Hillsborough County Public Schools

Alexander Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	11
	4.5
Planning for Improvement	19
Docitivo Culturo 9 Environment	20
Positive Culture & Environment	
Budget to Support Goals	20
= ····· () · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Alexander Elementary School

5602 N LOIS AVE, Tampa, FL 33614

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Kristina Alvarez

Start Date for this Principal: 7/29/2021

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	Yes
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (62%) 2017-18: A (62%) 2016-17: A (63%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For	or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

4
6
11
19
0
20

Last Modified: 5/1/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 21

Alexander Elementary School

5602 N LOIS AVE, Tampa, FL 33614

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID		2020-21 Title I School	Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	School	Yes		89%
Primary Servio (per MSID I		Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		95%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		A	Α	А

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Alexander will create a caring and nurturing environment, motivating staff and students to work as a community to learn, grow and achieve their goals together. Our learning community will provide opportunities for personal growth and academic success for all.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Alexander will provide students with life long skills that promote creativity and foster independent thinking through an integrated curriculum in a safe environment.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Alvarez, Kristina	Principal	The Principal directs and coordinates educational, administrative, and counseling activities of an elementary, adult, ESE or other specialized public school sites. The Principal demonstrates the Florida Principal Standards, serves as the instructional leader, and develops and evaluates educational programs to ensure conformance to state, national, and school board standards. SPECIFIC DUTIES & RESPONSIBILITIES: ? Develops and coordinates educational programs through meetings with staff, reviews of teachers' activities, and issuance of directives. ? Administers and develops educational programs for students with mental or physical disabilities. ? Confers with teachers, students, and parents concerning educational and behavioral problems in school. ? Establishes and maintains relationships with colleges, community organizations, and other schools to coordinate educational services. ? Requisitions and allocates supplies, equipment, and instructional material as needed. ? Directs preparation of class schedules, cumulative records, and attendance reports. ? Walks about school building and property to monitor safety and security. ? Plans and monitors school budget. ? Plans for and directs building maintenance. ? Performs any other duties as assigned.
Melendez, Ivette	School Counselor	POSITION SUMMARY: The Counselor, Elementary School, is responsible for coordinating and implementing the school's counseling services program to include academic advisement and counseling, developmental guidance, career development, and parent/community involvement. SPECIFIC DUTIES & RESPONSIBILITIES: ? Provides an ongoing program of individual, small group, and large group counseling services in the areas of social/personal development, academic advisement, and career awareness per the national standards established by the American School Counselor Association. ? Consults and works collaboratively with administrators, teachers, school personnel, district personnel, parents, and various community agencies to meet the counseling and educational planning needs of elementary school students, including schoolwide

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		needs. ? Interprets and utilizes school records, including cognitive, aptitude, and achievement tests, for educational planning. Reviews and evaluates student records and transcripts. ? Addresses individual student needs and refers students to appropriate school and community resources as necessary. ? Provides preventive and responsive services, including crisis counseling as needed, and addresses student mental health needs as appropriate. ? Facilitates the involvement of parents in the education of their students, including assisting in parent/teacher/counselor conferences. ? Assists students in developing self-understanding, self-acceptance, and effective interpersonal skills. ? Provides information about appropriate community resources. ? Performs any other duties as assigned.
Campolong, Lorraine	Instructional Coach	POSITION SUMMARY: The site-based Reading Resource Teacher will be responsible for assisting teachers in meeting students' educational needs. SPECIFIC DUTIES & RESPONSIBILITIES: ? Assists with the implementation of district initiatives in the area of English Language Arts. ? Demonstrates a strong working knowledge of best practices in writing/language arts instruction across content areas. Continues to develop professional expertise through professional readings, trainings, and conferences. ? Serves as a resource to the school for strategies and materials to increase English Language Arts achievement. ? Assists teachers in implementing the Language Arts Florida Standards. Assists teachers in organizing classrooms and instructional focus for English Language Arts instruction. ? Assists with professional development opportunities. Trains teachers, in the content area of English Language Arts instruction regarding instructional strategies, best practices, use of materials, and specific instructional topics. Models effective teaching techniques when presenting to groups and other professionals. Provides follow-up support to district and site-based training.

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		? Conducts classroom walk-throughs and provides follow-up with teachers. ? Creates assessments for targeted standards, and trains and assists teachers in selection and use of a variety of assessment instruments. Models and coaches how to administer assessments and collect data. Assists with implementation and administration of district tests. ? Conferences with teachers to assist in interpreting assessment data and planning instruction. ? Provides small group instruction for selected students. ? Assists with English Language Arts competitions. ? Assists with textbook implementation. ? Collaborates with on-site English Language Arts leaders to provide support for English Language Arts instruction. ? Performs any other duties as assigned.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Thursday 7/29/2021, Kristina Alvarez

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

6

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

7

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

31

Total number of students enrolled at the school

519

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

7

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
illuicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	92	95	54	81	80	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	491
Attendance below 90 percent	32	24	16	14	18	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	123
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	14	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	10	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	7	13	5	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 1/27/2022

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	96	70	90	85	97	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	514
Attendance below 90 percent	13	4	11	12	16	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66
One or more suspensions	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	rotai
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	6	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

2020-21 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	96	95	57	78	76	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	491
Attendance below 90 percent	13	4	11	12	16	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66
One or more suspensions	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel	l				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	6	13	6	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2021			2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement				58%	52%	57%	58%	52%	56%	
ELA Learning Gains				64%	55%	58%	58%	52%	55%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				56%	50%	53%	40%	46%	48%	
Math Achievement				74%	54%	63%	77%	55%	62%	
Math Learning Gains				77%	57%	62%	81%	57%	59%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				49%	46%	51%	63%	44%	47%	
Science Achievement				57%	50%	53%	55%	51%	55%	

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	51%	52%	-1%	58%	-7%
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2021					
	2019	57%	55%	2%	58%	-1%
Cohort Co	mparison	-51%			•	
05	2021					
	2019	64%	54%	10%	56%	8%
Cohort Co	mparison	-57%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2021					
	2019	60%	54%	6%	62%	-2%
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2021					
	2019	78%	57%	21%	64%	14%
Cohort Com	nparison	-60%				
05	2021					
	2019	75%	54%	21%	60%	15%
Cohort Com	parison	-78%				

	SCIENCE												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison							
05	2021												
	2019	56%	51%	5%	53%	3%							
Cohort Com	parison				•								

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

1st through 5th Grade ELA and Math: I-Ready Diagnostic

5th Grade Science: School City

		Grade 1		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	25	35	63
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	25	35	63
	Students With Disabilities	17	17	33
	English Language Learners	12	23	58
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	16	32	44
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	16	32	44
	Students With Disabilities	17	17	50
	English Language Learners	12	23	35
		Grade 2		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students	Fall 22	Winter 42	Spring 68
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged			
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	22	42	68
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	22 22	42 42	68 68
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language	22 22 0	42 42 20	68 68 40
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	22 22 0 5	42 42 20 20	68 68 40 40
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	22 22 0 5 Fall	42 42 20 20 Winter	68 68 40 40 Spring
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	22 22 0 5 Fall 13	42 42 20 20 Winter 37	68 68 40 40 Spring 62

		Grade 3		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	26	53	67
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	26	53	67
	Students With Disabilities	18	29	53
	English Language Learners	9	38	56
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	15	27	52
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	15	27	52
	Students With Disabilities	0	35	47
	English Language Learners	9	18	44
		Grade 4		
	Number/% Proficiency	Grade 4 Fall	Winter	Spring
	Proficiency All Students		Winter 40	Spring 52
English Language Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall		
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities	Fall 29	40	52
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners	Fall 29 29	40 40	52 52
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language	Fall 29 29 8	40 40 17	52 52 33
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students	Fall 29 29 8 4	40 40 17 7	52 52 33 38
	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged	Fall 29 29 8 4 Fall	40 40 17 7 Winter	52 52 33 38 Spring
Arts	Proficiency All Students Economically Disadvantaged Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Number/% Proficiency All Students Economically	Fall 29 29 8 4 Fall 20	40 40 17 7 Winter 31	52 52 33 38 Spring 69

		Grade 5		
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	31	49	64
English Language Arts	Economically Disadvantaged	31	49	64
	Students With Disabilities	0	14	38
	English Language Learners	36	21	29
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	28	38	73
Mathematics	Economically Disadvantaged	28	38	7317
	Students With Disabilities	10	19	57
	English Language Learners	7	7	57
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	51	59	
Science	Economically Disadvantaged	46	61	
	Students With Disabilities	42	49	
	English Language Learners	40	34	

Subgroup Data Review

		2021	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	40	65	58	58	65	58	24				
ELL	46	71	90	65	68	45	44				
HSP	54	70	80	69	73	47	60				
WHT	64			57							
FRL	55	68	72	68	74	50	54				
		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	19	45	44	35	52	38	14				
ELL	52	62	65	73	81	58	50				
HSP	59	64	60	73	77	45	55				
WHT	47			73							
FRL	56	64	55	72	76	49	53				

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	20	38	32	41	58	52	19				
ELL	44	50	45	70	79	64	30				
HSP	60	56	37	79	83	68	56				
WHT	70			50							
FRL	58	57	40	77	82	63	53				

ESSA Data Review				
This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.				
ESSA Federal Index				
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)				
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	63			
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO			
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0			
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	60			
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	505			
Total Components for the Federal Index	8			
Percent Tested	99%			
Subgroup Data				
Students With Disabilities				
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	52			
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%				
English Language Learners				
Federal Index - English Language Learners	61			
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%				
Native American Students				
Federal Index - Native American Students				
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				

Asian Students					
Federal Index - Asian Students					
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Black/African American Students					
Federal Index - Black/African American Students					
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Hispanic Students					
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	64				
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO				
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Multiracial Students					
Federal Index - Multiracial Students					
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Pacific Islander Students					
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students					
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%					
White Students					
Federal Index - White Students	61				
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO				
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Economically Disadvantaged Students					
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	63				
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%					

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

In reviewing the Spring I-Ready results for 2021 the SWD averaged 41% proficiency in ELA and 46% proficiency in Math.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The SWD subgroup Reading data reflected the greatest need for improvement.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

The SWD students received inconsistent instruction due to the changes in the educational platforms that were available due to the Global pandemic. Approved volunteers that consist of retired educators and community members will support the SWD students in Reading to close the achievement.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

The data component showing the most improvement was the ELA SWD moving from 19% in 2019 to 41% in 2020.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The SWD students received inconsistent instruction due to the changes in the educational platforms that were available due to the Global pandemic. 85% of our students returned to brick and mortar rather than electing eLearning as their platform.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

- 1. Professional development for teachers to maintain abreast with up-to-date research.
- 2. The reading and math resource teachers will work with K-5 grade teams to provide strategy lessons for students to demonstrate mastery.
- 3. The reading and math resource teachers will individually work with students based on reading diagnostic data to increase student achievement.
- 4. The resource personnel will conduct frequent data with grade level teams to disaggregate student performance and identify additional strategies to increase student achievement.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Content specialist will provide professional development opportunities in:

- 1. Wonders curriculum
- 2. Best practices for Newsela
- 3. MTSS
- 4. BEST standards for K-2

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Utilize weekly faculty meetings to provide mini professional development that address best practices.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Improve vocabulary instruction in Reading for K-5. Based on data provided along with

the given demographics of Alexander Elementary.

Measurable Outcome:

Monitoring:

Alexander students in the bottom quartile in ELA will improve from the 2019/2020

school year to 70% in the 2021/2022 school year.

Student performance will be monitored with I-Ready in the Fall, Winter, and Spring

and Wonders Unit Assessments. Both measures will be used to accurately identify

students and their area of need.

Person

responsible for monitoring outcome:

Kristina Alvarez (kristina.alvarez@hcps.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Current area resource teachers in addition to core instruction in whole and small group instruction for identified students; Professional development for teachers to

maintain abreast with up-to-date research.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Upon disaggregating achievement data (I-Ready, District Baseline assessments, Wonders Screener) identified students will be paired with additional supports in

student's area of need to increase achievement.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Grade level weekly planning sessions with content specialist.
- 2. The reading and math resource teachers will work with k-5 grade teams to provide strategy lessons for students to demonstrate mastery. They will individually work with students based on reading diagnostic data to increase student achievement. In addition they will support all grade levels utilizing the district frameworks.
- 3. Frequent data chats are conducted as a grade level team with resource personnel to disaggregate student performance and identify additional strategies to increase student achievement.
- 4. Develop checks for understanding and utilize student artifacts that serve as data.
- 5. Approved volunteers that consist of retired educators and community members will support the SWD students in Reading to close the achievement.

Person Responsible

Kristina Alvarez (kristina.alvarez@hcps.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the <u>SafeSchoolsforAlex.org</u>, compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

School data reflects that Alexander incident rate is ranked very low with the State 255/1395 and County 15/119. There is one reported incident resulting a incident rate of .17 per 100. School will monitor using EASI system.

Alexander will use Panorama to progress monitor for grades 3-5 and the 7Mindset Survey to monitor grades K-2. Alexander has opted to use the 7Mindsets as our curriculum for SEL. It has been implemented Schoolwide with class lessons and monthly recognition.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

We encourage parents to participate in all of our events by sending home flyers, making Parent Link calls, providing Virtual meetings due to the pandemic, Canvas page (Alexander Families) and posting information on our website and Marque. To increase student achievement, we focus on communicating every child's progress to families by engaging parents in parent/teacher conferences and sending home quarterly progress reports. School staff, students, parents and the community will work together to develop skills and habits for personal and academic success. We persist at building positive relationships with families and our community.

Panorama Data: School Culture 70%ile

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

Administration - Communication: Flyers / Parent Links / Canvas (Alexander Families) / Webpage / Newsletters

Teachers: Family Nights / Conference Nights / Quarterly progress alerts / Awards Ceremonies / Student of the Month recognition / Winter Concert / Spring Musical

PTA: Daughter Dance / Son Game Night / Fundraising

Community: Chick-fil-A Spirit Night / Book Fair

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruction	\$0.00					
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2021-22		
	6400 140-Substitute Teachers		0081 - Alexander Elementary School	Title, I Part A	6.0	\$0.00		
			Notes: Frequent data chats are conducted as a grade level with resource personnel to disaggregate student performance and identify additional strategies to increase student achievement. Six (6) substitutes are needed to facilitate these chats.					
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0081 - Alexander Elementary School	Title, I Part A	3.0	\$0.00		
			Notes: The reading resource teacher strategy lessons for students to prepa based on reading diagnostic data to e with all grade levels utilizing the district grade levels as coach to provide strate to enhance math performance. She with district frameworks.	re for FSA. She will ind nhance reading develo tt. The math resource t egy lessons for student	lividually wo pment. In a eacher will t ts based on	ork with students ddition, she will work work with the various math diagnostic data		
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0081 - Alexander Elementary School	Title, I Part A	30.0	\$0.00		
			Notes: STEM bins (Makerspace) in eacenters/enrichment to support implem			cooperative groups/		
	5100	130-Other Certified Instructional Personnel	0081 - Alexander Elementary School	Title, I Part A	3.0	\$0.00		
			Notes: Non-Board employees to work day as a supplement to increase students		s in Math/EL	A during the school		
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0081 - Alexander Elementary School	Title, I Part A	25.0	\$0.00		
			Notes: 500 student licenses for Readilipoints to support vocabulary instruction	•				
	5100	519-Technology-Related Supplies	0081 - Alexander Elementary School	Title, I Part A	21.0	\$0.00		
			Notes: 120 STEM bots for students to implementation of STEM Hub school to	0 0	, ,	rt our schoolwide		
	5100	643-Capitalized Hardware and Technology-Related Infrastructure	0081 - Alexander Elementary School	Title, I Part A	50.0	\$0.00		
	•		Notes: 50 computers to provide additi the classrooms and virtually to increas achievement.					
	5100	500-Materials and Supplies	0081 - Alexander Elementary School	Title, I Part A	30.0	\$0.00		
			Notes: To maintain and/or increase th math and reading consumables for all					
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0081 - Alexander Elementary School	Title, I Part A	14.0	\$0.00		
			Notes: 14 Classroom teachers to work the school day to increase student act		ts in grades	2-5, Math/ELA, after		
					Total:	\$0.00		