem.

Measurable Outcome:

If the Targeted Element of Positive Behavior Intervention and Support are implemented with fidelity, then the concerns of the students will be mitigated through the plan to show a 50% point decrease on the 2022 Student Climate Survey Report.

**Monitoring:** The Student Services Team will monitor the Positive Behavior Intervention and Support by following a detailed plan of lessons to mitigating the pattern of perceived bullying.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Sherrill Cherazard (scherazard@dadeschools.net)

Evidencebased Strategy: Within the Targeted Element of Positive Behavior and Intervention, our school will use Inclusivity, Tolerance, and Anti-Bullying and Social and Emotional Learning strategies. These strategies will assist with empowering to voice their concerns and curb their perception of bullying and harassments.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy:

Addressing the child individually being heard will establish an environment where students and staff feel safe from physical harm, teasing, gossip, and exclusion in school and/or social media. Students concerns will be monitored by school counselor to mitigate the pattern of perceived bullying.

## **Action Steps to Implement**

Action Step 1: The year will begin with grade level orientation meetings to discuss our Code of Student Conduct school expectations and behaviors. They will invite outside sources and partnership organizations to attend and speak with our students as well.

Date(s): 08/30/21 - 10/11/21

Person Responsible

Matthew Dawkins (mjdawkins@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 2: The Student Services Team will provide a school-wide calendar of events that summarizes Bullying prevention sessions offered once a semester per grade level during their special area blocks. They will also begin student group sessions inviting outside sources to attend and speak with our students.

Date(s): 08/25/21

Person Responsible

Sherrill Cherazard (scherazard@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 3: Members of the Student Services Team will begin meeting with individual students during the first semester of school to discuss goals and challenges if any.

Date(s): 10/04/21

Person Responsible

Tangela Rey (tdrey@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 4: Students will be identified weekly for Bull Pride as well as monthly for Values Matters. These students will receive prizes and certificates.

Date(s): 09/09/21 - 10/11/21

Person

Sherrill Cherazard (scherazard@dadeschools.net) Responsible

Action Step 5: Student Services Team will meet to analyze current infractions in relationship to the antibullying and student code of conduct trainings and new intervention topics for the second nine-weeks.

Date(s): 11/01/21 - 12/17/21

Person

Sherrill Cherazard (scherazard@dadeschools.net)

Responsible

Action Step 6: Student Services Team will solicit outside support to train faculty and staff with dealing with student trauma and positive behavior systems in the classroom.

Date(s): 11/01/21 - 12/17/21

Person

Sherrill Cherazard (scherazard@dadeschools.net) Responsible

Action Step 7: The Student Service Team will work with the City of Miami Police Department to implement the "Do the Right Thing" initiative. Each month select students will be rewarded for their positive behavior by having lunch with a City of Miami Police Officer.

Date(s): 01/31/22 - 04/29/22

Person

Tangela Rey (tdrey@dadeschools.net) Responsible

Action Step 8: Based on the data from the Midyear climate survey, 22% of students stated that our school was not as clean as needed. Thus, the Student Services Team will work with the science teachers to have an environmental clean-up day as an Earth Day Celebration.

Date(s): 04/22/22

Responsible

Person

Tangela Rey (tdrey@dadeschools.net)

Last Modified: 5/3/2024

## #4. Leadership specifically relating to Leadership Development

## Area of **Focus** Description and Rationale:

Based on qualitative data from the School Climate and the SIP survey and review of the Core Leadership Competencies, we want to use the targeted element of Leadership Development. Teachers in the educational setting did not feel that they had many opportunities to learn, develop and display leadership abilities. As a result, we want to empower our teachers to take more of a leadership role by allowing them to catalyze ideas and being apart of the decision-making process involving school wide activities.

## Measurable Outcome:

If we successfully implement the Targeted Element of Leadership Development, our teachers will be afforded the opportunity to present ideas in faculty, collaborative/planning meetings and to the SLT. This will be accomplished through teachers participating in the planning, conduction and the problem solving of these meetings. The percentage of teachers in leadership roles will increase by at least 10% during the 2021-2022 school year.

The School Leadership Team will appoint capable staff members that show interest in serving in various leadership capacities. By inspiring teachers, the SLT hopes to cultivate a symbiotic leadership dynamic. This initiative will be demonstrated by teacher leaders offering support to their colleagues in various capacities. This will be evident when teachers display knowledge they have learned during school-wide meetings involving the SLT and other faculty members.

## Person responsible

**Monitoring:** 

Launa Fuller (pr2501@dadeschools.net)

monitoring outcome:

Evidence-The evidence-based strategy that will be used will be to support the Area of Focus is based "Developing Leadership." due to our need to inspire leadership capacity among all members of the school community.

Strategy:

In Developing Leadership, teachers, staff, parents and principals collaborate to solve Rationale problems and foster a stimulating school climate that invokes student learning. This can be for Evidenceattained by accepting that multiple leadership styles are essential. By engaging all based stakeholders in working together towards shared objectives and ensuring all members Strategy: share responsibility and accountability.

## **Action Steps to Implement**

Action Step 1: This year's school Mission and Vision statement will be posted in the main office and throughout the building to remind all stakeholders of their part in attaining the school goal.

Date(s): 08/20/21

Person Responsible

Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 2: Teachers will be selected to provide professional development and the use of best practices at many of the faculty meetings.

Date(s): 10/09/21 - 10/11/21

Person Responsible

Launa Fuller (pr2501@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 3: Teacher Leaders will be identified to take on administrative tasks as well as invited to attend Leadership Team meetings for observation and feedback.

Date(s): 10/18/21 - 10/11/21

Person

Responsible Launa Fuller (pr2501@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 4: Leadership opportunities will be assigned to teachers who have never had the opportunity to do anything outside of the classroom. Positions like Instructional coach, Dean of Discipline and Extended Learning Organizer as a few named positions that will be offered.

Date(s): 11/18/21 - 10/11/21

Person

D'Andrea Harris (324876@dadeschools.net)

Responsible

Action Step 5: Teacher leaders that were identified to lead school initiatives will share their experiences with staff during faculty meeting. Teacher leaders will also assist with the development of potential teacher leaders.

Date(s): 11/01/21 - 12/17/21

Person

Responsible Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 6: Non-Instructional staff will be identified to take on leadership roles and administrative tasks. Administration team will begin by focusing on the development the 5000 Role Site Directors. This will help with developing positive role models and mentors for our male students.

Date(s): 11/01/21 - 12/17/21

Person

Responsible Launa Fuller (pr2501@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 7: We will continue to identify instructional and non-Instructional staff members to take on leadership roles and administrative tasks.

Date(s): 1/31/22- 4/29/22

Person

Launa Fuller (pr2501@dadeschools.net)

Responsible

Action Step 8: Teachers will transition to facilitating collaborative planning. Teachers will also develop an agenda and identify the focal point for academic best practices during the planning.

Date(s) 2/18/22-4/29/22

Person

Responsible

D'Andrea Harris (324876@dadeschools.net)

#### **#5. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA**

Area of
Focus
Description
and
Rationale:

According to the 2020-2021 FSA results, 78% of the student at Holmes Elementary School are reading below proficiency. This deficit is an eight percentage point decrease from the 2019 FSA results. There is a need to close the reading gap by individualizing instruction to improve achievement gains.

## Measurable Outcome:

Holmes Elementary School will improve overall reading proficiency and learning gains on the 2022 Florida Standard Assessment Spring Administration. The goal is to show an increase in students scoring a Level 3 or higher by 10 percentage points. In the area of learning gains, the goal is to show an increase of 20 percentage points for students in grades 3-5 when comparing the 2021 FSA ELA data to the 2022 FSA ELA data.

The area of focus will be monitored by:

- \* Weekly walkthroughs during small group instruction.
- \* iReady lessons pass rate as well as instructional usage.
- Monitoring:
  - \* Weekly collaborative planning meetings with a focus on DI and data-driven instruction.
  - \* Monitoring intervention and interventionists to ensure that that intervention is occurring with fidelity.

## Person responsible

**for** Cliffin

Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased

Within the Targeted Element of ELA, our school will focus on the evidence-based strategy of "Differentiated Instruction" (DI).

Strategy: Rationale

for Evidencebased Strategy: Focusing on the area of "differentiated instruction" as a evidence-based strategy will ensure that teachers are planning for and utilizing resources that are customized to student needs. Which will assist with closing the reading achievement gap for our students.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Action Step 1: The leadership team will meet to discuss academic area for improvement in reading focusing on the implementation and monitoring of D.I.

Date(s): 09/20/21 - 09/24/21

Person Responsible

Cliffina Johnson (washingtonc@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 2: The primary and intermediate ELA instructional coaches will analyze data and current instructional practices to set school-wide expectations and look-fors for DI.

Date(s): 09/20/21 - 09/24/21

Person Responsible

Carol Cash (carolcash@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 3: During collaborative planning the instructional coaches will share the expectations with teachers and interventionists. Additionally, coaches will collaborate with teachers to develop additional systems and routines to streamline DI.

Date(s): 09/20/21 - 10/11/21

Person
Responsible
Nashay Davis-Wright (nashaydm@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 4: During the reading TLC instruction, teachers will follow the accelerated learning protocols to mitigate the loss of learning as well as drive the data provided from the Progress Monitoring Assessments (PMA).

Date(s): 09/20/21 - 10/11/21 (ongoing)

Person

Responsible

Carol Cash (carolcash@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 5: During collaborative planning, teachers and coaches will consistently analyze data and align resources for small group instruction during DI.

Date(s): 09/20/21 - 10/11/21 (ongoing)

Person

Responsible Carol Cash (carolcash@dadeschools.net)

Action Step 6: Curriculum team will collaborate with select teachers to customize and tailor the district's DI "Reading Learning Acceleration" framework in each grade-level to meet the needs of the learners.

Date(s): 11/01/21 - 12/17/21

Person

Responsible

Carol Cash (carolcash@dadeschools.net)

Step 7: During weekly collaborative planning meetings, literacy coaches and teachers will identify the targeted standards to be addressed during 60 minute DI rotations. Focused outcomes will include fluid groups, monitoring data from small group instruction and discussing impact of resources, systems and support provided during small group instruction.

Date(s): 11/01/21 - 12/17/21

Person

Responsible

Carol Cash (carolcash@dadeschools.net)

Step 8: The instructional reading coaches and teachers will continue to utilize the tested standards of the Progress Monitoring Assessment (PMA) bi-weeklies to drive small group instruction using the "Learning Accelerated Options for Differentiated Instruction".

Date(s): 01/30/2022-04/29/2022 (on-going)

Person

Responsible

Nashay Davis-Wright (nashaydm@dadeschools.net)

Step 9: After reviewing AP2 I-Ready Reading data, the instructional reading coaches and teachers will create small strategic learning groups that will target students who are proficient as well as those who are on target to proficiency and learning gains. Additionally, the L25 students will be grouped to receive research-based strategies for struggling readers on targeted standards. The L25 students will receive this differentiated instructional support twice a week.

Date(s): 01/30/2022-04/29/2022

Person

Carol Cash (carolcash@dadeschools.net)

Responsible

## Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Holmes Elementary School reported 0.9 incidents per 100 students which is 0.1 less than the state's elementary incident reporting rate. Holmes Elementary School suspension rate was 0.0 which was significantly less than the district and the state average.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

## Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Our strengths within our School Culture are in Relationships and Engaging Learning Environment. Our school creates experiences throughout the year by providing incentives, rewards, awards, and public recognition for our students, teachers, and families. We also ensure information was communicated with all stakeholders through the school's website, social media, school's marquee, monthly family calendar, and inhouse school group text message.

## Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

The stakeholders involved in building a positive school culture and environment are the School's Leadership Team which consistent of the principal, assistant principal, counselors, Teacher Leaders, and Instructional Coaches. The Teachers also contributed to the positive school culture by their actions in the classroom. The Principal's role is to monitor and oversee all the school's initiatives and respond to concerns with morale by planning Team building and morale boosting activities. The Assistant Principal will monitor and assist in ensuring all information is shared with stakeholders in a timely manner. The Counselors ensure the morale boosting activities take place and happen throughout the school. Teachers and Instructional Coaches assist in providing and responding to feedback from stakeholders. All stakeholders are responsible for making specific efforts to connect and build relationships with students, parents, and families.

## Part V: Budget

## The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Student Engagement                         | \$0.00 |
|---|--------|--|--------|
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Professional Learning                      | \$0.00 |
| 3 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports | \$0.00 |
| 4 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Leadership: Leadership Development                                 | \$0.00 |
| 5 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA  | \$0.00 |
|   |        | Total:   | \$0.00 |