

The School District of Palm Beach County

Roosevelt Middle School



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	17
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Roosevelt Middle School

1900 N AUSTRALIAN AVE, West Palm Beach, FL 33407

<https://rms.palmbeachschools.org>

Demographics

Principal: Jeremiah Stewart

Start Date for this Principal: 7/18/2018

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	Yes
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Asian Students Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2021-22: C (49%) 2018-19: C (51%) 2017-18: C (52%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	ATSI
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here .	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Palm Beach County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	17
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Roosevelt Middle School

1900 N AUSTRALIAN AVE, West Palm Beach, FL 33407

<https://rms.palmbeachschools.org>

School Demographics

<p>School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)</p> <p>Middle School 6-8</p>	<p>2021-22 Title I School</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)</p> <p>100%</p>
<p>Primary Service Type (per MSID File)</p> <p>K-12 General Education</p>	<p>Charter School</p> <p>No</p>	<p>2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)</p> <p>99%</p>

School Grades History

Year	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19
Grade	C		C	C

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Palm Beach County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

We aim to broaden our students' awareness of the college and career pathways that are aligned with our choice programs and provide structures and opportunities that will strengthen their knowledge and preparation. This will prepare our students for high school through our academic curricula and additional accelerated learning. With the collaborative support of staff, parents and community, each student will be provided with a succession plan for continuous educational growth and development.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of Roosevelt Community Middle School is to nurture, inspire and empower a generation of innovative thinkers, creative problem solvers, and aspiring leaders who are prepared to excel in college and lead in careers.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Stewart, Jeremiah	Principal	The principal provides the overall school vision for achieving academic and social goals. The principal utilizes the FCIM Model to monitor the progress in meeting the goals. The principal meets frequently with assistant principals, academic coaches, and other instructional leaders to review progress and make instructional decisions to enhance student achievement.
Jones, Lori	Assistant Principal	The assistant principal is responsible for their respective grade level. This included all content areas and discipline. The assistant principal works closely with each department instructional leader to ensure that standards-based instruction provides ongoing support to the departments to ensure data-based decisions are the focus for instructional practices within the content.
Pettiford, Kimberly	Assistant Principal	The assistant principal is responsible for their respective grade level. This included all content areas and discipline. The assistant principal works closely with each department instructional leader to ensure that standards-based instruction provides ongoing support to the departments to ensure data-based decisions are the focus for instructional practices within the content.
Rolle, Samuel	Assistant Principal	The assistant principal is responsible for their respective grade level. This included all content areas and discipline. The assistant principal works closely with each department instructional leader to ensure that standards-based instruction provides ongoing support to the departments to ensure data-based decisions are the focus for instructional practices within the content.
Potenza, Mia	Other	The SSCC supports the administration and provides professional development to the instructional staff. This includes whole group PD and PD on an as-needed basis based on observations by the administration. The SSCC leads the PLCs for the math department and provides support during PLCs for all other departments.
Kelly, Mercia	Reading Coach	The instructional coach works with the leadership team, instructional leaders and classroom teachers to evaluate school core content. With support for the school leaders, the instructional coach designs instructional routines, standards-based assessments and classroom interventions for each content area. The instructional coach also works with district personnel for support in meeting the academic needs of the whole school. The instructional coach analyzes grade level/content data and provides professional development in evidence-based strategies to increase student achievement.
Fletcher, Robert	Science Coach	The instructional coach works with the leadership team, instructional leaders and classroom teachers to evaluate school core content. With support for the school leaders, the instructional coach designs instructional routines, standards-based assessments and classroom interventions for each content area. The instructional coach also works with district personnel for support in meeting the academic needs of the whole school. The instructional coach analyzes grade

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
------	----------------	---------------------------------

level/content data and provides professional development in evidence-based strategies to increase student achievement.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 7/18/2018, Jeremiah Stewart

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

1

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

12

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

71

Total number of students enrolled at the school

835

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

5

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

5

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	280	256	300	0	0	0	0	836
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	28	47	0	0	0	0	153
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	52	43	0	0	0	0	127
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	47	58	0	0	0	0	147
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	8	56	0	0	0	0	81
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	85	96	116	0	0	0	0	297
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	93	80	118	0	0	0	0	291
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	105	118	0	0	0	0	320

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	123	81	99	0	0	0	0	303

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 8/24/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	273	351	330	0	0	0	0	954
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	26	24	0	0	0	0	128
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	13	2	0	0	0	0	30
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	149	96	116	0	0	0	0	361
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	129	57	23	0	0	0	0	209
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	97	113	0	0	0	0	287
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	44	59	0	0	0	0	141
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	196	191	153	0	0	0	0	540
FY21 ELA Diag Levels 1 & 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	101	117	85	0	0	0	0	303
FY21 Math Diag Levels 1 & 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	135	127	132	0	0	0	0	394

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	137	77	76	0	0	0	0	290

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	273	351	330	0	0	0	0	954
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	26	24	0	0	0	0	128
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	13	2	0	0	0	30	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	149	96	116	0	0	0	361	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	129	57	23	0	0	0	209	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	97	113	0	0	0	287	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	44	59	0	0	0	141	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	196	191	153	0	0	0	540	
FY21 ELA Diag Levels 1 & 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	101	117	85	0	0	0	303	
FY21 Math Diag Levels 1 & 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	135	127	132	0	0	0	394	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	137	77	76	0	0	0	0	290

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2022			2021			2019		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	36%	53%	50%				44%	58%	54%
ELA Learning Gains	44%						50%	56%	54%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	41%						41%	49%	47%
Math Achievement	43%	35%	36%				55%	62%	58%
Math Learning Gains	61%						55%	60%	57%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	59%						48%	53%	51%
Science Achievement	30%	56%	53%				31%	52%	51%
Social Studies Achievement	46%	64%	58%				56%	75%	72%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019	45%	58%	-13%	54%	-9%
Cohort Comparison						
07	2022					
	2019	40%	53%	-13%	52%	-12%
Cohort Comparison		-45%				
08	2022					
	2019	44%	58%	-14%	56%	-12%
Cohort Comparison		-40%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019	59%	60%	-1%	55%	4%
Cohort Comparison						
07	2022					
	2019	9%	35%	-26%	54%	-45%
Cohort Comparison		-59%				
08	2022					
	2019	55%	64%	-9%	46%	9%
Cohort Comparison		-9%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
07	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
08	2022					
	2019	30%	51%	-21%	48%	-18%
Cohort Comparison		0%				

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
CIVICS EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	54%	72%	-18%	71%	-17%
HISTORY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
ALGEBRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	85%	64%	21%	61%	24%
GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	100%	60%	40%	57%	43%

Subgroup Data Review

2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	11	39	43	19	46	52	9	18			
ELL	24	39	42	36	59	50	16	36	74		
ASN	82	65		94	88						
BLK	33	42	40	37	57	60	27	44	84		
HSP	37	46	43	50	65	52	33	47	76		
MUL	38	40		50	77						
WHT	46	50		54	100						
FRL	35	44	41	42	61	60	29	45	84		
2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	9	18	17	14	18	19	11	8	40		
ELL	35	40	34	34	22	10	12	32	62		

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
ASN	79	71		71	43						
BLK	35	33	25	27	18	21	23	31	59		
HSP	44	42	28	40	23	9	29	42	72		
MUL	67	53		57	21						
WHT	47	43		40	29						
FRL	38	36	26	31	19	18	25	35	62		

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	16	39	34	28	46	38	8	30	71		
ELL	34	43	42	51	57	47	16	40	79		
ASN	86	93		100	92				87		
BLK	44	48	41	52	53	48	28	55	80		
HSP	44	52	44	61	61	51	35	55	80		
MUL	43	50		57	43						
WHT	63	65		73	63						
FRL	42	47	40	53	54	48	28	53	79		

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	50
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	57
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	500
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	98%

Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	31
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	1

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	43
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	82
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	46
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	51
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	51
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	63
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	50
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

From 2021 to 2022, all of our school grade components increased with the exception of ELA achievement which dropped from 39% to 36%. Overall our largest gains were in math with an 11% increase in proficiency, 41% increase in Learning Gains and 40% increase in our Lowest 25%. The only ESSA subgroup that does not meet the 41% target is our Students with Disabilities. Last year that category also included English Language Learners, Black/African American Students, Hispanic Students, White Students and Economically Disadvantaged Students. Our ELL students dropped in ELA from 35% proficiency to 24%. Our ELL proficiency in math went up 2% overall and increased 37% in learning gains.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Our greatest need for improvement is overall our students with disabilities. Our SWD only had an 11% proficiency in ELA, 19% in math, 9% in science and 18% in social studies. There was some improvement from 2021, with our SWD having 39% learning gains in ELA and 46% learning gains in math.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Due to Covid and distance learning, our students with disabilities overall took the biggest hit. During this time, these students were not able to get the individualized instruction they needed because they were not face to face with their general education and support teachers. With 2022 being the first full school year, our proficiency for these students rose slightly. However, there is still a high need for improvement. To best support these students, we will be providing professional development for our teachers on small group instruction. This PD will focus on how to effectively implement small group instruction as well as analyzing data for identifying which students need the additional support. We will also provide targeted after school tutorial for these students on specific standards of weakness.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Seventh grade math showed the most improvement by far. The only students that take the seventh grade math assessment are the level one and two students. The level three, four and five students take eighth grade math and the eighth grade test at the end of the year. This typically causes the seventh grade math proficiency to be very low. In 2022, our seventh grade math proficiency was 20%, which is the highest it has ever been. Overall, our math learning gains showed the most improvement from 20% to 61%.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Our seventh grade math teachers focused more on individualized support and making math class "fun" through interactive games and activities in class. The student engagement increased as well as the tutorial attendance. Due to Covid and distance learning, our math scores dropped the most during the 2021 school year. This year we focused on filling in the foundational gaps and learning loss which caused the high increase in learning gains. Our math teachers planned collaboratively to be able to teach the grade level standards while also reteaching the standards from the past two years that the students were struggling with.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

We will use iXL, Kessler Science, Penda, Commonlit, Successmaker and ALL in Learning online learning platforms. These online platforms allow the students to practice the current standards they are on while also providing individualized learning plans to fill any gaps they may have. All for One learning is an assessment platform that allows the teachers to make common assessments and get real time data from their students. This platform also mimics the rigor and question types that the students will see on the FSA so they will be very familiar and confident once it becomes testing time. In addition to technology, small group instruction will allow teachers to meet with all groups of students and provide them what they need whether its is remediation or elaboration.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Our professional development this year will focus on small group instruction. It will take part in numerous sessions throughout the year. Components will include data analysis to identifying students, small group instruction models, remediation practices and classroom management during small group.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

We will start our tutorial program early this school year to provide extra support for our students. Tutorial will be available after school and during lunch for those students who cannot stay late. Through the use of our online programs, our students will be able to build their skills in each content area throughout their three years in middle school. Our teachers are being trained on collaborative study groups which is an AVID strategy they will use this year as well as in the future.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

With the new B.E.S.T. standards, our students and teachers will have to adjust to the new expectations, curriculum and assessment calendar. To provide our teachers with the necessary support, our instructional support staff (SSCC, coaches and LTF) will conduct ongoing professional development through PLCs of the new standards in ELA and Math. In addition to the new standards, our goal is to include more hands on instruction, primarily in science and choice programs, to further their understanding of the standards. This instruction may include additional labs or field trips to meet the fullest extent of the standards. Our students with disabilities are the only ESSA subgroup that has a Federal Index score below the target of 41% proficiency. Our SWD overall Federal Index score for the 2021-2022 school year was 31%. Overall, our SWD were only 11% proficient in ELA, 19% in Math, 9% in science and 18% in social studies. Based on these results, we will be focusing on supporting these students more in class through standards aligned, small group instruction. Teachers will be provided professional development on effective implementation of small group instruction and the academic support team will provide push in services to pull small groups of students who need additional support with the material. Students with Disabilities will be provided direct instruction tutorial specifically to meet their needs in the classroom. The ESE teachers will work directly with the general education teachers to identify the students in need of additional support through tutorial and the exact standards they need assistance with.

Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

- SWD ELA Achievement: 15%
- SWD ELA Learning Gains: 43%
- SWD Math Achievement: 24%
- SWD Math Learning Gains: 50%
- SWD Science Achievement: 14%
- SWD Civics Achievement: 22%

Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

School, district and state created assessments will be administered to students to monitor the progress of each student on every standard. During PLCs, our teachers will analyze the data from these assessments and break it down by subgroup, specifically focusing on SWD to identify standards of strength and those that need to be retaught in a small group setting. Administrators and coaches will perform weekly walk throughs to ensure lessons are standards based and teachers are utilizing the small group practices taught during professional development. The SSCC, LTF and coaches will provide more individualized support for those teachers identified as struggling with small groups.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Jeremiah Stewart (jeremiah.stewart@palmbeachschools.org)

Evidence-based Strategy:

1. Differentiated small group instruction to support all students, specifically SWD
2. Targeted after school tutorial to meet the individual needs of all students
3. Adaptive technology

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

4. AVID (Focus Note-taking, reading strategies, organization)
5. PBS to reward students for positive behavior and performance
6. Intensive math and reading class to provide additional support
7. Labs and Field Trips
8. Professional Development of B.E.S.T. standards

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

1. Small group instruction allows the teacher to pull specific groups of students that need support on a specific standards, these groups change on a daily basis based on individual student need
2. Targeted after school tutorial ensures students needs are being met beyond the classroom
3. Adaptive Technology to provide individualized support and fill in academic gaps in math, ELA and science
4. AVID schoolwide teaches students how to take and use notes, as well as strong organizational skills
5. PBS encourages students to perform at their highest ability and rewards students for proficiency and growth
6. Intensive math and reading classes allows the teacher to provide more foundational support in their content area
7. Through labs and field trips students will be given more hands on experiences to deepen their knowledge and connection to the standards being taught in class.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Small Group Instruction

- provide all teachers and support staff with training on effective implementation of small group instruction
- conduct classroom walkthroughs to monitor implementation
- communicate with academic support team when teachers need assistance

Person

Responsible Jeremiah Stewart (jeremiah.stewart@palmbeachschools.org)

Targeted After School Tutorial

- select content expert teachers based on data
- work collaboratively with ESE and general education teachers to identify students that are in need of extra support on upcoming tutorial standard
- track and monitor student progress after tutorial

Person

Responsible Mia Potenza (mia.potenza@palmbeachschools.org)

Adaptive Technology

- ALL in Learning will be used to create and administer common assessments, then analyzed during PLCs
- Successmaker will be used in all intensive math classes to provide individualized support and fill foundational gaps
- Commonlit will be used in all intensive reading class to provide intensive reading classes an online program for practice utilizing the BEST standards and applying the reading strategies taught in class

Person

Responsible Mia Potenza (mia.potenza@palmbeachschools.org)

AVID

- implement school-wide use of the AVID binder to teach organization skills to all students
- incorporate content specific AVID strategies in each subject area

Person Responsible Robert Fletcher (robert.fletcher@palmbeachschools.org)

PBS

- Velt Bucks will be given to students who are showing any aspect of PRIDE
- Velt Bucks will be able to be redeemed for various snacks or incentives

Person Responsible Mia Potenza (mia.potenza@palmbeachschools.org)

Field Trips/Labs

- Standards-based hands on activities and labs to deepend knowledge and connection of the standards

Person Responsible Robert Fletcher (robert.fletcher@palmbeachschools.org)

Professional Development of B.E.S.T. standards

Person Responsible Shalanda Lester (shalanda.lester@palmbeachschools.org)

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Parent Podcast: The leadership team will be conducting a weekly online Parent Podcast through Youtube Live to communicate with all stakeholders different events that are happening at Roosevelt. The instructional coaches will be providing weekly tips and tricks to help parents help their child. These tips will focus on the various online platforms that our students use on a daily basis and how the parents can check their child's work.

PTSA/SAC: The PTSA and SAC allow various stakeholders to be involved with on campus decisions and support school initiatives.

Choice Open House: Upcoming, future Roosevelt students and families can explore and learn more about the different magnet programs that we offer and how they can apply for them.

Science Night: Science Night allows students and parents to explore different STEM activities to enhance the science curriculum.

Curriculum Night: Stakeholders are provided with information from the instructional coaches on ways to prepare our students to be successful in their content FSA and NGSS testing.

AVID: AVID creates a school culture that is focused on our students becoming college-ready and having the conversations about what it takes to go to college. Our students will participate in college spirit days, college projects, college bulletin boards, and college presentations.

PBS: Students are rewarded for positive behaviors which creates a positive school culture. Teachers will give students "Velt Bucks" when they are doing something that represents PRIDE. The students will be able to cash in Velt Bucks on certain days during lunch for various prizes/incentives.

Social-Emotional Learning: All teachers are required to complete a social/emotional check or activity at the beginning of the class period to ensure that all students' needs are being met.

Guidance counselors and the mental health behavior specialist provide services for any student who might need more assistance

Culture of Diversity: Students are immersed in rigorous tasks encompassing the full intent of the Florida State Standards and content required by Florida State Statute 1003.42 continuing to develop a single school culture and appreciation of multicultural diversity in alignment to S.B. 2.09 with a focus on reading and writing across all content areas.

To appreciate the diversity on our campus, our students will be provided opportunities to share their cultures through Hispanic Heritage and Black History months. During these months, students will create projects on important people from those cultures and the impact that they had. Students will be provided reading and writing prompts using Commonlit that highlight important people and customs of various cultures.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Mrs. Potenza is our Single School Culture Coordinator and PBS Internal Coach. Through both roles, her responsibility is to create and promote positive school culture and environment. The Velt Bucks system is in place to empower all staff members (both instructional and non-instructional) to reward students for demonstrating the positive behaviors that are outlined in our PBS matrix and universal guidelines. Mrs. Potenza is in charge of overseeing the Velt Bucks program and its fidelity.

Our administration is responsible for promoting and ensuring a safe environment for the students and staff. They do this by constantly being visible on campus, ensuring all doors in their assigned area are locked and immediately handling any incidents on campus.

Our staff is responsible for promoting a positive culture by following the Velt Bucks system and encouraging a positive environment in all areas of campus.

The families of our students are responsible for ensuring that any homework is completed and the students know they are held to high expectations at school and home.