

Hillsborough County Public Schools

Progress Village Middle Magnet



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents	
School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	18
Positive Culture & Environment	21
Budget to Support Goals	22

Progress Village Middle Magnet

8113 ZINNIA DR, Tampa, FL 33619

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Peter Megara

Start Date for this Principal: 1/3/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2020-21 Title I School	No
2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	89%
2020-21 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (62%) 2017-18: A (63%) 2016-17: B (60%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, [click here](#).

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents	
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	18
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	22

Progress Village Middle Magnet

8113 ZINNIA DR, Tampa, FL 33619

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2020-21 Title I School	2020-21 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Middle School 6-8	No	57%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	71%

School Grades History

Year	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18
Grade		A	A	A

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission is "Setting the Stage for Progress with the Spotlight on You!"

Provide the school's vision statement.

Our Vision is to unite students, families, and communities by promoting high academic standards through an arts-integrated learning environment. The focus centers on building interpersonal relationships and achieving excellence in all areas of the curriculum.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Megara, Peter	Principal	Principal: Share school's goals with faculty
Blake, Bridgette	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal of Curriculum Assistant Principals: Work with team to analyze test data Team: collect instructional data via walkthroughs; use data to improve instruction; provide PD
Hildebrand, Nicole	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal for transportation, facilities, athletics and Covid point of contact Assistant Principals: Work with team to analyze test data Team: collect instructional data via walkthroughs; use data to improve instruction; provide PD

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Thursday 1/3/2019, Peter Megara

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

6

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

7

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

46

Total number of students enrolled at the school

813

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

6

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

6

Demographic Data**Early Warning Systems****2021-22****The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:**

Indicator	Grade Level														Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	256	253	304	0	0	0	0	813	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	32	44	0	0	0	0	121	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	50	63	0	0	0	0	177	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level														Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level														Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 8/30/2021

2020-21 - As Reported**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	166	128	154	0	0	0	0	448
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	46	62	0	0	0	0	144
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	7
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	20	48	0	0	0	0	103
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	42	73	0	0	0	0	174

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

2020-21 - Updated**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	166	128	154	0	0	0	0	448
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	46	62	0	0	0	0	144
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	7
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	20	48	0	0	0	0	103
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	42	73	0	0	0	0	174

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis**School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2021			2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				60%	51%	54%	60%	52%	53%
ELA Learning Gains				57%	52%	54%	53%	53%	54%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				51%	47%	47%	42%	48%	47%
Math Achievement				61%	55%	58%	68%	56%	58%
Math Learning Gains				58%	57%	57%	68%	59%	57%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				45%	52%	51%	58%	52%	51%
Science Achievement				55%	47%	51%	56%	47%	52%
Social Studies Achievement				87%	67%	72%	77%	66%	72%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2021					
	2019	59%	53%	6%	54%	5%
Cohort Comparison						
07	2021					
	2019	60%	54%	6%	52%	8%
Cohort Comparison		-59%				
08	2021					
	2019	62%	53%	9%	56%	6%
Cohort Comparison		-60%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2021					
	2019	46%	49%	-3%	55%	-9%
Cohort Comparison						

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
07	2021					
	2019	70%	62%	8%	54%	16%
Cohort Comparison		-46%				
08	2021					
	2019	28%	31%	-3%	46%	-18%
Cohort Comparison		-70%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
08	2021					
	2019	55%	47%	8%	48%	7%
Cohort Comparison						

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
CIVICS EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	87%	67%	20%	71%	16%
HISTORY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019					
ALGEBRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	88%	63%	25%	61%	27%
GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2021					
2019	100%	57%	43%	57%	43%

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

PV staff will utilize the district assessments for the following data for Math and Science: baseline, midyear district Assessments.

For ELA data, we will utilize the Achieve3000 data from 3 checkpoints and anyone who "meets" the criteria is considered proficient.

Grade 6				
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	21.6	18.4	19.9
	Economically Disadvantaged	8.5	8.5	10
	Students With Disabilities	18.7	3.1	18.7
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	55.9	51.27	NA
	Economically Disadvantaged	49.6	47.88	NA
	Students With Disabilities	67.70	57.99	NA
	English Language Learners	23.7	83.7	NA

Grade 7				
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	20.9	19.5	22.8
	Economically Disadvantaged	13	19.6	22.2
	Students With Disabilities	13.7	13.7	20.6
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	57.5	59.35	NA
	Economically Disadvantaged	41.50	54.65	NA
	Students With Disabilities	62.90	72.81	NA
	English Language Learners	30.6	59	NA
Civics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	24	52	54
	Economically Disadvantaged	23	49	49
	Students With Disabilities	16	51	56
	English Language Learners	65	43	51

Grade 8				
English Language Arts	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	21.8	25.2	21.4
	Economically Disadvantaged	8.9	16.2	17.5
	Students With Disabilities	24	18.9	28.4
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
Mathematics	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	70.40	56.28	NA
	Economically Disadvantaged	47.00	40.99	NA
	Students With Disabilities	77.3	63.86	NA
	English Language Learners	53.3	54.08	NA
Science	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
	All Students	27.5	39.7	NA
	Economically Disadvantaged	39.3	47.4	NA
	Students With Disabilities	66.7	46.8	NA
	English Language Learners	39.3	39.7	NA

Subgroup Data Review

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	20	32	34	28	43	39	32	61			
ELL	38	46	50	38	51	55	33	64			
BLK	42	42	30	40	40	39	31	77	63		
HSP	54	52	44	51	54	48	50	83	72		
MUL	59	66		57	64		50	85	64		
WHT	72	61	55	70	59	47	60	91	68		
FRL	48	48	39	43	44	40	37	76	56		
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	20	39	39	24	39	31	19	48	82		
ELL	39	65	61	40	56	50	23	80			
ASN	100	92		100	83						

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
BLK	47	51	44	48	51	42	41	84	82		
HSP	63	59	58	64	63	44	54	86	84		
MUL	67	59	58	65	56	50	56	86	79		
WHT	70	59	56	72	61	51	71	91	91		
FRL	51	54	48	51	53	44	46	81	80		
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	20	37	35	21	43	39	17	41			
ELL	28	44	54	54	62	63		47			
ASN	81	50		100	81						
BLK	43	46	38	55	65	57	33	67	89		
HSP	60	54	48	70	65	60	54	81	87		
MUL	72	49		80	69		64	80	100		
WHT	74	62	50	77	74	61	79	82	85		
FRL	49	48	42	59	65	57	46	68	87		

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	54
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	489
Total Components for the Federal Index	9
Percent Tested	83%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	36
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	47

English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	45
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	56
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	64
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	65
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	48
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

For 2021 state testing, our average raw score for ELA and Mathematics for the African American subgroup was low among all grade levels.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Using the 2020-2021 state assessments data, our African American males and females had the lowest average raw score: ELA grade 6 was 32, 7th grade was 33 and 8th grade was 32. For mathematics, our African American males and females had the lowest raw score: for 6th grade was 21, 7th grade was 22, and for 8th grade 19.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Due to E-learning and Covid-related schedule change as well as district mandated unit losses, students schedules were impacted and changes of teachers occurred, which ultimately impacts learning. Minimal schedules and in-person learning will contribute to student achievement and growth in learning. Standards-based lesson planning and teaching to the rigor of the standard will help improve student learning.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Our geometry students maintained a high level of achievement on the state EOC, with 95% of the students passing the 2021 test.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Instructors provided additional academic enrichment for both e-learning students and brick and mortar students.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Teachers are using pre-assessments and baselines to assess students' current knowledge and to develop "just in time" learning opportunities to support acceleration of learning. School-wide use of AVID strategies, chunking of information, using graphic organizers and grade-level texts will support this goal.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

PV will utilize our faculty meetings to share content strategies and SEL strategies to use in classrooms. Staff members are also participating in the Youth Mental Health First Aide training.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Our Social studies teachers are encouraged to attend the once a month "Super Saturday" sessions provided by the district supervisor. Mathematics teachers are enrolled in a self-paced Canvas course for PD from the math supervisor.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Our area of focus is based on student data received from the district from the 2020-2021 school year, our school decided that all lessons must be grade-level appropriate, and standards based to accelerate student learning.
Measurable Outcome:	<p>As a school our goal is to increase our total points earned in the Florida State Assessments to earn the school grade of an "A". An increase of 8% will need to be earned by May 2022.</p> <p>Each department's PLC will review data from common assessments, baselines, mid-years to reflect and reteach standards and to plan collaboratively. School-wide walk-throughs and professional development opportunities will provide feedback on standards-aligned instruction and our areas to grow.</p>
Monitoring:	<p>Steps for monitoring and supporting our SWD subgroups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide verbal and visual instructions for assignments: precise & clear verbal description • Gain student's attention with visual cues when presenting key information or directions • Minimize the number of written problems to demonstrate proficiency • Provide cues to make students aware of important features/tasks • Vary voice tones and maintain frequent eye contact
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Peter Megara (peter.megara@hcps.net)
Evidence-based Strategy:	Differentiation
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	Differentiation is informed by research or evaluation findings that suggest it is likely to improve student learning.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Walk-throughs
2. PLCs- reviewing the trends
3. Develop strategies or PD to address areas of growth
4. Once implemented in the classrooms, the leadership team will need to revisit areas of growth to check for improvements.

Person Responsible Peter Megara (peter.megara@hcps.net)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Differentiation**Area of Focus**

Description and Rationale: Progress Village will identify and address individualized student learning opportunities.

Measurable Outcome: As a school our goal is to increase our total points earned in the Florida State Assessments to earn the school grade of an "A". An increase of 8% will need to be earned by May 2022.

Teachers will progress monitor student learning throughout the marking period by using data chats, common assessments, Canvas gradebook and the Canvas class page as a learning tool. Teachers can use small groups to re-teach and accelerate student learning losses that occur throughout the year when a student is absent from class.

Monitoring: Steps for monitoring and supporting our SWD subgroups:

- Provide verbal and visual instructions for assignments: precise & clear verbal description
- Gain student's attention with visual cues when presenting key information or directions
- Minimize the number of written problems to demonstrate proficiency
- Provide cues to make students aware of important features/tasks
- Vary voice tones and maintain frequent eye contact

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Peter Megara (peter.megara@hcps.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Teachers will receive subject-specific support during Professional Learning Community planning meetings. They will collaborate (when possible) while planning to reflect the expectations set forth in the HCPS K-12 Instructional Frameworks. Teachers will then discuss the outcomes of their assessments and next steps based on the data (Plan-Do-Check-Act). This opportunity to participate in on-going self-reflection from data reviews will enable teachers to plan for enrichment and/or remediation. Students will receive this differentiated support through small group rotations as outlined in the HCPSK-12 Instructional Frameworks.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Data analysis, collaborative PLCs, and the implementation of the HCPS K-12 Instructional Frameworks leads to specific instructional delivery components that will provide students with the assistance required to increase their skills and capabilities.

Action Steps to Implement

Action Steps Differentiation

Step 1: Assess students & identify needs

Step 2: Align lessons to standards

Step 3: Create individualized learning paths

Step 4: Monitor progress and formatively assess learners

Step 5: Repeat the first four steps after each lesson

Person Responsible Peter Megara (peter.megara@hcps.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

Using the [SafeSchoolsforAlex.org](https://www.safeschoolsforalex.org), compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

African American males and females performed lower than other subgroups across the board academically. Upon further research into school discipline data, African American males and females were disciplined at a rate greater than their peers. The following steps will be utilized to improve the academic and social success of African American students in an effort to promote college and career readiness:

- 1. Identify high-risk trends within African American subgroup using data from the 2020-2021 school year.**
- 2. Share results school-wide and identify best practices with team leaders, school counselors and the 2 assistant teachers who will be monitoring student progress.**
- 3. Support the students through coaching and peer mentoring/tutoring.**
- 4. Periodically monitor progress through school wide academic and discipline data (behavior tracker).**
- 5. School-wide focus: Teachers, administrators, and students will build relationships with all students to further enhance the school's culture and facilitate greater success.**

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

The Progress Village faculty will focus on implementing team building strategies in order to develop and maintain positive teacher-student and student-student relationships, campus-wide.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at the school.

PV will build a positive school culture and engage students, staff, and community stakeholders through the following events and activities:

1. AVID Family Nights
2. SAC & PTSA
3. Iron Sharpens Iron Breakfast
4. Elective Parent Boosters
5. Recruitment "Road Shows" and Shadowing Program for potential students

6. PBIS and Bobcat Bucks/STAR program
7. Mentoring Program
8. End of Year Musical and Winter Extravaganza
9. HBCU Showcase
10. Media Center Sponsored: Hispanic Heritage trivia contest for students and a Latin Café Luncheon for staff and Black History Month daily showcasing and National African American DEAR Read-in and a "Taste of Soul" luncheon for staff.
11. Great American Teach-in
12. Girl Scouts of America Program
13. Media Center Sponsored: Teen Tober/ Teen Read Week
14. Media Center Sponsored: SLAM Showcase
15. Colors Club
16. SGA
17. PV's Got Talent
18. Start with Hello Week
19. Family and Career Community Leaders of America(FCCLA)
20. Thurgood Marshall Club
21. AVID club

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Differentiation	\$0.00
Total:			\$0.00